

On Language Equations and Grammar Coalgebras for Context-free Languages*

Jurriaan Rot^{1,2} (jrot@liacs.nl) and Joost Winter^{2,1} (winter@cwi.nl)

¹ LIACS – Leiden University

² Formal Methods – Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI)

Introduction. In [3], a coalgebraic presentation of context-free grammars and languages was given based on behavioural differential equations, yielding final coalgebra semantics via the functor $2 \times (-)^A$ of deterministic automata. There, the correctness was given via the well-known notion of derivations in a grammar. Another classical approach to the semantics of context-free grammars (which generalizes to algebraic power series in noncommuting variables) is through systems of (language) equations (see, e.g., [2]). In this note, we explore the connection between systems of behavioural differential equations and these classical systems, and give an elementary proof of their correspondence.

Solutions by initiality. A map s is a *solution* to a grammar p (over an alphabet A and a finite set X of nonterminals) if it makes the left diagram below commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\
 \downarrow p & \nearrow [s, \eta]^\# & \\
 \mathcal{P}_\omega((X + A)^*) & &
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\
 \downarrow p' & & \parallel \cong \\
 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times (s^\#)^A} & 2 \times \mathcal{P}(A^*)^A
 \end{array}$$

Here $[s, \eta]$ is the co-tupling of the solution mapping s and the injection η of alphabet symbols into languages, and $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-)$ is the finite powerset functor. The $\#$ -symbol denotes the inductive extension based on language union and concatenation. Classically, the (denotational) *semantics* of a grammar p is the (point-wise) least solution. Whenever p is in Greibach normal form, it has a unique solution s , and there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_\omega((X + A)^*)_{\text{GNF}} \cong 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A$$

transforming p into an equivalent mapping p' . Moreover, the final coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{P}_\omega(A^*)$ also is an isomorphism. We thus obtain the diagram to the right of the previous diagram, and observe that s makes the left diagram commute iff it makes the right diagram commute.

* Supported by the NWO project CoRE: Coinductive Calculi for Regular Expressions.

Solutions by finality. We recall from [3] that context-free grammars can be represented using systems of behavioural differential equations: given a grammar p , the corresponding system is (by definition) identical to p' as defined above. Now p' can be extended inductively to a mapping \hat{p}' by defining union and concatenation using behavioural differential equations. As \hat{p}' defines a $2 \times (-)^A$ -coalgebra on $\mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)$, we now obtain a unique mapping $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ into the final coalgebra, as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad \eta \quad} & \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*) & \xrightarrow{\quad \llbracket - \rrbracket \quad} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\
 \downarrow p' & & \searrow \hat{p}' & & \parallel \cong \\
 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{id} \times \llbracket - \rrbracket^A \quad} & & & 2 \times \mathcal{P}(A^*)^A
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to prove that the mapping $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ is an algebra morphism for the monad $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-^*)$: this can be done either directly by induction, or by making use of a distributive law [1]. In both cases the crux is that the behavioural differential equations ‘correctly’ define union and concatenation.

Equivalence. We will now show that, given a system $p' : X \rightarrow 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A$, the (extended) solutions s^\sharp and $\llbracket - \rrbracket$, both uniquely defined, necessarily coincide. First, we obtain the following lemma as a direct consequence of the fact that $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ is an $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-^*)$ -algebra morphism:

Lemma. $(\llbracket - \rrbracket \circ \eta)^\sharp = \llbracket - \rrbracket$.

Hence the mapping $\llbracket - \rrbracket \circ \eta$, when substituted for s (with $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ substituting s^\sharp by the lemma), is a solution to the first diagram. Since there is a unique such solution, we obtain our main result:

Theorem. *A mapping $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A^*)$ is a solution to a (classical) system of equations iff $s = \llbracket - \rrbracket \circ \eta$.*

Generalizations. As a final remark, we note that the preceding lemma and theorem can directly be generalized from languages to formal power series over any commutative semiring K : $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ now is an algebra morphism for the monad $K(-)$, the semiring of (noncommuting) polynomials, generalizing the monad $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-^*)$; and the final coalgebra now is $K\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$, the semiring of formal power series over noncommuting variables in A , generalizing $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$.

References

1. Bonsangue, M., Hansen, H.H., Kurz, A., Rot, J.: Presenting distributive laws. In: CALCO (2013), *to appear*.
2. Petre, I., Salomaa, A.: Algebraic systems and pushdown automata. In: *Handbook of Weighted Automata*, pp. 257–289. Springer (2009).
3. Winter, J., Bonsangue, M.M., Rutten, J.J.M.M.: Context-free languages, coalgebraically. In: CALCO. LNCS 6859, pp. 359–376. Springer (2011).