



# Frobenius-stable Lattices in $p$ -adic Cohomology



Berlin  
Mathematical  
School

Moritz Minzloff



@mminzloff

Advisors: Florian Hess & Remke Kloosterman

## Problem statement

$$Z_{\overline{C}}(t) = \frac{\det(1 - \text{Frob} \cdot t \mid H_p^1(\overline{C}))}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$$

Given a smooth, projective, integral curve  $\overline{C}/\mathbb{F}_q$  the Frobenius action on its first  $p$ -adic cohomology group completely determines its Zeta function. Can we find a natural, Frobenius-stable lattice inside  $H_p^1(\overline{C})$  together with

- ▶ an explicit description of the lattice
- ▶ a Frobenius action is “easy” to define and compute.

The generalised Bogaart’s Lemma below gives such an explicit description of the log-crystalline cohomology and relates it to an integral version of Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology where a Frobenius action can be computed using a Newton iteration.

## The map $\varphi$

$$H^0(\Omega((\ell+1)D)) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Upsilon = \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_{i=-(\ell+1)}^2 (\mathbb{Z}_q/(i+1)) t_j^i dt_j$$

The map sends a differential to the formal sum of its Laurent series expansions with respect to the  $t_j$ . Here, the  $t_j$  are rational functions on  $C$  such that  $\{p, t_j\}$  is a coordinate system for  $\mathcal{O}_{C, P_j}$  and  $P_j$  runs through all points in the support of  $D$ .

## The isomorphism $\text{Coker } d|_{d^{-1}(\text{Ker } \varphi)} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{dR}}^1(C, D)$

The idea is to compare the hypercohomology of  $\Omega(\log D)^\bullet: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \Omega(\log D) \rightarrow 0$  to the hypercohomology of  $\tilde{\Omega}^\bullet: \mathcal{O}_C(\ell D) \rightarrow \Omega((\ell+1)D)$ . The latter complex consists of non-special sheaves, so it is easier to analyse. The long exact sequence to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega(\log D)^\bullet \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$  yields the following diagram with exact row and column and thus the desired isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(\ell D)) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow d & & \\ & & & & H^0(\Omega((\ell+1)D)) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(C, D) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\tilde{\Omega}^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & \Upsilon \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

## A generalised Bogaart’s Lemma [1]

Let  $C/\mathbb{Z}_q$  be a smooth, proper lifting of  $\overline{C}/\mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $D$  an effective normal crossings divisor  $D \neq 0$ , and  $U = C \setminus D$ . Let  $d: H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(\ell D)) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega((\ell+1)D))$  be the universal derivation. If  $\ell$  is an integer such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{C}}(\ell \overline{D})$  is nonspecial, then there is the commutative diagram on the right hand side, functorial in  $(C, D)$ , and with inclusions equivariant with respect to the Frobenius actions on  $H_{\text{cr}}^1(\overline{C}, \overline{D})$  and  $H_{\text{MW}}^1(\overline{U})/(\text{tor})$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ker } \varphi & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } d|_{d^{-1}(\text{Ker } \varphi)} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{dR}}^1(C, D) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{cr}}^1(\overline{C}, \overline{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(\Omega((\ell+1)D)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } d/(\text{tor}) & \hookrightarrow & H_{\text{dR}}^1(U)/(\text{tor}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{\text{MW}}^1(\overline{U})/(\text{tor}) \end{array}$$

## What’s next?

- ▶ Fleshing out the details in a chapter of my forthcoming thesis.
- ▶ Explaining how an explicit smooth, proper lifting of  $\overline{C}$  can be approximated. (It’s already implemented!)
- ▶ Using both to actually compute a basis of a Frobenius-stable lattice.

## (The Frobenius action on) Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology [2]

If  $\overline{A}/\mathbb{F}_q$  is the affine coordinate ring of  $\overline{U}$  and  $A/\mathbb{Z}_q$  is a smooth lifting, say  $A = \mathbb{Z}_q[\underline{x}]/(\underline{f})$ , then  $H_{\text{MW}}^1(\overline{U}) = (\Omega_A \otimes A^\dagger)/dA^\dagger$ , where  $A^\dagger = \mathbb{Z}_q[\underline{x}^\dagger]/(\underline{f})$ . The elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_q[\underline{x}^\dagger]$  are formal power series in  $\underline{x}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  converging on a radius greater than 1. Roughly speaking, this allows Newton iteration style computations to lift the Frobenius action from  $A$  to  $A^\dagger$  and  $\Omega_A \otimes A^\dagger$ .

## References

- [1] If  $D$  consist of a single  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ -point, then the top row of the diagram is Lemma 3.3.10 of T. van den Bogaart, *Links between cohomology and arithmetic*, PhD Thesis at Universiteit Leiden, 2008.
- [2] P. Monsky and G. Washnitzer, *Formal Cohomology I*, Ann. of Math. (2) Vol. 88, 1968.
- [3] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory, 1989.