

Star exercises - series I

Languages, automata and computations II

Deadline: January 13th, 2020
By email to: wczwin@mimuw.edu.pl

1. Boundedly repeating words

We say that an ω -word $w \in \Sigma^\omega$ is *boundedly repeating* if for every finite infix $v \in \Sigma^*$ that occurs infinitely many times in w there exists a bound B such that infix v occurs in every infix of w of length B . In other words for every i word v is an infix of $w[i]w[i+1] \cdots w[i+B-1]$. Every ultimately periodic word is boundedly repeating, but there exists boundedly repeating words, which are not ultimately periodic. A notable example is the Thue-Morse word. A *cross product* of two ω -words $u \in \Sigma^\omega$, $v \in \Gamma^\omega$ is a word $w \times v \in (\Sigma \times \Gamma)^\omega$ defined as $(w \times v)[i] = (u[i], v[i])$. Decide whether cross product of two boundedly repeating words is also a boundedly repeating word.

2. Characterization of ω -regular languages

For any ω -language $L \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$ we say that relation $\sim \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$ is *L-compatible* if both following conditions hold:

1. For all infinite sequences u_i, v_i of finite words such that $u_i \sim v_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $u_1 u_2 \cdots \in L \iff v_1 v_2 \cdots \in L$.
2. For all $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \Sigma^*$ it holds: if $u_1 \sim v_1$ and $u_2 \sim v_2$ then $u_1 u_2 \sim v_1 v_2$.

Show that language L is ω -regular if and only if there exists an L -compatible relation with finite index.

Hint: It can be useful to use Infinite Ramsey Theorem: in every infinite clique with edges colored on finite number of colors there exists a monochromatic infinite clique.

3. Fixed ambiguous automata

A finite automaton \mathcal{A} is *k-ambiguous* if for every word accepted by \mathcal{A} there is exactly k accepting runs of \mathcal{A} on that word. Decide whether there is a polynomial algorithm which decides universality, i.e. answers whether a given k -ambiguous automaton \mathcal{A} fulfills $L(\mathcal{A}) = \Sigma^*$.

Remark: An NP-hardness or coNP-hardness is treated as a solution, as this means that there is no polynomial time algorithm unless $P = NP$.

4. Co-finiteness of UFA

Decide whether there exists a polynomial time algorithm deciding whether language of a given unambiguous finite automaton \mathcal{A} is co-finite, i.e. whether $\Sigma^* \setminus L(\mathcal{A})$ is finite.

Remark: An NP-hardness or coNP-hardness is treated as a solution, as this means that there is no polynomial time algorithm unless $P = NP$.

5. Distance automata with more counters

Consider the following extension of a distance automaton. Instead of having

a set of costly transitions, we have two counters $\{1, 2\}$ and each transition is labelled by an instruction from the following toolkit:

- do nothing;
- increment counter 1;
- reset counter 1;
- reset counter 1 and increment counter 2;
- reset both counters.

The value of a run is the biggest value attained by any counter. Prove that limitedness is decidable for these automata, using the limitedness game.

6. Separation

Prove that the following problem is decidable:

- **Input:** Regular word languages $L, K \subseteq \Sigma^*$, given say by deterministic automata.
- **Question:** Is there a language of star height 1 which contains L but is disjoint with K ? A language of star height 1 is a language which can be defined by a regular expression, without complement, where the Kleene star is allowed, but it cannot be nested.

As a hint, use the previous exercise.