

# Infinite Automata 2025/26

## Lecture Notes 1

Henry Sinclair-Banks

Recall from the Exercise Sheet 1.

**Theorem 1.1.** Reachability in pushdown automata is decidable in polynomial time.

**Definition 1.2.** A *one-counter machine* (1-CM) consists of a finite set of control states  $Q$  and a set of transition  $T \subseteq Q \times \{-1, 0, +1, = 0?\} \times Q$ ; denoted  $(Q, T)$ . The counter must remain nonnegative at all times, so a configuration of a 1-CM comprises of a control state  $q \in Q$  and a counter value  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ ; denoted  $(q, x)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Reachability in 1-CMs (problem).

Input. A 1-CM  $M$ , an initial configuration  $(s, 0)$ , and a target configuration  $(t, 0)$ .

Question. Does there exist a run from  $(s, 0)$  to  $(t, 0)$  in  $M$ ?

We will also use the notation  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{*}_M (q, 0)$  to denote the existence of a run from  $(p, 0)$  to  $(q, 0)$  in  $M$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Reachability in 1-CMs is decidable in polynomial time.

*Proof sketch.* Construct a pushdown automata  $P$  that simulates a given 1-CM  $M$ . The height of the stack (minus one) will equate to the counter value. Let  $\Gamma = \{\$, a\}$  be the stack alphabet. At the bottom of the stack, we will place one '\$'. The number of 'a's on top of the '\$' will correspond to the counter value of the 1-CM.

- If  $(p, 0, q)$  is a transition in  $M$ , there will be a transition  $(p, \epsilon, q)$  in  $P$ .
- If  $(p, +1, q)$  is a transition in  $M$ , there will be a transition  $(p, \text{push}(a), q)$  in  $P$ .
- If  $(p, -1, q)$  is a transition in  $M$ , there will be a transition  $(p, \text{pop}(a), q)$  in  $P$ .
- If  $(p, = 0?, q)$  is a transition in  $M$ , there will be a pair of transitions  $(p, \text{pop}(\$), p')$  and  $(p', \text{push}(\$), q)$  in  $P$ .

It follows that  $(s, 0) \xrightarrow{*}_M (t, 0)$  if and only if  $(s, \$) \xrightarrow{*}_P (t, \$)$ . Hence we can use Theorem 1.1 to decide reachability in 1-CMs in polynomial time.  $\square$

**Definition 1.4.** A *logarithmic space* Turing machine  $M$  is a Turing machine with the following properties. There are two tapes: one tape is a read-only input tape and the other is a read-write work tape. There there exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, on input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $M$  halts (and accepts or rejects) whilst the work tape head never exceeds  $c \lceil \log(n) \rceil$ . In other words, the size of the work tape is bounded by  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ .

**Definition 1.5.** NL (complexity class). A problem  $X$  belong to NL if there exist a *non-deterministic logarithmic space* Turing machine  $M$  such that, on input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,  $M$  halts and accepts if  $x \in X$ , otherwise  $M$  halts and rejects if  $x \notin X$ .

**Fact 1.6.**  $\text{NL} \subseteq \text{P}$ .

*Proof sketch.* Given a non-deterministic logarithmic space Turing machine  $M$  and an input string  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , construct a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  where the vertices  $V = \{\text{all configurations of } M\}$  and  $E$  is defined as follows. Suppose there are two configuration  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that there is a single transition  $a$  in  $M$  such that  $c_1 \xrightarrow{a} c_2$ , then  $(c_1, c_2) \in E$ . In other words, the edges of  $G$  correspond to what  $M$  can do using just one transition.

This construction can be complete in polynomial time because the number of possible configurations of  $M$  is bounded above by the product of the following values.

- $|x|$  for the head position over the input tape.
- $c \lceil \log |x| \rceil$  for the head position over the work tape.
- $2^{c \lceil \log |x| \rceil}$  for the contents of the work tape (assuming that the alphabet of the work tape has cardinality 2).
- $|Q|$  for the current control state.

Further, we add one additional final node to the graph  $f$ . We also add some final edges to the graph. Let  $c$  be an arbitrary configuration of  $M$  at the “halt and accept” state, then we will add the edge  $(c, f) \in E$ .

Suppose that  $i$  is the initial configuration of the  $M$ , it follows that  $M$  halts on and accepts input  $x$  if and only if  $i \xrightarrow{*}_G f$ . We can therefore decide whether  $M$  halts on and accepts input  $x$  by constructing  $G$  and deciding  $i \xrightarrow{*}_G f$ . This last step can trivially be completed in polynomial time using BFS or DFS.  $\square$

**Definition 1.7.** Directed graph reachability (problem).

Input. A directed graph  $G$ , an initial node  $s$ , and a target node  $t$ .

Question.  $s \xrightarrow{*}_G t$ ?

**Theorem 1.8.** Directed graph reachability is NL-complete.

*Proof sketch.* First, NL-hardness follows from the arguments presented in the proof sketch of Fact 1.6.

Second, we will argue directed graph reachability is in NL. Consider the following non-deterministic algorithm for directed graph reachability. Consider an arbitrary directed graph  $G = (V, E)$ , an initial node  $s$ , and a target node  $t$ . Let  $n = |V|$ .

1. Let  $v \leftarrow s$ . *Set the current node to the starting node.*
2. Let  $\ell \leftarrow 1$ . *Set the current path length to one.*
3. While  $\ell \leq n$ :
  - (a) If  $v = t$ , halt and accept.
  - (b) Among the neighbours of  $v$ , non-deterministically select a new current node  $v \leftarrow v'$ .
  - (c)  $\ell \leftarrow \ell + 1$ .
4. Halt and reject. *If  $t$  could not be reached in  $n$  steps, then  $t$  cannot be reached from  $s$ .*

It remains to argue that this non-deterministic algorithm runs in logarithmic space. There are only two variables to maintain:  $v$  and  $\ell$ . First, the value of  $\ell$  is between 1 and  $|V|$ , so  $\ell$  can be stored in  $\lceil \log(n) \rceil$  space using binary encoding. Similarly, we can number the vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $v$  can store the number of a given vertex and so  $v$  can also be stored in  $\lceil \log(n) \rceil$  space using binary encoding.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.9.** Reachability in 1-CMs is in NL.

**Lemma 1.10.** Let  $M$  be a 1-CM and let  $(p, 0), (q, 0)$  be two configurations. Let  $n$  be the number of states in  $M$ . There exist a polynomial  $f$  such that if  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{*}_M (q, 0)$ , then there exist a run from  $(p, 0)$  to  $(q, 0)$  such that all configurations in the run have counter values at most  $f(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{\pi} (q, 0)$  be the run (in  $M$ ) which, among all other runs, has the least greatest counter value. Let  $(r, x)$  be the configuration in  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{\pi} (q, 0)$  with the greatest counter value. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that  $x > 2n^2 + 2n$ .

For convenience, suppose  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the prefix and suffix of  $\pi$  such that  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} (r, x) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (q, 0)$ . We will now examine  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} (r, x)$  in detail; symmetric arguments can be applied to  $(r, x) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} (q, 0)$ . Let  $q_i(i)$  be the *last* configuration in  $(p, 0) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} (r, x)$  with the counter value  $i$ . We shall call these configurations *marked configurations*.

Consider the  $n^2 + n$  marked configurations  $q_{n^2+n+1}(n^2+n+1), q_{n^2+n+2}(n^2+n+2), \dots, q_{2n^2+2n}(2n^2+2n)$ . We will group these marked configurations in  $n$  blocks, each consisting of  $n+1$  marked configurations.

- Block 1:  $q_{n^2+n+1}(n^2+n+1), q_{n^2+n+2}(n^2+n+2), \dots, q_{n^2+2n+1}(n^2+2n+1)$ .
- Block 2:  $q_{n^2+2n+2}(n^2+2n+2), q_{n^2+2n+3}(n^2+2n+3), \dots, q_{n^2+3n+2}(n^2+3n+2)$ .
- ...
- Block  $n$ :  $q_{2n^2+n}(2n^2+n), q_{2n^2+n+1}(2n^2+n+1), \dots, q_{2n^2+2n}(2n^2+2n)$ .

Now, using pigeonhole principle, observe that a cycle can be found in every block. Since there are  $n+1$  marked configurations in a given block, there must be two marked configurations  $q_i(i)$  and  $q_j(j)$  with the same state  $q_i = q_j$ . Let  $q = q_i = q_j$ . Accordingly, the run from  $q(i)$  to  $q(j)$  is a cycle that adds  $j - i$  to the counter. Importantly, observe that  $1 \leq j - i \leq n$ .

*End of lecture, to be continued.*