

Program Verification using JML and ESC/Java2

Erik Poll

Radboud University Nijmegen

Outline of this tutorial

- formal specification language **JML**
- program verification using **ESC/Java2**

The Java Modeling Language

JML

www.jmlspecs.org

JML by Gary Leavens et al.

Formal specification language for Java

- to specify behaviour of Java classes
- to record design & implementation decisions

by adding **assertions** to Java source code, eg

- **preconditions**
- **postconditions**
- **invariants**

as in Eiffel (Design by Contract), but more expressive.

Goal: JML should be easy to use for any Java programmer.

To make JML easy to use:

- JML assertions are added as comments in .java file, between `/*@ ... @*/`, or after `//@`,
- Properties are specified as Java boolean expressions, extended with a few operators (`\old`, `\forall`, `\result`, ...).
- using a few keywords (`requires`, `ensures`, `signals`, `assignable`, `pure`, `invariant`, `non_null`, ...)

requires, ensures

Pre- and **post-conditions** for method can be specified.

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
   ensures balance == \old(balance-amount) &&
      \result == balance;

   @*/
public int debit(int amount) {
    ...
}
```

Here `\old(balance)` refers to the value of `balance` before execution of the method.

requires, ensures

JML specs can be as strong or as weak as you want.

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;  
   ensures true;  
  */  
public int debit(int amount) {  
    ...  
}
```

This default postcondition “ensures true” can be omitted.

Design-by-Contract

Pre- and postconditions define a **contract** between a class and its clients:

- Client must **ensure precondition** and may **assume postcondition**
- Method may **assume precondition** and must **ensure postcondition**

Eg, in the example specs for `debit`, it is the obligation of the client to ensure that `amount` is positive. The `requires` clause makes this **explicit**.

signals

Exceptional postconditions can also be specified.

```
/*@ requires amount >= 0;
   ensures true;
   signals (BankException e)
           amount > balance      &&
           balance == \old(balance) &&
           e.getReason().equals("Amount too b

   @*/
public int debit(int amount) throws BankExcepti
    ...
}
```

signals

Exceptions mentioned in throws clause are allowed by default, i.e. the default signals clause is

```
signals (Exception) true;
```

To rule them out, add an explicit

```
signals (Exception) false;
```

or use the keyword `normal_behavior`

```
/*@ normal_behavior  
    requires ...  
    ensures ...  
    @* /
```

(class) invariant

Invariants (aka *class invariants*) are properties that must be maintained by all methods, e.g.,

```
public class Wallet {  
    public static final short MAX_BAL = 1000;  
    private short balance;  
    /*@ invariant 0 <= balance &&  
        balance <= MAX_BAL;  
    @* /  
    ...
```

Invariants are implicitly included in all pre- and postconditions.

Invariants must *also* be preserved if exception is thrown!

(class) invariant

Invariants document design decisions, e.g.,

```
public class Directory {  
    private File[] files;  
    /*@ invariant  
        files != null  
        &&  
        (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < files.length;  
            ; files[i] != null &&  
            files[i].getParent() == this  
        @* /
```

Making them **explicit** helps in understanding the code.

loop invariant

There are also **loop invariants** and **variant functions**:

```
...  
//@ loop_invariant  0 <= i && i <= n;  
//@ decreasing     n-i;  
    for(int i=0; i<n; i++) {  
        ...  
    }
```

non_null

Many invariants, pre- and postconditions are about references not being `null`. `non_null` is a convenient short-hand for these.

```
public class Directory {  
  
    private /*@ non_null */ File[] files;  
  
    void createSubdir(/*@ non_null */ String name) {  
        ...  
    }  
    Directory /*@ non_null */ getParent() {  
        ...  
    }  
}
```

assert

An `assert` clause specifies a property that should hold at some point in the code, e.g.,

```
if (i <= 0 || j < 0) {  
    ...  
} else if (j < 5) {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && 0 < j && j < 5;  
    ...  
} else {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && j > 5;  
    ...  
}
```

assert

JML keyword `assert` now also in Java (since Java 1.4).

Still, `assert` in JML is more expressive, for example in

```
...  
for (n = 0; n < a.length; n++)  
    if (a[n]==null) break;  
/*@ assert (\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < n;  
           a[i] != null);  
@*/
```


assignable

Frame properties limit possible side-effects of methods.

```
/*@   requires amount >= 0;
    assignable balance;
    ensures balance == \old(balance)-amount;
@*/
public int debit(int amount) { }
...

```

E.g., `debit` can *only* assign to the field `balance`.

NB this does *not* follow from the post-condition.

Default assignable clause: `assignable \everything`.

pure

A method without side-effects is called pure.

```
public /*@ pure */ int getBalance(){...
```

```
Directory /*@ pure non_null */ getParent(){...}
```

Pure methods are implicitly assignable \nothing.

Pure methods, and only pure methods, can be used *in* specifications, eg.

```
//@ invariant 0<=getBalance() && getBalance()<=MAX_BALANCE
```

JML recap

The JML keywords discussed so far:

- `requires`
- `ensures`
- `signals`
- `assignable`
- `normal_behavior`
- `invariant`
- `non_null`
- `pure`
- `\old`, `\forall`, `\exists`, `\result`

This is all you need to know to get started!

Tools for JML

tools for JML

- **parsing and typechecking**
- **runtime assertion checking:**
test for violations of assertions during execution
jmlrac
- **extended static checking** ie. automated program verification:
prove that contracts are never violated at compile-time
ESC/Java2
This is program verification, not just testing.

runtime assertion checking

jmlrac compiler by Gary Leavens, Yoonsik Cheon, et al. at Iowa State Univ.

- translates **JML assertions** into **runtime checks**:
during execution, *all* assertions are tested and any violation of an assertion produces an Error.
- **cheap & easy** to do as part of existing testing practice
- **better testing and better feedback**, because **more properties** are tested, at **more places** in the code
Eg, “Invariant violated in line 8000” after 1 minute instead of “NullPointerException in line 2000” after 4 minutes

Of course, an assertion violation can be an *error in code* or an *error in specification*.

The **jmlunit** tool combines jmlrac and **unit testing**.

runtime assertion checking

jmlrac can generate complicated test-code for free. E.g., for

```
/*@ ...
    signals (Exception)
        balance == \old(balance);
    */
public int debit(int amount) { ... }
```

it will test that if `debit` throws an exception, the balance hasn't changed, and all invariants still hold.

jmlrac even checks `\forall` if the domain of quantification is finite.

extended static checking

ESC/Java(2)

- **extended static checking = fully automated program verification, with some compromises to achieve full automation**

static checking vs runtime checking

One of the assertions below is wrong:

```
if (i <= 0 || j < 0) {  
    ...  
} else if (j < 5) {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && 0 < j && j < 5;  
    ...  
} else {  
    //@ assert i > 0 && j > 5;  
    ...  
}
```

Runtime assertion checking *may* detect this with a comprehensive test suite.

ESC/Java2 *will* detect this at compile-time.

static checking vs runtime checking

Important differences:

- ESC/Java2 checks specs at **compile-time**,
jmlrac checks specs at **run-time**
- ESC/Java2 **proves** correctness of specs,
jml only **tests** correctness of specs.

Hence

- ESC/Java2 independent of any test suite,
results of runtime testing only as good as the test
suite,
- ESC/Java2 provides higher degree of confidence.

The price for this: you have to specify all pre- and
postconditions of methods (incl. API methods) and
invariants needed for **modular verification**

The ESC/Java2 tool

Running ESC/Java2

- Download the binary distribution from <http://secure.ucd.ie/products/opensource/ESCJava2>
- Untar the distribution and follow the instructions in **README.release** about setting environment variables.
- Run the tool by doing one of the following:
 - Run a script in the release: **escjava2** or **escj.bat**, or
 - Run a GUI version of the tool by double-clicking the release version of **esctools2.jar**

Command-line options

The items on the command-line are either options and their arguments or input entries. Some commonly used options (see the documentation for more):

- **-classpath** - sets the path to find referenced classes [best if it contains '.']
- **-nocheck** - parse and typecheck but no verification
- **-routine** - restricts checking to a single routine
- **-suggest** - gives suggestion on how to fix problem
- **-loopsafe** - do verification of loops ; requires loop-invariants to be provided

modular reasoning

ESC/Java2 reasons about every method individually. So in

```
class A{
  byte[] b;
  public void n() { b = new byte[20]; }
  public void m() { n();
                  b[0] = 2;
                  ... }
}
```

ESC/Java2 warns that `b[0]` may be a null dereference here, even though you can see that it won't be.

modular reasoning

To stop ESC/Java2 complaining: add a postcondition

```
class A{
  byte[] b;
  //@ ensures b != null && b.length = 20;
  public void n() { b = new byte[20]; }
  public void m() { n();
                   b[0] = 2;
                   ... }
}
```

So: property of method that is relied on has to be made explicit.

Also: subclasses that override methods have to preserve these.

modular reasoning

Similarly, ESC/Java will complain about `b[0] = 2` in

```
class A{  
    byte[] b;  
    public void A() { b = new byte[20]; }  
    public void m() { b[0] = 2;  
                    ... }  
}
```

Maybe you can see that this is a spurious warning, though this will be harder than in the previous example: you'll have to inspect *all* constructors and *all* methods.

modular reasoning

To stop ESC/Java2 complaining here: add an invariant

```
class A{
  byte[] b;
  //@ invariant b != null && b.length == 20;
  // or weaker property for b.length ?
  public void A() { b = new byte[20]; }
  public void m() { b[0] = 2;
                  ... }
}
```

So again: properties you rely on have to be made explicit.

And again: subclasses have to preserve these properties.

assume

Alternative to stop ESC/Java2 complaining: add an assumption:

...

```
//@ assume b != null && b.length > 0;
```

```
b[0] = 2;
```

...

Especially useful during development, when you're still trying to discover hidden assumptions, or when ESC/Java2's reasoning power is too weak.

(requires can be understood as a form of assume.)

ESC/Java is not complete

ESC/Java may produce warnings about correct programs.

```
/*@ requires 0 < n;  
   @ ensures \result ==  
   @         (\exists int x,y,z;  
   @         pow(x,n)+pow(y,n) == pow(z,n));  
   @*/  
public static boolean fermat(double n) {  
    return (n==2);  
}
```

Warning: *postcondition possibly not satisfied*
(Typically, the theorem prover times out in complicated cases.)

ESC/Java is not sound

ESC/Java may fail to produce warning about incorrect program.

```
public class Positive{
    private int n = 1;    //@ invariant n > 0;

    public void increase(){ n++; }
}
```

ESC/Java(2) produces no warning, but `increase` may break the invariant, namely if `n` is $2^{32} - 1$.

This can be fixed by improved model of Java arithmetic, but this does come at a price (both in specs and in code).