## Ideal in a Dedekind domain is generated by at most two elements. Joachim Jelisiejew, December 11, 2019

Facts that we proved before the faulty proof:

- 1. If two ideal  $I, J \subset A$  satisfy  $IA_{\mathfrak{m}} = JA_{\mathfrak{m}}$  for every  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$  maximal then I = J.
- 2. If A is an Artinian ring then  $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_s\}$  is finite, consists of maximal ideals and

$$A \simeq A_{\mathfrak{m}_1} \times A_{\mathfrak{m}_2} \times \ldots \times A_{\mathfrak{m}_s}.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let A be a Dedekind domain and  $I \subset A$  be a non-zero ideal. Let  $0 \neq f \in I$  be any element. Then there exists  $g \in I$  such that (f,g) = I.

Proof. The closed set  $V(f) \subset \text{Spec}(A)$  is homeomorphic to Spec(A/(f)) hence is a Noetherian topological space and so contains only finitely many elements. Since  $f \neq 0$ , every element of V(f) is a maximal ideal, so every element of V(f) is minimal with respect to inclusion in V(f). Hence V(f) is finite, say  $V(f) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ .

The ring A/(f) is Noetherian and zero-dimensional, hence Artinian, so using the fact above

$$\frac{A}{(f)} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(\frac{A}{(f)}\right)_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}}}{fA_{\mathfrak{p}_{i}}}.$$
(1)

Recall that  $IA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ , so the ideal I/(f) corresponds on the right hand side to the ideal

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}{f A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$$

For every i = 1, 2, ..., r pick  $t_i \in A$  such that  $t_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a local parameter. Then the ideal  $\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i} A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}{f A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$  in  $\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}{f A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}}$  is actually generated by the single element  $(t_1^{e_1}, \ldots, t_r^{e_r})$ . Let  $\bar{g} \in A/(f)$  correspond to this element in the isomorphism (1). Then  $(\bar{g} + (f))/(f) = I/(f)$ . Let  $g \in A$  be any preimage of  $\bar{g}$ . Then we have an equality of ideals

$$\frac{(g) + (f)}{(f)} = \frac{I}{(f)}.$$

It follows that I + (f) = (g) + (f) as ideals of A. Since  $f \in I$ , the left hand side is just I, so I = (g, f).

**Remark 2.** On the lecture I wrongly tried to take g equal to  $t_1^{e_1} \dots t_r^{e_r}$ . This particular choice of g was elegant but incorrect, because we had no guarantee that  $gA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ : we might have chosen for example  $t_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2$  and then  $gA_{\mathfrak{p}_2}$  is divisible by  $t_2^{e_1+e_2}$ . To make g work we need to choose  $t_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$ . This can be done by the Chinese remainder theorem, see below.

**Remark 3.** The ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  is a Dedekind domain but not a PID, for example the ideal  $(2, 1 + \sqrt{-5})$  is not principal. Hence one cannot hope for all ideals to be generated by one element.

**Remark 4.** The big picture is that Dedekind domains correspond to smooth one-dimensional objects (Dedekind domains that are finitely generated k-algebras are exactly affine *algebraic curves*). In the above argument we repeatedly use the trick: reduce to a closed subset of curve (=finitely many points) and then look locally at each point separately.

Second proof – bonus. The closed set  $V(f) \subset \text{Spec}(A)$  is homeomorphic to Spec(A/(f)) hence is a Noetherian topological space and so contains only finitely many elements. But  $f \neq 0$  so every element of V(f) is a maximal ideal, so every element of V(f) is minimal. Hence V(f) is finite, say  $V(f) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ .

Let  $t_i \in A$  be an element such that  $t_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Let  $e_i = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(I)$  be defined as

$$IA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}.$$

Then  $IA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = t_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  and  $(f)A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = t_i^{e'_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for some  $e_i, e'_i\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Since  $f \in I$  we have  $e'_i \geq e_i$ .

The maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  are coprime for every pair  $i \neq j$ , so also  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i+1}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_j^{e_j+1}$  are coprime and by the Chinese Remainder theorem (see [Problem 4, Set 10, Exercises]), there exists an element  $g \in A$  such that

$$g \equiv t_i^{e_i} \mod \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i+1}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., r. Then  $gA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} + \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = t_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} + \mathfrak{p}^{e_i+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  so by local version of Nakayama we have  $gA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Then we also have

$$gA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = t_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = IA_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

Consider the ideal J = (f, g) and a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ . If  $\mathfrak{q} \notin {\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r}$  then  $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ , so f is invertible in  $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$  and  $JA_{\mathfrak{q}} = A_{\mathfrak{q}} = IA_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . If  $\mathfrak{q} \in {\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r}$  say  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ , then

$$JA_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = (f)A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} + (g)A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e'_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} + \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}_i} = IA_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$$

since  $e'_i \ge e_i$ . We have proven that  $JA_{\mathfrak{q}} = IA_{\mathfrak{q}}$  for all maximal  $\mathfrak{q}$ , hence J = I by the fact above.