Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t \colon \{L, R\}^* \longrightarrow A$

Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t\colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \right\}$$

Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t \colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \Big\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \Big\}$$

Unambiguous automata:

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t\colon \{L,R\}^* \to A$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \Big\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \Big\}$$

Unambiguous automata:

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Bi-unambiguous languages: both L and $\mathrm{Tr}_A - L$ are unambiguous

Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t\colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \Big\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \Big\}$$

Unambiguous automata:

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Bi-unambiguous languages: both L and $\mathrm{Tr}_A - L$ are unambiguous

[a nice class, closed under Boolean operations]

Trees:
$$\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t\colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$$

Non-determnistic automata:
$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \right\}$$

Unambiguous automata:
$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Bi-unambiguous languages: both L and $\mathrm{Tr}_A - L$ are unambiguous

Paths of $\tau \subseteq \{L, R\}^*$:
$$[\![\tau]\!] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \pi \in \{L, R\}^\omega \mid \pi \text{ is a path in } \tau \right\} \subseteq \{L, R\}^\omega$$

Trees: $$\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t \colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \Big\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \Big\}$$

Unambiguous automata:

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Bi-unambiguous languages: both L and $\mathrm{Tr}_A - L$ are unambiguous

Paths of $\tau \subseteq \{L, R\}^*$:

$$[\![\tau]\!] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Big\{ \pi \in \{L, R\}^\omega \mid \pi \text{ is a path in } \tau \Big\} \subseteq \{L, R\}^\omega$$

Conjecture (Bilkowski [∼2012])

A regular language $L \subseteq \mathrm{Tr}_A$ is bi-unambiguous

iff

Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t\colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \Big\{ t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t \Big\}$$

Unambiguous automata:

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Bi-unambiguous languages: both L and $\mathrm{Tr}_A - L$ are unambiguous

Paths of $\tau \subseteq \{L, R\}^*$:

$$[\![\tau]\!] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Big\{ \pi \in \{L, R\}^\omega \mid \pi \text{ is a path in } \tau \Big\} \subseteq \{L, R\}^\omega$$

Conjecture (Bilkowski [∼2012])

A regular language $L \subseteq \mathrm{Tr}_A$ is bi-unambiguous

iff

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A.\ \exists \tau \subseteq \mathrm{dom}(t).\ \big| [\![\tau]\!] \big| \leqslant \aleph_0 \ \wedge$$

Trees: $\mathrm{Tr}_A \ni t\colon \{L, R\}^* \to A$

Non-determnistic automata:

$$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{A}) = \Big\{t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A \mid \exists \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t\Big\}$$

Unambiguous automata:

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{L}(\mathbb{A}).\ \exists! \rho \in \mathrm{Tr}_Q.\ \rho \text{ is an accepting run over } t$$

Bi-unambiguous languages: both L and $\mathrm{Tr}_A{-}L$ are unambiguous

Paths of $\tau \subseteq \{L, R\}^*$:

$$[\![\tau]\!] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Big\{\pi \in \{L, R\}^\omega \mid \pi \text{ is a path in } \tau\Big\} \subseteq \{L, R\}^\omega$$

Conjecture (Bilkowski [~2012])

A regular language $L \subseteq \mathrm{Tr}_A$ is bi-unambiguous

iff

$$\forall t \in \mathrm{Tr}_A.\ \exists \tau \subseteq \mathrm{dom}(t).\ \Big|[\![\tau]\!]\Big| \leqslant \aleph_0\ \wedge$$

$$\forall t' \in \mathrm{Tr}_A.\ t'{\upharpoonright}_\tau = t{\upharpoonright}_\tau \Rightarrow \big(t \in L \Leftrightarrow t' \in L\big)$$