

GAGLIARDO–NIRENBERG INEQUALITIES WITH A BMO TERM

P. STRZELECKI

ABSTRACT

We give a simple direct proof of the interpolation inequality

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{2p}}^2 \leq C\|f\|_{BMO}\|f\|_{W^{2,p}}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

For $p = 2$ this inequality was obtained by Meyer and Rivière via a different method, and applied to prove a regularity theorem for a class of Yang–Mills fields. We also extend the result to higher derivatives, sharpening all those cases of classical Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities where the norm of the function is taken in L^∞ and other norms are in L^q for appropriate $q > 1$.

1. Introduction

In various branches of analysis, in particular in the theory of partial differential equations, it happens often that one can estimate a function and its derivatives of a given (high) order. Such estimates might result e.g. from a PDE that satisfies a maximum principle or is supplemented with pointwise constraints for solutions. It is then important to be able to derive good estimates for the intermediate derivatives. To this end one usually applies various interpolation inequalities, in particular the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities

$$\|\nabla^j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}\|\nabla^\ell f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\theta, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\theta = j/\ell \in (0, 1)$ and $q^{-1} = \theta p^{-1} + (1 - \theta)r^{-1}$, $1 \leq p, r \leq \infty$. In this note we show that for $r = \infty$ and $p > 1$ (1.1) can be improved. Namely, the condition that f be bounded can be relaxed to $f \in BMO$, where BMO denotes the space of functions of *bounded mean oscillation*. (All necessary function spaces are defined at the end of the Introduction.) Now, BMO contains also unbounded functions, and moreover in any dimension n there exists a sequence of smooth compactly supported functions ψ_j such that $\|\psi_j\|_{BMO} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ whereas $\max |\psi_j| = 1$ for all j . Thus, these new inequalities are applicable to a wider class of functions and even for the old class of functions they yield sharper conclusions.

Our work is inspired by Meyer and Rivière’s paper [11]. These authors, cf. [11, Thm. 1.4], prove the inequality

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \leq C(\Omega)\|f\|_{BMO(\Omega)}\|f\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded smooth domain, and apply (1.2) to obtain a regularity theorem for a class of Yang–Mills connections. The advantages of (1.2) are clear; in numerous problems in nonlinear PDE, including e.g. harmonic maps and H -systems for $n = 2$, and other conformally invariant problems, one can obtain imbeddings into BMO without being able to use imbeddings into L^∞ . An extension of (1.2)

to other exponents $p > 1$, cf. inequality (1.4) below, has been recently applied by Pumberger [12] to topics in partial regularity for stationary harmonic and J -holomorphic maps.

Kalamajska and Milani [8, p. 240] give a similar inequality in one dimension. Namely,

$$\|u^{(j)}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|u\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R})}^{1-j/s} \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}^{j/s} \quad (1.3)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq [s]$ and $q = 2s/j$.

The proof of (1.2) given in [11] is rather advanced: the authors use Besov spaces and their relation to BMO , Littlewood–Paley decomposition, and Whitney–like smoothings of Sobolev functions, combining all these tools in a tricky way. The proof of (1.3) in [8], suggested by H. Triebel, is similar in spirit: one has to invoke a number of results characterizing Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $F_{p,q}^s$ and their duals (see e.g. Triebel [15] or Adams and Hedberg [1] for definitions of these spaces, we shall not pursue that matter further). For related interpolation inequalities, see e.g. [3], [4], [9], and [10].

Our main goal is to present a different, straightforward proof of (1.2). It relies on the duality of Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and BMO . (This is not so surprising: if some quantity should be estimated by a product of two norms, one of them in BMO , then it is natural to look for a duality argument and Hardy space appears immediately.) The rest is reduced to simple applications of Sobolev inequality, Hardy–Littlewood maximal theorem and standard approximation arguments.

We also combine this reasoning with the classical Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities (1.1) to establish a full family of multiplicative inequalities that are similar to (1.2) and correspond to all those cases of (1.1) where $r = \infty$ and $p > 1$.

Here is the precise statement of these results. For sake of simplicity we restrict the attention to functions defined on the whole space \mathbb{R}^n . It is clear that one may use standard extension methods to obtain local variants of both theorems.

THEOREM 1.1. *If $f \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p > 1$, then $\nabla f \in L^{2p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^{2p}}^2 \leq C \|f\|_{BMO} \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^p} \quad (1.4)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, p)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Assume that $f \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p > 1$ and $1 \leq m < k$, $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\nabla^m f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $q := \frac{k}{m}p$ and*

$$\|\nabla^m f\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p}^\theta, \quad \text{where } \theta = m/k, \quad (1.5)$$

for some constant $C = C(k, m, p)$.

The method we use to obtain Theorem 1.1 has a notable advantage when compared to the application of Littlewood–Paley decomposition. Namely, one can modify it to obtain other sharp nonlinear variants of Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities. For example, for each $p \geq 2$ there exists a constant $C = C(n, p)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^{p+2} dx \leq C(n, p) \|f\|_{BMO}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^{p-2} |\nabla^2 f|^2 dx$$

for all smooth compactly supported f . Note that $|\nabla f|^{p-2}$ plays the role of a weight. This inequality can be applied to obtain quantitative gradient bounds for solutions

of a wide class of nonlinear elliptic systems of the general form

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^{p-2}\nabla f) = G(x, f, \nabla f)$$

where the right hand side G has critical growth, i.e. $|G(x, f, \nabla f)| \leq \text{const } |\nabla f|^p$, see the forthcoming paper of T. Rivière and the author [13].

Notation. Barred integrals always denote averages, i.e. $\int_A f dx = |A|^{-1} \int_A f dx$; sometimes we also write $f_A = \int_A f dx$.

For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev space of all those functions in $L^p(\Omega)$ whose all distributional partial derivatives up to order k also belong to $L^p(\Omega)$. For $p = 2$ the space $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is often denoted by $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and can be equivalently defined using the Fourier transform. Namely,

$$u \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \Leftrightarrow u \in L^2 \text{ and } \|u\|_{H^k}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{k/2} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty.$$

This definition makes sense for all $k > 0$. In the sequel we employ only standard, well known properties of Sobolev spaces that can be found in [2] or [16]. In particular, we use the Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int_{B_r} |u - u_{B_r}|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq Cr \left(\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^{p_*} dx \right)^{1/p_*}, \quad (1.6)$$

where B_r is an arbitrary ball of radius r in \mathbb{R}^n , and $p_* := np/(n+p)$ for $p \geq n/(n-1)$.

$BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ stands for the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation, see e.g. [14, Chap. 4], with the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{BMO} := \sup_Q \left(\int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy \right), \quad (1.7)$$

the supremum being taken over all cubes in \mathbb{R}^n . (One can replace the average f_Q by any other constant c_Q ; this does not affect the definition.) It is well known that BMO contains unbounded functions but their singularities are, roughly speaking, of logarithmic type. In particular,

$$|f_{Q_1} - f_{Q_2}| \leq C(n) \|f\|_{BMO} \left(1 + \log \frac{|Q_2|}{|Q_1|} \right) \quad (1.8)$$

whenever $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $Q_1 \subset Q_2$.

The Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all those $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$g_* := \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |\varphi_\varepsilon * g| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m).$$

Here and below, $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-n} \varphi(x/\varepsilon)$ for a fixed $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B(0,1))$ with $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\int \varphi(y) dy = 1$. The definition does not depend on the choice of φ (see [7]). $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} = \|g\|_{L^1} + \|g_*\|_{L^1}$. Finally, $(\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$; see [6], [7], or [14, Chap. 4]. In particular,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq C(n) \|f\|_{BMO} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \quad (1.9)$$

whenever f is bounded and $g \in \mathcal{H}^1$, see [14, pp. 142–143].

Primes are used to denote Hölder conjugate exponents, i.e. $p' = p/(p-1)$ for $p \geq 1$ etc. Finally, the letter C stands for a general constant that may change its value even in a single string of estimates.

2. The proofs

The crucial difficulty is to prove Theorem 1.1 for smooth compactly supported functions. Here we apply a trick very similar to that used e.g. by Coifman et al. [5] to prove that the Jacobian $\det Df$ of an arbitrary mapping $f \in W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the Hardy space. Namely, $\int |\nabla f|^{2p} = |\int f \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^{2p-2} \nabla f)|$ for all $f \in C_0^\infty$. The latter integral can be bounded by an application of (1.9), *provided that* one can obtain an estimate of $g := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^{2p-2} \nabla f)$ in the Hardy space \mathcal{H}^1 . To prove such an estimate, we employ the definition of \mathcal{H}^1 given above, and bound all the convolutions $g * \varphi_\varepsilon$ applying the Sobolev inequality (1.6) and the Hardy–Littlewood maximal theorem. The details of this reasoning are given below.

Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1 by an inductive argument which uses classical Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities.

Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Step 1. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Integrating by parts, we write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^{2p} dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^{2p-2} \nabla f) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

We claim that $g := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^{2p-2} \nabla f) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and moreover

$$\|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n, p) \|\nabla f\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p-2} \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^p}. \quad (2.2)$$

Once this estimate is established, (2.1) combined with (1.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p} &\leq C(n) \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f\|_{BMO} \\ &\leq C(n, p) \|\nabla f\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p-2} \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^p} \|f\|_{BMO}, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (1.4) for smooth f . Thus, it is enough to prove (2.2). Recall the elementary inequality

$$| |X|^{t-2} X - |Y|^{t-2} Y | \leq C(t) |X - Y| (|X|^{t-2} + |Y|^{t-2}), \quad (2.3)$$

valid for all $t \geq 2$, $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We set $m = \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} \nabla f dy$ and estimate $g * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)$, using (2.3), and then Hölder's and Sobolev's inequalities as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |g * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| &= \left| \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} \varphi_\varepsilon(x - y) \operatorname{div}(|\nabla f|^{2p-2} \nabla f - |m|^{2p-2} m)(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} | |\nabla f|^{2p-2} \nabla f - |m|^{2p-2} m | dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} |\nabla f - m| (|\nabla f|^{2p-2} + |m|^{2p-2}) dy \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} |\nabla f - m|^s dy \right)^{1/s} \left(\int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} |\nabla f|^{2(p-1)s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} |\nabla^2 f|^{s_*} dy \right)^{1/s_*} \left(\int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} |\nabla f|^{2(p-1)s'} dy \right)^{1/s'} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

It is convenient to choose here $s > 1$ so that

$$2(p-1)s' < 2p \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq s_* = \frac{ns}{n+s} < p.$$

These requirements are satisfied e.g. for $s = pn/(n - 1)$. Now, (2.4) implies that

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |g * \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\Psi_1(x)\Psi_2(x), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\Psi_1 := [M(|\nabla^2 f|^{s_*})]^{1/s_*}, \quad \Psi_2 := [M(|\nabla f|^{2(p-1)s'})]^{1/s'},$$

and $M(\dots)$ denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, i.e.,

$$Mv(x) := \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \int_{B(x, \varepsilon)} |v(y)| dy \quad \text{for } v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}.$$

Since $|\nabla^2 f|^{s_*} \in L^{p/s_*}$ and $p/s_* > 1$, the Hardy–Littlewood maximal theorem [14, p. 13] yields $\Psi_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\Psi_1\|_{L^p} \leq C(n, p) \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^p}. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, $\Psi_2 \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\Psi_2\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C(n, p) \|\nabla f\|_{L^{2p}}^{2p-2}. \quad (2.7)$$

Combining these two bounds with (2.5) we conclude that $g_* = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |g * \varphi_\varepsilon| \in L^1$; (2.2) follows from the Hölder inequality.

Step 2. The general case follows from an approximation argument. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is *not* dense in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we provide some details. Let $Q(j) = [-j, j]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix two nonnegative cutoff functions, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(Q(1))$ with $\int \psi = 1$, and $\gamma \in C_0^\infty(Q(2))$ with $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ and $\gamma \equiv 1$ on $Q(1)$. Let $\psi_j(x) = j^n \psi(jx)$ and $\gamma_j(x) = \gamma(x/j)$. For $f \in W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we set

$$f_j(x) = \psi_j * f(x), \quad h_j(x) = (f_j(x) - c_j)\gamma_j(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $c_j = (f_j)_{Q(j)}$ is the average of f_j on $Q(j)$. Then $h_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|\nabla^2 h_j - \nabla^2 f\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|h_j\|_{BMO} \leq C\|f\|_{BMO}. \quad (2.9)$$

Estimate (2.8) is standard. To obtain (2.9), fix j and note that for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a cube \tilde{Q} such that

$$Q \cap Q(2j) = \tilde{Q} \cap Q(2j) \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{Q}| \leq (4j)^n.$$

Thus, since h_j is supported in $Q(2j)$, we can compute $\|h_j\|_{BMO}$ taking into account only those cubes Q that are contained in $Q(6j)$. For such Q we set

$$a_Q = (f_j - c_j)_Q (\gamma_j)_Q$$

and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |h_j - a_Q| dx &= \int_Q |(f_j - c_j)\gamma_j - (f_j - c_j)_Q (\gamma_j)_Q| dx \\ &\leq \int_Q |f_j - (f_j)_Q| |\gamma_j| dx + |(f_j)_Q - c_j| \int_Q |\gamma_j - (\gamma_j)_Q| dx \\ &\leq \|f_j\|_{BMO} + |(f_j)_Q - (f_j)_{Q(6j)}| \operatorname{diam} Q \sup |\nabla \gamma_j|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we write $|(f_j)_Q - (f_j)_{Q(j)}| \leq |(f_j)_Q - (f_j)_{Q(6j)}| + |(f_j)_{Q(6j)} - (f_j)_{Q(j)}|$ and

apply (1.8) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |h_j - a_Q| dx &\leq C(n) \|f_j\|_{BMO} \left(1 + \log \frac{(6j)^n}{|Q|}\right) \frac{\operatorname{diam} Q}{j} \\ &\leq C(n) \|f_j\|_{BMO} \leq C(n) \|f\|_{BMO}. \end{aligned}$$

(The last inequality follows easily from the definition of convolution.) This yields (2.9).

Combining (2.8)–(2.9) with the first step of the proof, we see that $(\nabla h_j)_{j=1,2,\dots}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^{2p} . Since $\nabla h_j \rightarrow \nabla f$ a.e. at least for a subsequence, we obtain the desired conclusion upon passing to the limit $j \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We proceed by double induction with respect to m and k . As in the previous proof, it is enough to obtain the desired inequality for $f \in C_0^\infty$.

The case $m = 1$, $k = 2$ is contained in Theorem 1.1. Thus, assume that (1.5) holds for some fixed $1 \leq m < k$ and all $p > 1$.

Let $p > 1$ and $r := \frac{k+1}{m}p$. Set $q = \frac{k+1}{k}p$. By (1.1) we have

$$\|\nabla^k f\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^r}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^{k+1} f\|_{L^p}^\theta, \quad (2.10)$$

with $\theta = (k-m)/(k-m+1)$, whereas the inductive hypothesis yields

$$\|\nabla^m f\|_{L^r} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO}^{1-m/k} \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^q}^{m/k}. \quad (2.11)$$

Using (2.10) to estimate the right hand side of (2.11), and cancelling an appropriate power of $\|\nabla^m f\|_{L^r}$, we obtain (1.5) with k replaced by $k+1$. This is the first induction step.

To obtain the second induction step, fix $p > 1$, assume that $m+1 < k$, and let $r := \frac{k}{m}p$, $q := \frac{k}{m+1}p$. We estimate the right hand side of

$$\|\nabla^{m+1} f\|_{L^q} \leq C \|\nabla^m f\|_{L^r}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p}^\theta,$$

where $\theta = 1/(k-m)$, invoking the inductive hypothesis

$$\|\nabla^m f\|_{L^r} \leq C \|f\|_{BMO}^{1-m/k} \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^p}^{m/k}.$$

As before, a routine computation leads to (1.5), this time with m replaced by $m+1$. The whole proof is complete now. \square

Acknowledgement

The author is partially supported by KBN grant no 2 PO 3A 028 22 and the Humboldt Return Fellowship. He thanks Tristan Rivière, Agnieszka Kałamajska, Piotr Hajłasz and Piotr Mucha for stimulating discussions.

References

1. D.R. ADAMS, L.I. HEDBERG, *Function spaces and potential theory*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 314. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
2. R. ADAMS, *Sobolev spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65. Academic Press, New York-London, 1975.
3. A. COHEN, R. DEVORE, P. PETRUSHEV and H. XU, ‘Nonlinear approximation and the space $BV(R^2)$ ’, *Amer. J. Math.* 121 (1999), 587–628.

4. A. COHEN, Y. MEYER and F. ORU, ‘Improved Sobolev embedding theorem’, Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1997–1998, Exp. No. XVI, 16 pp., Ecole Polytech., Palaiseau, 1998.
5. R. COIFMAN, P.L. LIONS, Y. MEYER and S. SEMMES, ‘Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces’, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 72 (1993), 247–286.
6. C. FEFFERMAN. ‘Characterizations of bounded mean oscillation’ *Bull. AMS* 77 (1971), 585–587.
7. C. FEFFERMAN and E.M. STEIN, ‘ H^p spaces of several variables’, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972), 137–193.
8. A. KĀLAMAJSKA and A. MILANI, ‘Anisotropic Sobolev spaces and parabolic equations’. Ulmer Seminare ueber Funktionalanalysis und Differentialgleichungen. Heft 2, 1997, pp. 237–273.
9. A. KĀLAMAJSKA and K. PIETRUSKA-PALUBA, ‘Logarithmic version of interpolation inequalities for derivatives’, to appear in *Journal of London Math. Soc.*
10. S. MACHIHARA and T. OZAWA, ‘Interpolation inequalities in Besov spaces’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003), no. 5, 1553–1556 (electronic).
11. Y. MEYER and T. RIVIÈRE, ‘A partial regularity result for a class of stationary Yang–Mills fields’, *Revista Mat. Iberoamericana* 19 (2003), no. 1, 195–219.
12. D. PUMBERGER, ‘Regularity results for stationary harmonic and J-holomorphic maps’. In preparation, ETH Zuerich, 2003.
13. T. RIVIÈRE and P. STRZELECKI, ‘A sharp non-linear Gagliardo–Nirenberg type estimate and applications to the regularity of elliptic systems’, to appear in *Communications in PDE*.
14. E.M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality and Oscillatory integrals*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1993.
15. H. TRIEBEL, *Theory of function spaces. II*. Monographs in Mathematics, 84. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
16. W.P. ZIEMER, *Weakly differentiable functions*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.

Paweł Strzelecki
 Institute of Mathematics
 Warsaw University
 ul. Banacha 2
 PL-02-097 Warsaw
 Poland

pawelst@mimuw.edu.pl