

The extension problem for continuous linear operator

Thm (On extension of bld operator). Let X be a norm-space, $\mathcal{Y} \subset X$ with $\overline{\mathcal{Y}} = X$ and $A \in B(\mathcal{Y}, Z)$ with Z - Banach space. Then $\exists! \tilde{A} \in B(X, Z)$ st. $\tilde{A}|_{\mathcal{Y}} = A$. We call \tilde{A} the ^{continuous} extension of A . Moreover $\|\tilde{A}\| = \|A\|$.

Proof. Uniqueness follows from the fact that A dense and \tilde{A} is continuous.

Let $x \in X \Rightarrow \exists y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$. \tilde{A} is continuous operator $\Rightarrow \tilde{A}y_n - A y_n \rightarrow \tilde{A}x$.
The def is to define

$$\tilde{A}x := \lim_n A y_n$$

This is a good definition if this limit \exists and it doesn't depend from the choice of the sequence $\{y_n\}_n$ that converges to x .

1. Let $\{y_n\}_n$ s.t. $y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x \Rightarrow y_n$ is a Cauchy sequence. A is continuous, in particular it is Lip. (for the equivalent properties of bld operator). So

$$\|A y_n - A y_m\| \leq \|A\| \|y_n - y_m\|$$

$\Rightarrow \{A y_n\}_n$ is C in Z . But Z is a Banach space $\Rightarrow \{A y_n\}_n$ is convergent.

2. Let $\{y_n\}_n$ and $\{y'_n\}_n$ be two sequences in \mathcal{Y} s.t. $y_n, y'_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} x$.

$$\underbrace{y_n - y'_n}_{\mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \Rightarrow A(y_n - y'_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$A y_n - A y'_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} z - z'$$

$\downarrow n \rightarrow \infty \quad \downarrow n \rightarrow \infty$ (because we know from 1 that these limits exist)
 $z \quad z'$

For the unicity of the limit $z - z' = 0 \Rightarrow z = z'$.

(a) \tilde{A} is linear, (b) \tilde{A} continuous, (c) $\tilde{A}|_{\mathcal{Y}} = A$

Let's check that $\|\tilde{A}\| = \|A\|$.

Let $x \in X$, $\{y_n\} \in \mathcal{Y}$ s.t. $y_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \|y_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$.
we know that $A y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}x$. It follows that

$$\|\tilde{A}x\| \leftarrow \|\tilde{A}y_n\| \leq \|A\| \|y_n\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A\| \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\tilde{A}x\| \leq \|A\| \|x\| \Rightarrow \|\tilde{A}\| \leq \|A\|.$$

The inverse inequality is trivial. In fact

$$\|\tilde{A}\| = \sup \{ \|\tilde{A}x\| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1 \} \geq \sup \{ \|\tilde{A}x\| : x \in \mathcal{Y}, \|x\| \leq 1 \} = \|A\|.$$

Df. A Banach space \tilde{X} is the completion of a norm space X iff $\exists Y \subset \tilde{X}$ st. $\overline{Y} = \tilde{X}$ and Y and X are isometric.

Remark It is easy to prove that if \tilde{X} is a completion of X then there is \tilde{X} - a Banach space such that X is a norm subspace of \tilde{X} and $\overline{X} = \tilde{X}$.

Thm (On completion) If X is a norm space, then there exists a completion of X . Moreover all completions of X are isomorphic. More-over if for $j = 1, 2$ \tilde{X}_j is a completion of X , $\tilde{Y}_j = \tilde{X}_j$ and $I_j : X \rightarrow Y_j$ is isometry, then there exists a unique $\gamma \in B(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)$ such that $\gamma|_{Y_1} = I_2 \cdot I_1^{-1}$; The above γ is an isometry.

Proof. Consider the set of all the C. sequences in X , and we define on it an equivalent relation s.t. $\{x_n\} \equiv \{x'_n\}$ iff $x_n - x'_n \rightarrow 0$.

1. \equiv is an equivalent relation. Δ

We define $\tilde{X} = \{ [x_n]_{\equiv} \mid \{x_n\} \text{ is C. in } X \}$

Let X, Y be n.s. It is easy to check that $B(X, Y)$ is a linear space. We have defined a function called operator norm on $B(X, Y)$. Is this a norm?

Fact. $B(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm in $B(X, Y)$. Moreover if Z is a n.s. and $A \in B(X, Y)$, $B \in B(Y, Z)$, then $B \cdot A \in B(X, Z)$ and

$$\|BA\| \leq \|B\| \cdot \|A\|.$$

In particular $B(X) \equiv B(X, X)$ is a norm \mathbb{K} -algebra with unity : if $X \neq \{0\}$, where multiplication is the composition of operator and the unity is I .

Remark. A norm algebra with unity. $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm space s.t.

$$\|x \cdot y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$
$$\|\cdot\| = 1.$$

Proof. We prove only $\|BA\| \leq \|B\| \cdot \|A\|$. The other things are for ex.

$$\begin{aligned}\|(BA)x\| &= \|B(Ax)\| \leq \|B\| \|Ax\| \leq (\|B\| \cdot \|A\|) \|x\| \\ \Rightarrow \text{by equiv. cond. of def. of } \|\cdot\|_p &\quad \|BA\| \leq \|B\| \cdot \|A\|\end{aligned}$$

Now we know that $B(X, Y)$ is a normspace. Is it a Banach space?

Thm (on completeness of $B(X, Y)$). If Y is Banach, then $B(X, Y)$ is Banach.

Proof. Let $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a C. sequences in $B(X, Y)$. Let $x \in X$. Then

$$\|A_n x - A_m x\| = \|(A_n - A_m)x\| \leq \|A_n - A_m\| \|x\|$$

$\Rightarrow \{A_n(x)\}_n$ is a C. sequences in Y . But Y is complete $\Rightarrow A_n x \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} A(x)$.

We can define:

$$\begin{aligned} A: X &\longrightarrow Y \\ x &\longmapsto \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(x) =: A(x) \end{aligned}$$

By definition A is the pointwise limit of $\{A_n\}_n$ $\forall x \in X$.

We have to check that $A \in B(X, Y)$ and that $A_n \xrightarrow[\|\cdot\|_{op}]{} A$.

- $A \in L(X, Y) \rightarrow \Delta$

- Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n, m \geq N$ $\|A_n - A_m\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ (we can do this from def. of C. sequences).

Then if $m \geq N$, then for large enough

$$\|A_n x - A_m x\| \leq \|A_n - A_m\| \|x\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Observe that, by continuity of norm, $\forall x \in X, \forall m \geq 1$ $A_n x - A_m x \xrightarrow{n} Ax - Amx$
 $\Rightarrow \|A_n(x) - A_m(x)\| \xrightarrow{n} \|Ax - Amx\|$.

It follows that $\forall x \in X$

$$\lim_n \|A_n x - A_m x\| = \|Ax - Amx\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Take } m = N \quad \|A - A_N\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow A - A_N \in B(X, Y), \quad A_N \in B(X, Y)$$

$$\Rightarrow A = (A - A_N) + A_N \in B(X, Y).$$

- In the previous point we have just proved that $\forall m \geq N \quad \|A - A_m\| < \varepsilon$
 $\Rightarrow A_m \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{} A$ in $\|\cdot\|_{op}$.

Remark. If $X = \{0\}$, $B(X, Y) = \{0\} \quad \forall Y$, so we don't need completeness on Y .

Ex. If $X \neq \{0\}$, the completeness of Y is also a necessary condition in order to have completeness of $B(X, Y)$? Not so easy.

Study till pag 66