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#### Abstract

We prove that any weak limit of weak solutions $u_{k}$ of the degenerate nonlinear elliptic system, the so-called (perturbed) $H$-system


$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k}\right)=H\left(u_{k}\right) \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}}+\Phi_{k},
$$

where $\Phi_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left(W^{1, n}\right)^{*}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, solves the limiting $H$-system

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u\right)=H(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} .
$$

(Hence, in particular, the space of weak solutions of the latter system is closed with respect to weak convergence in $W^{1, n}$.)

Sequences of that type arise naturally as Palais-Smale sequences for the $n$ Dirichlet integral plus a volume term. Maps that are critical points of this functional and satisfy an additional conformality condition parametrize hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature $H$. This was part of our main motivation.

## 1. Introduction

In this note, we consider weak solutions $u=\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{n+1}\right) \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, where $\mathbb{B}^{n}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|<1\right\}$ denotes the unit $n$-dimensional ball, of the socalled $H$-system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u\right)=H(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $H: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{1}$-function that satisfies the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(|H(y)|+|\nabla H(y)|) \leq C \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}$ denotes the cross product of vectors $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. A map $u \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi d x=-\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} H(u) \psi \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} d x \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all test maps $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$.
Systems of the general form (1.1) appear in many places in differential geometry and in the calculus of variations. For $n=2$, conformal solutions of (1.1) parametrize, away from branch points, surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. In this case, existence of so-called small solutions under sharp geometric conditions has been established by Hildebrandt [16].

For all $n \geq 2$ and for $H \equiv$ const., weak solutions of (1.1) correspond to critical points of the functional

$$
I[u]=\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{n} d x
$$

in the class of admissible functions

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left\{u \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right):\left.u\right|_{\partial \mathbb{B}^{n}}=\eta, V(u)=c\right\}
$$

where $\eta: \partial \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a fixed map and

$$
V(u):=\frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} u \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} d x
$$

denotes the volume of the cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ generated by the image $u\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right)$. For variable $H$, conformal solutions of (1.1) represent hypersurfaces of prescribed mean curvature, equal to $n^{-n / 2} H(u(x))$ at $u(x)$. (A map $u: \mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called conformal if $u_{x_{i}} \cdot u_{x_{j}}=\lambda(x) \delta_{i j}$ a.e., for some real-valued function $\lambda$ and all $i, j$.) A theory of existence and regularity of minimizing solutions to (1.1) has been set forth by Duzaar and Grotowski in [8].

For $n=2$, it is well known that (1.1), that is, $\Delta u=H(u) u_{x} \wedge u_{y}$ in this case, also has unstable solutions for both constant and nonconstant $H$. This is true for both Dirichlet and Plateau boundary problems. The existence of such solutions, which correspond to geometrically different surfaces spanning a given contour in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, has been established by various authors (see, e.g., Brezis and Coron [4], Steffen [25], Struwe [27], [28], [29], Bethuel and Rey [3] and the references therein).

For higher dimensions $n \geq 3$, much less is known. Mou and Yang [21] obtain existence of unstable solutions for sufficiently small constant $H$, with an estimate far from optimal. Nothing is known for nonconstant $H$.

Existence of unstable solutions is usually proved via applications of the mountain pass lemma. To proceed that way, one must be able to analyze the behaviour of weakly
convergent Palais-Smale sequences for a corresponding variational functional. This is a delicate task (see Bethuel's paper [2] for example!) since the equation is highly nonlinear, and the right-hand side is not continuous with respect to weak convergence. A well-known phenomenon of bubbling, which appears in various related problems, especially in the investigation of harmonic and $p$-harmonic maps, leads to defects of strong convergence: typically, some part of ( $n$ - $)$ Dirichlet energy is lost in the limit passage, and the sequence does not have to converge strongly. Thus, one is forced to use subtle tools coming from compensated compactness theory and harmonic analysis (or to work with perturbed functionals, as Sacks and Uhlenbeck do in their pioneering paper [23]).

Our main result is the following theorem. We hope that it may be applied in a proof of existence of unstable solutions of (1.1) for nonconstant $H$.

## THEOREM 1.1

Assume that $u_{k} \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ are weak solutions of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k}\right)=H\left(u_{k}\right) \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}}+\Phi_{k}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left(W^{1, n}\right)^{*}$, and $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$. Then $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1).

This result has an immediate corollary: the limit of any weakly convergent sequence of weak solutions of (1.1) is again a weak solution of (1.1).

## THEOREM 1.2

Assume that $u_{k} \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ are weak solutions of $(1.1), k=1,2, \ldots$, and $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$. Then $u$ is a weak solution of (1.1).

To prove the first theorem, we employ the following strategy, inspired by the results of Freire, Müller, and Struwe [12] on weak compactness of wave maps and harmonic maps in dimensions 3 and 2 , respectively. First, we generalize slightly a result of Hardt, Lin, and Mou [14] (see also Courilleau [6]) on compactness of $p$-harmonic maps so that it may be applied to (1.4). This yields convergence of the gradients a.e. and allows one to pass to the limit on the left-hand side of (1.4). The next step forms the core of the whole proof; we use the results of Coifman, Lions, Meyers, and Semmes [5], the duality of Hardy space $\mathscr{H}^{1}$ and bounded mean oscillation (BMO), and a theorem of Jones and Journé [18] on weak-* convergence in $\mathscr{H}^{1}$ to prove a lemma modeled on the famous paper of Lions [20] (see his Lemma 4.3, designed to analyze large solutions of the equation of surfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ). A direct, simple application of the Jones-Journé theorem is not possible since
the right-hand side of (1.1) is not in the Hardy space, and the $u_{k}$ need not converge in $W^{1, n}$.

This lemma (see Lemma 3.1) allows us to pass to the limit on the right-hand side-and to obtain the desired expression, plus an additional error term that is an at most countable linear combination of Dirac measures. Finally, it is very simple to see that all the coefficients of that combination are in fact zero. This is because $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is not embedded in $L^{\infty}$, and thus a single point has zero $\mathrm{Cap}_{n}$ (or $B_{1, n}$ ) capacity.

One might also think about a different proof: the energy of all $u_{k}$ can concentrate only on a finite "bad set" $\Sigma=\mathbb{B}^{n} \backslash G$, where the "good set" $G$ consists of those $a$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{k=\infty} \int_{B(a, r)}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x<\eta_{0} \quad \text { for some } r>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some sufficiently small but otherwise fixed $\eta_{0}>0$. It is easy to see that $G$ is open and $\Sigma$ is finite, and one may hope to have good uniform regularity estimates on $G$. Such estimates would allow one to pass to the limit on $G$, and then one would be left with finitely many singularities in $\Sigma$. Alas, for $n \geq 3$ one needs stronger assumptions than just (1.2) to obtain local regularity of weak solutions. (In the general case, regularity remains open. Duzaar and Fuchs [7] obtain regularity of bounded weak solutions; Mou and Yang [21] obtain regularity of conformal solutions; finally, Wang [32], using Hardy space methods originating in Hélein's work [15], proves that all weak solutions are of class $C^{1, \alpha}$ if $|\nabla H|$ decays at infinity like $|y|^{-1}$. Using this additional assumption and Wang's theorem, one may in fact give another proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the details are rather tedious and the result is less general than Theorem 1.1, we do not pursue that point further.)

## 2. Analytic tools

## Convergence a.e. of the gradients

Let us begin with a result that allows us to control the gradients of a sequence of weak solutions in spaces that are slightly larger than $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$.

In the case of mappings solving linear equations $\Delta u_{k}=f_{k}$, with $\left(f_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ bounded in $L^{1}$, this is the so-called Murat's lemma. The generalization to sequences of maps with $p$-Laplacians bounded in $L^{1}$ is due to Hardt, Lin, and Mou [14] and independently to Courilleau [6].

THEOREM 2.1
Assume the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ to be bounded, assume

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k}\right)=f_{k} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)
$$

and assume also

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}<+\infty
$$

Then one can select a subsequence $\left(u_{j_{k}}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u_{j_{k}} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and strongly in $W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ for every $q \in[1, n)$.

The above theorem can be easily improved.

## THEOREM 2.2

Assume the sequence $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ to be bounded, assume

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k}\right)=f_{k}+\Phi_{k}
$$

where $f_{k} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and $\Phi_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $\left(W^{1, n}\right)^{*}$, and assume also

$$
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}}<+\infty
$$

Then one can select a subsequence $\left(u_{j_{k}}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $u_{j_{k}} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and strongly in $W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ for every $q \in[1, n)$.

The proof is exactly the same as in [14, Theorem 1]. We test the equation with the same function $\psi=\xi \eta \circ\left(u_{k}-u\right)$, where

$$
\xi(x)=\min \left\{\frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, \partial \mathbb{B}^{n}\right)}{\delta}, 1\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{B}^{n} ; \quad \eta(y)=\min \left\{\frac{\delta}{|y|}, 1\right\} y, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .
$$

The crucial thing (cf. [14, inequality (3)]) is to estimate the integral

$$
\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \xi\right| \nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k} \cdot \nabla\left(\eta \circ\left(u_{k}-u\right)\right) d x \mid ;
$$

here, in addition to all the terms listed and estimated in [14], we have an extra term $\left\langle\Phi_{k}, \xi \eta \circ\left(u_{k}-u\right)\right\rangle$. As the $\Phi_{k}$ tend to zero in $\left(W^{1, n}\right)^{*}$, and the $\xi \eta \circ\left(u_{k}-u\right)$ are bounded in $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, this term tends to zero as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.

## Hardy spaces

Recall that a measurable function $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to the Hardy space $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if

$$
f_{*}:=\sup _{\varepsilon>0}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon} * f\right| \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) .
$$

Here, $\varphi_{\varepsilon}(x):=\varepsilon^{-n} \varphi(x / \varepsilon)$ for a fixed nonnegative function $\varphi$ of class $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right)$ with $\int \varphi(y) d y=1$. The definition does not depend on the choice of $\varphi$ (see [11]).

Equivalently, one can define $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as the space of those elements of $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for which all the Riesz transforms $R_{j} f, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, are also of class $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The reader is referred to [24] and [26, Chapters 3 and 4] for more details. We just mention here that $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}^{1}}=\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\left\|f_{*}\right\|_{L^{1}} .
$$

Moreover, the condition $f \in \mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ implies $\int f(y) d y=0$. This is the primary reason for diverse cancellation phenomena.
C. Fefferman [10], [11] proved that the dual of $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is equal to the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation, $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. More precisely, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} h(y) \psi(y) d y\right| \leq C\|h\|_{\mathscr{H}^{1}}\|\psi\|_{\text {ВМО }} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in \mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We do not need the full strength of his result. A particular case that is stated below as Lemma 2.4 is sufficient.

In their celebrated paper [5], Coifman, Lions, Meyer, and Semmes proved, among lots of other results, that the Jacobian determinant of a map $v \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is not just integrable (this follows trivially from Hölder inequality) but belongs to the Hardy space. For the sake of further reference, we record here their result.

PROPOSITION 2.3
If $v=\left(v^{1}, \ldots, v^{n}\right) \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $\operatorname{det} D v \in \mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\operatorname{det} D v\|_{\mathscr{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\nabla v^{j}\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C$ depends only on the dimension $n$.
Estimate (2.2) is not explicitly stated in [5] but follows from the proof presented there. One has to combine the pointwise estimate of $(\operatorname{det} D v)_{*}=\sup _{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon} * \operatorname{det} D v\right)$ given in [5, Section 2] with the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem.

In Section 3, we find it convenient to use the language of differential forms. Thus,

$$
d v^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d v^{n}=\operatorname{det} D v d x_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x_{n}
$$

whenever the map $v$ is of class $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and we interpret $\left\|d v^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d v^{n}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{1}}$ as $\|\operatorname{det} D v\|_{\mathscr{H}^{1}}$. (The wedge symbol $\wedge$ is used to denote two operations: the exterior product of differential forms and the cross product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; the context should always be clear.) Combining Proposition 2.3 with the imbedding $W^{1, n} \subset$ BMO (which follows easily from Poincaré inequality), one obtains the following.

LEMMA 2.4
Let $B$ be a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Assume that the functions $w, v^{1}, v^{2}, \ldots, v^{n}$ belong to $W_{0}^{1, n}(B)$, and assume that $w \in L^{\infty}(B)$. There exists a constant $C$ that depends only on $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} w d v^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d v^{n}\right| \leq C\|\nabla w\|_{L^{n}(B)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\nabla v^{j}\right\|_{L^{n}(B)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A detailed explanation can be found, for example, in [30, Section 2]. Direct proofs that bypass the theory of Hardy spaces are also available; see, for example, [13, proof of Lemma 3.2] or [31, Theorem 2] (both results are more general than the above lemma).

We also use the following result on weak-* convergence in the Hardy space.

THEOREM 2.5 (Jones, Journé)
Let $\left(g_{k}\right) \subset \mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a bounded sequence such that $g_{k} \rightarrow g$ a.e., and let $g \in$ $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then $g \in \mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $g_{k} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} g$ in $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k=\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g_{k} \phi d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g \phi d x \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\phi \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Recall that VMO is the space of functions having vanishing mean oscillation, that is, the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ in the BMO norm. A particular case of Theorem 2.5, ascertaining the continuity of Jacobian determinants in the sense of distributions, is well known and dates back at least to Reshetnyak [22].

LEMMA 2.6
Assume that $\left(u_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, and assume that $u_{k} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$. Then $\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}$ in the sense of distributions; that is,

$$
\lim _{k=\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \psi \cdot \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} d x=\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} \psi \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}} d x
$$

for all smooth, compactly supported test maps $\psi$.

See also Ball [1] and Iwaniec [17] for related results.

## 3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we present a proof of Theorem 1.1. It employs the concentrationcompactness method of P.-L. Lions [19], [20]. A similar idea was used by Freire,

Müller, and Struwe [12] in their simplified proof of Bethuel's results [2] on PalaisSmale sequences for the $H$-surface functional and the harmonic map functional.

Let $u_{k} \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, be a weakly convergent sequence of weak solutions of (1.4). Upon passing to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{k} & \rightarrow u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right) \text { and a.e., } \\
\nabla u_{k} & \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times(n+1)}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $u \in W^{1, n}$; by Theorem 2.2, we may also assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{k} \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text { strongly in } L^{q}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times(n+1)}\right) \text { for all } q<n \text { and a.e. } \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.1) and (3.2),

$$
\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k} \rightarrow|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u \quad \text { weakly in } L^{1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times(n+1)}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k=\infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n-2} \nabla u_{k} \cdot \nabla \psi d x=\int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, and we are left with the task of investigating the convergence of right-hand sides of (1.4).

First, extend each $u_{k}$ to the ball $B(0,2)$ so that the trace $\left.u_{k}\right|_{\partial B(0,2)}=0$ for $k=$ $1,2, \ldots$, and set $u_{k} \equiv 0$ off $B(0,2)$. With no loss of generality, one may assume that (3.1) and (3.2) are still valid. Moreover, since the sequence $u_{k}$ is bounded in $W^{1, n}$, one may invoke estimate (2.2) from Proposition 2.3 to obtain, for each $i=1, \ldots, n+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\|d u_{k}^{1} \underbrace{\wedge \cdots \wedge}_{d u_{k}^{i} \text { omitted }} d u_{k}^{n+1}\|_{\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq M<+\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To each $u_{k}$ we associate a vector-valued distribution $T_{u_{k}} \equiv T_{k} \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$, given by

$$
\left\langle T_{k}, \psi\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \psi \cdot \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{n}} d x, \quad \psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)
$$

Since the coordinates of the cross products are given by the determinants of appropriate minors, we write $T_{k}=\left(T_{k}^{1}, \ldots, T_{k}^{n+1}\right)$, where each $T_{k}^{i} \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{k}^{i}, \varphi\right\rangle=(-1)^{i-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi d u_{k}^{1} \underbrace{\wedge \cdots \wedge}_{d u_{k}^{i} \text { omitted }} d u_{k}^{n+1} \quad \text { for } \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This notation is in accordance with a rule we follow: the upper index denotes the coordinate of a vector object, while the lower one is a sequence index.

It turns out that the following generalization of [20, Lemma 4.3] holds.

## LEMMA 3.1

Under all the above assumptions, there exists a subsequence $k^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n+1$,

$$
T_{k^{\prime}}^{i} \rightarrow T^{i}+\sum_{j \in J} a_{j i} \delta_{x_{j i}} \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

where
(1) $\quad T \equiv T_{u}$ is associated to $u$ by (3.5), with all indices $k$ omitted;
(2) $J$ is at most countable, $a_{j i} \in \mathbb{R}, x_{j i} \in B(0,2)$, and $\sum_{j \in J}\left|a_{j i}\right|<+\infty$.

Proof
We proceed as in [20], adding the duality of Hardy space and BMO as a necessary ingredient. We consider only $i=n+1$; for other $i$ 's, the reasoning is similar.

Step 1. Assume that $u \equiv 0$. Fix $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We begin with an estimate of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}, \varphi^{n+1}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi^{n+1} d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}\right| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A word of caution: here and in the sequel various upper indices added to $\varphi$ always denote powers.) Using the telescoping sum

$$
\varphi^{n} d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}-d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\omega_{k}^{j}-\omega_{k}^{j+1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\omega_{k}^{j}=\varphi^{n-j} d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{j}\right) \wedge d u_{k}^{j+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi^{n+1} d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{n}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi\left(\omega_{k}^{j}-\omega_{k}^{j+1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi^{n-j} u_{k}^{j+1} d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{j}\right) \\
& \wedge d \varphi \wedge d u_{k}^{j+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n} \mid \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We estimate each term in the last sum using Hölder inequality with $n$ exponents equal to $n$, noting first that the factors $H\left(u_{k}\right), \varphi^{n-j}$, and $d \varphi$ are in $L^{\infty}$. Since $u_{k}$ is supported in $B(0,2)$, an application of Minkowski and Poincaré inequalities yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla\left(\varphi u_{k}^{l}\right)\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n} \leq & \left(\int_{B(0,2)}|\nabla \varphi|^{n}\left|u_{k}\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n} \\
& +\left(\int_{B(0,2)}|\varphi|^{n}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n} \\
\leq & C(n)\|\varphi\|_{C^{1}}\left(\int_{B(0,2)}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each index $l=1, \ldots, n$. Using this observation, one easily checks that the right-hand side of (3.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C(n, \varphi)\|H\|_{L^{\infty}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|u_{k}\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1 / n}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1-1 / n} \\
& =\mathrm{o}(1) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text { by }(3.1) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}, \varphi^{n+1}\right\rangle\right| \leq & \mathrm{o}(1)+\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H\left(u_{k}\right) \varphi d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{n}\right)\right| \\
\leq & \mathrm{o}(1)+|H(0)|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{n}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(0)\right) \varphi d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(\varphi u_{k}^{n}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Reshetnyak's lemma, the first integral tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The second one, by the version of Fefferman's duality theorem stated in Lemma 2.4, does not exceed

$$
C A_{k}\left(B_{k}\right)^{n}
$$

where

$$
A_{k}=\left\|\nabla\left(\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(0)\right) \varphi\right)\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad B_{k}=\left\|\nabla\left(\varphi u_{k}\right)\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

Since $H$ is Lipschitz, the Minkowski inequality gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k} & \leq\left\|\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(0)\right) \nabla \varphi\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+C\left\|\varphi \nabla u_{k}\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq \mathrm{o}(1)+C\left\|\varphi \nabla u_{k}\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar estimate holds for $B_{k}$. Thus, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}, \varphi^{n+1}\right\rangle\right| \leq \mathrm{o}(1)+C(n, H)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\varphi|^{n}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x\right)^{1+1 / n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note now that all $T_{k}^{n+1}$ and $\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x$ are in fact uniformly bounded (signed) Radon measures supported in $B(0,2) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Hence, passing to a subsequence, we may assume that there exist $d v, d \mu \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which are weak limits of, respectively, $T_{k}^{n+1}$ and $\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{n} d x$. Thus, upon letting $k \rightarrow+\infty$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi^{n+1} d v\right| \leq C(n, H)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\varphi|^{n} d \mu\right)^{1+1 / n} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying P.-L. Lions [19, Lemma 1.2], we conclude that $d v=\sum_{j \in J} a_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}$, with $J$ being at most countable, and $\sum_{j \in J}\left|a_{j}\right|<+\infty$.

Step 2. Assume now that $u \not \equiv 0$. To estimate the difference between $\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}, \varphi\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle T^{n+1}, \varphi\right\rangle$, we apply the Jones-Journé theorem. This is possible in our setting since

$$
d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n} \rightarrow d u^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u^{n} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

in light of (3.2), the sequence of the wedge products $d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}$ is bounded in $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ due to (3.4), and finally $d u^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u^{n} \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by Hölder inequality. Thus, Theorem 2.5 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k=\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H(u) \varphi d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H(u) \varphi d u^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u^{n} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\varphi H(u) \in \operatorname{VMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}, H \in \operatorname{Lip}$, and $u \in W^{1, n}$. (One checks this by a simple application of Poincaré inequality.)

Set

$$
\left\langle S_{k}, \varphi\right\rangle:=(-1)^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} H(u) \varphi d u_{k}^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d u_{k}^{n}
$$

According to (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}-T^{n+1}, \varphi\right\rangle=\mathrm{o}(1)+\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}-S_{k}, \varphi\right\rangle \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}-B_{1} B_{2} \cdots B_{n}= & \left(A_{1}-B_{1}\right) A_{2} A_{3} \cdots A_{n}+B_{1}\left(A_{2}-B_{2}\right) A_{3} \cdots A_{n} \\
& +\cdots+B_{1} \cdots B_{n-1}\left(A_{n}-B_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

to write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}-S_{k}, \varphi\right\rangle= & (-1)^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right) \varphi d\left(u_{k}^{1}-u^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(u_{k}^{n}-u^{n}\right) \\
& +\sum^{\prime} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\sum^{\prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right) \varphi \Omega_{j}
$$

with

$$
\Omega_{j}:=\bigwedge_{s=1}^{j} d\left(u_{k}^{s}-u^{s}\right) \wedge d u^{j+1} \wedge \bigwedge_{t=j+2}^{n} d u_{k}^{t}
$$

Each of the terms of the sum $\sum^{\prime}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u^{j+1} d\left(\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right) \varphi\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{s=1}^{j} d\left(u_{k}^{s}-u^{s}\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{t=j+2}^{n} d u_{k}^{t} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our aim now is to apply the Jones-Journé theorem to each of the terms in (3.14) to conclude their convergence to zero. The fixed factor $u^{j+1}$ is of class VMO; this follows from Poincaré inequality. Since $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ and $H \in C^{1}$ is Lipschitz, $d\left(\varphi H\left(u_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow d(\varphi H(u))$ a.e. by (3.2). Thus, again by (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k} & :=d\left(\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right) \varphi\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{s=1}^{j} d\left(u_{k}^{s}-u^{s}\right) \wedge \bigwedge_{t=j+2}^{n} d u_{k}^{t} \\
& \rightarrow g \equiv 0 \quad \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, a uniform bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C_{0}<+\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows, via a routine computation, from estimate (2.2) in Proposition 2.3 combined with (3.1). Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \| & \nabla\left(\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right) \varphi\right) \|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq\|\varphi\|_{C^{1}} \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left\|\nabla\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right)\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right\|_{L^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\|H\|_{L^{\infty}}+\operatorname{Lip} H\right) \sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|u\|_{W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right) \\
& <+\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the bounds for $\sup _{k}\left\|\nabla u_{k}-\nabla u\right\|_{L^{n}}$ and $\sup _{k}\left\|\nabla u_{k}\right\|_{L^{n}}$ follow trivially from (3.1). (Note carefully that we are using only weak convergence of the gradients in $L^{n}$ here.) This yields inequality (3.15).

Hence, an application of the Jones-Journé theorem to the integrals (3.14) is justified; each of these integrals goes to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\Sigma^{\prime}$ in (3.13) goes to zero and we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{k}^{n+1}-S_{k}, \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle V_{k}, \varphi\right\rangle+\mathrm{o}(1) \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\langle V_{k}, \varphi\right\rangle:=(-1)^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(H\left(u_{k}\right)-H(u)\right) \varphi d\left(u_{k}^{1}-u^{1}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge d\left(u_{k}^{n}-u^{n}\right)
$$

Reasoning precisely as in the first step of the proof of the lemma, we obtain

$$
\left|\left\langle V_{k}, \psi^{n+1}\right\rangle\right| \leq C(n, H)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\psi|^{n}\left|\nabla\left(u_{k}-u\right)\right|^{n}\right)^{1+1 / n}+\mathrm{o}(1), \quad \psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Hence, again by [19, Lemma 1.2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{k} \rightarrow d \nu=\sum_{j \in J} a_{j} \delta_{x_{j}} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $J$ at most countable, $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{j \in J}\left|a_{j}\right|<+\infty$, and $x_{j} \in B(0,2)$. Combining (3.17) with (3.16) and (3.12), we complete the proof of the whole lemma.

As an immediate application, we obtain the following.

## COROLLARY 3.2

If $u_{k} \in W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ are weak solutions of the $H$-system (1.4), and $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $W^{1, n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{n-2} \nabla u\right)=H(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{n}}+\sum_{j \in J} a_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $J$ at most countable and

$$
\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{B}^{n}, \quad a_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \quad \sum_{j \in J}\left|a_{j}\right|<+\infty
$$

To complete the whole proof, it remains now to remove the singularities at $x_{j}$. To this end, fix $j_{0} \in J$ and select a sequence of test maps $\varphi_{l} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ such that

$$
\varphi_{l} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\left\{x_{j_{0}}\right\}, \quad 0 \leq\left|\varphi_{l}\right| \leq C, \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}}\left|\nabla \varphi_{l}\right|^{n} \rightarrow 0,
$$

and

$$
\left\langle a_{j_{0}} \delta_{x_{j_{0}}}, \varphi_{l}\right\rangle=\left|a_{j_{0}}\right| .
$$

(Such a choice of $\left(\varphi_{l}\right)$ is possible since $W^{1, n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}\right)$ contains unbounded functions.) Testing (3.18) with $\varphi_{l}$, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{o}(1)=\mathrm{o}(1)+\left|a_{j_{0}}\right|+\sum_{j \neq j_{0}}\left\langle a_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \varphi_{l}\right\rangle,
$$

and thus $\left|a_{j_{0}}\right|=0$, since by the dominated convergence theorem the last sum goes to zero as $l \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete now.

Remark. It would be interesting to know whether for $n \geq 3$ a counterpart of Theorem 1.1 holds for $n$-harmonic maps from $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ into arbitrary compact Riemannian manifolds (as it does for $n=2$, and for target manifolds that are round spheres or, more generally, compact symmetric spaces).

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referees of this paper for their critical comments, which helped to improve the clarity of the presentation.

## References

[1] J. M. BALL, Convexity conditions and existence theorems in nonlinear elasticity, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 63 (1976/77), 337 - 403. MR 0475169
[2] F. BETHUEL, Weak limits of Palais-Smale sequences for a class of critical functionals, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), 267-310. MR 1261547
[3] F. BETHUEL and O. REY, Multiple solutions to the Plateau problem for nonconstant mean curvature, Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), 593-646. MR 1262929
[4] H. BREZIS and J.-M. CORON, Multiple solutions of $H$-systems and Rellich's conjecture, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), 149-187. MR 0733715
[5] R. COIFMAN, P.-L. LIONS, Y. MEYER, and S. SEMMES, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. 72 (1993), 247-286. MR 1225511
[6] P. COURILLEAU, A compactness result for p-harmonic maps, Differential Integral Equations 14 (2001), 75 - 84. MR 1797933
[7] F. DUZAAR and M. FUCHS, Einige Bemerkungen über die Regularität von stationären Punkten gewisser geometrischer Variationsintegrale, Math. Nachr. 152 (1991), 39-47. MR 1121222
[8] F. DUZAAR and J. F. GROTOWSKI, Existence and regularity for higher dimensional H-systems, Duke Math. J. 101 (2000), 459 - 485. MR 1740684
[9] F. DUZAAR and K. STEFFEN, "The Plateau problem for parametric surfaces with prescribed mean curvature" in Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations, International Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1996, 13-70. MR 1449402
[10] C. FEFFERMAN, Characterizations of bounded mean oscillation, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 585-587. MR 0280994
[11] C. FEFFERMAN and E. STEIN, $H^{p}$ spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 137 - 193. MR 0447953
[12] A. FREIRE, S. MÜLLER, and M. STRUWE, Weak compactness of wave maps and harmonic maps. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 15 (1998), 725-754. MR 1650996
[13] P. HAJŁASZ and P. STRZELECKI, Subelliptic p-harmonic maps into spheres and the ghost of Hardy spaces. Math. Ann. 312 (1998), 341-362. MR 1671796
[14] R. HARDT, F.-H. LIN, and L. MOU, "Strong convergence of $p$-harmonic mappings" in Progress in Partial Differential Equations: The Metz Surveys, 3, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 314, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, England, 1994, 58-64. MR 1316190
[15] F. HÉLEIN, Harmonic Maps, Conservation Laws and Moving Frames, 2nd ed., Cambridge Tracts in Math. 150, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR 1913803
[16] S. HILDEBRANDT, Randwertprobleme für Flächen mit vorgeschriebener mittlerer Krümmung und Anwendungen auf die Kapillaritätstheorie, Math. Z. 112 (1969), 205-213. MR 0250208
[17] T. IWANIEC, Nonlinear Differential Forms: Lectures in Jyväskylä, Report 80, Department of Mathematics, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland, 1998. MR 1678020
[18] P. W. JONES and J.-L. JOURNÉ, On weak convergence in $\mathscr{H}^{1}\left(R^{d}\right)$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 137 - 138. MR 1159172
[19] P.-L. LIONS, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: The limit case, I, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1, no. 1 (1985), 145-201. MR 0834360
[20] -, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations: The limit case, II, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana 1, no. 2 (1985), 45 - 121. MR 0850686
[21] L. MOU and P. YANG, Multiple solutions and regularity of $H$-systems, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 45 (1996), 1193 - 1222. MR 1444483
[22] Y. G. RESHETNYAK, Stability theorems for mappings with bounded distortion, Siberian J. Math. 9 (1968), 499 - 512. MR 0230901
[23] J. SACKS and K. UHLENBECK, The existence of minimal immersions of 2-spheres, Ann. of Math. (2) 113 (1981), 1-24. MR 0604040
[24] S. SEMMES, A primer on Hardy spaces, and some remarks on a theorem of Evans and Müller, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 19 (1994), 277 - 319. MR 1257006
[25] K. STEFFEN, On the nonuniqueness of surfaces with constant mean curvature spanning a given contour, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 94 (1986), 101-122. MR 0832287
[26] E. M. STEIN, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1993. MR 1232192
[27] M. STRUWE, Large $H$-surfaces via the mountain-pass-lemma, Math. Ann. 270 (1985), 441 - 459. MR 0774369
[28] -, Nonuniqueness in the Plateau problem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 93 (1986), 135-157. MR 0823116
[29] , "Multiple solutions to the Dirichlet problem for the equation of prescribed mean curvature" in Analysis, et cetera: Research Papers Published in Honor of Jürgen Moser's 60th Birthday, Academic Press, Boston, 1990, 639-666. MR 1039366
[30] P. STRZELECKI, Regularity of p-harmonic maps from the p-dimensional ball into a sphere, Manuscripta Math. 82 (1994), 407-415. MR 1265009
[31] - Hardy space estimates for higher-order differential operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), 1447 - 1461. MR 1871764
[32] C. WANG, Regularity of high-dimensional H-systems, Nonlinear Anal. 38 (1999), 675 -686. MR 1710151
[33] H. WENTE, An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 26 (1969), 318 - 344. MR 0243467

## Strzelecki

Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University, ul. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland; pawelst@mimuw.edu.pl

## Zatorska-Goldstein

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Warsaw University, ul. Banacha 2, 02-097
Warszawa, Poland; azator@mimuw.edu.pl

