

Programowanie z typami zależnymi i dowodzenie twierdzeń

Match i fix

24.03.2015

Funkcja pred

Print *pred*.

```
pred = fun n : nat ⇒ match n with  
  | 0 ⇒ 0  
  | S u ⇒ u  
end  
: nat → nat
```

Funkcja pred_strong1

Lemma zgtz : $0 > 0 \rightarrow$ **False**.

crush.

Qed.

Definition pred_strong1 ($n : \mathbf{nat}$) : $n > 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{nat} :=$
 match n with
 | **O** \Rightarrow fun $pf : 0 > 0 \Rightarrow$ match zgtz pf with end
 | **S** $n' \Rightarrow$ fun $_ \Rightarrow n'$
 end.

Theorem two_gt0 : $2 > 0$.

crush.

Qed.

Eval compute in pred_strong1 two_gt0.

= 1
 : **nat**

Funkcja pred_strong1

Lemma zgtz : $0 > 0 \rightarrow$ **False**.

crush.

Qed.

Definition pred_strong1 ($n : \mathbf{nat}$) : $n > 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{nat} :=$
 match n with
 | **O** \Rightarrow fun $pf : 0 > 0 \Rightarrow$ match zgtz pf with end
 | **S** $n' \Rightarrow$ fun $_ \Rightarrow n'$
 end.

Theorem two_gt0 : $2 > 0$.

crush.

Qed.

Eval compute in pred_strong1 two_gt0.

= 1
 : **nat**

Funkcja `pred_strong1'`

```

Definition pred_strong1' (n : nat) (pf : n > 0) : nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 => match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' => n'
  end.

```

Error: In environment

`n : nat`

`pf : n > 0`

The term "pf" has type "`n > 0`" while it is expected to have type "`0 > 0`"

Funkcja `pred_strong1'`

```

Definition pred_strong1' (n : nat) (pf : n > 0) : nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 => match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' => n'
  end.

```

Error: In environment

`n : nat`

`pf : n > 0`

The term "pf" has type "`n > 0`" while it is expected to have type "`0 > 0`"

Funkcja pred_strong1''

```

Definition pred_strong1'' (n : nat) : n > 0 → nat :=
  match n return n > 0 → nat with
  | O ⇒ fun pf : 0 > 0 ⇒ match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' ⇒ fun _ ⇒ n'
end.

```

Subset type

Print *sig*.

```
Inductive sig (A : Type) (P : A → Prop) : Type :=
  exist : ∀ x : A, P x → sig P
```

Locate "{ _ : _ | _ }".

Notation

```
"{ x : A | P }" := sig (fun x : A => P)
```

Parameter *A* is *implicit*

Subset type

Print *sig*.

```
Inductive sig (A : Type) (P : A → Prop) : Type :=
  exist : ∀ x : A, P x → sig P
```

Locate "{ _ : _ | _ }".

Notation

```
"{ x : A | P }" := sig (fun x : A ⇒ P)
```

Parameter *A* is *implicit*

Subset type

Print *sig*.

```
Inductive sig (A : Type) (P : A → Prop) : Type :=
  exist : ∀ x : A, P x → sig P
```

Locate "{ _ : _ | _ }".

Notation

```
"{ x : A | P }" := sig (fun x : A => P)
```

Parameter A is *implicit*

Funkcja pred_strong2

```

Definition pred_strong2 (s : {n : nat | n > 0}) : nat :=
  match s with
  | exist O pf => match zgtz pf with end
  | exist (S n') _ => n'
  end.

```

```

Eval compute in pred_strong2 (exist _ 2 two_gt0).
= 1
: nat

```

Funkcja pred_strong2

```

Definition pred_strong2 (s : {n : nat | n > 0}) : nat :=
  match s with
  | exist O pf => match zgtz pf with end
  | exist (S n') _ => n'
  end.

```

```

Eval compute in pred_strong2 (exist _ 2 two_gt0).
= 1
: nat

```

Funkcja pred_strong3

```

Definition pred_strong3 (s : {n : nat | n > 0}) : {m : nat | proj1_sig
s = S m} :=
  match s return {m : nat | proj1_sig s = S m} with
  | exist 0 pf => match zgtz pf with end
  | exist (S n') pf => exist _ n' (eq_refl _)
end.

```

Listy z długością

Section `ilist`.

Variable `A : Set`.

Inductive `ilist : nat → Set :=`

| `Nil : ilist 0`

| `Cons : ∀ n, A → ilist n → ilist (S n)`.

Funkcja append na listach z długością

```

Fixpoint app' n1 (ls1 : ilist n1) n2 (ls2 : ilist n2) : ilist (n1 + n2) :=
  match ls1 in (ilist n1) return (ilist (n1 + n2)) with
  | Nil => ls2
  | Cons _ x ls1' => Cons x (app' ls1' ls2)
end.

```

Funkcja head na listach z długością cd.

```

Definition hd n (ls : ilist (S n)) : A :=
  match ls with
  | Cons _ h _ => h
  end.

```

Error: Non exhaustive pattern-matching: no clause found for pattern Nil

W nowej wersji, przypadek Nil jest obsługiwany przez Coq

Funkcja head na listach z długością cd.

```

Definition hd n (ls : ilist (S n)) : A :=
  match ls with
  | Cons _ h _ => h
  end.

```

Error: Non exhaustive pattern-matching: no clause found for pattern Nil

W nowej wersji, przypadek Nil jest obsługiwany przez Coq

Funkcja head na listach z długością cd.

```

Definition hd n (ls : ilist (S n)) : A :=
  match ls in (ilist (S n)) with
  | Cons _ h _ => h
  end.

```

Error: The reference n was not found in the current environment

Funkcja head na listach z długością cd.

```

Definition hd' n (ls : ilist n) :=
  match ls in (ilist n) return (match n with 0 => unit | S _ => A
end) with
  | Nil => tt
  | Cons _ h _ => h
end.

```

Check hd'.

hd'

```

: ∀ n : nat, ilist n → match n with
  | 0 => unit
  | S _ => A
end

```

Definition hd n (ls : ilist (S n)) : A := hd' ls.

End ilist.

Destrukcja - match

Simple form:

$$\text{match } m \text{ with } (c_1 \ x_{11} \ \dots \ x_{1p_1}) \Rightarrow f_1 \mid \dots \mid (c_n \ x_{n1} \ \dots \ x_{np_n}) \Rightarrow f_n \text{ end}$$

Full form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{match } m \text{ as } x \text{ in } I \text{ - } a \text{ return } (P \ a \ x) \text{ with} \\ & (c_1 \ x_{11} \ \dots \ x_{1p_1}) \Rightarrow f_1 \mid \dots \mid (c_n \ x_{n1} \ \dots \ x_{np_n}) \Rightarrow f_n \text{ end} \end{aligned}$$

For the purpose of presenting the inference rules, we use a more compact notation :

$$\text{case}(m, (\lambda a x, P), \lambda x_{11} \ \dots \ x_{1p_1}, f_1 \mid \dots \mid \lambda x_{n1} \ \dots \ x_{np_n}, f_n)$$

Destrukcja - match (c.d)

Type of branches. Let c be a term of type C , we assume C is a type of constructor for an inductive definition I . Let P be a term that represents the property to be proved. We assume r is the number of parameters. We define a new type $\{c : C\}^P$ which represents the type of the branch corresponding to the $c : C$ constructor.

$$\begin{aligned} \{c : (I_i p_1 \dots p_r t_1 \dots t_p)\}^P &\equiv (P t_1 \dots t_p c) \\ \{c : \forall x : T, C\}^P &\equiv \forall x : T, \{(c x) : C\}^P \end{aligned}$$

We write $\{c\}^P$ for $\{c : C\}^P$ with C the type of c .

Examples. For `List_A` the type of P will be `List_A → s` for $s \in \mathcal{S}$.
 $\{(\text{cons } A)\}^P \equiv \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, (P (\text{cons } A a l)).$

Destrukcja - match (c.d)

```

Inductive Length (A:Set) : list A -> nat -> Prop :=
| Lnil : Length A (nil A) 0
| Lcons : forall (a:A) (l:list A) (n:nat),
           Length A l n -> Length A (cons A a l) (S n).

```

For `Length_A`, the type of P will be

$$\forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow \text{Prop}$$

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, \forall h : (\text{Length_A } l \ n), \\ (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (\text{S } n) (\text{Lcons } A \ a \ l \ n l)).$$

If P does not depend on its third argument:

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \\ \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (\text{S } n)).$$

Destrukcja - match (c.d)

```

Inductive Length (A:Set) : list A -> nat -> Prop :=
| Lnil : Length A (nil A) 0
| Lcons : forall (a:A) (l:list A) (n:nat),
           Length A l n -> Length A (cons A a l) (S n).

```

For `Length_A`, the type of P will be

$$\forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow \text{Prop}$$

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, \forall h : (\text{Length_A } l \ n), \\ (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (\text{S } n) (\text{Lcons } A \ a \ l \ n \ l)).$$

If P does not depend on its third argument:

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \\ \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (\text{S } n)).$$

Destrukcja - match (c.d)

```

Inductive Length (A:Set) : list A -> nat -> Prop :=
| Lnil : Length A (nil A) 0
| Lcons : forall (a:A) (l:list A) (n:nat),
           Length A l n -> Length A (cons A a l) (S n).

```

For `Length_A`, the type of P will be

$$\forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow \text{Prop}$$

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, \forall h : (\text{Length_A } l \ n), \\ (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (S \ n) (\text{Lcons } A \ a \ l \ n \ l)).$$

If P does not depend on its third argument:

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \\ \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (S \ n)).$$

Destrukcja - match (c.d)

```

Inductive Length (A:Set) : list A -> nat -> Prop :=
| Lnil : Length A (nil A) 0
| Lcons : forall (a:A) (l:list A) (n:nat),
           Length A l n -> Length A (cons A a l) (S n).

```

For `Length_A`, the type of P will be

$$\forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow \text{Prop}$$

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, \forall h : (\text{Length_A } l \ n), \\ (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (S \ n) (\text{Lcons } A \ a \ l \ n \ l)).$$

If P does not depend on its third argument:

$$\{(\text{Lcons } A)\}^P = \\ \forall a : A, \forall l : \text{List_A}, \forall n : \text{nat}, (\text{Length_A } l \ n) \rightarrow (P (\text{cons } A \ a \ l) (S \ n)).$$

Allowed elimination sorts

$[I:A \mid B]$ is the smallest relation satisfying the following rules:

- if $[(I \ x):A' \mid B']$ then $[I:\text{forall } x:A, A' \mid \text{forall } x:A, B']$
- $[I:s1 \mid I \rightarrow s2]$ for any $s1 \in \text{Set}, \text{Type}(j), s2 \in S$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow \text{Prop}]$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow s]$ for I empty or singleton definition, $s \in S$

Allowed elimination sorts

$[I:A \mid B]$ is the smallest relation satisfying the following rules:

- if $[(I \ x):A' \mid B']$ then $[I:\text{forall } x:A, A' \mid \text{forall } x:A, B']$
- $[I:s1 \mid I \rightarrow s2]$ for any $s1 \in \text{Set}, \text{Type}(j), s2 \in S$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow \text{Prop}]$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow s]$ for I empty or singleton definition, $s \in S$

Allowed elimination sorts

$[I:A \mid B]$ is the smallest relation satisfying the following rules:

- if $[(I \ x):A' \mid B']$ then $[I:\text{forall } x:A, A' \mid \text{forall } x:A, B']$
- $[I:s_1 \mid I \rightarrow s_2]$ for any $s_1 \in \text{Set}, \text{Type}(j), s_2 \in S$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow \text{Prop}]$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow s]$ for I empty or singleton definition, $s \in S$

Allowed elimination sorts

$[I:A \mid B]$ is the smallest relation satisfying the following rules:

- if $[(I \ x):A' \mid B']$ then $[I:\text{forall } x:A, A' \mid \text{forall } x:A, B']$
- $[I:s_1 \mid I \rightarrow s_2]$ for any $s_1 \in \text{Set}, \text{Type}(j), s_2 \in S$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow \text{Prop}]$
- $[I:\text{Prop} \mid I \rightarrow s]$ for I empty or singleton definition, $s \in S$

Destrukcja - match (c.d)

Typing rule.

Our very general destructor for inductive definition enjoys the following typing rule

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} E[\Gamma] \vdash c : (I \ q_1 \dots q_r \ t_1 \dots t_s) \\ E[\Gamma] \vdash P : B \\ [(I \ q_1 \dots q_r) | B] \\ (E[\Gamma] \vdash f_i : \{(c_{p_i} \ q_1 \dots q_r)\}^P)_{i=1 \dots l} \end{array}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text{case}(c, P, f_1 | \dots | f_l) : (P \ t_1 \dots t_s \ c)}$$

provided I is an inductive type in a declaration $\text{Ind}(\Delta)[r](\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C)$ with $\Gamma_C = [c_1 : C_1; \dots; c_n : C_n]$ and $c_{p_1} \dots c_{p_l}$ are the only constructors of I .

Destrukcja - match (redukcja)

A ι -reduction has the following form

$$\text{case}((c_{p_i} \ q_1 \ \dots \ q_r \ a_1 \ \dots \ a_m), P, f_1 | \dots | f_n) \triangleright_{\iota} (f_i \ a_1 \ \dots \ a_m)$$

with c_{p_i} the i -th constructor of the inductive type I with r parameters.

Przykłady typowania - pred_strong1

```

Definition pred_strong1 (n : nat) : n > 0 → nat :=
  match n with
  | O ⇒ fun pf : 0 > 0 ⇒ match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' ⇒ fun _ ⇒ n'
end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } n : \text{nat} \Rightarrow (n > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Powinno być $f1 : P0$ i $f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, P(Sn')$, czyli

$$f1 : (0 > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, (Sn' > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = \text{fun } pf : 0 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{False_rec } _ _$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(pf : (Sn' > 0)) \Rightarrow n'$$

Przykłady typowania - pred_strong1

```

Definition pred_strong1 (n : nat) : n > 0 → nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 ⇒ fun pf : 0 > 0 ⇒ match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' ⇒ fun _ ⇒ n'
end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } n : \text{nat} \Rightarrow (n > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Powinno być $f1 : P0$ i $f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, P(Sn')$, czyli

$$f1 : (0 > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, (Sn' > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = \text{fun } pf : 0 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{False_rec } - -$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(pf : (Sn' > 0)) \Rightarrow n'$$

Przykłady typowania - pred_strong1

```

Definition pred_strong1 (n : nat) : n > 0 → nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 ⇒ fun pf : 0 > 0 ⇒ match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' ⇒ fun _ ⇒ n'
end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } n : \text{nat} \Rightarrow (n > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Powinno być $f1 : P0$ i $f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, P(Sn')$, czyli

$$f1 : (0 > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, (Sn' > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = \text{fun } pf : 0 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{False_rec } _ _$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(pf : (Sn' > 0)) \Rightarrow n'$$

Przykłady typowania - pred_strong1

```

Definition pred_strong1 (n : nat) : n > 0 → nat :=
  match n with
  | 0 ⇒ fun pf : 0 > 0 ⇒ match zgtz pf with end
  | S n' ⇒ fun _ ⇒ n'
end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } n : \text{nat} \Rightarrow (n > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Powinno być $f1 : P0$ i $f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, P(Sn')$, czyli

$$f1 : (0 > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$f2 : \forall n' : \text{nat}, (Sn' > 0) \rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = \text{fun } pf : 0 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{False_rec } _ _$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(pf : (Sn' > 0)) \Rightarrow n'$$

Przykłady typowania - app

```

Fixpoint app' n1 (ls1 : ilist n1) n2 (ls2 : ilist n2) : ilist (n1 + n2)
:=
  match ls1 in (ilist n1) return (ilist (n1 + n2)) with
  | Nil => ls2
  | Cons _ x ls1' => Cons x (app' ls1' ls2)
  end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } (i : \text{nat})(ls : \text{ilist } i) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(i + n2)$$

Powinno być $f1 : P \ 0 \ \text{Nil} \ i$

$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), P \ (Sn') \ (\text{Cons } n' \ a \ l)$, czyli

$$f1 : \text{ilist}(0 + n2)$$

$$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), \text{ilist}(Sn')$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = ls2$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n') \Rightarrow \text{Cons } n' \ a \ (\text{app}' \ l \ ls2)$$

Przykłady typowania - app

```

Fixpoint app' n1 (ls1 : ilist n1) n2 (ls2 : ilist n2) : ilist (n1 + n2)
:=
  match ls1 in (ilist n1) return (ilist (n1 + n2)) with
  | Nil => ls2
  | Cons _ x ls1' => Cons x (app' ls1' ls2)
  end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } (i : \text{nat})(ls : \text{ilist } i) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(i + n2)$$

Powinno być $f1 : P \ 0 \ \text{Nil} \ i$

$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), P \ (Sn') \ (\text{Cons } n' \ a \ l)$, czyli

$$f1 : \text{ilist}(0 + n2)$$

$$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), \text{ilist}(Sn')$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = ls2$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n') \Rightarrow \text{Cons } n' \ a \ (\text{app}' \ l \ ls2)$$

Przykłady typowania - app

```

Fixpoint app' n1 (ls1 : ilist n1) n2 (ls2 : ilist n2) : ilist (n1 + n2)
:=
  match ls1 in (ilist n1) return (ilist (n1 + n2)) with
  | Nil => ls2
  | Cons _ x ls1' => Cons x (app' ls1' ls2)
  end.

```

$$P = \text{fun } (i : \text{nat})(ls : \text{ilist } i) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(i + n2)$$

Powinno być $f1 : P \ 0 \ \text{Nil} \ i$

$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), P (Sn') (Cons \ n' \ a \ l)$, czyli

$$f1 : \text{ilist}(0 + n2)$$

$$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), \text{ilist}(Sn')$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = ls2$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n') \Rightarrow Cons \ n' \ a \ (\text{app}' \ l \ ls2)$$

Przykłady typowania - app

Fixpoint app' $n1$ ($ls1 : \mathbf{ilist}$ $n1$) $n2$ ($ls2 : \mathbf{ilist}$ $n2$) : \mathbf{ilist} ($n1 + n2$)
 :=
 match $ls1$ in (\mathbf{ilist} $n1$) return (\mathbf{ilist} ($n1 + n2$)) with
 | Nil \Rightarrow $ls2$
 | Cons $_ x$ $ls1'$ \Rightarrow Cons x (app' $ls1'$ $ls2$)
 end.

$$P = \text{fun } (i : \text{nat})(ls : \text{ilist } i) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(i + n2)$$

Powinno być $f1 : P \ 0 \ \text{Nil} \ i$

$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), P (Sn') (Cons \ n' \ a \ l)$, czyli

$$f1 : \text{ilist}(0 + n2)$$

$$f2 : \forall (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n'), \text{ilist}(Sn')$$

Dobre będą:

$$f1 = ls2$$

$$f2 = \text{fun } (n' : \text{nat})(a : A)(l : \text{ilist } n') \Rightarrow Cons \ n' \ a \ (\text{app}' \ l \ ls2)$$

Przykłady typowania - silna eliminacja

```
Definition sel (n:nat) := match n with
0 => False
| S _=> True
```

```
Goal 0=1 -> False.
intro H.
change (sel 1).
rewrite <- H.
red.
constructor.
Qed.
```


Przykłady typowania - silna eliminacja

```
Definition sel (n:nat) := match n with
0 => False
| S _=> True
```

```
Goal 0=1 -> False.
intro H.
change (sel 1).
rewrite <- H.
red.
constructor.
Qed.
```

Przykłady typowania - eliminacja równości

```

Definition rowne (n,m:nat)(h:n=m)(l:ilist n): ilist m :=
match h in _=m with return (ilist m)
eq_refl => l

```

$$P = \text{fun } (m : \text{nat})(h : n = m) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(m)$$

Powinno być $f : P\ n\ (\text{eq_refl}\ \text{nat}\ n)$, czyli

$$f : \text{ilist}\ n$$

Dobra będzie:

$$f = l$$

- To była eliminacja z Prop w Set dla typu singletonowego
- Tak działa taktyka `rewrite`

Przykłady typowania - eliminacja równości

```

Definition rowne (n,m:nat)(h:n=m)(l:ilist n): ilist m :=
match h in _=m with return (ilist m)
eq_refl => l

```

$$P = \text{fun } (m : \text{nat})(h : n = m) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(m)$$

Powinno być $f : P\ n\ (\text{eq_refl}\ \text{nat}\ n)$, czyli

$$f : \text{ilist}\ n$$

Dobra będzie:

$$f = l$$

- To była eliminacja z Prop w Set dla typu singletonowego
- Tak działa taktyka `rewrite`

Przykłady typowania - eliminacja równości

```

Definition rowne (n,m:nat)(h:n=m)(l:ilist n): ilist m :=
match h in _=m with return (ilist m)
eq_refl => l

```

$$P = \text{fun } (m : \text{nat})(h : n = m) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(m)$$

Powinno być $f : P\ n\ (\text{eq_refl}\ \text{nat}\ n)$, czyli

$$f : \text{ilist}\ n$$

Dobra będzie:

$$f = l$$

- To była eliminacja z Prop w Set dla typu singletonowego
- Tak działa taktyka `rewrite`

Przykłady typowania - eliminacja równości

```

Definition rowne (n,m:nat)(h:n=m)(l:ilist n): ilist m :=
match h in _=m with return (ilist m)
eq_refl => l

```

$$P = \text{fun } (m : \text{nat})(h : n = m) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(m)$$

Powinno być $f : P\ n\ (\text{eq_refl}\ \text{nat}\ n)$, czyli

$$f : \text{ilist}\ n$$

Dobra będzie:

$$f = l$$

- To była eliminacja z Prop w Set dla typu singletonowego
- Tak działa taktyka `rewrite`

Przykłady typowania - eliminacja równości

```

Definition rowne (n,m:nat)(h:n=m)(l:ilist n): ilist m :=
match h in _=m with return (ilist m)
eq_refl => l

```

$$P = \text{fun } (m : \text{nat})(h : n = m) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(m)$$

Powinno być $f : P \ n \ (eq_refl \ \text{nat} \ n)$, czyli

$$f : \text{ilist } n$$

Dobra będzie:

$$f = l$$

- To była eliminacja z Prop w Set dla typu singletonowego
- Tak działa taktyka `rewrite`

Przykłady typowania - eliminacja równości

```

Definition rowne (n,m:nat)(h:n=m)(l:ilist n): ilist m :=
match h in _=m with return (ilist m)
eq_refl => l

```

$$P = \text{fun } (m : \text{nat})(h : n = m) \Rightarrow \text{ilist}(m)$$

Powinno być $f : P\ n\ (\text{eq_refl}\ \text{nat}\ n)$, czyli

$$f : \text{ilist}\ n$$

Dobra będzie:

$$f = l$$

- To była eliminacja z Prop w Set dla typu singletonowego
- Tak działa taktyka rewrite

Rekursja - fix

The basic concrete syntax for a recursive set of mutually recursive declarations is (with Γ_i contexts) :

$$\text{fix } f_1(\Gamma_1) : A_1 := t_1 \text{ with } \dots \text{ with } f_n(\Gamma_n) : A_n := t_n \text{ for } f_i$$

In the inference rules, we represent such a term by

$$\text{Fix } f_i \{ f_1 : A'_1 := t'_1 \dots f_n : A'_n := t'_n \}$$

with t'_i (resp. A'_i) representing the term t_i abstracted (resp. generalized) with respect to the bindings in the context Γ_i , namely $t'_i = \lambda\Gamma_i, t_i$ and $A'_i = \forall\Gamma_i, A_i$.

Rekursja - fix (c.d)

Typing rule:

$$\frac{(E[\Gamma] \vdash A_i : s_i)_{i=1\dots n} \quad (E[\Gamma, f_1 : A_1, \dots, f_n : A_n] \vdash t_i : A_i)_{i=1\dots n}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{Fix} \ f_i \{f_1 : A_1 := t_1 \dots f_n : A_n := t_n\} : A_i}$$

But we need to specify the argument on which we recurse.

For doing this the syntax of fixpoints is extended and becomes

$$\mathbf{Fix} \ f_i \{f_1/k_1 : A_1 := t_1 \dots f_n/k_n : A_n := t_n\}$$

where k_i are positive integers. Each A_i should be a type starting with at least k_i products $\forall y_1 : B_1, \dots, \forall y_{k_i} : B_{k_i}, A'_i$ and B_{k_i} being an instance of an inductive definition.

Now in the definition t_i , if f_j occurs then it should be applied to at least k_j arguments and the k_j -th argument should be syntactically recognized as *structurally smaller* than y_{k_i}

Rekursja - fix (c.d)

Given a variable y of an inductive type from a declaration $\text{Ind}(\Gamma)[r](\Gamma_I := \Gamma_C)$ where Γ_I is $[I_1 : A_1; \dots; I_k : A_k]$, and Γ_C is $[c_1 : C_1; \dots; c_n : C_n]$. The terms structurally smaller than y are:

- $(t u), \lambda x : u, t$ when t is structurally smaller than y .
- $\text{case}(c, P, f_1 \dots f_n)$ when each f_i is structurally smaller than y .
If c is y or is structurally smaller than y , its type is an inductive definition I_p part of the inductive declaration corresponding to y .

Each f_i corresponds to a type of constructor

$C_q \equiv \forall p_1 : P_1, \dots, \forall p_r : P_r, \forall y_1 : B_1, \dots, \forall y_k : B_k, (I a_1 \dots a_k)$ and can consequently be written $\lambda y_1 : B'_1, \dots, \lambda y_k : B'_k, g_i$. (B'_i is obtained from B_i by substituting parameters variables) the variables y_j occurring in g_i corresponding to recursive arguments B_i (the ones in which one of the I_l occurs) are structurally smaller than y .

Rekursja - fix (redukcja)

Let F be the set of declarations: $f_1/k_1 : A_1 := t_1 \dots f_n/k_n : A_n := t_n$.
 The reduction for fixpoints is:

$$(\mathbf{Fix} \ f_i\{F\} \ a_1 \dots a_{k_i}) \triangleright_l t_i\{(f_k/\mathbf{Fix} \ f_k\{F\})_{k=1\dots n}\} \ a_1 \dots a_{k_i}$$

when a_{k_i} starts with a constructor.

This last restriction is needed in order to keep strong normalization and corresponds to the reduction for primitive recursive operators.

Przykład strukturalnej rekursji

```
Fixpoint split (ls : list A) : list A × list A :=
  match ls with
  | nil ⇒ (nil, nil)
  | h :: nil ⇒ (h :: nil, nil)
  | h1 :: h2 :: ls' ⇒
    let (ls1, ls2) := split ls' in
      (h1 :: ls1, h2 :: ls2)
  end.
```

Przykład niestrukturalnej rekursji

```
Fixpoint mergeSort (ls : list A) : list A :=  
  if leb (length ls) 1  
  then ls  
  else let lss := split ls in  
        merge (mergeSort (fst lss)) (mergeSort (snd lss)).
```

Recursive call to mergeSort has principal argument equal to "fst (split ls)" instead of a subterm of "ls".