Idris

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrząszcz

Advanced Functional Programming

14 March 2023

My part of the lecture: theorem proving and programming with dependent types

- Idris (1 lecture)
- Coq (6 lectures)
 Coq project (grades)

My part of the lecture:

theorem proving and programming with dependent types

- Idris (1 lecture)
- Coq (6 lectures)
 Coq project (grades)

My part of the lecture:

theorem proving and programming with dependent types

- Idris (1 lecture)
- Coq (6 lectures) Coq project (grades)

My part of the lecture:

theorem proving and programming with dependent types

- Idris (1 lecture)
- Coq (6 lectures) Coq project (grades)

Motivation for dependent types: specifications

• types become more precise

• finer types better specify the properties of the function

```
Inductive ftree : nat 
ightarrow Set :=
| Leaf : ftree 0
| Node : orall n nat, Z 
ightarrow ftree n 
ightarrow ftree (S n).
```

```
Definition root (n : nat)(t : ftree(S n)) : Z :=
match t with
| Node n k | r \Rightarrow k
end.
```

- types become more precise
- finer types better specify the properties of the function

```
Inductive ftree : nat \rightarrow Set :=

| Leaf : ftree 0

| Node : \forall n: nat, Z \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree (S n

Definition root (n : nat)(t : ftree(S n)) : Z :=

match t with

| Node n k | r \Rightarrow k

end.
```

- types become more precise
- finer types better specify the properties of the function

```
Inductive ftree : nat \rightarrow Set :=

| Leaf : ftree 0

| Node : \forall n: nat, Z \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree (S n

Definition root (n : nat)(t : ftree(S n)) : Z :=

match t with

| Node n k | r \Rightarrow k

end.
```

- types become more precise
- finer types better specify the properties of the function

```
Inductive ftree : nat \rightarrow Set :=
| Leaf : ftree 0
| Node : \forall n: nat, Z \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree (S n).
```

```
Definition root (n : nat)(t : ftree(S n)) : Z :=
match t with
| Node n k | r \Rightarrow k
end.
```

- types become more precise
- finer types better specify the properties of the function

```
Inductive ftree : nat \rightarrow Set :=

| Leaf : ftree 0

| Node : \forall n: nat, Z \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree n \rightarrow ftree (S n).

Definition root (n : nat)(t : ftree(S n)) : Z :=

match t with

| Node n k | r \Rightarrow k

end.
```

Dependent types - introduction

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- M: Array n means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor.
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto Zeroes n$ has functional dependent type

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- M : Array n means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor,
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto Zeroes n$ has functional dependent type

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- M: Array n means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor,
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto Zeroes n$ has functional dependent type

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- M: Array n means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor,
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto Zeroes n$ has functional dependent type

 $\forall n : nat. Array n$

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- M: Array n means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor,
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto Zeroes n$ has functional dependent type

 $\forall n : nat. Array n$

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- M: Array n means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor,
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto Zeroes n$ has functional dependent type

 $\forall n : nat. Array n$

Notations:

 $\forall n : nat. ftree n$ Πn : nat.ftree n forall n:nat,ftree n $(n:nat) \rightarrow ftree n$

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrzaszcz

Functional dependent type - type of a function whose codomain depends on an argument

- $M : \operatorname{Array} n$ means that M is an array of size n,
- Array : $nat \rightarrow \star$ is a type constructor,
- Zeroes n : Array n is an array of n zeroes,
- mapping $n \mapsto \operatorname{Zeroes} n$ has functional dependent type

 $\forall n: nat. Array n$

Notations:

Convention:

 $orall n: nat.ftree \ n$ $\Pi n: nat.ftree \ n$ forall n: nat, ftree n $(n: nat) \rightarrow ftree \ n$ forall n: nat, bool \equiv nat \rightarrow bool

Daria Walukiewicz-Chrząszcz

type List A depends on a type A (polimorphism)
type ftree n depends on a value n (dependent type)
type vector A n depends on a type A and value n (dependent type)

ftree (2+2) $\equiv\,$ ftree (4)

these types are convertible - should be regarded as internally equal

Attention: for + defined by pattern matching on first argument

0 + y = y(S x) + y = S (x+y)

- 2+2 computes to 4
- 0+n computes to n
- but n+0 does not compute to n (equality can be proved by induction)

ftree (2+2) \equiv ftree (4)

these types are convertible - should be regarded as internally equal

Attention: for + defined by pattern matching on first argument:

0 + y = y(S x) + y = S (x+y)

- 2+2 computes to 4
- 0+n computes to n

 but n+0 does not compute to n (equality can be proved by induction)

ftree (2+2) \equiv ftree (4)

these types are convertible - should be regarded as internally equal

Attention:

for + defined by pattern matching on first argument:

$$0 + y = y$$

(S x) + y = S (x+y)

• 2+2 computes to 4

- 0+n computes to n
- but n+0 does not compute to n (equality can be proved by induction)

ftree (2+2) \equiv ftree (4)

these types are convertible - should be regarded as internally equal

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Attention:} \\ \mbox{for } + \mbox{ defined by pattern matching on first argument:} \end{array}$

$$0 + y = y$$

(S x) + y = S (x+y)

- 2+2 computes to 4
- 0+n computes to n
- but n+0 does not compute to n (equality can be proved by induction)

ftree (2+2) \equiv ftree (4)

these types are convertible - should be regarded as internally equal

Attention:

for + defined by pattern matching on first argument:

$$0 + y = y$$

(S x) + y = S (x+y)

- 2+2 computes to 4
- 0+n computes to n
- but n+0 does not compute to n (equality can be proved by induction)

Dependent types - in simplified Idris

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

hence Even i : Parity (i+i) for a given i : nat

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity 0 = Even 0
parity (S 0) = Odd 0
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j)
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

Dependent types - in simplified Idris

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

hence Even i : Parity (i+i) for a given i : nat

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity 0 = Even 0
parity (S 0) = Odd 0
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j)
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

Dependent types - in simplified Idris

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

hence Even i : Parity (i+i) for a given i : nat

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity 0 = Even 0
parity (S 0) = Odd 0
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j)
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity O = Even O
parity (S O) = Odd O
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j) ?: S (S (j+j))
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity 0 = Even 0
parity (S 0) = Odd 0
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j) ?: S (S (j+j))
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity 0 = Even 0
parity (S 0) = Odd 0
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j) ?: S (S (j+j))
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

```
data Parity : nat -> Type where
| Even : forall n:nat, Parity (n + n)
| Odd : forall n:nat, Parity (S (n + n))
```

```
parity : (n:nat) -> Parity n
parity 0 = Even 0
parity (S 0) = Odd 0
parity (S (S k)) = match (parity k) with
| Even j => Even (S j) ?: S (S (j+j))
| Odd j => Odd (S j)
```

started in 2008

- http://www.idris-lang.org/
- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

started in 2008

http://www.idris-lang.org/

- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

- started in 2008
- http://www.idris-lang.org/
- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

- started in 2008
- http://www.idris-lang.org/
- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

- started in 2008
- http://www.idris-lang.org/
- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

- started in 2008
- http://www.idris-lang.org/
- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

- started in 2008
- http://www.idris-lang.org/
- development led by Edwin Brady at the University of St Andrews
- https://edwinb.wordpress.com/
- "Type-driven development with Idris" Edwin Brady, published by Manning, March 2017
- Idris1 based on core Type Theory ("Idris, a General Purpose Dependently Typed Programming Language: Design and Implementation", Journal of Functional Programming 2013)
- Idris2 based on Quantitative Type Theory ("The Syntax and Semantics of Quantitative Type Theory", Robert Atkey, LICS '18: 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, July 9–12, 2018, Oxford, United Kingdom)

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- general purpose pure functional programming language with dependent types
- syntax similar to Haskell, but the meanings of : and :: are interchanged
- type declarations required
- eager evaluation, lazy computations are possible
- dependent types
- types are first class language constructs (can be arguments to functions, returned from functions)
- dependent types provide better specifications of functions
- but writing a function that satisfies its specification may need proofs
- type-driven development treats programming as "solving a puzzle": the program is the solution to the puzzle, the type is the goal of the puzzle
- because of dependent types, evaluation is needed at type-checking
- functions used in evaluation must be total and terminating
- compiler gets rid of the arguments to functions and constructors bound with quantity/multiplicity 0; erased arguments are still relevant at compile time.

- installation: see https://www.idris-lang.org/pages/download.html
- idris2 foo.idr enters the interactive environment, similar to ghci
- commands, :t, :q (type :? for full list of commands)
- compilation: idris2 --cg racket -o foo foo.idr executable in build/exec

- installation: see https://www.idris-lang.org/pages/download.html
- idris2 foo.idr enters the interactive environment, similar to ghci
- commands, :t, :q (type :? for full list of commands)
- compilation: idris2 --cg racket -o foo foo.idr executable in build/exec

- installation: see https://www.idris-lang.org/pages/download.html
- idris2 foo.idr enters the interactive environment, similar to ghci
- commands, :t, :q (type :? for full list of commands)
- compilation: idris2 --cg racket -o foo foo.idr executable in build/exec

- installation: see https://www.idris-lang.org/pages/download.html
- idris2 foo.idr enters the interactive environment, similar to ghci
- commands, :t, :q (type :? for full list of commands)
- compilation: idris2 --cg racket -o foo foo.idr executable in build/exec

Idris and dependent types - examples

- Hello.idr
- Generic.idr
- Let_Where.idr
- FCTypes.idr
- Vectors.idr
- TCVects.idr
- WordLength_vec.idr
- ApplyVec.idr
- Adder.idr
- RemoveElem.idr
- Parity.idr
- Binary.idr
- AppendVecRew.idr

- similar to type classes in Haskell
- there can be many implementations for one type

(see Eq.idr Tree.idr)

Equality in Idris

- == is not adequate
- equality defined at the level of types

(see EqNat.idr, ExactLength.idr)

Totality checking

- covers all possible inputs.
- is well-founded (in recursive calls arguments are decreasing)
- does not use any data types which are not strictly positive
- does not call any non-total functions

Totality checking

Function is total if it

covers all possible inputs

- is well-founded (in recursive calls arguments are decreasing)
- does not use any data types which are not strictly positive
- does not call any non-total functions

Totality checking

- covers all possible inputs
- is well-founded (in recursive calls arguments are decreasing)
- does not use any data types which are not strictly positive
- does not call any non-total functions

- covers all possible inputs
- is well-founded (in recursive calls arguments are decreasing)
- does not use any data types which are not strictly positive
- does not call any non-total functions

- covers all possible inputs
- is well-founded (in recursive calls arguments are decreasing)
- does not use any data types which are not strictly positive
- does not call any non-total functions

Allow to control

- linearity (used exactly once)
- erasure (not used at runtime)
- and unrestricted use.

(see Multiplicities.idr)

Allow to control

- linearity (used exactly once)
- erasure (not used at runtime)
- and unrestricted use.

(see Multiplicities.idr)

Allow to control

- linearity (used exactly once)
- erasure (not used at runtime)
- and unrestricted use.

(see Multiplicities.idr)