

The category of 3-computads is not cartesian closed

Mihaly Makkai and Marek Zawadowski
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University,
805 Sherbrooke St., Montréal, PQ, H3A 2K6, Canada

Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Warszawski
ul. S.Banacha 2, 00-913 Warszawa, Poland

February 26, 2008

Abstract

We show, using [CJ] and Eckmann-Hilton argument, that the category of 3-computads is not cartesian closed. As a corollary we get that neither the category of all computads nor the category of n -computads, for $n > 2$, do form locally cartesian closed categories, and hence elementary toposes.

1 Introduction

S.H. Schanuel (unpublished) made an observation, c.f. [CJ], that the category of 2-computads \mathbf{Comp}_2 is a presheaf category. We show below that neither the category of computads nor the categories n -computads, for $n > 2$, are locally cartesian closed. This is in contrast with a remark in [CJ] on page 453, and an explicit statement in [B] claiming that these categories are presheaves categories. Note that some interesting subcategories of computads, like many-to-one computads, do form presheaf categories, c.f. [HMP], [HMZ].

We thank the anonymous referee for comments that helped to clarify the exposition of the example. The diagrams for this paper were prepared with a help of *catmac* of Michael Barr.

2 Computads

Computads were introduced by R.Street in [S], see also [B]. Recall that a computad is an ω -category that is levelwise free. Below we recall one of the definitions.

Let \mathbf{nCat} be the category of n -categories and n -functors between them, $\omega\mathbf{Cat}$ be the category of ω -categories and ω -functors between them. We have the obvious truncation functors

$$tr_{n-1} : \mathbf{nCat} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{n} - 1)\mathbf{Cat}$$

By \mathbf{Comp}_n we denote the category of n -computads, a non-full subcategory of the category \mathbf{nCat} . By \mathbf{CCat}_n we denote the non-full subcategory of \mathbf{nCat} , whose objects are 'computads up to the level $n - 1$ ', i.e. an n -functor $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism in \mathbf{CCat}_n if and only if $tr_{n-1}(f) : tr_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow tr_{n-1}(B)$ is a morphism in \mathbf{Comp}_{n-1} . Clearly \mathbf{CCat}_n is defined as soon as \mathbf{Comp}_{n-1} is defined. The categories \mathbf{Comp}_n and n -comma category \mathbf{Com}_n are defined below.

The categories \mathbf{Comp}_0 , \mathbf{CCat}_0 and \mathbf{Com}_0 are equal to *Set*, the category of sets. We have an adjunction

$$\mathbf{Com}_0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F_0} \\ \xleftarrow{U_0} \end{array} \mathbf{CCat}_0$$

with both functors being the identity on Set , $F_0 \dashv U_0$. \mathbf{Comp}_0 is the image of \mathbf{Com}_0 under F_0 .

\mathbf{Com}_1 is the category of graphs, i.e. an object of \mathbf{Com}_1 is a pair of sets and a pair of functions between them $\langle d, c : E \rightarrow V \rangle$. \mathbf{CCat}_1 is simply \mathbf{Cat} , the category of all small categories. The forgetful functor U_1 (forgetting compositions and identities) has a left adjoint F_1 'the free category (over a graph)' functor

$$\mathbf{Com}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F_1} \\ \xleftarrow{U_1} \end{array} \mathbf{CCat}_1$$

We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Com}_1 & \xrightarrow{F_1} & & \mathbf{CCat}_1 & \\ & \searrow^{tr_0} & & \swarrow_{tr_0} & \\ & & \mathbf{Comp}_0 & & \\ & \swarrow_{F_0} & & \searrow_{\iota_0} & \\ \mathbf{Com}_0 & \xrightarrow{F_0} & & \mathbf{CCat}_0 & \\ & & & & \end{array}$$

where three triangles commute, moreover the left triangle and the outer square commute up to an isomorphism. tr_1 and tr'_1 are the obvious truncation morphisms. Then we define the category of 1-computads \mathbf{Comp}_1 as the essential (non-full) image of the functor F_1 in \mathbf{CCat}_1 , i.e. 1-computads are the free categories over graphs and computad maps between them are functors sending indets (=indeterminates=generators) to indets.

Now suppose that we have an adjunction $U_n \dashv F_n$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Comp}_n & \\ & \nearrow^{F_n} & \searrow_{\iota_n} \\ \mathbf{Com}_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & \mathbf{CCat}_n \\ & \xleftarrow{U_n} & \end{array}$$

and \mathbf{Comp}_n is defined as the the essential (non-full) image of the functor F_n in \mathbf{CCat}_n . We define the n -parallel pair functor

$$\Pi_n : \mathbf{Comp}_n \longrightarrow Set$$

such that

$$\Pi_n(A) = \{\langle a, b \rangle \mid a, b \in A_n, d(a) = d(b), c(a) = c(b)\}$$

for any n -computad A . The $(n + 1)$ -comma category \mathbf{Com}_{n+1} is the category $Set \downarrow \Pi_n$. Thus an object in \mathbf{Com}_{n+1} is a pair $(A, \langle d, c \rangle : X \rightarrow \Pi_n(A))$, such that A is an n -computad X is a set of $(n + 1)$ -indets and $\langle d, c \rangle$ is a function associating n -domains and n -codomains. The forgetful functor $U_{n+1} : \mathbf{CCat}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Com}_{n+1}$ (forgetting compositions and identities at the level $n + 1$) creates limits and satisfies the solution set condition. Thus it has a left adjoint F_{n+1} . We get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{Com}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{F_{n+1}} & \mathbf{CCat}_{n+1} & & \\
\downarrow tr'_n & \searrow tr_n & \swarrow tr_n & & \downarrow tr_n \\
& & \mathbf{Comp}_n & & \\
\mathbf{Com}_n & \xrightarrow{F_n} & \mathbf{CCat}_n & & \\
& \nearrow F_n & \searrow \iota_n & &
\end{array}$$

where three triangles commute, moreover the left triangle and the outer square commute up to an isomorphism. tr_n are the obvious truncation functors and tr'_n is a truncation functor that at the level n leaves the indets only. Then we define the category of $(n+1)$ -computads \mathbf{Comp}_{n+1} as the essential (non-full) image of the functor F_{n+1} in \mathbf{CCat}_{n+1} , i.e. $(n+1)$ -computads are the free $(n+1)$ -categories over $(n+1)$ -comma categories and $(n+1)$ -computad maps between them are $(n+1)$ -functors sending indets to indets. The category of computads \mathbf{Comp} is a (non-full) subcategory of the category of ω -categories and ω -functors $\omega\mathbf{Cat}$ such, that for each n , the truncation of objects and morphisms to \mathbf{nCat} is in \mathbf{Comp}_n . As $F_n : \mathbf{Com}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{CCat}_n$ is faithful and full on isomorphisms, after restricting the codomain we get an equivalence of categories $F_n : \mathbf{Com}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{Comp}_n$.

Notation. If A is a computad then A_n denotes the set of n -cells of A and $|A|_n$ denotes the set of n -indets of A .

The truncation functor $tr_n : \mathbf{Comp}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Comp}_n$ has both adjoints $i_n \dashv tr_n \dashv f_n$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \xleftarrow{f_n} & \\
\mathbf{Comp}_{n+1} & \xrightleftharpoons[tr_n]{i_n} & \mathbf{Comp}_n
\end{array}$$

where

$$i_n(A) = F_{n+1}(A, \emptyset \rightarrow \Pi_n(A))$$

and

$$f_n(A) = F_{n+1}(A, id_{\Pi_n(A)} : \Pi_n(A) \rightarrow \Pi_n(A))$$

for A in \mathbf{Comp}_n . This shows that tr_n preserves limits and colimits. The colimits in \mathbf{Comp}_{n+1} are calculated in $(\mathbf{n}+1)\mathbf{Cat}$ but the limits in \mathbf{Comp}_{n+1} are more involved. It is more convenient to describe them in \mathbf{Com}_{n+1} and then apply the functor F_{n+1} . If $H : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbf{Com}_{n+1}$ is a functor and P is the limit of its truncation $tr_n \circ H$ to \mathbf{Comp}_n then $Lim H$, the limit of H , truncated to \mathbf{Comp}_n is P and the $(n+1)$ -indets $|Lim H|_{n+1}$ of $Lim H$ are as follows

$$|Lim H|_{n+1} = \{ \langle a_i \rangle_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \mid a_i \in |H(i)|_{n+1}, \langle d(a_i) \rangle_{i \in \mathcal{J}}, \langle c(a_i) \rangle_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \in P_n \}$$

The terminal object 1_n in \mathbf{Comp}_n is quite complicated, for $n \geq 2$. However the \mathbf{Com}_2 part of 1_2 is still easy to describe. 1_2 has one 0-indet x and one 1-indet $\xi : x \rightarrow x$. Thus the 1-cells can be identified with finite (possibly empty) strings of arrows:

$$x, \quad x \xrightarrow{\xi} x \xrightarrow{\xi} x \dots x \xrightarrow{\xi} x$$

or simply with elements of ω . The set $|1_2|_2$ of 2-indets in 1_2 contains exactly one indet for every pair of strings. The first element of such a pair is the domain of the indet and the second element of the pair is the codomain of the indet. Thus $|1_2|_2$ can be identified with the set $\omega \times \omega$. In particular $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$ correspond to the only indet from id_x to id_x (id_x is the identity on x). The description of all 2-cells in 1_2 is more involved but we don't need it here.

3 The counterexample

Lemma 3.1 \mathbf{Comp}_3 is not cartesian closed.

Proof. As it was noted in Lemma 4.2 [CJ], the functor Π_2 factorizes as

$$\mathbf{Comp}_2 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Pi}_2} \mathbf{Set} \downarrow \Pi_2(1_2) \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \mathbf{Set}$$

where $\widehat{\Pi}_2(A) = \Pi_2(! : A \rightarrow 1_2)$, and $\Sigma(b : B \rightarrow \Pi_2(1_2)) = B$, for A in \mathbf{Comp}_2 and b in $\mathbf{Set} \downarrow \Pi_2(1_2)$. Moreover, the category $\mathbf{Set} \downarrow \Pi_2$, which is equivalent to \mathbf{Comp}_3 , is also equivalent to $(\mathbf{Set} \downarrow \Pi_2(1_2)) \downarrow \widehat{\Pi}_2$. Now, as \mathbf{Comp}_2 and $\mathbf{Set} \downarrow \Pi_2(1_2)$ are cartesian closed categories with initial objects (in fact both categories are presheaf toposes) and $\widehat{\Pi}_2$ preserves the terminal object, by Theorem 4.1 of [CJ], \mathbf{Comp}_3 is a cartesian closed category if and only if $\widehat{\Pi}_2$ preserves binary products. We finish the proof by showing that $\widehat{\Pi}_2$ does not preserve the binary products.

Let A be a 2-computad with one 0-cell x , one 1-cell id_x the identity on x (no 1-indets). Moreover A has as 2-cells all cells generated by the two indeterminate 2-cells $a_1, a_2 : id_x \rightarrow id_x$. Thus, by Eckmann-Hilton argument, any 2-cell in A is of form $a_1^m \circ a_2^n$, for $m, n \in \omega$ (if $m = n = 0$ then $a_1^m \circ a_2^n = id_{id_x}$). Let B be a 2-computad isomorphic to A with indeterminate 2-cells b_1, b_2 . Let x be the unique 0-cell in 1_2 , c be the only indeterminate 2-cell in 1_2 that has id_x as its domain and codomain and C a subcomputad of 1_2 generated by c . The unique maps of 2-computads $! : A \rightarrow 1_2$ and $! : B \rightarrow 1_2$ sends a_i and b_i to c , for $i = 1, 2$. Thus they factor through C as $\alpha : A \rightarrow C$ and $\beta : B \rightarrow C$, respectively. The 2-computad C does not play a crucial role in the counterexample but it makes the explanations simpler.

Let us describe the product $A \times B$ in \mathbf{Comp}_2 . The 0-cell and 1-cells are as in A, B and C . As there is only one 1-cell id_x in $A \times B$, the compatibility condition for domain and codomains of 2-indets is trivially satisfied, and the set 2-indets of $A \times B$ is just the product of 2-indets of A and B , i.e.

$$|A \times B|_2 = \{\langle a_i, b_j \rangle \mid i, j = 1, 2\}$$

and the set of all 2-cells of $A \times B$ is

$$(A \times B)_2 = \{\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle^{n_1} \circ \langle a_1, b_2 \rangle^{n_2} \circ \langle a_2, b_1 \rangle^{n_3} \circ \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle^{n_4} \mid n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \omega\}$$

The projections

$$A \xleftarrow{\pi_A} A \times B \xrightarrow{\pi_B} B$$

are defined as the only 2-functors such that $\pi_A(a_i, b_j) = a_i$ and $\pi_B(a_i, b_j) = b_j$, for $i, j = 1, 2$. Thus we have a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A \times B & \\
 \pi_A \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_B \\
 A & & B \\
 \alpha \searrow & & \swarrow \beta \\
 & C & \\
 ! \swarrow & \downarrow m & \searrow ! \\
 & 1_2 &
 \end{array} \quad (*)$$

As C is a subobject of the terminal object $A \times B$ is $A \times_C B$ and $A \times_{1_2} B$, i.e. both inner and outer squares in the above diagram are pullbacks.

Since all the 2-cells in A , B , C and $A \times B$ are parallel we have

$$\Pi_2(A) = A_2 \times A_2, \quad \Pi_2(B) = B_2 \times B_2, \quad \Pi_2(C) = C_2 \times C_2,$$

and

$$\Pi_2(A \times B) = (A \times B)_2 \times (A \times B)_2$$

$\widehat{\Pi}_2$ preserves the product of A and B if in the diagram (***) below, which is the application of Π_2 to the diagram (*) above, the outer square is a pullback in Set

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (A \times B)_2 \times (A \times B)_2 & & \\
 & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\
 & \Pi_2(\pi_A) & & \Pi_2(\pi_B) & \\
 A_2 \times A_2 & & & & B_2 \times B_2 \\
 \downarrow \Pi_2(\alpha) & & & & \downarrow \Pi_2(\beta) \\
 & & C_2 \times C_2 & & \\
 \Pi_2(!) \swarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_2(m) & & \searrow \Pi_2(!) \\
 & & \Pi_2(1_2) & &
 \end{array} \quad (***)$$

As $\Pi_2(m)$ is mono, the outer square in (***) is a pullback in Set if and only if the inner square in (***) is a pullback in Set . We have

$$\Pi_2(\pi_A) = (\pi_A)_2 \times (\pi_A)_2, \quad \Pi_2(\pi_B) = (\pi_B)_2 \times (\pi_B)_2,$$

$$\Pi_2(\alpha) = \alpha_2 \times \alpha_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_2(\beta) = \beta_2 \times \beta_2.$$

Hence the inner square in (***) is a pullback if and only if the square (***) below

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (A \times B)_2 & \\
 (\pi_A)_2 \swarrow & & \searrow (\pi_B)_2 \\
 A_2 & & B_2 \\
 \alpha_2 \swarrow & & \searrow \beta_2 \\
 & (C)_2 &
 \end{array} \quad (***)$$

is a pullback. But (***) is not a pullback in Set . The two 2-cells

$$\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \circ \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a_1, b_2 \rangle \circ \langle a_2, b_1 \rangle$$

in $A \times B$ are different since they are compositions of different indets. On the other hand

$$(\pi_A)_2(\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \circ \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle) = a_1 \circ a_2 = (\pi_A)_2(\langle a_1, b_2 \rangle \circ \langle a_2, b_1 \rangle)$$

and

$$(\pi_B)_2(\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \circ \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle) = b_1 \circ b_2 = b_2 \circ b_1 = (\pi_B)_2(\langle a_1, b_2 \rangle \circ \langle a_2, b_1 \rangle)$$

i.e. they agree on both projections and hence (***) is not a pullback. Thus $\widehat{\Pi}_2$ does not preserve binary products, as required. \square

Theorem 3.2 *The category of computads \mathbf{Comp} and the categories of n -computads \mathbf{Comp}_n , for $n > 2$, are not locally cartesian closed.*

Proof. The slice categories $\mathbf{Comp} \downarrow 1_3$, as well as $\mathbf{Comp}_n \downarrow 1_3$, for $n > 2$, are equivalent to \mathbf{Comp}_3 , where 1_3 is the terminal object in \mathbf{Comp}_3 lifted (by adding suitable identities) to the category of appropriate computads. As, by Lemma 3.1, $\mathbf{Comp}_n \downarrow 1_3$ is not cartesian closed we get the theorem. \square

Remark. In particular the categories mentioned in the above theorem are not presheaf (or even elementary) toposes.

References

- [B] M. Batanin, *Computads for finitary monads on globular sets*. Contemporary Mathematics, vol 230, (1998), pp. 37-57.
- [CJ] A. Carboni, P.T. Johnstone *Connected limits, familial representability and Artin glueing*. Math. Struct. in Comp Science, vol 5. (1995), pp. 441-459.
- [HMZ] V. Harnik, M. Makkai, M. Zawadowski, *Multitopic sets are the same as many-to-one computads*. Preprint 2002.
- [HMP] C. Hermida, M. Makkai, J. Power, *On weak higher dimensional categories, I* Parts 1,2,3, J. Pure and Applied Alg. 153 (2000), pp. 221-246, 157 (2001), pp. 247-277, 166 (2002), pp. 83-104.
- [S] R. Street, *Limits indexed by category valued 2-functors*. J. Pure Appl. Alg, vol 8, (1976), pp. 149-181.