

Removable sets with generalized Orlicz growth

Arttu Karppinen

arvika@utu.fi

University of Turku

18.1.2021

Based on joint work with Iwona Chlebicka

Removable sets are essentially null sets for some function classes. For Lebesgue integrable functions $L^1(\Omega)$ over a domain Ω , zero measured sets E are removable since $L^1(\Omega \setminus E) = L^1(\Omega)$.

In Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ this is not enough since removing a zero measured set changes the test functions:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_j} \varphi(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_j} dx$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

A notion of p -capacity yields the characterization of removable sets in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. For compact set $E \subset \Omega$ we define

$$\text{cap}_p(E, \Omega) = \inf_{v \in S_E} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx,$$

where $S_E := \{f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : f \geq 1 \text{ in } E\}$. A set E satisfies $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\text{cap}_p(E, \Omega) = 0$.

In this talk we are interested in removable sets of continuous φ -harmonic functions, where φ is a generalized Orlicz function.

$\varphi : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a convex $\Phi(\Omega)$ -function if

- For every measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function $x \mapsto \varphi(x, f(x))$ is measurable and for every $x \in \Omega$ the function $t \mapsto \varphi(x, t)$ is non-decreasing.
- $\varphi(x, 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(x, t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x, t) = \infty$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$.
- $t \mapsto \varphi(x, t)$ is convex and left-continuous.

$u \in W^{1,\varphi}(\Omega)$ if u and its weak gradient have finite norms

$$\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda : \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Generalized Orlicz functions have familiar special cases:

- $\varphi(x, t) = t^p$ is the classical p -growth
- $\varphi(x, t) = \varphi_0(t)$ is the Orlicz growth (for example $\varphi_0(t) = \log(e + t)t^p$)
- $\varphi(x, t) = a(x)t^{p(x)}$ is the variable exponent growth
- $\varphi(x, t) = t^p + a(x)t^q$ is the double phase growth
- $\log(e + t)t^{p(x)}, t^{p(x)} + a(x)t^{q(x)}, \dots$

Let us write $\varphi_B^+(s) := \sup_{x \in B \cap \Omega} \varphi(s)$ and $\varphi_B^-(s) := \inf_{x \in B \cap \Omega} \varphi(s)$. We need φ to satisfy the following regularity assumptions

(A0) There exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\varphi^+(\beta) \leq 1 \leq \varphi^-(1/\beta)$

(A1) There exists $\beta > 0$ such that $\varphi_B^+(\beta s) \leq \varphi_B^-(s)$ for every $s \in [1, (\varphi_B^-)^{-1}(1/|B|)]$

(aInc) $_\rho$ There exists $L_\rho \geq 1$ such that $\frac{\varphi(x,t)}{t^\rho} \leq L_\rho \frac{\varphi(x,s)}{s^\rho}$ for all $t < s$

(aDec) $_q$ There exists $L_q \geq 1$ such that $\frac{\varphi(x,t)}{t^q} \leq L_q \frac{\varphi(x,s)}{s^q}$ for all $t > s$

We write just (aInc) if there exists $\rho > 1$ such that φ satisfies (aInc) $_\rho$, similarly for (aDec).

$\varphi(x, t)$	(A0)	(A1)	(alnc)	(aDec)
t^p	True	True	$p > 1$	$p < \infty$
$a(x)t^{\rho(x)}$	$a(\cdot) \approx 1$	$\rho \in C^{\text{log}}$	$\text{ess inf } \rho(x) > 1$	$\text{ess sup } \rho(x) < \infty$
$\log(e+t)t^p$	True	True	$p > 1$ (∇_2)	$p < \infty$ (Δ_2)
$t^p + a(x)t^q$	$a \in L^\infty$	$a \in C^{0, \frac{n}{p}(q-p)}$	$p > 1$	$q < \infty$

Definition

A function $u \in W^{1,\varphi}(\Omega)$ is a A -harmonic function in Ω if

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla w \, dx = 0$$

for all $w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

We assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ is an open bounded set and $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

- $x \mapsto A(x, z)$ is measurable
- $z \mapsto A(x, z)$ is continuous
- $|A(x, z)| \leq c_1 \frac{\varphi(x, |z|)}{|z|}$
- $c_2 \varphi(x, |z|) \leq A(x, z) \cdot z$
- $0 < (A(x, z_1) - A(x, z_2)) \cdot (z_1 - z_2)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and distinct z_1, z_2

for fixed $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and a convex generalized Orlicz function φ .

Let φ be a convex $\Phi(\Omega)$ -function and define

$$h_\varphi(B(y, r)) := \int_{B(y, r)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{r}\right) dx.$$

We get a Hausdorff measure of a set E by a standard construction

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_{\varphi, \delta}(E) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf_{C_E^\delta} \sum_j h_\varphi(B_j),$$

where C_E^δ is a countable collection of balls $B_j \subset \Omega$ such that they cover E and have radii less than δ .

We can also define a relative φ -capacity following the standard construction as in Baruah, Harjulehto & Hästö (2018): for a compact $K \subset \Omega$

$$\text{cap}_\varphi(K, \Omega) := \inf_{v \in S_K} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |\nabla v|) dx,$$

with similar test functions

$$S_K := \{v \in W^{1,\varphi}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\Omega) : v \geq 1 \text{ in } K \text{ and } v \geq 0\}.$$

For open sets U we define

$$\text{cap}_\varphi(U, \Omega) = \sup_{\substack{K \subset U \\ K \text{ compact}}} \text{cap}_\varphi(K, \Omega)$$

and for any set E

$$\text{cap}_\varphi(E, \Omega) = \sup_{\substack{E \subset U \subset \Omega \\ U \text{ open}}} \text{cap}_\varphi(U, \Omega).$$

Theorem

Suppose E is a relatively closed subset of Ω . Then the following are equivalent

- $W_0^{1,\varphi}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,\varphi}(\Omega \setminus E)$
- $\text{cap}_\varphi(E, \Omega) = 0$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_\varphi(E) < \infty$ implies that $\text{cap}_\varphi(E, \Omega) = 0$ by De Filippis & Mingione (2020), we get the following result (without harmonicity of u):

Corollary

Let $E \subset \Omega$ be a relatively closed subset of Ω such that $\mathcal{H}_\varphi(E) < \infty$ and $u \in W^{1,\varphi}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus E} A(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla w \, dx = 0$$

for all $w \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$. Then u is a A -harmonic on the whole Ω .

In the case of $\varphi(x, t) = t^p$, a full characterization was obtained by Kilpeläinen and Zhong (2000).

Theorem

Let $E \subset \Omega$ be closed and $s > 0$. Suppose that u is a continuous function in Ω , A -harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$ such that

$$|u(x_0) - u(y)| \leq C|x_0 - y|^{(s+p-n)/(p-1)}$$

for all $y \in \Omega$ and $x_0 \in E$. If E is of s -Hausdorff measure zero, then u is A -harmonic in Ω .

Corollary

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. A closed set E is removable for α -Hölder continuous p -harmonic functions if and only if E is of $n - p + \alpha(p - 1)$ Hausdorff measure zero.

See also Carleson (1967), Hirata (2011), Ono (2013).

A variable exponent analogue of the classical case can be found in paper of Latvala, Lukkari & Toivanen (2010).

Theorem

Let $p(\cdot)$ be a log-Hölder continuous and $E \subset \Omega$ be closed and let $u \in C(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus E$, and assume that

$$|u(x_0) - u(y)| \leq M|x_0 - y|^\alpha$$

for all $y \in \Omega$ and $x_0 \in E$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$. If

$$\mathcal{H}_{s(\cdot)}(E) = 0,$$

where

$$s(x) = n - p(x) + \alpha(p(x) - 1),$$

then u is a weak solution in Ω .

See also Fu & Shan (2015).

In Orlicz growth the result the following result was proven by Challal & Lyaghfour (2011).

Theorem

Let $E \subset \Omega$ be a closed set and $s > 0$. Assume that u is a continuous function in Ω , A_{φ_0} -harmonic in $\Omega \setminus E$, and such that for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq L|x - y|^\alpha \quad \forall y \in \Omega, \forall x \in E.$$

If E is of m -Hausdorff measure zero, with $m = \tau(\alpha)$, then u is A -harmonic in Ω .

Here $\tau(\alpha) = (\alpha - 1) \frac{a_0}{a_0 + 1} (1 + a_1) + \left(\frac{a_0}{a_0 + 1} + \frac{1}{a_1 + 1} \right) n - 1$
and a_0 and a_1 correspond to $p - 1$ and $q - 1$ from $(\text{alnc})_p$ and $(\text{aDec})_q$.

Double phase case was settled by Chlebicka & De Filippis (2020)

Theorem

Let $\frac{q}{p} \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n}$ and $E \subset \Omega$ be a closed subset and $u \in C(\Omega)$ be a continuous solution to $-\operatorname{div} A_H(x, Du) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus E$ such that, for all $x_1 \in E, x_2 \in \Omega$,

$$|u(x_1) - u(x_2)| \leq C_u |x_1 - x_2|^{\beta_0}$$

for a positive, absolute constant C_u and some $\beta_0 \in (0, 1]$. If $\mathcal{H}_{H_\sigma(\cdot)}(E) = 0$, for $\sigma := 1 - \frac{\beta_0}{q}(p-1)$ then u is a solution in Ω .

Here $H_\sigma(x, z) := |z|^{p\sigma} + a(x)^\sigma |z|^{q\sigma}$, $\frac{1}{p} < \sigma \leq 1$.

Corresponding result in generalized Orlicz spaces:

Theorem (Chlebicka & K)

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, is a bounded open set and A satisfies structural conditions with a convex Φ -function $\varphi : \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying (A0), (A1), $(aInc)_p$ and $(aDec)_q$ with some $1 < p \leq q \leq n$. Let $E \subset \Omega$ be a closed subset and $u \in C(\Omega) \cap W^{1,\varphi}(\Omega \setminus E)$ be a continuous solution to $-\operatorname{div}(A(x, \nabla u)) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus E$ such that there exist some $C_u > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1]$

$$|u(x_1) - u(x_2)| \leq C_u |x_1 - x_2|^\theta \quad \text{for all } x_1 \in E, x_2 \in \Omega.$$

If $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{J}_{\theta,\varphi}}(E) = 0$, with $\mathcal{J}_{\theta,\varphi}(B(y, r)) = r^{-\theta} \int_{B(y,r)} \varphi(x, r^{\theta-1}) dx$, then u is A -harmonic in Ω .

If $\varphi(x, t) = t^p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\theta, \varphi}(B(y, r)) &= r^{-\theta} \int_{B(y, r)} \varphi(x, r^{\theta-1}) dx \leq Cr^{-\theta} r^n r^{(\theta-1)p} \\ &= Cr^{n-\rho+\theta(p-1)}\end{aligned}$$

If $\varphi(x, t) = t^p + a(x)t^q$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{\theta, \varphi}(B(y, r)) &= r^{-\theta} \int_{B(y, r)} r^{p(\theta-1)} + a(x)r^{q(\theta-1)} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{B(y, r)} r^{-\rho(1-\frac{\theta}{q}(p-1))} + a(x)^{1-\frac{\theta}{q}(p-1)} r^{-q(1-\frac{\theta}{q}(p-1))}\end{aligned}$$

Main steps of the proof:

- Existence and uniqueness of solutions v to obstacle problems
- Hölder continuity of v for Hölder continuous ψ
- The following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla v)(B(x_0, r)) &=: \mu(B(x_0, r)) \\ &\leq Cr^{-\theta} \int_{B(x_0, r)} \varphi(x, r^{\theta-1}) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where v is a solution to a an obstacle problem, where u is the obstacle.

- Show what v is actually A -harmonic in Ω and equals to u almost everywhere.

Normally the argument is to use Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B_{2r}(x_0)) &\leq \int_{B_{4r}(x_0)} \eta^q d\mu = q \int_{B_{4r}(x_0)} \eta^{q-1} A(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \eta dx \\ &\leq C \left\| \frac{\varphi(\cdot, |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla v|} \right\|_{L^{\varphi^*}} \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^\varphi} \end{aligned}$$






However, the Luxemburg norms are difficult to estimate and the best we got was






$$\mu(B_{2r}(x_0)) \leq C \frac{1}{r \varphi^{-1}\left(x_0, \frac{1}{|B(x_0, r)|}\right)} \left(\int_{B(x_0, r)} \varphi(x, r^{\theta-1}) dx \right)^{1/p'}.$$

This is more or less equivalent to known Orlicz result and recovers classical and variable exponent results.

The way forward was to use Young's inequality instead of Hölder's inequality (here η is a standard cut-off function with $|\nabla\eta| \leq \frac{4}{r}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu(B(x_0, 2r)) &\leq \int_{B(x_0, 4r)} \eta^q d\mu = q \int_{B(x_0, 4r)} \eta^{q-1} A(x, \nabla v) \cdot \nabla \eta dx \\
 &\leq cq r^{-\theta} \int_{B(x_0, 4r)} \frac{\varphi(x, |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla v|} r^\theta |\nabla \eta| dx \\
 &\leq cq r^{-\theta} \int_{B(x_0, 4r)} \varphi^* \left(\frac{\varphi(x, |\nabla v|)}{|\nabla v|} \right) + \varphi(x, r^\theta |\nabla \eta|) dx \\
 &\leq cq r^{-\theta} \int_{B(x_0, 4r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla v|) + \varphi(x, r^\theta |\nabla \eta|) dx \\
 &\leq cq r^{-\theta} \int_{B(x_0, 8r)} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\text{osc } v(x)}{r} \right) + \varphi(x, r^\theta |\nabla \eta|) dx \\
 &\leq cq r^{-\theta} \int_{B(x_0, 4r)} \varphi(x, r^{\theta-1}) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

-  I. CHLEBICKA & A. KARPPINEN: *Removable sets in elliptic equations with Musielak–Orlicz growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2020; to appear.
-  D. BARUAH, P. HARJULEHTO AND P. HÄSTÖ: *Capacities in generalized Orlicz spaces*, J. Funct. Spaces, vol. 2018, Article ID 8459874, 10 pages, 2018.
-  C. DE FILIPPIS & G. MINGIONE : *Manifold Constrained Non-uniformly Elliptic Problems*, J. Geometric Analysis 30, pages 1661–1723(2020).
-  T. KILPELÄINEN & X. ZHONG: *Removable set for continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Proceedings of the AMS, 130, (2000).
-  L. CARLESON: *Selected problems on exceptional sets*, Van Nostrand, 1967.

-  K. HIRATA: *Removable sets for continuous solutions of semilinear elliptic equations*, Manuscripta Math. 135(1-2):245–262, (2011).
-  T. ONO: *Removable sets for Hölder continuous solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations with lower order terms*, Math. Ann. 356(1):355–372, (2013).
-  V. LATVALA, T. LUKKARI & O. TOIVANEN: *The fundamental convergence theorem for $p(\cdot)$ -superharmonic functions*, Potential Anal. 35(4):329-351, (2011).
-  Y. FU & Y. SHAN: *Removable sets for Hölder continuous solutions of elliptic equations involving variable exponent*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 424, 1296-1322, (2015).
-  S. CHALLAL & A. LYAGHFOURI: *Removable sets for A -harmonic functions*, Z. Anal. Anwend. 30(4):421–433, (2011).



I. CHLEBICKA & C. DE FILIPPIS: *Removable sets in non-uniformly elliptic problems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 99 (2) (2020), 619-649.

Thank you for your attention!