

Problem 13.4

For any $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ we have an invertible matrix $M_t \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $t \cdot v = M_t v$.

Observation 1. If t is of finite order as a group element, i.e. $t^k = 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then M_t is diagonalizable. This is because $M_t^k = id$, so the Jordan form of M_t cannot have 0 on the diagonal and cannot have 1 over the diagonal.

Observation 2. Let $\mu_k \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ be the group of the k -th roots of unity. Then for $t \in \mu_k$ the matrix M_t is diagonalizable, because t has a finite order. For all $t \in \mu_k$ matrices M_t have a common diagonal basis, because they all are powers of the group generator and the basis chosen for the generator works for all of them.

Observation 3. For any t_1, t_2 of finite order there is a common diagonal basis of M_{t_1} and M_{t_2} . This is because M_{t_1} and M_{t_2} commute. One may construct the common diagonal basis by taking t_3 of finite order such that both t_1 and t_2 are powers of t_3 , and diagonalizing M_{t_3} . Thus there is a common diagonal basis for any finite set of groups $\mu_{k_1}, \dots, \mu_{k_i}$ of roots of unity.

Observation 4. There is a common diagonal basis for elements of finite order in \mathbb{C}^* . To see this, for each k we define B_k as the subdivision into a direct sum of eigenspaces of \mathbb{C}^n coming from the common diagonalization for μ_2, \dots, μ_k . If $k_1 > k_2$ then B_{k_1} is a refinement of B_{k_2} . But in the chain B_2, B_3, B_4, \dots there can be only finitely many jumps, because we subdivide a finite-dimensional vector space.

Conclusion. The subset of all elements of finite order is dense in Zariski topology in \mathbb{C}^* , because it is infinite. Thus its product with \mathbb{C}^n is dense in Zariski topology in $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Recall that the map defining the action $f: \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is polynomial. We take the map $g: \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ corresponding to the diagonal action of the finite order elements: for an eigenvector with eigenvalue m we put t^m on the matrix diagonal, then change coordinates to the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n and write it as a map from $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n$ to \mathbb{C}^n . Then $f - g$ vanishes on a dense subset, so it vanishes everywhere. That is, the decomposition of \mathbb{C}^n into eigenspaces which we used to describe g is the desired grading.

Problem 12.4

We didn't have a complete argument that for $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$ the cotangent module $\Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}$ has only one relation coming from the equation, i.e. $\Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}} \simeq A^{\oplus 2}/(2ydy - 3x^2dx)$. One can prove it imitating the argument that for $S = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we have $\Omega_{S/\mathbb{k}} \simeq Sdx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Sdx_n$. Namely, we look at the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus 2}/(A \cdot (-3x^2, 2y)) \\
 & \searrow d & \uparrow g \quad \downarrow \pi \\
 & & \Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}
 \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrow is the gradient (in particular, a \mathbb{C} -linear derivation), one checks easily that it is well defined.

The map $d: A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}$ sends the classes of x and y to the corresponding elements denoted dx and dy respectively. By definition $\Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}$ this elements are generators.

The map g is unique such that the diagram commutes, from the universal property of $\Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}$. Since the diagram commutes, $g(dx) = e_1$ and $g(dy) = e_2$.

The map π sends e_1, e_2 (classes of standard basis vectors of $A^{\oplus 2}$) to dx, dy respectively (thus, π is surjective). To see that it is well defined one has to check that $(-3x^2, 2y)$ is mapped to 0, but this is because in $\Omega_{A/\mathbb{C}}$ we have $-3x^2dx + 2ydy = d0 = 0$.

We need to show that π is one-to-one. But $g \circ \pi = id$, which can be seen on the chosen generating sets. Thus π is one-to-one and onto, hence an isomorphism.

This reasoning works without change for any quotient of a polynomial ring over a field by a principal ideal.