

Lebesgue spaces

(1)

Take $p > 0$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $|E| > 0$.

We say that a measurable $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in the Lebesgue space $L^p(E)$ iff $\int_E |f|^p < \infty$.

• this is a linear space:

for $p \geq 1$

$$\int_E |\alpha f + \beta g|^p \leq 2^{p-1} \left[|\alpha|^p \int_E |f|^p + |\beta|^p \int_E |g|^p \right]$$

$$\left(|x+y|^p \leq 2^{p-1} (|x|^p + |y|^p) \right)$$

Jensen's inequality

for $p \in (0, 1)$

even easier: $|x+y|^p \leq |x|^p + |y|^p$ (why?)

thus

$$\int_E |\alpha f + \beta g|^p \leq |\alpha|^p \int_E |f|^p + |\beta|^p \int_E |g|^p$$

• is this a normed space?

A natural candidate for the norm:

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_E |f|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for $p \geq 1$ $\|\cdot\|_p$ satisfies the triangle inequality (which is Minkowski's inequality) and, of course, $\|\lambda f\|_p = |\lambda| \|f\|_p$.

However, if $0 \neq f = 0$ \neq a.e., then

$\|f\|_p = 0$ — there exist non-zero (barely non-zero, though) functions s.t. their p -norm is 0.

Therefore, ~~on $M(E)$~~ $\|\cdot\|_p$ is only a semi-norm.

We remedy this situation by introducing an equivalence relation

$f \sim g$ iff $f = g$ a.e. on E .

Then $f \sim g \iff \|f - g\|_p = 0$.

The elements of the Lebesgue space L^p are not (measurable) functions, but equivalence classes of \sim . We shall neglect this and use the former terminology, tacitly identifying functions that agree a.e.

For $p \in (0, 1)$ the problem is more grave,⁽³⁾
 since $\|\cdot\|_p$ does not satisfy the triangle
 inequality. In fact, we have

$$\| |f| + |g| \|_p \geq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p \quad (\text{reverse Minkowski inequality})$$

(exercise).

However, $L^p(E)$, understood as a space
 of equivalence classes, is a metric space,
 with $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_p^p$.

We shall rarely use L^p spaces for $p < 1$,
 and concentrate on the case $1 \leq p < \infty$,
 when $L^p(E)$ is a Banach space.

↑

to be proven soon.

We shall yet introduce the $L^\infty(E)$ space
 of essentially bounded functions

$$L^\infty(E) = \left\{ [f] \in \mathcal{M}(E) : \text{esssup}_E |f| < \infty \right\}$$

equiv. classes of \sim

where

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\infty &= \text{esssup}_E |f| = \inf \left\{ \alpha : |\{x \in E : |f(x)| > \alpha\}| = 0 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \sup_{E \setminus A} |f| : |A| = 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: (Frigez Riesz, Fischer)

The space $L^p(E)$ with metric $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_p$ is, for any $p \in [1, \infty]$, complete.

Remark. Also for $p \in (0, 1)$, the space $L^p(E)$, equipped with metric $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_p^p$, is complete; the proof follows the same lines as the proof of R.-F. Theorem.

Lemma: A normed linear space V is complete if and only if every ~~unconditionally convergent~~ absolutely convergent series of elements of V is convergent in V

$$\left(\text{i.e. } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| < \infty \Rightarrow \exists \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \right)$$

Proof of the lemma

\Rightarrow (in a complete space all abs. convergent series are convergent).

Suppose $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ is an absolutely ~~continuous~~ convergent series. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \|f_k\| < \varepsilon$.

Set $S_m = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k$ and suppose $m > l > N$. (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|S_m - S_l\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=l+1}^m f_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=l+1}^m \|f_k\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} \|f_k\| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (S_m) is a Cauchy sequence, therefore it is convergent.

← (the other way)

Suppose (a_m) is a Cauchy sequence in V :

$$\forall k \exists m_k \forall s, l > m_k \quad |a_s - a_l| < 2^{-k}.$$

We can assume that $m_{k+1} > m_k$ for all k .

The sequence $(a_{m_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of (a_m) ; let $b_1 = a_{m_1}$, $b_2 = a_{m_2} - a_{m_1}$, ...,

$$b_k = a_{m_k} - a_{m_{k-1}}.$$

Of course $\sum_{l=1}^k b_l = a_{m_k}$, moreover $\forall k > 1 \quad \|b_k\| < 2^{-k+1}$,

thus the series $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} b_l$ is absolutely convergent.

Together with our assumption that an abs. convergent series is convergent we get (a_{m_k}) convergent, as a sequence, to some $a \in V$.

Convergence of the whole sequence (a_m) is immediate:

(6)

$$\|a_m - a\| \leq \|a_m - a_{m_k}\| + \|a_{m_k} - a\|$$

small for large m and k by Cauchy's condition small for large k by convergence of a_{m_k} to a .

Proof of Riesz-Fischer Theorem

Suppose $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_m$ is an absolutely convergent series in $L^p(E)$.

We set $g_m(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m |f_k(x)|$.

The function $g_m(x)$ is measurable, but it might take infinite (i.e. $+\infty$) values.

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|g_m\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \|f_k\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_p =: M,$$

↑
triangle inequality

thus $\int_E |g_m|^p \leq M^p$.

Also, for every $x \in E$, the sequence $(g_m(x))$ is a non-increasing sequence of numbers from $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{+\infty\}$, $[0, \infty]$, thus it is convergent to some $g(x) \in [0, \infty]$.

The function $g: E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is measurable, and by monotone convergence theorem

$\int_E g^p \leq M^p$, thus g is in L^p , in particular $g(x)$ is finite ^{for} a.e. $x \in E$.

Equivalently, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(x)|$ (of real numbers) is absolutely convergent for a.e. $x \in E$

\Rightarrow it is convergent for a.e. $x \in E$

Setting
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) & \text{if } g(x) < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } g(x) = +\infty \end{cases}$$

we get a measurable function that is a.e. a pointwise ~~limit~~ ~~of~~ sum of the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$.

Note also that
$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(x) \right| \leq g_m(x) \leq g(x)$$

thus also in the limit $|S(x)| \leq g(x)$ (everywhere!)

We therefore have

$$\forall x \in E \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(x) - S(x) \right|^p \leq 2^p [g(x)]^p$$

By Dominated Convergence Theorem

(8)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \left| \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(x) - S(x) \right|^p = 0$$

which proves that $\sum_{k=1}^m f_k \in L^p \xrightarrow{L^p} S$

\Rightarrow the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ is convergent in L^p . \square

Remark: The proof is written for $p < \infty$, but it is in fact even easier for $p = \infty$.

A closer inspection of the proof yields the following

Theorem: Out of every sequence (f_m) convergent in $L^p(E)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we can choose a subsequence that is a.e. pointwise convergent.

Proof: For $p = \infty$ this is rather trivial; (9)
(why?)

The sequence (f_m) , being convergent, satisfies Cauchy's condition, thus, like in the proof of the lemma, we can choose a subsequence (f_{m_k}) s.t.

$$\|f_{m_k} - f_{m_{k+1}}\| \leq 2^{-k}$$

We have

$$f_{m_l}(x) = f_{m_1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^l (f_{m_{k+1}}(x) - f_{m_k}(x)).$$

The series $\sum (f_{m_{k+1}} - f_{m_k})$ is absolutely convergent in L^p , and, exactly as in the proof of R.-F. Theorem, it is convergent for a.e. $x \in E$. This yields a.e. convergence of ~~f_m~~ $f_{m_l}(x)$.

Dual space to L^p

$E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (10)

Theorem For any $p \in (1, \infty)$ the dual space (i.e. the space of all bounded linear functionals) of $L^p(E)$ is $L^q(E)$, where $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ($\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$).

Remarks

1. The theorem holds also for $p=1$ (dual to L^1 is L^∞), as long as the underlying measure is tame enough (e.g. σ -finite). For $p \in (1, \infty)$, the result is valid for any measure. We, however, deal with Lebesgue measure only, so all's well.

2. The theorem fails for $p=\infty$. $(L^\infty)^*$ consists of all finitely-additive signed measures that are absolutely continuous w.r. to Lebesgue measure.

However, constructing an example of a functional on L^∞ that is not given by $f \mapsto \int fg$ for some $g \in L^1$

requires non-constructive techniques like the construction of Banach limits via Hahn-Banach theorem.

Before we start talking about the proof, let us first look closer at functionals on L^p given by integration against an L^q -function.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$, we set $q = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & p \in (1, \infty) \\ 1 & p = \infty \\ \infty & p = 1 \end{cases}$

and, for a given $g \in L^q$

$$T_g(f) = \int_E fg$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$|T_g(f)| = \left| \int_E fg \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

thus it is indeed a bounded functional on L^p ,

$$\|T_g\|_{(L^p)^*} = \|T_g\| = \sup_{\|f\|_p \leq 1} |T_g(f)| \leq \|g\|_q$$

Taking $f = |g|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} g$ we see that (12)

$$|f|^p = |g|^{p(q-1)} = |g|^q \in L^1, \text{ thus } f \in L^p$$

$$\|f\|_p = \|g\|_q^{q/p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_g(f) &= \int_E fg = \int |g|^q = \|g\|_q^q = \|g\|_q^{q/p} \cdot \|g\|_q \\ &= \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \end{aligned}$$

which shows that in fact

$$\|T_g\| = \|g\|_q.$$

This works for $p = \infty, q = 1$ as well,
just take $f = \operatorname{sgn} g \in L^{\infty}$

for any fixed representative of $g \in L^1$.

$p=1, q=\infty$ Exercise: show that,
again, $\|T_g\| = \|g\|_{\infty}$.

Our exercises show that for any $p \in [1, \infty]$ (13)
 $L^q \subset (L^p)^*$, and what remains to prove
is that for $p \in [1, \infty)$ every
bounded functional on L^p has the form T_g
for some $g \in L^q$.

Outline of a standard proof (see e.g.

Let $T \in (L^p)^*$

Rudin's
Real & Complex Analysis)

suppose first that $|E| < \infty$.

• for any measurable $A \subset E$
set $\lambda(A) = T(\chi_A)$

• show that λ is countably additive
(it is finitely additive by linearity of T)

$\Rightarrow \lambda$ is a (signed) measure on E

• show that λ is absolutely continuous
with respect to Lebesgue measure

\Rightarrow by Radon-Nikodym theorem $\exists g \in L^1$

$$\text{s.t. } \lambda(A) = T(\chi_A) = \int_A g = \int_E \chi_A g$$

- by linearity of T

$$T(f) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_E fg$$

holds for all simple functions f

- any L^∞ function is a uniform limit of simple functions; uniform convergence implies L^p -convergence

\Rightarrow $(*)$ holds for all L^∞ -functions

~~L^p is dense in L^p~~

- evaluating T on $f_m = \text{sgn}(g) \cdot |g|^{p-1} \cdot \chi_{\{|g| \leq m\}}$ yields $g \in L^p$

- $T(\frac{f}{|g|})$ agrees with $T_g(\cdot)$ on a dense subset $L^\infty \subset L^p$, by continuity

$$T(f) = T_g(f) = \int_E fg$$

for all $f \in L^p$.

If $|E| = \infty$, then $E = \bigcup_i E_i$, $|E_i| < \infty$

countable disjoint sum

we prove the theorem in every E_i and glue the resulting g_i 's.

A non-measure-theoretic proof
(for $p \in (1, \infty)$)

(15)

Def. A normed linear space X is uniformly convex iff

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon$$



$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta.$$

Lemma: Let (x_n) be a sequence in X , X uniformly convex. If $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ and $\|x_n + x_m\| \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 2$, then (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof: Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $\delta > 0$ s.t. uniform convexity condition holds.

$$\|x_n + x_m\| \xrightarrow{n, m \rightarrow \infty} 2 \iff \exists n_0 \quad \forall n, m > n_0 \quad \left\| \frac{x_n + x_m}{2} \right\| > 1 - \delta,$$

therefore, by uniform convexity, $\|x_n - x_m\| < \varepsilon$ for $n, m > n_0$.

Def: A sequence (x_n) of elements of a normed linear space X is weakly convergent ^{to $x \in X$} iff for every bounded linear functional $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$. We denote it by $x_n \rightharpoonup x$.

Theorem: Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space. If (x_n) , a sequence of elements of X , is weakly convergent to x and $\|x_n\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|$, then $x_n \rightarrow x$ (i.e. $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$).

Proof: Nothing to do if $x=0$.

If $x \neq 0$, then for n suff. large $x_n \neq 0$.

Set $y_n = \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$, $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$.

For any $f \in X^*$ $g(y_n) = g\left(\frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}\right) = \frac{g(x_n)}{\|x_n\|} \rightarrow \frac{g(x)}{\|x\|} = g(y)$

thus $y_n \rightarrow y$.

Let $f \in X^*$ s.t. $\|f\|_{X^*} = 1$, $f(y) = 1$ (~~Hahn~~ ~~Banach~~)

Theorem : L^p spaces are, for $p \in (1, \infty)$, uniformly convex.

Lemma (Clarkson's inequalities)

(1) for $p \in [2, \infty)$ and $f, g \in L^p$

$$\|f+g\|_p^p + \|f-g\|_p^p \leq 2^{p-1} (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p)$$

(2) for $p \in (1, 2]$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and any $f, g \in L^p$

$$\|f+g\|_p^q + \|f-g\|_p^q \leq 2 (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p)^{q-1}$$

Proof - Exercise course

Proof of Theorem

$p \in [2, \infty)$: $f, g \in L^p$, $\|f\|_p \leq 1, \|g\|_p \leq 1$, $\|f-g\|_p \geq \varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f+g}{2} \right\|_p^p &= 2^{-p} \|f+g\|_p^p \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} 2^{-p} \cdot 2^{p-1} (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p) \\ &\quad - \|f-g\|_p^p \\ &\leq 1 - \varepsilon^p \end{aligned}$$

thus $\left\| \frac{f+g}{2} \right\|_p \leq (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{1/p}$; we take $\delta = 1 - (1 - \varepsilon^p)^{1/p} > 0$.

$p \in (1, 2]$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f+g}{2} \right\|_p^q &= 2^{-q} \cdot \|f+g\|_p^q \leq 2^{-q} (2 (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_p^p) - \|f-g\|_p^q) \\ &\leq 2^{-q} (2 \cdot 2^{q-1} - \varepsilon^q) = 1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^q \end{aligned}$$

and we can take $\delta = 1 - (1 - (\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^q)^{1/q}$,

Lemma (McShane)

Let T be a bounded linear functional on a normed linear space X .

Suppose that for some $f, g \in X$

(*) $\|g\| = 1$ and $T(g) = \|T\|_{X^*}$

and (**) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|g+tf\|^p - \|g\|^p}{pt}$ exists for some $p \geq 1$.

Then $T(f) = \|T\| \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|g+tf\|^p - \|g\|^p}{pt}$

Proof of McShane's Lemma

First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(T(g+tf))^P - (T(g))^P}{pt} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(T(g) + tT(f))^P - (T(g))^P}{pt} \\ &= T(g)^{P-1} T(f) = \|T\|^{P-1} T(f). \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a+bt)^P - a^P}{pt} &= \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (a+bt)^P \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \cdot p a^{P-1} \cdot b \\ &= a^{P-1} \cdot b \end{aligned} \right.$$

Next, $\|T\| \|g\| = T(g)$
 $\|T\| \|g+tf\| \geq T(g+tf)$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T\|^P \frac{\|g+tf\|^P - \|g\|^P}{pt} &\geq \\ &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(T(g+tf))^P - (T(g))^P}{pt} \\ &= \|T\|^{P-1} T(f) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{(T(g+tf))^P - (T(g))^P}{pt} \\ &\geq \|T\|^P \frac{\|g+tf\|^P - \|g\|^P}{pt} \end{aligned}$$

By (·), both ends are equal, thus
 $T(f) = \|T\| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|g+tf\|^P - \|g\|^P}{pt}$

Proof of L^p - L^q duality

Scheme: ① for any $T \in (L^p)^*$ find $g \in L^p$ s.t. $\|g\|_p = 1, T(g) = \|T\|$ $\neq 0 \leftarrow 0 = T$ not interesting

② compute the limit from (1), McShane's Lemma

① Choose a sequence (g_n) in L^p s.t.

$$\|g_n\| = 1, T(g_n) \rightarrow \|T\| \neq 0$$

$$\text{Then } 2 \geq \|g_n + g_m\|_p \geq \frac{|T(g_n + g_m)|}{\|T\|} \xrightarrow{n,m} 2$$

thus, by Lemma, (g_n) is a Cauchy sequence

$$g_n \xrightarrow{L^p} g \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} T(g_n) \\ \swarrow \\ \|T\| \end{matrix} \rightarrow T(g) \Rightarrow T(g) = \|T\|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{②. } \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} |a+bt|^p &= p|a+bt|^{p-1} \cdot b \cdot \text{sgn}(a+bt) \Big|_{t=0} = \\ &= p|a|^{p-1} b \text{sgn } a \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|g+tf\|_p^p - \|g\|_p^p}{pt} = \int \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|g+tf|^p - |g|^p}{pt} =$$

Dominated Convergence
Theorem

$$= \int_E \frac{p |g|^{p-1} f \operatorname{sgn} g}{p} = \int_E |g|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} g \cdot f$$

Therefore, for every $f \in L^p$

$$T(f) = \|T\| \int_E f |g|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} g = T_h(f)$$

for $h = \|T\| \cdot |g|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} g$.