

# On Language Equations and Grammar Coalgebras for Context-free Languages\*

Jurriaan Rot<sup>1,2</sup> (jrot@liacs.nl) and Joost Winter<sup>2,1</sup> (winter@cwi.nl)

<sup>1</sup> LIACS – Leiden University

<sup>2</sup> Formal Methods – Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica (CWI)

*Introduction.* In [3], a coalgebraic presentation of context-free grammars and languages was given based on behavioural differential equations, yielding final coalgebra semantics via the functor  $2 \times (-)^A$  of deterministic automata. There, the correctness was given via the well-known notion of derivations in a grammar. Another classical approach to the semantics of context-free grammars (which generalizes to algebraic power series in noncommuting variables) is through systems of (language) equations (see, e.g., [2]). In this note, we explore the connection between systems of behavioural differential equations and these classical systems, and give an elementary proof of their correspondence.

*Solutions by initiality.* A map  $s$  is a *solution* to a grammar  $p$  (over an alphabet  $A$  and a finite set  $X$  of nonterminals) if it makes the left diagram below commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\
 \downarrow p & \nearrow [s, \eta]^\# & \\
 \mathcal{P}_\omega((X + A)^*) & & 
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\
 \downarrow p' & & \parallel \cong \\
 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times (s^\#)^A} & 2 \times \mathcal{P}(A^*)^A
 \end{array}$$

Here  $[s, \eta]$  is the co-tupling of the solution mapping  $s$  and the injection  $\eta$  of alphabet symbols into languages, and  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-)$  is the finite powerset functor. The  $\#$ -symbol denotes the inductive extension based on language union and concatenation. Classically, the (denotational) *semantics* of a grammar  $p$  is the (point-wise) least solution. Whenever  $p$  is in Greibach normal form, it has a unique solution  $s$ , and there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_\omega((X + A)^*)_{\text{GNF}} \cong 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A$$

transforming  $p$  into an equivalent mapping  $p'$ . Moreover, the final coalgebra structure on  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(A^*)$  also is an isomorphism. We thus obtain the diagram to the right of the previous diagram, and observe that  $s$  makes the left diagram commute iff it makes the right diagram commute.

\* Supported by the NWO project CoRE: Coinductive Calculi for Regular Expressions.

*Solutions by finality.* We recall from [3] that context-free grammars can be represented using systems of behavioural differential equations: given a grammar  $p$ , the corresponding system is (by definition) identical to  $p'$  as defined above. Now  $p'$  can be extended inductively to a mapping  $\hat{p}'$  by defining union and concatenation using behavioural differential equations. As  $\hat{p}'$  defines a  $2 \times (-)^A$ -coalgebra on  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)$ , we now obtain a unique mapping  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$  into the final coalgebra, as in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad \eta \quad} & \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*) & \xrightarrow{\quad \llbracket - \rrbracket \quad} & \mathcal{P}(A^*) \\
 \downarrow p' & & \searrow \hat{p}' & & \parallel \cong \\
 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{id} \times \llbracket - \rrbracket^A \quad} & & & 2 \times \mathcal{P}(A^*)^A
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to prove that the mapping  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$  is an algebra morphism for the monad  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-^*)$ : this can be done either directly by induction, or by making use of a distributive law [1]. In both cases the crux is that the behavioural differential equations ‘correctly’ define union and concatenation.

*Equivalence.* We will now show that, given a system  $p' : X \rightarrow 2 \times \mathcal{P}_\omega(X^*)^A$ , the (extended) solutions  $s^\sharp$  and  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$ , both uniquely defined, necessarily coincide. First, we obtain the following lemma as a direct consequence of the fact that  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$  is an  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-^*)$ -algebra morphism:

**Lemma.**  $(\llbracket - \rrbracket \circ \eta)^\sharp = \llbracket - \rrbracket$ .

Hence the mapping  $\llbracket - \rrbracket \circ \eta$ , when substituted for  $s$  (with  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$  substituting  $s^\sharp$  by the lemma), is a solution to the first diagram. Since there is a unique such solution, we obtain our main result:

**Theorem.** *A mapping  $s : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A^*)$  is a solution to a (classical) system of equations iff  $s = \llbracket - \rrbracket \circ \eta$ .*

*Generalizations.* As a final remark, we note that the preceding lemma and theorem can directly be generalized from languages to formal power series over any commutative semiring  $K$ :  $\llbracket - \rrbracket$  now is an algebra morphism for the monad  $K(-)$ , the semiring of (noncommuting) polynomials, generalizing the monad  $\mathcal{P}_\omega(-^*)$ ; and the final coalgebra now is  $K\langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$ , the semiring of formal power series over noncommuting variables in  $A$ , generalizing  $\mathcal{P}(A^*)$ .

## References

1. Bonsangue, M., Hansen, H.H., Kurz, A., Rot, J.: Presenting distributive laws. In: CALCO (2013), *to appear*.
2. Petre, I., Salomaa, A.: Algebraic systems and pushdown automata. In: *Handbook of Weighted Automata*, pp. 257–289. Springer (2009).
3. Winter, J., Bonsangue, M.M., Rutten, J.J.M.M.: Context-free languages, coalgebraically. In: CALCO. LNCS 6859, pp. 359–376. Springer (2011).