

Distribution theory

Aim: find a class of objects that

- (0) is useful for PDE
- (1) contains all continuous functions
- (2) objects possess partial derivatives (in the same class) that coincides, for differentiable functions, with the classical one
- (3) standard differentiation rules should hold
- (4) proper algebraic/analytic structure in the class (Banach space?)

Test functions

For any $K \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we set $\mathcal{D}_K = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp } f \subset K\}$

Then, for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \bigcup_{K \Subset \Omega} \mathcal{D}_K$.

Topology on \mathcal{D}_K

Set $\|f\|_N = \sup \{ |D^\alpha f(x)| : |\alpha| \leq N, x \in \Omega \}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \text{ multiindex } \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n \\ |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \partial x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \end{array} \right.$$

for $f \in \mathcal{D}_K$ $\|\cdot\|_N$ is a ~~semi~~-norm

- it satisfies positive homogeneity & triangle ineq.
~~but it might be zero for~~

However, for a fixed N , it is no more than $C^N(K)$ -norm, it does not capture all the behaviour of high-order derivatives.

Since $\mathcal{D}_K \subset C^\infty$, we would like to have

$$\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_K} \varphi \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall \alpha \quad D^\alpha \varphi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_K} D^\alpha \varphi \quad (\text{convergence in } C^\infty)$$

thus we have on \mathcal{D}_K a topology given by a countable family of norms $\|\cdot\|_N$. } Fréchet space

Equivalently, $d(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|\varphi - \psi\|_N}{1 + \|\varphi - \psi\|_N} \cdot 2^{-N}$ a metric

Fact: \mathcal{D}_K is complete with this metric.

Topology on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$

We can find $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots$ compact subsets of Ω s.t. $\Omega = \bigcup_i K_i$

~~On $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$~~ Clearly, $\mathcal{D}_K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

We choose the strongest topology such that the inclusions $\mathcal{D}_{K_i} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ are continuous (inductive topology).

More transparently: a function on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is continuous, if its restriction to \mathcal{D}_{K_i} , for any K_i , is continuous in the topology of \mathcal{D}_{K_i} .

In the language of convergent sequences:

A sequence (φ_m) in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is convergent iff there exists $K \subset \Omega$ s.t. $\forall \text{supp } \varphi_n \subset K$ and (φ_m) is convergent in \mathcal{D}_K^m (to some φ)

$$\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \quad \|\varphi_m - \varphi\|_N \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Wait: We have a countable number of norms in each \mathcal{D}_{K_i} , clearly

$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \bigcup_i \mathcal{D}_{K_i}$ ← we have a countable family of (semi)norms $\|f\|_{N, K_i} = \sup_{x \in K_i} |D^\alpha f(x)|$

$$= \sup\{|D^\alpha f(x)| : |\alpha| \leq N, x \in K_i\}.$$

Why not just take

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{i, j} \frac{\|f - g\|_{2, k_j}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{2, k_j}} 2^{-(i+j)} \quad ?$$

Exercise: Prove that with the above metric $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is not complete.

Fact: The topology we described on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is not metrizable, however, it is complete in the sense that if (φ_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$

(for every nbhd ~~of~~ \mathcal{U} of 0 there exists n_0 s.t. $\forall n, m > n_0$ $\varphi_n - \varphi_m \in \mathcal{U}$) then φ_n is convergent.

Precise description of topology of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ (~~what sets are~~ (open sets etc.) in Rudin's F.A.

Distributions in Ω are continuous linear functionals on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$; their space is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Value of a distribution S on test function φ will be denoted by $\langle S, \varphi \rangle$.

Topology on $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$

A sequence (S_k) in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ converges to $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ if for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$

$$\langle S_k, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle S, \varphi \rangle$$

(this is known as $*$ -weak topology).

Theorem A linear functional S on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is a distribution (i.e. $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$) if and only if for any $K \subset \Omega$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} |\langle S, \varphi \rangle| &\leq C \|\varphi\|_N \\ \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K. \end{aligned} \right\} (*)$$

This is just rephrasing the fact that the topology on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is such that

S is continuous on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ iff $S|_{\mathcal{D}_K}$ is continuous on \mathcal{D}_K . Continuity on \mathcal{D}_K means precisely $(*)$.

Def: If we can find N such that $(*)$ holds for all $K \subset \Omega$ (N independent of K) then the smallest such N is the order of S .

Examples

functions that are integrable on all compact subsets of Ω

1. Every $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$

defines a distribution T_f

$$\langle T_f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi$$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \varphi \text{ has compact support,} \\ \text{so this makes sense.} \end{array} \right\}$

~~Exercise~~

$$\forall K \subset \subset \Omega \quad |\langle T_f, \varphi \rangle| \leq \int_K |f| \cdot \|\varphi\|_0$$

2. For any $x \in \Omega$ we have the Dirac distribution δ_x order K is 0.

$$\langle \delta_x, \varphi \rangle = \varphi(x)$$

since $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \quad \forall K \subset \subset \Omega \quad |\langle \delta_x, \varphi \rangle| \leq \|\varphi\|_0$,

this is a distribution of order 0.

3. Similarly, every Radon measure μ

defines a distribution

$$\langle T_\mu, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu$$

(of order 0).

- $\mu(A) = \sup \{ \mu(K) : K \subset \subset A \}$
- every pt has a nbhd with finite measure

4. $\langle \delta'_x, \varphi \rangle = -\varphi'(x)$ is a distribution of order 1. ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$)

5. Fix a sequence (x_i) in Ω that has no accumulation points in Ω .

Then $T = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{x_i}^{(i)}$; $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi^{(i)}(x_i)$
is a distribution of infinite order.

Operations on distributions

Multiplication by smooth functions

For any smooth $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C})

$$\langle f \cdot T, \varphi \rangle := \langle T, f \varphi \rangle$$

(for $T = T_g$, with $g \in L^1_{loc}$,

$$\langle f \cdot T_g, \varphi \rangle = \langle T_g, f \varphi \rangle = \int g f \varphi = \langle T_{fg}, \varphi \rangle$$

Problem: Solve the equation $x \cdot T = 0$

(find all such $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$)

Lemma (preparation lemma)

For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. $\psi(0) = 1$

there exists $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ s.t. \forall_x

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(0) \psi(x) + x \tilde{\varphi}(x)$$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } \alpha(x) = \varphi(x) - \varphi(0) \psi(x).$$

Then, by Taylor's formula with integral remainder

$$\alpha(x) = \underbrace{\alpha(0)}_0 + x \alpha'(0) + \int_0^x \frac{\alpha''(t)}{2} (x-t) dt$$

Change of variables: $t = xs$ $s = \frac{t}{x}$
 $dt = x ds$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &= x\alpha'(0) + \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha''(xs)}{2} x(1-s)x ds \\ &= x \left[\alpha'(0) + \frac{x}{2} \int_0^1 \alpha''(xs)(1-s) ds \right] \\ &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\tilde{\varphi}(x)} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is smooth, and satisfies

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(0)\psi(x) + x\tilde{\varphi}(x),$$

since φ and ψ have compact supports, so has $\tilde{\varphi}$.

Solution

Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi(0) = 1$.

Let $C = \langle T, \psi \rangle$.

Now, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T, \varphi \rangle &= \langle T, \varphi(0)\psi + x\tilde{\varphi} \rangle = \\ &= \varphi(0)\langle T, \psi \rangle + \langle x \underset{0}{T}, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle \\ &= C\varphi(0) = \langle C\delta_0, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T = C\delta_0$.

Clearly, for any $C \in \mathbb{R}(\mathbb{C})$ $T = C\delta$ satisfies $xT = 0$.

Problem: Find all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$
such that $x^2 T = 0$.

Question (L. Schwartz, 1951)

Division problem for distributions

Given $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and polynomial P ,
~~Do~~ does there exist $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$
such that $T = P \cdot S$?

Answers: S. Łojasiewicz (1958)

- Yes, not only for polynomial P ,
but for any analytic P .

L. Hörmander (1958, as well)

- yes, for polynomial P .

Differentiation of distributions

For any multiindex α ,

$$\langle D^\alpha T, \varphi \rangle := (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle T, D^\alpha \varphi \rangle$$

(for smooth g , $\langle D^\alpha T_g, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle T_g, D^\alpha \varphi \rangle$
 $= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int g D^\alpha \varphi \stackrel{\text{int. by parts}}{=} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \cdot (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int D^\alpha g \cdot \varphi$
 $= \langle T_{D^\alpha g}, \varphi \rangle$)

Exercise: Prove that

$$D^i (f \cdot T) = D^i f \cdot T + f \cdot D^i T$$

for any \star (D^i - derivative in direction of x_i)

Shifts: Suppose $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$.

We have $\tau_h: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\tau_h f(x) = f(x-h).$$

Then, for $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle \tau_h T, \varphi \rangle := \langle T, \tau_{-h} \varphi \rangle$$

Check that $\tau_h T_g = T_{\tau_h g}$

Convolutions

Denote $\check{u}(y) = u(-y)$, then for any functions u, v

$$u * v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(y) v(x-y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u \cdot \tau_x \check{v}$$

By analogue, for $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(T * \varphi)(x) := \langle T, \tau_x \check{\varphi} \rangle = \langle \tau_{-x} T, \check{\varphi} \rangle$$

Exercises

For any $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

(1) $T * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

(2) $\forall \alpha \quad D^\alpha (T * \varphi) = (D^\alpha T) * \varphi = T * D^\alpha \varphi$

(3) $\tau_h (T * \varphi) = (\tau_h T) * \varphi = T * \tau_h \varphi$

(4) for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$T * (\varphi * \psi) = (T * \varphi) * \psi$$

Theorem: The set $\{T_f : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$
is dense in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof:

Take $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi = 1$

and let $\varphi_m(x) = m^n \varphi(mx)$ (approximate unit.)

Fact: for any $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $f * \varphi_m \implies f$
on any compact subset
of \mathbb{R}^n .

Choose any $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists
 $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the supports of
all $\chi * \varphi_m$ are contained in K
(~~*~~ e.g. $K = \text{supp } \varphi \underset{\uparrow}{+} \text{supp } \chi$).

Minkowski sum

Likewise, ~~the~~ for any α , the supports
of $D^\alpha(\chi * \varphi_m) = D^\alpha \chi * \varphi_m$ are in K .

Since $\forall_\alpha D^\alpha(\chi * \varphi_m) = D^\alpha \chi * \varphi_m \implies D^\alpha \chi$
on K ,
thus on \mathbb{R}^n

we have $\chi * \varphi_m \longrightarrow \chi$
in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Take any $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

$$\langle T, \psi \rangle = \langle T, (\check{\psi})^\vee \rangle = (T * \check{\psi})(0)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (T * \check{\psi}) * \varphi_m(0)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (T * \varphi_m) * \check{\psi}(0)$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_{T * \varphi_m}, \psi \rangle$$

thus $T_{\underbrace{T * \varphi_m}_{\in C^\infty}} \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Exercises

1. Prove that if $\Lambda: \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a continuous linear map such that for any α $D^\alpha \Lambda = \Lambda D^\alpha$ then $\exists T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ s.t. $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ $\Lambda(\varphi) = T * \varphi$.

Q₂ We say that $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ vanishes on $V \subset \Omega$ if $\langle T, \varphi \rangle = 0$ for all φ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subset V$.

$\text{supp } T$ is the complement of the maximal subset of Ω on which T vanishes:

$$\text{supp } T = \bigcap \{ \Omega \setminus V : T \text{ vanishes on } V \}$$

$$\text{supp } T_f = \text{supp } f; \quad \text{supp } \delta_x = \{x\}.$$

2. Suppose T has compact support. Show that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ $T * \varphi$ has compact support.

Using this result we can define $S * T$ for any $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ with compact support:

$$\langle S * T, \varphi \rangle = \langle S, T * \varphi \rangle.$$

3. $S * \delta'_x = S$