

Programowanie z typami zależnymi i dowodzenie twierdzeń

Rekurencja

26.05.2015

Rekurencja strukturalna—przykład div2

```
Fixpoint div2 n :=  
  match n with  
  | S (S n') => S (div2 n')  
  | _=> 0  
  end.
```

Przykład div2'

```
Definition pred n :=  
  match n with | 0 => n | S n' => n' end.
```

```
Fixpoint div2' n :=  
  match n with  
  | S n' => S (div2' (pred n'))  
  | _ => 0  
  end.
```

Przykład div2'

```
Definition pred n :=  
  match n with | 0 => n | S n' => n' end.
```

```
Fixpoint div2' n :=  
  match n with  
  | S n' => S (div2' (pred n'))  
  | _ => 0  
  end.
```

Liczby całkowite

```

Inductive positive : Set :=
  | xI : positive -> positive
  | x0 : positive -> positive
  | xH : positive.

```

```

Inductive Z : Set :=
  | Z0 : Z
  | Zpos : positive -> Z
  | Zneg : positive -> Z.

```

- xH to 1
- $x0$ to kod $2 * p$
- xI to kod $2 * p + 1$
- wydajniejsze od nat

Liczby całkowite

```

Inductive positive : Set :=
  | xI : positive -> positive
  | x0 : positive -> positive
  | xH : positive.

```

```

Inductive Z : Set :=
  | Z0 : Z
  | Zpos : positive -> Z
  | Zneg : positive -> Z.

```

- xH to 1
- $x0$ to kod $2 * p$
- xI to kod $2 * p + 1$
- wydajniejsze od nat

Liczby całkowite

```

Inductive positive : Set :=
  | xI : positive -> positive
  | x0 : positive -> positive
  | xH : positive.

```

```

Inductive Z : Set :=
  | Z0 : Z
  | Zpos : positive -> Z
  | Zneg : positive -> Z.

```

- xH to 1
- x0 to kod $2 * p$
- xI to kod $2 * p + 1$
- wydajniejsze od nat

Liczby całkowite

```
Inductive positive : Set :=
  | xI : positive -> positive
  | x0 : positive -> positive
  | xH : positive.
```

```
Inductive Z : Set :=
  | Z0 : Z
  | Zpos : positive -> Z
  | Zneg : positive -> Z.
```

- xH to 1
- x0 to kod $2 * p$
- xI to kod $2 * p + 1$
- wydajniejsze od nat

Liczby całkowite

```
Inductive positive : Set :=
  | xI : positive -> positive
  | x0 : positive -> positive
  | xH : positive.
```

```
Inductive Z : Set :=
  | Z0 : Z
  | Zpos : positive -> Z
  | Zneg : positive -> Z.
```

- xH to 1
- $x0$ to kod $2 * p$
- xI to kod $2 * p + 1$
- wydajniejsze od nat

Liczby całkowite

```
Inductive positive : Set :=
  | xI : positive -> positive
  | x0 : positive -> positive
  | xH : positive.
```

```
Inductive Z : Set :=
  | Z0 : Z
  | Zpos : positive -> Z
  | Zneg : positive -> Z.
```

- xH to 1
- $x0$ to kod $2 * p$
- xI to kod $2 * p + 1$
- wydajniejsze od nat

Rekursja w factZ nie jest strukturalna

```
Fixpoint factZ (x : Z): Z :=  
  if Zle_bool x 0 then 1 else x * factZ (x - 1).
```

$x - 1$ nie jest strukturalnie mniejsze od x

Predykaty Acc i well-founded

Print Acc.

```
Inductive Acc (A : Type) (R : A → A → Prop) (x : A) : Prop :=
  Acc_intro : (∀ y : A, R y x → Acc R y) → Acc R x
```

well_founded =

```
fun (A : Type) (R : A → A → Prop) ⇒ ∀ a : A, Acc R a
```

Przykłady relacji dobrze ufundowanych to: lt na typie nat, Zwf c na Z (czyli < na przedziale [c, +∞))

Predykaty Acc i well-founded

Print Acc.

```
Inductive Acc (A : Type) (R : A → A → Prop) (x : A) : Prop :=
  Acc_intro : (∀ y : A, R y x → Acc R y) → Acc R x
```

well_founded =

```
fun (A : Type) (R : A → A → Prop) ⇒ ∀ a : A, Acc R a
```

Przykłady relacji dobrze ufundowanych to: lt na typie nat, Zwf c na Z (czyli < na przedziale $[c, +\infty)$)

Predykaty Acc i well-founded

Print Acc.

```
Inductive Acc (A : Type) (R : A → A → Prop) (x : A) : Prop :=
  Acc_intro : (∀ y : A, R y x → Acc R y) → Acc R x
```

well_founded =

```
fun (A : Type) (R : A → A → Prop) ⇒ ∀ a : A, Acc R a
```

Przykłady relacji dobrze ufundowanych to: lt na typie nat, Zwf c na Z (czyli < na przedziale $[c, +\infty)$)

Inna definicja dobrego ufundowania

CoInductive **infiniteDecreasingChain** A ($R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}$) :
stream $A \rightarrow \text{Prop} :=$
 | ChainCons : $\forall x y s, \text{infiniteDecreasingChain } R (\text{Cons } y s)$
 $\rightarrow R y x$
 $\rightarrow \text{infiniteDecreasingChain } R (\text{Cons } x (\text{Cons } y s)).$

Związki między definicjami

```

Lemma noBadChains' : ∀ A (R : A → A → Prop) x, Acc R x
  → ∀ s, ¬infiniteDecreasingChain R (Cons x s).
  induction 1; crush;
  match goal with
  | [ H : infiniteDecreasingChain _ _ ⊢ _ ] ⇒ inversion H;
eauto
  end.
Qed.

```

```

Theorem noBadChains : ∀ A (R : A → A → Prop), well_founded R
  → ∀ s, ¬infiniteDecreasingChain R s.
  destruct s; apply noBadChains'; auto.
Qed.

```

W drugą stronę prawdziwe tylko w logice klasycznej

Związki między definicjami

```

Lemma noBadChains' : ∀ A (R : A → A → Prop) x, Acc R x
  → ∀ s, ¬infiniteDecreasingChain R (Cons x s).
  induction 1; crush;
  match goal with
  | [ H : infiniteDecreasingChain _ _ ⊢ _ ] ⇒ inversion H;
eauto
  end.
Qed.

```

```

Theorem noBadChains : ∀ A (R : A → A → Prop), well_founded R
  → ∀ s, ¬infiniteDecreasingChain R s.
  destruct s; apply noBadChains'; auto.
Qed.

```

W drugą stronę prawdziwe tylko w logice klasycznej

Związki między definicjami

```

Lemma noBadChains' : ∀ A (R : A → A → Prop) x, Acc R x
  → ∀ s, ¬infiniteDecreasingChain R (Cons x s).
  induction 1; crush;
  match goal with
  | [ H : infiniteDecreasingChain _ _ ⊢ _ ] ⇒ inversion H;
eauto
  end.
Qed.

```

```

Theorem noBadChains : ∀ A (R : A → A → Prop), well_founded R
  → ∀ s, ¬infiniteDecreasingChain R s.
  destruct s; apply noBadChains'; auto.
Qed.

```

W drugą stronę prawdziwe tylko w logice klasycznej

well_founded_induction i Fix

Check `well_founded_induction`.

```
well_founded_induction
  :  $\forall (A : \text{Type}) (R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}),$ 
    well_founded  $R \rightarrow$ 
     $\forall P : A \rightarrow \text{Type},$ 
     $(\forall x : A, (\forall y : A, R\ y\ x \rightarrow P\ y) \rightarrow P\ x) \rightarrow$ 
     $\forall x : A, P\ x$ 
```

- `Fix` ma taki sam typ
- `well_founded R` zapewnia, że nie ma nieskończonego ciągu wywołań rekurencyjnych

well_founded_induction i Fix

Check `well_founded_induction`.

```
well_founded_induction
  :  $\forall (A : \text{Type}) (R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}),$ 
    well_founded  $R \rightarrow$ 
     $\forall P : A \rightarrow \text{Type},$ 
     $(\forall x : A, (\forall y : A, R\ y\ x \rightarrow P\ y) \rightarrow P\ x) \rightarrow$ 
     $\forall x : A, P\ x$ 
```

- `Fix` ma taki sam typ
- `well_founded R` zapewnia, że nie ma nieskończonego ciągu wywołań rekurencyjnych

well_founded_induction i Fix

Check `well_founded_induction`.

```
well_founded_induction
  :  $\forall (A : \text{Type}) (R : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}),$ 
    well_founded  $R \rightarrow$ 
     $\forall P : A \rightarrow \text{Type},$ 
     $(\forall x : A, (\forall y : A, R\ y\ x \rightarrow P\ y) \rightarrow P\ x) \rightarrow$ 
     $\forall x : A, P\ x$ 
```

- `Fix` ma taki sam typ
- `well_founded R` zapewnia, że nie ma nieskończonego ciągu wywołań rekurencyjnych

Dzielenie całkowite — wfdiv

Definition wfdiv :

```
forall m n:nat, 0 < n -> {q:nat &{r:nat | m = q*n+r ^ r < n}}  
:=  
well_founded_induction lt_wf div_type div_F.
```

div_F definiowane w pliku wfdiv.v

Dzielenie całkowite — wfdiv

Definition wfdiv :

```
forall m n:nat, 0 < n -> {q:nat &{r:nat | m = q*n+r ^ r < n}}  
:=  
well_founded_induction lt_wf div_type div_F.
```

div_F definiowane w pliku wfdiv.v

Dzielenie całkowite — div — używając Function

```
Function div (p:nat*nat) {measure fst} : nat*nat :=
match p with
| (_,0) => (0,0)
| (a,b) => if le_lt_dec b a
  then let (x,y):=div (a-b,b) in (1+x,y)
  else (0,a)
end.

intros.
simpl.
omega.
Defined.
```


Komenda Function — przykład factZ

```
Require Import Recdef.
```

```
Function factZ (x : Z) {measure Zabs_nat x} : Z :=  
  if Zle_bool x 0 then 1 else x * factZ (x - 1).
```

pozostaje zrobić dowód, że miara maleje...(w pliku gr.v)

Dowodzenie własności

```
Lemma div2_le : forall n, div2 n <= n.
```

```
Proof.
```

```
  induction n.
```

```
  auto.
```

```
  simpl.
```

```
  destruct n.
```

```
  auto.
```

```
  (* Does not work: bad induction hypothesis *)
```

```
Abort.
```

Lepiej najpierw dowieść: $(\text{forall } m \ n, n \leq m \rightarrow \text{div2 } n \leq n)$.

Functional induction

Functional Scheme `div2_ind` := Induction for `div2 Sort Prop`.
 Check `div2_ind`.

```
div2_ind
  : forall P : nat -> nat -> Prop,
    (forall n : nat, n = 0 -> P 0 0) ->
    (forall n n0 : nat, n = S n0 -> n0 = 0 -> P 1 0) ->
    (forall n n0 : nat,
     n = S n0 ->
     forall n' : nat,
     n0 = S n' -> P n' (div2 n') -> P (S (S n')) (S (div2 n')))
  ->
  forall n : nat, P n (div2 n)
```

Functional induction

Check div2_equation.

```
div2_equation
: forall n : nat,
  div2 n = match n with
    | 0 => 0
    | 1 => 0
    | S (S n') => S (div2 n')
  end
```

Dowód `div2_le''`

Lemma `div2_le''` : forall x, `div2 x * 2 <= x`.

Proof.

`intros x; functional induction div2 x; auto with zarith.`

`Qed.`

functional induction generowane automatycznie gdy używamy `Function`

Powrót do div — poprawność dzielenia

Function generuje `div_ind`

```
Definition divPre (args:nat*nat) : Prop := (snd args)<>0.
```

```
Definition divRel (args:nat*nat) (res:nat*nat) : Prop :=  
  let (n,d):=args in let (q,r):=res in q*d+r=n / r<d.
```

```
Theorem div_correct : forall (p:nat*nat), divPre p -> divRel  
p (div p).
```

Dowód w pliku `div.v`

mergeSort używający well_founded

Section mergeSort.

Variable $A : \text{Type}$.

Variable $le : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{bool}$.

```

Fixpoint insert (x : A) (ls : list A) : list A :=
  match ls with
  | nil  $\Rightarrow$  x :: nil
  | h :: ls'  $\Rightarrow$ 
    if le x h
    then x :: ls
    else h :: insert x ls'
end.

```

mergeSort używający well_founded

Section mergeSort.

Variable $A : \text{Type}$.

Variable $le : A \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{bool}$.

Fixpoint insert $(x : A) (ls : \text{list } A) : \text{list } A :=$

match ls with

| $\text{nil} \Rightarrow x :: \text{nil}$

| $h :: ls' \Rightarrow$

if $le\ x\ h$

then $x :: ls$

else $h :: \text{insert } x\ ls'$

end.

mergeSort używający well_founded

```

Fixpoint merge (ls1 ls2 : list A) : list A :=
  match ls1 with
  | nil => ls2
  | h :: ls' => insert h (merge ls' ls2)
  end.

```

```

Fixpoint split (ls : list A) : list A × list A :=
  match ls with
  | nil => (nil, nil)
  | h :: nil => (h :: nil, nil)
  | h1 :: h2 :: ls' =>
    let (ls1, ls2) := split ls' in
      (h1 :: ls1, h2 :: ls2)
  end.

```

mergeSort używający well_founded

```

Fixpoint merge (ls1 ls2 : list A) : list A :=
  match ls1 with
  | nil => ls2
  | h :: ls' => insert h (merge ls' ls2)
  end.

```

```

Fixpoint split (ls : list A) : list A × list A :=
  match ls with
  | nil => (nil, nil)
  | h :: nil => (h :: nil, nil)
  | h1 :: h2 :: ls' =>
    let (ls1, ls2) := split ls' in
      (h1 :: ls1, h2 :: ls2)
  end.

```

Zła definicja

```

Fixpoint mergeSort (ls : list A) : list A :=
  if leb (length ls) 1
  then ls
  else let lss := split ls in
        merge (mergeSort (fst lss)) (mergeSort (snd lss)).
  
```

Recursive call to mergeSort has principal argument equal to "fst (split ls)" instead of a subterm of "ls".

Relacja porządku lengthOrder

Definition lengthOrder (*ls1 ls2* : list *A*) :=
length *ls1* < length *ls2*.

Lemma lengthOrder_wf' : $\forall len, \forall ls, \text{length } ls \leq len \rightarrow \text{Acc lengthOrder } ls$.

Theorem lengthOrder_wf : well_founded lengthOrder.

Relacja porządku lengthOrder

Definition lengthOrder (*ls1 ls2* : list *A*) :=
length *ls1* < length *ls2*.

Lemma lengthOrder_wf' : $\forall len, \forall ls, \text{length } ls \leq len \rightarrow \text{Acc lengthOrder } ls$.

Theorem lengthOrder_wf : well_founded lengthOrder.

Relacja porządku lengthOrder

Definition lengthOrder (*ls1 ls2* : list *A*) :=
length *ls1* < length *ls2*.

Lemma lengthOrder_wf' : $\forall len, \forall ls, \text{length } ls \leq len \rightarrow \text{Acc lengthOrder } ls$.

Theorem lengthOrder_wf : well_founded lengthOrder.

Definicja mergeSort używająca Fix

```

Definition mergeSort : list A → list A.
  refine (Fix lengthOrder_wf (fun _ ⇒ list A)
    (fun (ls : list A)
      (mergeSort : ∀ ls' : list A, lengthOrder ls' ls → list A) ⇒
      if le_lt_dec 2 (length ls)
      then let lss := split ls in
           merge (mergeSort (fst lss) _) (mergeSort (snd lss) _)
      else ls)); subst lss; eauto.

```

Defined.

End mergeSort.

Definicja mergeSort' używająca Function

```
Function mergeSort' (ls : list A) {measure length ls} :  
list A :=  
  if le_lt_dec 2 (length ls)  
  then let lss := split ls in  
    merge (mergeSort' (fst lss)) (mergeSort' (snd lss))  
  else ls.  
intros.  
...  
Defined.
```


Lepsze Merge

```
Definition slen (p:list nat * list nat) :=
  length (fst p) + length (snd p).
```

```
Function Merge (p:list nat * list nat) { measure slen p } :
list nat :=
  match p with
  | (nil, l2) => l2
  | (l1, nil) => l1
  | ((x1::l1') as l1, (x2::l2') as l2) =>
    if leb x1 x2 then x1::Merge (l1',l2)
    else x2::Merge (l1,l2')
  end.
```

```
(* first goal *) unfold slen;simpl;intros. omega.
(* second goal *) unfold slen;simpl;intros. omega.
Defined.
```

Dowody przez functional induction

Indukcja najlepiej po zmiennej. Patrz plik gr.v

```
Lemma Merge_count : forall x l1 l2,
  count x (Merge (l1, l2)) = count x l1 + count x l2.
```

Proof.

```
(* intros x l1 l2;functional induction (Merge (l1,l2)). *)
(* Some information is lost, we need generalization *)
...

```

```
Lemma Merge_count' : forall x l1 l2,
  count x (Merge (l1, l2)) = count x l1 + count x l2.
```

Proof.

```
intros x l1 l2.
remember (l1,l2) as p.
revert l1 l2 Heqp.
functional induction (Merge p)
...

```

Dowody przez functional induction

Indukcja najlepiej po zmiennej. Patrz plik gr.v

```
Lemma Merge_count : forall x l1 l2,
  count x (Merge (l1, l2)) = count x l1 + count x l2.
```

Proof.

```
(* intros x l1 l2;functional induction (Merge (l1,l2)). *)
(* Some information is lost, we need generalization *)
...

```

```
Lemma Merge_count' : forall x l1 l2,
  count x (Merge (l1, l2)) = count x l1 + count x l2.
```

Proof.

```
intros x l1 l2.
remember (l1,l2) as p.
revert l1 l2 Heqp.
functional induction (Merge p)
...

```