

A Regularity theory for harmonic

The goal: maps.

→ A bounded minimizing map $u: M \rightarrow N$ is regular (in the interior) except for a closed set S of Hausdorff dimension at most $n-3$.

→ S is a discrete set of points for $n=3$.

→ When N has nonpositive sectional curvature $\Rightarrow S = \emptyset$, u is smooth.
 To prove • Find comparison maps which satisfy the constraints.

→ we need scaling inequality Prop. 24

Motivation

1) Suppose $u \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, N)$ is a map s.t. $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = 0$ a.e.
 $\Rightarrow \exists w: S^{n-1} \rightarrow N$ s.t. $u(x) = w\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$. And u is harmonic iff w is harmonic.
 $E(u|_{B_\delta(0)}) = (n-2)^{-1} \delta^{n-2} E(w)$.

2) If u_0 with an isolated singularity at Q - a tangent map, and if it's \tilde{E} -min. on comp. $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is minimizing tangent map.

3) Let $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$, $u(x) \in N_0$, $N_0 \subseteq N$, and singular set $S_u = M - \{x \in M : u(x) = \text{const}\}$. Define a density of u at x .

$$\rho_u(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} (E_{B_r}(u) r^{2-n})$$

$S = \{x \in M : \rho_u(x) > 0\} \Rightarrow N$ is simply connected, $\Rightarrow x \in M, \rho_u(x) \leq C(g, h)$.

(by Hardt, Kinderlehrer and Lin).

$$\|u\|_{1,2}^2 = E(u) + \int_M \sum_i (u^i(x))^2 dV$$

$$\tilde{E}(u) = E(u) + V(u), \quad v(u) = \int_M v(u)$$

$$v(u) = \left[\sum_i \sum_\alpha \gamma_i^\alpha(x, u(x)) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) + \Gamma(x, u(x)) \right] dV$$

$$\gamma \in C^r(M \times \mathcal{O}, T^*M \otimes \mathbb{R}^k), \quad \Gamma \in C^r(M \times \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$$

- \tilde{E} -min. map: a map $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$ s.t. $\tilde{E}(u) \leq \tilde{E}(w)$ for $\forall w \in L^2_1(M, N)$
 $(u-w) \in L^2_{1,0}$

Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\Delta_M u - A(du, du) + \sum_{i,\alpha} \beta_{i,\alpha}(x, u(x)) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha} + C(x, u(x)) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Delta_M u - A(du, du) = 0.$$

- For $\Delta > 0$ lower order terms satisfying

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} g_{\alpha\beta}(x) \right| + |\gamma(x, u)| + |du \gamma(x, u)| + |\Gamma(x, u)|^{1/2} + |du \Gamma(x, u)|^{1/2} \leq \Delta \quad (2.2)$$

$\tilde{E} \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta$, $u(x) \in N_0$ a.e, we say $u \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta$.

- $\tilde{E}^{p,\sigma}(w) := \int_{B_1} (|dw|_{g_\sigma}^2 + \sigma(dw \cdot \gamma(y, w)) + \sigma^2 \Gamma(y, w)) g_\sigma^{1/2} dy \quad (2.3)$
 $= \sigma^{2-n} \tilde{E}_{B_\sigma(y)}(u) \quad - \tilde{E}^{p,\sigma} \text{ functional on } B_1$

$$w(y) = u(\sigma y), \quad g_\sigma(y) = g(\sigma y)$$

$\rightarrow \Delta > 0 \exists \sigma_0 > 0$ s.t. for $0 < \sigma \leq \sigma_0$ $p \in M$ u is \tilde{E} -min.

$\Rightarrow w(y) = u(\exp_p \sigma y)$ is $\tilde{E}^{p,\sigma}$ -minimizing, $\tilde{E}^{p,\sigma} \in \mathcal{F}_\Delta$

- let E be the energy on B_1 (Eucl. metric), E_σ, \tilde{E} energies over B_σ
 $0 < \sigma \leq 1$

$$|E_\sigma(u) - \tilde{E}_\sigma(u)| \leq c\Delta (\sigma E_\sigma(u) + \sigma^{n/2} E_\sigma(u)^{1/2} + \Lambda \sigma^n) \leq \frac{3}{2} c\Delta (\tilde{E}_\sigma(u) + \sigma^{n-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda \sigma \leq 1 \quad \text{for } \tilde{E}_\sigma \in (0, 1] \quad (*)$$

$$\tilde{E}_\sigma(u) \leq (1 + \tilde{c}\Delta \sigma) E_\sigma(u) + \tilde{c}\Delta \sigma^{n-1}$$

$$\tilde{E}_\sigma(u) \leq (1 + \tilde{c}\Delta \sigma) \tilde{E}_\sigma(u) + \tilde{c}\Delta \sigma^{n-1} \quad (**)$$

Lemma 2.3 Λ suff. small, $E \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda \Rightarrow \exists C(n) > 0$ s.t. for $\sigma \in (0, 1]$

$$E_\alpha(u) \leq (1 + C\Lambda\sigma) E_\alpha(w) + C\Lambda\sigma^{n-1}$$

$\forall w \in L^2_1(B_1, N)$. $w = u$ on $B_1 \sim B_\sigma$.

Notation
$$E_\sigma^x(u) = \int_{B_\sigma(x)} |du|^2 dy$$

Prop 2.4 let $u \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ for Λ suff. small. Then

$$\sigma^{2-n} E_\sigma^x(u) \leq C [\rho^{2-n} E_\rho^x(u) + \Lambda \rho]$$

$$x \in B_{1/2}, 0 < \sigma \leq \rho \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof ∇B_2 . we have $\int_{|x|=\sigma} |du|^2 d\zeta < \infty, \forall \sigma \in (0, 1]$

Comparison map

$$v_\sigma(x) = u(x), \quad |x| \geq \sigma$$

$$v_\sigma(x) = u(\sigma x/|x|), \quad |x| \leq \sigma.$$

$$|du|^2 = |d_\zeta u|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2$$

$$E_\alpha(v_\sigma) = (n-2)^{-1} \sigma \int_{|x|=\sigma} |d_\zeta u|^2 d\zeta = (n-2)^{-1} \sigma \left[\frac{d}{d\sigma} E_\alpha(u) - \int_{|x|=\sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\zeta \right]$$

From L.2.3:

$$E_\alpha(u) \leq (1 + \bar{C}\sigma) E_\alpha(v_\sigma) + \bar{C}\sigma^{n-1}$$

$$\leq (n-2)^{-1} \sigma (1 + \bar{C}\sigma) \left[\frac{d}{d\sigma} E_\alpha(u) - \int_{|x|=\sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\zeta \right] + \bar{C}\sigma^{n-1}$$

$$0 \leq \sigma^{2-n} \int_{|x|=\sigma} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\zeta \leq \frac{d}{d\sigma} \left[(1 + \bar{C}\sigma)^{n-2} \sigma^{2-n} E_\alpha(u) \right] + \bar{C} \quad (2.4)$$

By integration from σ to ρ : $\bar{C} = C\Lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$(1 + \bar{C}\sigma)^{n-2} \sigma^{2-n} E_\alpha(u) \leq (1 + \bar{C}\rho)^{n-2} \rho^{2-n} E_\rho(u) + \bar{C}(\rho - \sigma) \quad (2.5)$$

Note that, $u_\lambda(x) = u(\lambda x)$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1]$.

$u_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda\Lambda}, u \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$

$$E_1(u_\lambda) = \lambda^{2-n} E_\lambda(u) \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.5 $\exists \lambda(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0, \lambda(\epsilon) \in (0, 1]$ s.t. $u_{\lambda(\epsilon)} \xrightarrow{w} u_0$ in $L^2(B_1, N)$

u_0 is a harmonic map $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} = 0$ a.e. in B_1

Proof We know that $E_\lambda(u_\lambda)$ is bdd $\lambda \in (0, 1]$

$$\Rightarrow u_{\lambda(\epsilon)} \xrightarrow{w} u_0 \in L^2(B_1, N)$$

$u_{\lambda(\epsilon)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda(\epsilon)} \Delta$ it satisfy Euler eq $\Rightarrow u_0$ satisfies E-L eq, is harmonic

$$\text{From (2.5) } \exists N_0: L_0 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{2-n} E_\delta(u) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} E_\delta(u_0) \quad (2.7)$$

Integrate (2.4) from 0 to λ .

$$0 \leq \int_{B_\lambda} r^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 dx \leq \left[(1 + \bar{c}\lambda)^{n-2} \lambda^{2-n} E_\lambda(u) - L_0 \right] + \bar{c}\lambda$$

$$\int_{B_1} r^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u_\lambda}{\partial r} \right|^2 dx = \int_{B_\lambda} r^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_1} r^{2-n} \left| \frac{\partial u_\lambda}{\partial r} \right|^2 dx = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \quad \square$$

Regularity Estimate 2.6 $\exists \bar{\epsilon}(N_0, n) > 0$ s.t. if $u \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta, \Delta \leq \bar{\epsilon}, E_1(u) \leq \bar{\epsilon}$
then u is Hölder continuous on $B_{1/2}, |u(x) - u(y)| \leq c|x-y|^\alpha, x, y \in B_{1/2}$
 $c, \alpha(n) > 0$ depend only on n, N_0 .

Corollary 2.7 If $u \in L^2_1(B_1, N)$ is in \mathcal{H}_Δ , and S is singular set of u
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(S \cap B_{1/2}) = 0$. If $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$ is \tilde{E} -min., then
 $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(S \cap \text{int} M) = 0$.

Proof $x \in S \cap B_{1/2}, u_{x,\lambda}(y) = u(\exp_x \lambda y) \Rightarrow u_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda\Delta}$

$$\text{By regul. est.: } \bar{\epsilon} \leq E_1(u_{x,\lambda}) = \lambda^{2-n} \int_{B_{\lambda(x)}} |du|^2 dx, \forall x \in S \cap B_{1/2} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\lambda\Delta \leq \bar{\epsilon}.$$

$\delta \in (0, \bar{\epsilon}/\Delta), \{B_\delta(x_1), \dots, B_\delta(x_\ell)\}$ max. family of $\ell = \ell(\delta)$ disjoint balls

$$\Rightarrow S \cap B_{1/2} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{2\delta}(x_i)$$

By (2.8) and summing

$$\int \delta^{n-2} \leq \bar{\varepsilon}^{-1} \int_{U_i B_\delta(x_i)} |du|^2 dx \leq \bar{\varepsilon}^{-1} E(u) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(S \cap B_{1/2}) \leq c E(u), \quad \mathcal{H}^n(U_i B_\delta(x_i)) \leq c \delta^2 E(u)$$

by DCT

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{U_i B_\delta(x_i)} |du|^2 dx = 0 \quad \text{using this in (2.9)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n-2}(S \cap B_{1/2}) = 0 \quad \square$$

Poincare inequality

$$\int_{B_1} |u - u^*|^2 dx \leq c_1 E_1(u) \leq c_1 \bar{\varepsilon}$$

$$\text{dist}(u^*, N) \leq c_2 (\bar{\varepsilon})^{1/2}$$

$$W_\sigma(u) = \int_{B_\sigma} |u - u^*|^2 dx$$

$C_\sigma = B_\sigma^{n-1} \times [-\sigma, \sigma]$ - the cylinder $h = d = 2\sigma$.

Lemma 4.1 $u \in L^2_1(\partial C_\sigma^n, N) : u(x, -\sigma) = u_1(x), u(x, \sigma) = u_2(x)$ for $x \in B_\sigma^{n-1}$

$u_1, u_2 \in L^2_1(B_\sigma^{n-1}, N)$. Also $u(x, t) = u'(x)$ for $x \in S_\sigma^{n-2} \times [-\sigma, \sigma]$.

We have $u^1 = u^2 = u'$ on $\partial B_\sigma^{n-1} = S_\sigma^{n-2}$, $u' \in L^2_1(S_\sigma^{n-2}, N)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \bar{u} \in L^2_1(C_\sigma^n, N) : \bar{u} = u \text{ on } \partial C_\sigma^n$$

$$E(\bar{u}) \leq c\sigma (E_\sigma(u_1) + E_\sigma(u_2) + \sigma E(u'))$$

$$W(\bar{u}) \leq c\sigma (W_\sigma(u_1) + W_\sigma(u_2) + \sigma W(u'))$$

Proof: Assume $\sigma = 1$

\exists bi-Lip. homeom. $f: \partial B_1^n \rightarrow \partial C_1^n$

extends to $\bar{f}: B_1^n \rightarrow C_1^n \quad \bar{f}(x) = |x| f\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$

$\Pi: B_1^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \partial B_1^n \quad \Pi(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$

$\hat{\Pi}: C_1^n \setminus \{(0,0)\} \rightarrow \partial C_1^n \quad \hat{\Pi} = f \circ \Pi \circ \bar{f}^{-1}$

$$\bar{u} = u \circ \hat{\Gamma}$$

Prop 2.4

$$E(\bar{u} \circ \bar{f}) \leq (n-2)^{-1} E(u \circ f)$$

Due to Lipschitz equivalence

$$E(\bar{u}) \leq K E(\bar{u} \circ \bar{f}) \quad E(u \circ f) \leq K E(u)$$

■

Lemma 4.2 If $u \in L^2(S'_\sigma, N)$ $E(u)W(u) \leq \delta_1^2$ for $\delta_1 = \delta_1(N_0)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \bar{u} \in L^2(B_\sigma^2, N) \quad \bar{u}|_{\partial B_\sigma^2} = u \quad (n=2)$$

$$E_\sigma(\bar{u}) \leq c_1 (E(u)W(u))^{1/2}, \quad W_\sigma(\bar{u}) \leq c_1 \sigma W(u).$$

Proof

$$\sigma=1, \quad r^2 = E(u)W(u) \quad \delta^2 \leq \delta_1^2 \quad S' \text{ param. by } \theta \in [0, 2\pi)$$

$$\Rightarrow |u(\theta) - u^*|^2 \leq 2 \int_0^{2\pi} |u(\theta) - u^*| |u'(\theta)| d\theta \leq 2\delta$$

δ_1 is small \bar{u} lie in convex ball by Hildebrandt, Kowl

$$\Rightarrow \|u - u^*\|_\infty \leq c_2 \delta^{1/2}$$

E-L eq

$$\Delta \bar{u} = A_{\bar{u}}(d\bar{u}, d\bar{u})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\bar{u} - u^*|^2 - |d\bar{u}|^2 = \langle \bar{u} - u^*, A_{\bar{u}}(d\bar{u}, d\bar{u}) \rangle \geq -\|\bar{u} - u^*\|_\infty \|A\|_\infty |d\bar{u}|^2$$

we have

$$\Delta |\bar{u} - u^*|^2 \geq 0$$

by mean value i.e.p

$$W(\bar{u}) \leq \frac{1}{2} W(u)$$

$$v: B_1^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

$$\Delta v = 0$$

$$v|_{\partial B_1^2} = u$$

$$\Gamma: D \rightarrow N$$

$$E(v) \leq c \delta^{1/2}$$

\bar{u} min. map

$$E(\bar{u}) \leq E(\Gamma \circ v) \leq c_3 E(v) \leq c_4 \delta^{1/2}$$

■

Lemma 4.3 For $n \geq 2$ $\exists \delta = \delta(n, N_0)$, $q = q(n)$ s.t. if $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$u \in L^2(\partial B_\sigma^n, N_0)$ satisfies $\sigma^{4-2n} E(u) W(u) \leq \delta^2 \varepsilon^q$

$\Rightarrow \exists \bar{u} \in L^2_1(B_\sigma^n, N)$, $\bar{u}|_{\partial B_\sigma^n} = u$ s.t.

$$E(\bar{u}) \leq C_\delta (\varepsilon \sigma E(u) + \varepsilon^{-q} \sigma^{-q} W(u))$$

$$W(\bar{u}) \leq C_\delta \varepsilon^{-q} \sigma W(u).$$

Lemma 4.4 Let $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $A_\sigma = S^{n-1} \times [-\sigma, \sigma]$, Assume L4.3 is true $n-1$

$v \in L^2_1(S^{n-1}, N)$, $E(v) W(v) \leq \sigma^{2n-4} (\delta')^2$ where $\delta' = \delta'(n-1, N_0)$

$\Rightarrow \exists \alpha = \alpha(n) < 1$, $K = K(n)$, $\bar{v} \in L^2_1(A_\sigma, N)$

$\bar{v}|_{S^{n-1} \times \{-\sigma\}} = v'$, $\bar{v}|_{S^{n-1} \times \{\sigma\}} = v$ $v' \in L^2_1(S^{n-1}, N)$ s.t.

$$E(\bar{v}) \leq K \sigma E(v) + K \sigma^{-1} W(v)$$

$$W(\bar{v}) \leq K \sigma W(v)$$

$$E(v') \leq \sigma E(v) + K \sigma^{-2} W(v)$$

$$W(v') \leq K W(v)$$

Proposition 4.5 Given $B > 0$ $\exists \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(n, N_0, B)$ s.t. if $u \in \mathcal{H}_2$

$\Delta \leq \varepsilon_0$, $E_1(u) \leq B$ $W_1(u) \leq \varepsilon_0$

$\Rightarrow u$ is Hölder cont. on $B_{1/2}$ $|u(x) - u(y)| \leq c|x-y|^\alpha$, $x, y \in B_{1/2}$, $\alpha = \alpha(n) > 0$

$c = c(n, N_0)$.

Proof by Fubini's thm. $\exists \sigma \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ s.t.

$$W(u|_{\partial B_\sigma}) = \int_{\partial B_\sigma} |u - u^*|^2 d\mathcal{H}^n \leq 8 W_1(u) \leq 8 \varepsilon_0$$

$$E(u|_{\partial B_\sigma}) \leq 8 E_1(u) \leq 8 B.$$

App. L. 4.3 on B_σ $\exists \bar{u} \in L^2_1(B_\sigma, N_0)$ $\bar{u}|_{\partial B_\sigma} = u$ s.t.

if $W(u|_{\partial B_\sigma}) \leq 8^{-1} \sigma^{2n-4} \delta'^2 \varepsilon^q B^{-2}$

$$E_\sigma(\bar{u}) \leq 8 C_\delta (\varepsilon B + \varepsilon^{-q} \sigma^{-q} \varepsilon_0)$$

$u \in \mathcal{H}_2$ app L2.3.

$$E_\sigma(u) \leq (1 + c \Delta \sigma) E_\sigma(\bar{u}) + c \Delta \sigma^{n-1} \leq C_{18} (\varepsilon B + \varepsilon^{-q} \varepsilon_0)$$

Fix ε so small

$$C_{18} \varepsilon B \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon} \sigma^{n-2}$$

ε_0 so small that

$$C_{18} \varepsilon^q \varepsilon_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon} \sigma^{n-2},$$

$$8 \varepsilon_0 B \leq \frac{1}{8} \sigma^{2n-4} \varepsilon^q$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^{2-n} E_\sigma(u) \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$$

$$\underline{\sigma \Lambda \leq \bar{\varepsilon}}$$

By app. thm 3.1 that u is Hölder cont. on $B_{\sigma/2}$ \square

Proposition 4.6 Let $\{u_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\Omega$ $u_i \xrightarrow{w} u_0$ in L^2 s.t.

$$E_1(u_i) \leq C_{13}$$

$\Rightarrow u_0$ is locally Hölder cont. outside a closed set \mathcal{S} , $\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{S}_0) = 0$

$u_i \rightarrow u_0$ in L^2 -norm on $B_{1/2}$

$u_i \rightrightarrows u_0$ on compact subsets of $\bar{B}_{1/2} \setminus \mathcal{S}$

Proof

Since $E_1(u_i) \leq C_{13}$ uniformly

$\Rightarrow u_i \rightarrow u_0$ in L^2

by lower-semi cont.

$E_1(u_0) \leq C_{13}$, \mathcal{S}_0 is sing. set of u_0

We need to show that \mathcal{S}_0 is small

$$W_\sigma^x(u_0) < \varepsilon_0 \sigma^n \quad u^* \in \mathbb{R}^k, \varepsilon_0 > 0$$

$$W_\sigma^x(u_i) < \varepsilon_0 \sigma^n \quad \text{for large } i$$

By Prop 2.4 $\exists B: \sigma^{2-n} E_\sigma^x(u_i) \leq B \quad \forall i$

By Prop 4.5 $\Delta \leq \varepsilon_0 \sigma^{-1}$ for σ small

$\Rightarrow u_i \rightrightarrows u_0$ on $B_{\sigma/2}(x)$, u_0 is Hölder cont.

Thus by Cor. 2.7 we have

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(\mathcal{S}_0) = 0.$$

Also we have $u_i \rightrightarrows u_0$ on compact subsets of $\bar{B}_{1/2}$

We cover $\mathcal{S}_0 \cap \bar{B}_{1/2}$ by $\{B_{r_i}(x_i)\}$ s.t. $\sum_i r_i^{n-2} < \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$

$\mathcal{O} = \cup_i B_{r_i}(x_i)$, by Prop. 2.4

$$E_0(u_j) \leq \sum_i E_{r_i}^{x_i}(u_j) \leq C_{20} \sum_i r_i^{n-2} < C_{20} \varepsilon \quad (4.7)$$

⑧

We have $u_j \rightrightarrows u_0$ on $B_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}$

The Euler eq by $u_j - u_k$:

$$\int_{B_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} |d(u_j - u_k)|^2 dx \leq C(\mathcal{O}, \Omega) \sup_{\bar{B}_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} |u_j - u_k|$$

From (4.7):

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |d(u_j - u_k)|^2 dx \leq c_{2,1} \varepsilon + C(\mathcal{O}, \Omega) \sup_{\bar{B}_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} |u_j - u_k|$$

$\Rightarrow \{u_j\}$ is Cauchy seq. in $L^2_1(B_{1/2}, N_0)$

$\Rightarrow \|u_0 - u_j\|_{1,2} \leq \varepsilon. \quad u_j \rightarrow u_0$ in L^2_1 norm. ■

Proposition 4.7 $u \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\Omega, B}, x_0 \in B_{1/2} \exists \lambda(i) \rightarrow 0, \lambda(i) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ s.t.

$u_{x_0, \lambda(i)} \in L^2_1(B_1, N_0), u_{x_0, \lambda(i)}(x) = u(\lambda(i)(x - x_0))$ conv. in L^2_1 -norm on B_1^n to a harmonic map $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{O}, B}, \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r} = 0$ a.e. on B_1
 $u_{x_0, \lambda(i)} \rightrightarrows u_0$ on comp. subsets of $\bar{B}_1 \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof From L2.5. $\exists \lambda(i) \rightarrow 0$ s.t. $u_{x_0, \lambda(i)} \xrightarrow{w} u_0, \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r} = 0$ a.e.

Since $u_{x_0, \lambda(i)} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\lambda(i)\Omega, B} \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{u}_i \in \mathcal{H}_{\lambda(i)\Omega, B}$

$$\|\tilde{u}_i - u_{x_0, \lambda(i)}\|_{1,2} \leq i^{-1} \Rightarrow \tilde{u}_i \xrightarrow{w} u_0$$

By prop. 4.6 $\tilde{u}_i \rightarrow u_0 \Rightarrow u_{x_0, \lambda(i)} \rightarrow u_0$ in $L^2_1(B_1^n)$ ■

Lemma 5.1 $l \geq 3, u \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^l, N), \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^l} = 0$ a.e.

$\Rightarrow \exists u_0 \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^{l-1}, N)$ s.t. $u(x', x^l) = u_0(x')$ a.e. $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$

If u is E-min. on each comp. subset of \mathbb{R}^l , then u_0 is E-minimizing on each comp. subset of \mathbb{R}^{l-1} .

Proof $v: B_\sigma^{l-1} \rightarrow N_0, v = u_0$ on ∂B_σ^{l-1}

$$E_\sigma(v) \leq E_\sigma(u_0) - \eta, \quad \eta > 0.$$

$\lambda \gg 0, \bar{v}: B_\sigma^{l-1} \times [-\lambda - 2\sigma, \lambda + 2\sigma] \rightarrow N_0$

$$\bar{v}(x', x^l) = v(x'), \quad |x^l| \leq \lambda$$

Constructed by L.41 on

$$B_\sigma^{l-1} \times [-\lambda - 2\sigma, -\lambda] \quad B_\sigma^{l-1} \times [\lambda, \lambda + 2\sigma]$$

$$\bar{v} = u \quad \text{on } \partial(B_\sigma^{l-1} \times [-\lambda - 2\sigma, \lambda + 2\sigma])$$

$$E(\bar{v}) \leq 2\lambda E_\sigma(v) + C \quad c(\sigma, \lambda, \nu).$$

By minimizing prop of u in $R^l \sim \mathcal{S}$

$$(2\lambda + 4\sigma) E_\sigma(u) \leq 2\lambda E_\sigma(v) + C$$

Choosing λ large it contradicts to $E_\sigma(v) \leq E_\sigma(u) - \eta$ \square

Lemma 5.2 let $u_0 \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^l, N_0)$ $l \geq 3$ be a harmonic map with an isolated singularity at 0 s.t. $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r} = 0$ a.e.

$$u \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, N_0), \quad n \geq l \quad u(x', x'') = u_0(x'), \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^l, \quad x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l}$$

$$\exists u_i \in \mathcal{H}_{N_i, B} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad u_i \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(B_i, N_0) \quad . N_i \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow u, u_0$ are E -min. on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^l$

u_0 is a MTM. We need to show that u min. on $B'_1 \times B_1^{n-l}$

Proof Suppose $v_0 \in L^2(B'_1, N_0)$ s.t. $v_0 = u_0$ on $\partial B'_1$

define a map agree with u near the origin $\delta > 0$. $v_\delta \in L^2(B'_1, N)$

$$v_\delta = \begin{cases} v(x) & ; |x| \geq \delta \\ v(\delta \frac{x}{|x|}) & , |x| \leq \delta \end{cases}$$

$$E_\sigma(v_\delta) \leq \delta \int_{\partial B'_1} |dv_0|^2 dx \quad (5.1)$$

$$\varepsilon \in (0, 2^{-l}\delta^2), \quad v_{\delta, \varepsilon}: B'_1 \rightarrow N$$

$$v_{\delta, \varepsilon}(r, \xi) = \begin{cases} v_\delta(r, \xi) & , r \geq 2\varepsilon \\ u_0(r, \xi) & , r \leq \varepsilon \\ v_\delta(\rho(r), \xi) & , \varepsilon < r < 2\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

$$v_0 = u_0 \quad \text{on } \partial B'_1$$

$$v_{\delta, \varepsilon} = u_0 \quad \text{on } \partial B'_1 \quad v_{\delta, \varepsilon} = u_0 \quad \text{in } B'_\varepsilon$$

$$\int_{B'_\varepsilon \times B_1^{n-1}} |dv_{\delta, \varepsilon}|^2 dx \leq \int_{B'_1 \times B_1^{n-1}} |dv|^2 dx$$

From above construction $v_{\delta, \varepsilon} = u$ on $\partial(B'_1 \times B_1^{n-1})$
 $v_{\delta, \varepsilon} = u$ on $B'_\varepsilon \times B_1^{n-1}$ a neigh. of $\mathcal{S} = \{0\} \times B_1^{n-1}$

by Prop 4.6 $u_i \rightrightarrows u$ away from S
 $\Rightarrow u$ min. on each comp set of \mathbb{R}^n

$$\int_{B_i^c \times B_i^{n-c}} |du|^2 \leq \int_{B_i^c \times B_i^{n-c}} |d\psi_{\delta, \varepsilon}|^2$$

$$\int_{B_i^c \times B_i^{n-c}} |du|^2 \leq \int_{B_i^c \times B_i^{n-c}} |d\psi|^2$$

$\Rightarrow u$ is minimizing. by L5.1 $\Rightarrow u_0$ is minimizing. \blacksquare

Hausdorff measure for $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, s \geq 0$.

$$\varphi^s(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum r_i^s : E \subseteq \bigcup_i B_{r_i}(x_i) \right\} \quad (5.8)$$

$$\varphi^s(E) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}^s(E) = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

$$\liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-s} \varphi^s(E \cap B_\delta(x)) \geq c_\delta > 0. \quad x \in E$$

for φ^s a.e.

Lemma 5.3 $\{u_i\} \in \mathcal{H}_N \quad u_i \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $L^2(B_{1/2}^n, N)$

S_i, S denote the singular sets of u_i, u resp., then

$$\varphi^s(S \cap B_{1/2}^n) \geq \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varphi^s(S_i \cap B_{\delta/2}^n), \text{ for any } s \geq 0.$$

Proof $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{B_{r_i}(x_i)\}$ be a covering of $S \cap B_{1/2}^n$

$$\sum r_i^s \leq \varphi^s(S \cap B_{1/2}^n) + \varepsilon$$

$K = \bar{B}_{1/2}^n \setminus \bigcup_i B_{r_i}(x_i)$ compact subset of $\bar{B}_{1/2}^n \setminus S$.

by prop 4.6 for $j \gg 0 \quad u_j$ is smooth on K

$$\Rightarrow S \cap B_{1/2}^n \subseteq \bigcup_i B_{r_i}(x_i)$$

$$\varphi^s(S_j \cap B_{1/2}^n) \leq \varphi^s(S \cap B_{1/2}^n) + \varepsilon$$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0, j$ large \blacksquare

Theorem II Let $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$ be \tilde{E} -min. $u(x) \in N_0$ a.e. $N_0 \subseteq N$

$\Rightarrow \dim(S \cap \text{int} M) \leq n-3$, $n = \dim M$, $\dim A$ -Haus. dim.

If $n=3 \Rightarrow S$ is a discrete set of points.

Theorem IV Suppose $\exists l \geq 3$ s.t. every MTM from $\mathbb{R}^j \rightarrow N$ is trivial, $3 \leq j \leq l$.

\Rightarrow if $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$ is \tilde{E} -min. with $u(x) \in N_0$ a.e.

then $\dim(S \cap \text{int} M) \leq n-l-1$

If $n=l+1$, then S is a discrete set of points

if $n < l+1$, $S = \emptyset$.

Proof $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$ \tilde{E} -min., $S \subset \text{int} M$.

$0 \leq S < n-2$ s.t. $\varphi^S(S) > 0$.

By (5.9) $p_0 \in S$ s.t.

$$\lim_{\lambda_i \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i^{-S} \varphi^S(S \cap B_{\lambda_i/2}^n) > 0 \quad (5.10)$$

for $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$, B_λ is in normal coordinates x

assume $u_\lambda(x) = u(\lambda x)$ scaled maps

By Prop 4.7 $\exists \lambda_i : u_{\lambda_i} \xrightarrow{w} u_0$ in $L^2_1(B_{1/2}^n, N)$

$u_{\lambda_i} \xrightarrow{w} u_0$ in $L^2_1(B_{1/2}^n, N)$, $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r} = 0$ a.e.

$$S_\lambda \cap B_{1/2}^n = \left\{ \frac{x}{\lambda} : x \in S \cap B_{\lambda/2}^n \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi^S(S_\lambda \cap B_{1/2}^n) = \lambda^{-S} \varphi^S(S \cap B_{\lambda/2}^n)$$

$$(5.10) \Rightarrow \lim_{\lambda_i \rightarrow 0} \varphi^S(S_{\lambda_i} \cap B_{1/2}^n) > 0$$

By L5.3. $\varphi^S(S_0 \cap B_{1/2}^n) > 0$.

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \lambda S_0 \subseteq S_0 \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0.$$

Choose a point $x_1 \in S_0 \cap \partial B_1^n$ by (E.9)

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \lambda^{-s} \varphi^s(S_0 \cap B_\lambda^n(x_1)) > 0$$

we get

$$u_1 \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, N_0) \quad ; \quad \varphi^s(S_0 \cap B_1^n) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x^1} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \quad s-1 \leq 0 \text{ we stop}$$

$$\exists x_2 \in S_1 \cap \partial B_1^{n-1} \quad , \quad \mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \{(0, x^2, \dots, x^n)\}$$

If we repeat the procedure m -times

$$u_j \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, N_0) \quad j=1 \dots m \quad u_j|_{B_1^n} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda, B}$$

Prop 4.7 $\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0 \text{ a.e. } \alpha=1, \dots, j.$

$$\varphi^s(S_j \cap B_1^n) > 0 \quad \text{until } s-m \leq 0.$$

In order to construct $u_m \quad s-m+1 > 0.$

$$s < n-2 \Rightarrow m \leq n-2.$$

$$\text{If } m=n-2 \Rightarrow S_m \supseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-2} = \{(x^1, \dots, x^{n-2}, 0, 0)\} \quad \swarrow$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(S_m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow m \leq n-3, \quad \varphi^t(S_m \cap B_1^n) = 0 \quad t > n-3.$$

$$\varphi^s(S_m \cap B_1^n) > 0 \Rightarrow s \leq n-3$$

$$\dim S \leq n-3. \quad \square$$

If $m=n-3 \Rightarrow u_m \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, N_0)$ s.t. $u_m|_{B_1^n} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{\Lambda, B}$

$$u_m(x^1, x^n) = \tilde{u}_m(x^n) \quad x^1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-3}, x^n \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

\tilde{u}_m has an isolated sing. at $x^n=0.$

by L5.2. $\tilde{u}_m \in L^2_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3, N_0)$ is MTM hence trivial by assumption.

$$\Rightarrow m \leq n-4.$$

repeat for $m=n-4, \dots, n-l$

$$\Rightarrow m \leq n-l-1 \Rightarrow s \leq n-l-1$$

for any $s < \dim S \Rightarrow \dim S \leq n-l-1 \quad \square$

Suppose $n = l+1$, $S \neq \emptyset$
 $p_0 \in S$, $u_0 \in L^2_{1,loc}(R^n, N_0)$

From above argument u_0 has a $S_0 = \{0\}$

If $\exists p_i \in S$ $p_i \rightarrow p_0$ $\lambda(i) = 4 \text{dist}(p_i, p_0)$

$$u_{\lambda(i)} \in L^2_1(B_{1/\lambda(i)}, N_0)$$

$\Rightarrow S_{\lambda(i)} \cap B_{1/4} \neq \emptyset$ for each i .

u_0 has an isolated sing at 0.



□

$\Rightarrow S$ is discrete.

Corollary If the sectional curvature of N is nonpositive
 if $u(M)$ is contained in a strictly convex ball of N

$\Rightarrow S = \emptyset$, any \tilde{E} -min. map $u \in L^2_1(M, N)$ is smooth.

Proof

We need to show that

$TM: R^j \rightarrow N$, $j \geq 3$ is trivial \sim

$u: S^{j-1} \rightarrow N$ is trivial

we can lift u to

$\tilde{u}: S^{j-1} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ \tilde{N} -universal cover of N

ρ^2 distance function to a point is strictly convex

$\rho^2 \circ u$ is a subharmonic func. on S^{j-1}

\Rightarrow constant

Thus u is constant.

□