## A modular Poincaré inequality

#### Flavia Giannetti

Universitá degli studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy

Monday's Nonstandard Seminar, 30 November 2020



Image: A matrix and a matrix

# **A.Fiorenza-F.G.** *Removability of zero modular capacity sets* Rev. Mat. Compl. 2020

< A >

< ∃ >

- ∢ ≣ →

2

## Motivation

# Dealing with capacities often implies dealing with fuctionals more general than norms

we constructed a modular capacity theory and introduced two modular capacities

A comparison between the zero capacity sets with respect to the two different notions was obtained through a modular Poincaré inequality

伺 ト イヨ ト イヨト

## Motivation

Dealing with capacities often implies dealing with fuctionals more general than norms

#### $\Downarrow$

#### we constructed a modular capacity theory

and introduced two modular capacities

A comparison between the zero capacity sets with respect to the two different notions was obtained through a modular Poincaré inequality

< A >

## Motivation

Dealing with capacities often implies dealing with fuctionals more general than norms

#### $\Downarrow$

#### we constructed a modular capacity theory and introduced two modular capacities

A comparison between the zero capacity sets with respect to the two different notions was obtained through a modular Poincaré inequality

< A >

## Motivation

Dealing with capacities often implies dealing with fuctionals more general than norms

#### ₩

#### we constructed a modular capacity theory and introduced two modular capacities

A comparison between the zero capacity sets with respect to the two different notions was obtained through a modular Poincaré inequality

## The setting

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open set,

 $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) = \{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable w.r.t Lebesgue measure} \}$ 

Given a mapping  $\rho_X(\cdot) : \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \to [0,\infty]$ , the set

 $X(\Omega) = \{ u \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) : 
ho_X(u) < \infty \},$ 

is a modular function space over  $\Omega$  if the pair  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$  satisfies the following properties:

- *i*  $\rho_X(u) = \rho_X(|u|)$  and  $\rho_X(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u \equiv 0$
- $|\mathbf{i}| |\mathbf{u}| \leq |\mathbf{v}|$  a.e.  $\Rightarrow \rho_X(\mathbf{u}) \leq \rho_X(\mathbf{v})$
- iii  $\rho_X(u+v) \leq \rho_X(u) + \rho_X(v) \qquad \forall u, v : uv \equiv 0$
- iv if  $E\subset \Omega$  is measurable set and  $|E|<\infty$ , then  $ho_X(\chi_E)<\infty$
- $\mathbf{v} |u_j| \uparrow |u|$  a.e.  $\Rightarrow \rho_X(u_j) \uparrow \rho_X(u)$
- $\forall k > 1 \exists c_k > 1 : 
  ho_X(ku) \leq c_k 
  ho_X(u)$

## The setting

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open set,

 $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) = \{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable w.r.t Lebesgue measure} \}$ 

Given a mapping  $\rho_X(\cdot) : \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \to [0,\infty]$ , the set

 $X(\Omega) = \{ u \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) : \rho_X(u) < \infty \},$ 

is a modular function space over  $\Omega$  if the pair  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$  satisfies the following properties:

*i*  $\rho_X(u) = \rho_X(|u|)$  and  $\rho_X(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u \equiv 0$ 

 $|u| \leq |v|$  a.e.  $\Rightarrow 
ho_X(u) \leq 
ho_X(v)$ 

iii  $\rho_X(u+v) \le \rho_X(u) + \rho_X(v)$   $\forall u, v : uv \equiv 0$ 

iv if  $E\subset \Omega$  is measurable set and  $|E|<\infty$ , then  $ho_X(\chi_E)<\infty$ 

 $\mathbf{v} |u_j| \uparrow |u|$  a.e.  $\Rightarrow \rho_X(u_j) \uparrow \rho_X(u)$ 

## The setting

 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open set,

 $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) = \{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable w.r.t Lebesgue measure} \}$ 

Given a mapping  $\rho_X(\cdot) : \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \to [0,\infty]$ , the set

$$X(\Omega) = \{ u \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) : \rho_X(u) < \infty \},$$

is a modular function space over  $\Omega$  if the pair  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$  satisfies the following properties:

 $i \ \rho_X(u) = \rho_X(|u|) \text{ and } \rho_X(u) = 0 \text{ if and only if } u \equiv 0$   $ii \ |u| \le |v| \text{ a.e. } \Rightarrow \rho_X(u) \le \rho_X(v)$   $iii \ \rho_X(u+v) \le \rho_X(u) + \rho_X(v) \qquad \forall u, v : uv \equiv 0$   $iv \text{ if } E \subset \Omega \text{ is measurable set and } |E| < \infty, \text{ then } \rho_X(\chi_E) < \infty$   $v \ |u_j| \uparrow |u| \text{ a.e. } \Rightarrow \rho_X(u_j) \uparrow \rho_X(u)$  $vi \ \forall k > 1 \exists c_k > 1 : \rho_X(ku) \le c_k \rho_X(u)$ 

# AS A CONSEQUENCE of the previous properties we have the following type-convexity of $\rho_X$ :

 $\rho_X(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \rho_X(u) + \rho_X(v) \qquad \forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta = 1.$ 

## Examples of modular

#### EXAMPLE 1

Consider

#### $\rho_X(u) = \|u\|_X$

where  $X(\Omega)$  is a Banach function space in the sense given by Bennett & Sharpley.

≣ 6/34

In particular, it holds for

 $\rho_X(u) = \|u\|_A$ 

where  $X(\Omega) = L^{A}(\Omega)$  is an Orlicz space

NOTE that it is not required that A satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition, but rather that  $\rho_X$  has to satisfy property *vi*.

$$\left(\|u\|_{A} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} A\left(\frac{|u|}{\lambda}\right) \le 1\right\}\right)$$

In particular, it holds for

 $\rho_X(u) = \|u\|_A$ 

where  $X(\Omega) = L^{A}(\Omega)$  is an Orlicz space

NOTE that it is not required that A satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition, but rather that  $\rho_X$  has to satisfy property **vi**.

$$\left(\|u\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\left(rac{|u|}{\lambda}
ight) \leq 1
ight\}
ight)$$

#### EXAMPLE 2

Consider

$$\rho_X(u) = \int_\Omega A(|u|) \, dx$$

where  $A: [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is a Young function (i.e. an increasing, continuous, convex, and such that A(0) = 0, A(t) > 0 for t > 0) satisfying the  $\Delta_2$  condition.

**NOTE** that  $\rho_X$  is not a norm unless A(t) = t and so here we need to require that A satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition.

#### EXAMPLE 2

Consider

$$\rho_X(u) = \int_\Omega A(|u|) \, dx$$

where  $A : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is a Young function (i.e. an increasing, continuous, convex, and such that A(0) = 0, A(t) > 0 for t > 0) satisfying the  $\Delta_2$  condition.

NOTE that  $\rho_X$  is not a norm unless A(t) = t and so here we need to require that A satisfies the  $\Delta_2$  condition.

8/34

#### EXAMPLE 3

Consider

$$\rho_X(u) = \int_\Omega P(|u|) \, dx$$

where  $P : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  is increasing, continuous, strictly CONCAVE, unbounded and such that P(0) = 0.

(HERE  $\rho_X$  is not convex!)

э



We consider the generalized Sobolev space defined as

 $W^1X(\Omega) = \{u \text{ weakly differentiable } : u \in X(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in X(\Omega)\}$ 

A 1

- A - B - M

э

 $u_j \rightarrow u$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1X(\Omega)$ 

 $\rho_X(u_j) \to \rho_X(u), \quad \rho_X(|\nabla u_j|) \to \rho_X(|\nabla u|).$ 

Ξ.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

**REMARK** In the case  $\rho_X$  is the norm in a Banach function space X, the convergence in norm of the function and of its gradient is not equivalent to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence, but the former implies the latter.

## Statement of the result

THEOREM (Modular Poincaré inequality)  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X), (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y), \text{ and } (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z) \text{ are such that}$   $\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1X(\Omega)}.$ If for some strictly increasing function  $\varphi : [0, \infty[ \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  such that  $\varphi^{-1} \in \Delta_2, \text{ it is}]$ 

 $c_1 
ho_Y(f) \leq \varphi(
ho_X(f)) \leq c_2 
ho_Z(f) \quad f \in Z(\Omega) \,,$ 

 $ho_X(u) \leq c_{arphi^{-1}}(c_1 \, c_2 \, c(Y, Z)) \, 
ho_X(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^+X(v)}$ 

## Statement of the result

**THEOREM** (Modular Poincaré inequality)  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X), (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y), \text{ and } (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z) \text{ are such that}$  $\rho_Z(u) \le c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1X(\Omega)}.$ 

If for some strictly increasing function  $\varphi : [0, \infty[ \to [0, \infty[$  such that  $\varphi^{-1} \in \Delta_2$ , it is

 $c_1 \rho_Y(f) \leq \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \leq c_2 \rho_Z(f) \quad f \in Z(\Omega) \,,$ 

## Statement of the result

THEOREM (Modular Poincaré inequality)  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X), (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y), \text{ and } (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z) \text{ are such that}$  $\rho_Z(u) \le c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1X(\Omega)}.$ 

If for some strictly increasing function  $\varphi : [0, \infty[ \to [0, \infty[$  such that  $\varphi^{-1} \in \Delta_2$ , it is

 $c_1 \rho_Y(f) \leq \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \leq c_2 \rho_Z(f) \quad f \in Z(\Omega) \,,$ 

 $\downarrow$ 

 $ho_X(u) \leq c_{arphi^{-1}}(c_1 \, c_2 \, c(Y, Z)) \, 
ho_X(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^1X(\Omega)}.$ 

#### NOTE that

- $\rho_Z(u) \le c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|)$   $u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1X(\Omega)}$ is an abstract Sobolev-type inequality
- the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1X(\Omega)$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

NOTE that

- $\rho_Z(u) \le c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|)$   $u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1X(\Omega)}$ is an abstract Sobolev-type inequality
- the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1X(\Omega)$

э

14/34

## Actually the proof is a straightforward consequence of the assumptions but examples and comments in the sequel will show:

- the differences with the current results in literature
- we unify and extend known results

Actually the proof is a straightforward consequence of the assumptions but examples and comments in the sequel will show:

- the differences with the current results in literature
- we unify and extend known results

#### Remarks

**REMARK 1** In the proof we make use of a Sobolev-type inequality applied to a closure of smooth, compactly supported functions (see e.g. *Brezis: Functional analysis. Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations (2011)*).

Actually, we underline that a weak form of the Sobolev estimate is sufficient and we don't need the full gain of summability established by the Sobolev inequality: even if the gain is not optimal therein, an improvement of the exponent exists and this is sufficient. (as it happens e.g in *Kovácik-Rákosník:Czechoslovak Math. J* (1991), in the variable exponent case).

#### Remarks

**REMARK 1** In the proof we make use of a Sobolev-type inequality applied to a closure of smooth, compactly supported functions (see e.g. *Brezis: Functional analysis. Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations (2011)*).

Actually, we underline that a weak form of the Sobolev estimate is sufficient and we don't need the full gain of summability established by the Sobolev inequality: even if the gain is not optimal therein, an improvement of the exponent exists and this is sufficient. (as it happens e.g in *Kovácik-Rákosník:Czechoslovak Math. J* (1991), in the variable exponent case).

**REMARK 2** It is well known that the classical Poincaré inequality does not hold, in general, for unbounded  $\Omega$ , the typical counterexample being  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Unbounded  $\Omega$  are allowed:  $\Omega$  can be bounded in one direction or the measure of  $\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R)$  tends sufficiently fast to zero as  $R \to \infty$ . (see Frehse: Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. (1982)).

Our assumptions do not impose a priori any restriction on the domain  $\Omega$ . For instance, in Examples 2, 3 below, any open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is allowed.

**REMARK 2** It is well known that the classical Poincaré inequality does not hold, in general, for unbounded  $\Omega$ , the typical counterexample being  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ . Unbounded  $\Omega$  are allowed:  $\Omega$  can be bounded in one direction or the measure of  $\Omega \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R)$  tends sufficiently fast to zero as  $R \to \infty$ . (see Frehse: Jahresber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. (1982)). Our assumptions do not impose a priori any restriction on the domain  $\Omega$ . For instance, in Examples 2, 3 below, any open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is allowed.



REMARK 3 Note that for given  $(Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$  and  $(Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ , choosing in a suitable way  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$ , we may get two "endpoint" cases of our Poincaré inequality: assume the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|),$$

and assume the second inequality

• for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $\rho_Y(u) \le c_2 \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get  $\rho_Y(u) \le c_2 \rho_Y(|\nabla u|)$ ,  $u \in \overline{C^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1Y(\Omega)}$ 

• for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $c_1 \rho_Y(u) \le \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

 $ho_Z(u) \leq c \, 
ho_Z(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^+Z(\Omega)}$ 



REMARK 3 Note that for given  $(Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$  and  $(Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ , choosing in a suitable way  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$ , we may get two "endpoint" cases of our Poincaré inequality: assume the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|),$$

and assume the second inequality

• for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $\rho_Y(u) \le c_2 \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

 $ho_{\mathbf{Y}}(u) \leq c \, 
ho_{\mathbf{Y}}(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^*Y(\Omega)}$ 

• for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $c_1 \rho_Y(u) \le \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

 $ho_Z(u) \leq c \, 
ho_Z(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^1Z(\Omega)}$ 

REMARK 3 Note that for given  $(Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$  and  $(Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ , choosing in a suitable way  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$ , we may get two "endpoint" cases of our Poincaré inequality: assume the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|),$$

and assume the second inequality

• for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $\rho_Y(u) \le c_2 \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

$$\rho_{\mathbf{Y}}(u) \leq c \, \rho_{\mathbf{Y}}(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1 Y(\Omega)} \tag{1}$$

• for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $c_1 \rho_Y(u) \le \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

 $ho_Z(u) \leq c \, 
ho_Z(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^1Z(\Omega)}$ 

18/34

REMARK 3 Note that for given  $(Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$  and  $(Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ , choosing in a suitable way  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$ , we may get two "endpoint" cases of our Poincaré inequality: assume the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|),$$

and assume the second inequality

- for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $\rho_Y(u) \le c_2 \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get  $\rho_Y(u) \le c \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1Y(\Omega)}$
- for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $c_1 \rho_Y(u) \le \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

 $ho_Z(u) \leq c \, 
ho_Z(|
abla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^1Z(\Omega)}$ 

(1)

REMARK 3 Note that for given  $(Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$  and  $(Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ , choosing in a suitable way  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X)$ , we may get two "endpoint" cases of our Poincaré inequality: assume the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|),$$

and assume the second inequality

- for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Y(\Omega), \rho_Y)$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $\rho_Y(u) \le c_2 \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get  $\rho_Y(u) \le c \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^1Y(\Omega)}$
- for  $(X(\Omega), \rho_X) = (Z(\Omega), \rho_Z)$ ,  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , that is  $c_1 \rho_Y(u) \le \rho_Z(u)$ , therefore we get

$$\rho_{Z}(u) \leq c \,\rho_{Z}(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{W^{1}Z(\Omega)}$$
(2)

(1)

#### PRECISELY

Poincaré inequalities (1) and (2) involve respectively the domain space and the target space of the Sobolev inequality

 $\rho_{Z}(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \rho_{Y}(|\nabla u|)$ 

while the Poincaré inequality in the theorem

$$\rho_X(u) \leq c_{\varphi^{-1}}(c_1 c_2 c(Y, Z)) \rho_X(|\nabla u|)$$

involves an intermediate space  $X(\Omega)$  (see also next Examples 4, 5).

A 10

## Examples

EXAMPLE 1  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \text{ open, } |\Omega| < \infty \text{ , } 1 \leq p < n.$   $(Y, \rho_{Y}) = (L^{p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p}), \quad (Z, \rho_{Z}) = (L^{p^{*}}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p^{*}}), p^{*} = np/(n-p)$   $(X, \rho_{X}) = \left(L^{A}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} A(\cdot) dx\right)$ 

where A is a Young function such that

 $pA(t) \leq tA'(t) \leq p^*A(t), t \geq 0.$ 

⇒ the Sobolev inequality  $\rho_Z(u) \le c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|)$  obviously holds and the second inequality  $c_1\rho_Y(f) \le \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \le c_2\rho_Z(f)$  is satisfied choosing  $\varphi(t) = A^{-1}(t)$  (see Fiorenza: NonlinearAnal. (1991))

#### Hence

# $\int_{\Omega} A(u) dx \leq c_A \, \int_{\Omega} A(|\nabla u|) dx$

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^A(\Omega)$ .

**REMARK** Obviously the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,A}(\Omega)$ , since the convergence in norm implies the  $\rho_X$ -convergence.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} A(u) dx \leq c_A \int_{\Omega} A(|\nabla u|) dx$$

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^A(\Omega)$ .

REMARK Obviously the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,A}(\Omega)$ , since the convergence in norm implies the  $\rho_X$ -convergence.

**EXAMPLE 2**  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 2$  open,

 $(Y, \rho_Y) = (L^n(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_n), \qquad (Z, \rho_Z) = (L^A(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_A)$ 

 $(X, 
ho_X) = \left(L^A(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_A
ight) \quad ext{with} \quad A(t) = exp(t^{n/(n-1)}) - 1$ 

⇒ the Sobolev inequality  $\rho_Z(u) \le c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|)$  holds (see Trudinger:J.Math.Mech., (1967)) and the second inequality  $c_1\rho_Y(f) \le \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \le c_2\rho_Z(f)$  is satisfied choosing

$$\varphi(t)=t, \qquad c_2=1$$

and observing that

$$L^{A}(\Omega) \subset L^{n}(\Omega)$$

since A(t) dominates  $B(t) = t^n$  globally (see Adams-Fournier: Sobolev Spaces, (2003))

Hence

## $\|u\|_A \leq c \, \|\nabla u\|_A$

# for every u in the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the $\rho_X$ -convergence in $W^1 L^A(\Omega)$ .

**REMARK** As in the previous example, we note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,A}(\Omega)$ .

A 10

Hence

## $\|u\|_A \leq c \, \|\nabla u\|_A$

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^A(\Omega)$ .

**REMARK** As in the previous example, we note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,A}(\Omega)$ .

REMARK Obviously, if  $L^{B}(\Omega)$  is any Orlicz space such that  $L^{A}(\Omega) \subset L^{B}(\Omega) \subset L^{n}(\Omega)$ , choosing  $(X, \rho_{X}) = (L^{B}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{B})$ , we have also  $\|u\|_{B} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{A}$ 

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^B(\Omega)$ . We note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,B}(\Omega)$ , and of course also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,A}(\Omega)$ .

#### EXAMPLE 3 A a Young function satisfying

$$\int_0 \left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt < \infty, \qquad n \ge 2,$$

Setting

$$H(r) = \left(\int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{A(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} dt\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \qquad r \ge 0$$
$$A_n = A \circ H^{-1}$$

where  $H^{-1}$  is the left-continuous inverse of H, the optimal Sobolev inequality

 $\|u\|_{L^{A_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{L^A(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ 

holds (see Cianchi (1999)). Setting  $B(t) = \max\{A_n(t), A(t)\}, t \ge 0$ , obviously B(t) dominates  $A_n(t)$  globally is a set in a set of the set

 $\Rightarrow$  the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \, \rho_Y(|\nabla u|) \quad u \in \overline{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{W^1X(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

holds with  $(Y, \rho_Y) = (L^A(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_A), (Z, \rho_Z) = (L^B(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_B)$ (see Adams-Fournier: Sobolev Spaces, (2003)). Moreover, the second inequality  $c_1\rho_Y(f) \leq \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \leq c_2\rho_Z(f)$  is satisfied choosing

$$(X, \rho_X) = \left(L^B(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_B\right), \, \varphi(t) = t, \, c_2 = 1$$

It follows

#### $\|u\|_B \leq c \, \|\nabla u\|_B$

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

EXAMPLE 4  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $|\Omega| < \infty$ ,  $p(\cdot) : \Omega \to [1, p_+], 1 \le p_+ < n$   $p^*(\cdot) = \frac{np(\cdot)}{n - p(\cdot)}$   $(Y, \rho_Y) = (L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}), (Z, \rho_Z) = (L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p^*(\cdot)})$  $\Downarrow$ 

the Sobolev inequality  $\rho_Z(u) \leq c(Y, Z) \rho_Y(|\nabla u|)$  holds provided the maximal operator is bounded on  $L^{(p^*(\cdot)/n')'}(\Omega)$ ,  $n' = \frac{n}{n-1}$ (see e.g. Cruz-Uribe-Fiorenza:Variable Lebesgue spaces, (2013)). Moreover, the second inequality  $c_1\rho_Y(f) \leq \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \leq c_2\rho_Z(f)$  is satisfied choosing

$$(X,
ho_X)=\left(L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega),\|\cdot\|_{p^*(\cdot)}
ight),\,arphi(t)=t,\,c_2=1$$

and observing that  $L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

Hence

 $\|u\|_{p^*(\cdot)} \leq c \, \|\nabla u\|_{p^*(\cdot)}$ 

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . We note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

$$\left(\|u\|_{\rho(\cdot)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0: \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)} dx \le 1\right\}\right)$$

**REMARK** Obviously, if  $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is such that

 $L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ ,

choosing  $(X, \rho_X) = (L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{q(\cdot)})$ , we have also

 $\|u\|_{q(\cdot)} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{p^*(\cdot)}$ 

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . We note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

EXAMPLE 5  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $|\Omega| < \infty$ ,  $p(\cdot) : \Omega \to [1, p_+], 1 \le p_+ < n$ . Suppose that the maximal operator is bounded on  $L^{(p^*(\cdot)/n')'}(\Omega)$ ,  $p^*(\cdot) = np(\cdot)/(n - p(\cdot)), n' = n/(n - 1)$ .  $(Y, \rho_Y) = (L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}), (Z, \rho_Z) = (L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p^*(\cdot)}),$  $\Downarrow$ 

the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_{Z}(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \rho_{Y}(|\nabla u|),$$

holds (see e.g. Cruz-Uribe-Fiorenza:Variable Lebesgue spaces, (2013)). Moreover, setting  $(X, \rho_X) = (L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t, c_1 = 1$ , the second inequality  $c_1\rho_Y(f) \le \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \le c_2\rho_Z(f)$  is satisfied observing that  $L^{p^*(\cdot)}(\Omega) \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

Hence

## $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \, \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}$

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . We note that the same inequality holds also for u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm convergence in  $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ .

EXAMPLE 6  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  bounded,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $A(t) = exp(t^{q/(q-1)}) - 1$ .  $(Y, \rho_Y) = (L^{n,q}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{L^{n,q}(\Omega)}), (Z, \rho_Z) = (L^A(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_A),$  $\Downarrow$ 

the Sobolev inequality

$$\rho_{Z}(u) \leq c(Y,Z) \rho_{Y}(|\nabla u|),$$

holds (see Brezis-Wainger: Commun. Partial Differ. Equ., (1980); Alvino-Trombetti-Lions: Nonlinear Anal.(1989)).

Moreover, setting  $(X, \rho_X) = (L^{n,q}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{L^{n,q}(\Omega)})$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t$ , the second inequality  $c_1\rho_Y(f) \leq \varphi(\rho_X(f)) \leq c_2\rho_Z(f)$  is satisfied observing that  $L^{n,q}(\Omega) \subset L^A(\Omega)$ .

#### Hence

#### $\|u\|_{L^{n,q}(\Omega)} \leq c \, \|\nabla u\|_{L^{n,q}(\Omega)}$

for every u in the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $\rho_X$ -convergence in  $W^1 L^{n,q}(\Omega)$ .

$$\left(\|f\|_{n,q}^{q} = n \int_{0}^{+\infty} |\Omega_{t}|^{\frac{q}{n}} t^{q-1} dt < \infty \quad |\Omega_{t}| = \{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > t\}, t \ge 0\right)$$

#### THANK YOU FOR THE ATTENTION!

(日)

2