# LECTURE 9 <br> NEW HINT <br> NEW HIT <br> TIME SPLITTING ${ }^{1}$ 

Instead of introduction: a very simple example.
Consider the initial value problem for Ordinary Differential Equations (ODE)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d u}{d t}=f(u)+g(u), \quad t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\tau\right], \quad u\left(t_{n}\right)=a \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the following two initial value problems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d v}{d t}=f(v), \quad t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\tau\right], \quad v\left(t_{n}\right)=a \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d t}=g(w), \quad t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\tau\right], \quad w\left(t_{n}\right)=v\left(t_{n}+\tau\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

What can we expect concerning the difference:

$$
u(t)-w(t), \quad t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\tau\right] ?
$$

May be it is small? Answer is:

> YES! and in many cases, providing that the time step $\tau$ is reasonably small.

Sometimes, for certain reasons it may be better, to solve the system (2)(3), than to solve the equation (1). We can try, in such a case, to approximate the solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ of the equation (1), by the solution $\boldsymbol{w}$ of the equation (3)!

## THIS IS THE TIME SPLITTING METHOD

[^0]Look at the SPLITTING DIAGRAM:


The left uparrow corresponds to solving (2), while right one corresponds to solving (3).

## EXAMPLE.

The TIME SPLITTING may be applied for partial differential equations. Let us consider the transport equation in two space dimensions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+\underline{\alpha} \nabla u=0, \quad \underline{\alpha}=\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right], \quad u=u\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}} \in\left[0, \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right], \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}} \in\left[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$, and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}=\left[\boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{2}}\right]^{\boldsymbol{T}}$. Let us rewrite the equation (4) in the following equivalent form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+\alpha_{1} u_{x_{1}}+\alpha_{2} u_{x_{2}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For equation (4)(5) we have the initial condition:

$$
u\left(0, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

The boundary conditions (depending on the sign of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{1}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ ) are also needed.

## We can split equation (4)(5) in the followig way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1, t}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\alpha_{1} u_{1, x_{1}}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have initial condition for (6): $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ This equation needs the boundary condition at one of ends of the interval [ $\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{1}}$ ]. Observe that $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is an 'active' variable of differential equation, while $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}$ is a parameter. It runs over the interval $\left[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2, t}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\alpha_{2} u_{2, x_{2}}\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For (7) we have the same initial conddition as for (6): $\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)=$ $\boldsymbol{\phi}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)$. Here also the boundary condition at one of ends but of the interval $\left[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$ is necessary; now $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is a parameter runing over $\left[\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\mathbf{1}}\right]$, while $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ is the active variable of the differential equation.

## A TEOREM ON APPROXIMATION

The theorem below concerns the case of spliting: (1) $=>(2)(3)$.

## THEOREM.

## Let:

- $(\boldsymbol{X},|\cdot|)$ be a linear normed space
- $f, g: X \rightarrow X$
- $u, v, w:\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right] \rightarrow X, t_{n+1}=t_{n}+\tau, \tau>0$
- $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\tau},\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ be a linear, $B$-space of functions $\boldsymbol{x}:\left[\boldsymbol{t}_{n}, \boldsymbol{t}_{n+1}\right] \rightarrow \boldsymbol{X}$, such that $\|x\|_{\infty}=\sup _{t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]}|\boldsymbol{x}(t)|<\infty$

We assume about (1), (2), (3) that:

- $u, v, w \in X_{\tau}$
- there exist positive constants $\boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{g}}, \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{f}}, \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{g}}$ such that if $\boldsymbol{x}=$ $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}$ then $\|\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})\|_{\infty} \leq \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{f}},\|\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})\|_{\infty} \leq \boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{g}}$, and that for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \boldsymbol{X}$ the following Lipschitz conditions

$$
|f(x)-f(y)| \leq L_{f}|x-y|, \quad|g(x)-g(y)| \leq L_{g}|x-y|
$$

are satisfied.
Under above conditions, for solutions o $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}$ of equations (1)(2)(3) following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-v\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\tau K_{g}}{1-\tau L_{f}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(9) $\|u-w\|_{\infty} \leq \tau \frac{K_{f}}{1-\tau L_{g}}+\tau^{2} \frac{K_{g} L_{f}}{\left(1-\tau L_{f}\right)\left(1-\tau L_{g}\right)}=O(\tau)$.

However it is to note that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u\left(t_{n+1}\right)-w\left(t_{n+1}\right)\right| \leq \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq \tau^{2} \frac{K_{g} L_{f}}{1-\tau L_{f}}+\tau^{2} \frac{L_{g} K_{f}}{1-\tau L g}+\tau^{3} \frac{K_{g} L_{f} L_{g}}{\left(1-\tau L_{f}\right)\left(1-\tau L_{g}\right)}=O\left(\tau^{2}\right)
$$

The inequqlity (10) says that at the point $\boldsymbol{t}_{n+1}$ the 'SUPER-CONVERGENCE' occurs. This means that the order of approximation at the point $t_{n+1}$ is greater then the order of approximation in norm.

CONCLUSION. From above investigations it follows, that for the time spplitting

$$
(1) \approx(3)(2)
$$

the choice $\boldsymbol{w}\left(\boldsymbol{t}_{n+1}\right)$ is not only the most intuitive, but really the best!
Proof. We have:

$$
u(t)=a+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} f(u(s)) d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} g(u(s) d s
$$

$$
v(t)=a+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} f(v(s)) d s
$$

$$
w(t)=a+\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} f(v(s)) d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} g(w(s)) d s
$$

To prove (8) observe that

$$
u(t)-v(t)=\int_{t_{n}}^{t}\left[f(u(s)-f(v(s))] d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} g(u(s)) d s\right.
$$

hence $\forall t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n+1}\right]$

$$
|u(t)-v(t)| \leq \tau L_{f}\|u-v\|_{\infty}+\tau K_{g} .
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-v\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\tau K_{g}}{1-\tau L_{f}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t)- & u(t)=\int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} f(v(s)) d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} g(w(s)) d s \\
& -\int_{t_{n}}^{t} f(u(s)) d s-\int_{t_{n}}^{t} g(u(s)) d s \\
& -\int_{t_{n}}^{t} f(v(s)) d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t} f(v(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t)-u(t)= & \int_{t}^{t_{n+1}} f(v(s)) d s+\int_{t_{n}}^{t}[f(v(s))-f(u(s))] d s \\
& +\int_{t_{n}}^{t}[g(w(s))-g(u(s))] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Now applying (8) we get
(*) $|w(t)-u(t)| \leq\left|t_{n+1}-t\right| K_{f}+\tau^{2} \frac{K_{g} L_{f}}{1-\tau L_{f}}+\tau L_{g}\|u-w\|_{\infty}$
Tacking 'sup' over both sides of this last inequality we get:

$$
\|w-u\|_{\infty} \leq \tau K_{f}+\tau^{2} \frac{K_{g} L_{f}}{1-\tau L_{f}}+\tau L_{g}\|u-w\|_{\infty}
$$

Finally:
(9) $\|u-w\|_{\infty} \leq \tau \frac{K_{f}}{1-\tau L_{g}}+\tau^{2} \frac{K_{g} L_{f}}{\left(1-\tau L_{f}\right)\left(1-\tau L_{g}\right)}=O(\tau)$

Let us go back to the formula $(*)$. If we put $\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{n + 1}}$, then the first term on the RHS desapears. Now applying (9), we get (10).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In, fact idea of the method here described is relatively old: probably it was applied for the first time by D.W.Paceman and H.H Rachford jr. in 1955.

