

Some Stable and Unstable Homotopy of Cell Complexes

July 8, 2009

1. Exponents (at odd primes)

- Instead of answering the very difficult question “what are the homotopy groups of a space?”, we ask for something weaker like “approximately how fast do the homotopy groups grow?”.
- The p -exponent $exp_p(G)$ of an abelian group G is the smallest power p^t such that

$$p^t \times (p\text{-torsion in } G) = 0.$$

- We measure the growth of homotopy groups of X by finding bounds for the growth of $exp_p(\pi_i(X))$.
- The largest value of $exp_p(\pi_i(X))$ for $i > 1$ (if it exists) is called the p -exponent of X , and is denoted $exp_p(X)$. In this case we can also say $exp_p(\pi_*(X))$ is bounded.

2. Cases of Bounded Exponents

2.1. Finite p -local H -spaces

- Building on work of Toda, for odd primes p Cohen, Moore, and Neisendorfer showed

$$\exp_p(S^{2n+1}) = p^n.$$

- Selick proved the special case

$$\exp_p(S^3) = p.$$

- For every $n > 0$ the image of the suspension map $X \rightarrow \Omega^n \Sigma^n X$ on π_* has bounded p -exponent given X is a finite connected CW -complex [Don Stanley].
- A consequence is that every finite connected H -space (or more generally an H -space that is the p -localization of a finite connect CW -complex) has a bounded p -exponent [Stanley, Long].

2.2. Rationally trivial spaces

- The *Moore space* $P^n(p^r)$ has p -exponent p^{r+1} [Cohen, Moore, and Neisendorfer].
- Any finite wedge of Moore spaces has a bounded p -exponent.
- A simply connected finite CW -complex X is rationally trivial if and only if $\pi_*^s(X)$ has bounded p -exponent [Stanley].
- A simply connected finite CW -complex X s.t. $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite dimensional vector space has a bounded p -exponent at *almost all* primes p [McGibbon, Wilkerson].

2.3. Conjectured Examples

- Moore conjecture: A simply connected finite CW -complex X has $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ as a finite dimensional vector space if and only if it has bounded p -exponent at all primes p .
- Barrats conjecture (weak form): If the identity map on $\Sigma^2 X$ has order p^r then $\exp_p(\Sigma^2 X) = p^{r+1}$.

3. Unbounded Exponents

- Any wedge of spheres does not have a bounded p -exponent (by Hilton-Milnor Theorem).
- More generally, ΣX is rationally non-trivial implies $S^m \vee \Sigma X$ does not have a p -exponent at any prime p and all $m > 1$ [Stanley].

note: That ΣX is rationally nontrivial is necessary since $S^{2k+1} \vee P^n(p^r)$ has p -exponent $\max\{p^{r+1}, p^k\}$.

- Any 2-cell complex $X = S^m \cup_{\alpha} e^n$ such that the attaching map $S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^m$ is of finite order has no bounded p -exponent when $n, m \geq 2$ [Selick, Neisendorfer].
- More generally any finite cell complex with torsion free integral homology of dimension > 1 has no bounded exponent at odd primes [Selick].

Theorem 3.1 (Hilton-Milnor) *For any spaces X and Y , there is a functorial decomposition*

$$\Omega\Sigma(X \vee Y) \simeq \Omega\Sigma X \times \Omega\Sigma(Y \vee \bigvee_{i \geq 1} (X^{(i)} \wedge Y)).$$

□

Iterating the Hilton-Milnor Theorem implies $\Omega(S^n \vee S^m)$ is the loop space of a certain infinite weak product of spheres of increasing dimension. Then using the known exponents of spheres, an upper bound for the growth of $\exp_p(\pi_i(S^n \vee S^m))$ can be found.

PROBLEM: For other less trivial spaces, try to obtain bounds for growth of exponents...

MAIN ASSUMPTIONS: From now on assume all spaces are CW -complexes localized at an odd prime p . Take some such p -localized finite cell complex X , let

$$V = \widetilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

and let M be the sum of the degrees of the generators in V .

Let $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_k]$ be the group ring of the symmetric group S_k on k letters.

- For the k -fold self smash $X^{(k)}$, we have $\widetilde{H}_*(X^{(k)}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong V^{\otimes k}$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_p[S_k]$ acts on $V^{\otimes k}$ sending elements to sums of their permutations.
- For each $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_p[S_k]$, the action of σ on $V^{\otimes k}$ determines a self map

$$V^{\otimes k} \xrightarrow{\sigma} V^{\otimes k}.$$

- When X is a suspension, one can construct a self-map $X^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} X^{(k)}$ inducing $V^{\otimes k} \xrightarrow{\sigma} V^{\otimes k}$ on homology.
- If $\sigma \circ \sigma = \sigma$ (i.e. σ is an idempotent), the mapping telescope $T(\sigma)$ of the sequence

$$X^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} X^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} \dots$$

is a retract of $X^{(k)}$ with homology isomorphic to the image of σ .

By the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -modules

$$T(V) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} S(L_i(V)),$$

where $L_n(V)$ is the submodule of length n Lie brackets in $V^{\otimes n}$. Part of this decomposition can be geometrically realized:

Theorem 3.2 (Jie Wu) *Let X be a suspension. There is a decomposition*

$$\Omega\Sigma X \simeq \prod_j \Omega\Sigma L_{k_j}(X) \times (\text{Some other space}).$$

for each sequence $1 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that

1. k_i is prime to p ;
2. k_i is not a multiple of k_j whenever $i \neq j$.

□

Each space $L_n(X)$ is a retract of $X^{(n)}$, and is isomorphic to $L_n(V)$ on homology. They are geometrically realized as telescopes $T(\beta_n)$ using the *Dynkin-Specht-Wever element*

$$\beta_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[S_n].$$

FURTHER RESTRICTIONS: From now on assume X is a suspension, and has either only cells of even dimension or cells of odd dimension.

Then the basis of $V = \widetilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ has generators in degrees corresponding to the dimensions of the cells. Also $\widetilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong V$ and $\widetilde{H}_*(X^{(i)}; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong V^{\otimes i}$ as $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -modules.

For the odd case, let $s_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[S_k]$ be

$$s_k = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \sigma,$$

and for the even case

$$s_k = \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma.$$

In either case it is well known that $s_k s_k = k! s_k$.

We obtain the self map $V^{\otimes \ell} \xrightarrow{s_\ell} V^{\otimes \ell}$ and its geometric realization $X^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\bar{s}_\ell} X^{(\ell)}$.

Proposition 3.3 *When V has $\ell < p$ generators,*

- (i) *the telescope $T(s_\ell)$ is a retract of $X^{(\ell)}$ and*
- (ii) *$T(s_\ell) = S^M$ where M is the sum of the degrees for each generator in V .*

□

Corollary 3.4 *When V has $\ell < p$ generators,*

- (i) *$\Sigma^M X$ is a retract of $X^{(\ell+1)}$;*
- (ii) *as a submodule of $\widetilde{H}_*(X^{(\ell+1)}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong V^{\otimes \ell+1}$, $\widetilde{H}_*(\Sigma^M X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the image of $V^{\otimes \ell+1} \xrightarrow{s_\ell^{\otimes \mathbb{1}}} V^{\otimes \ell+1}$.*

□

Take the self maps

$$V^{\otimes k+1} \xrightarrow{\beta_{k+1}} V^{\otimes k+1}$$

and

$$V^{\otimes k} \xrightarrow{s_k} V^{\otimes k}.$$

Proposition 3.5 *Suppose a free $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module V has basis of dimension $k > 1$ consisting either of only odd degree generators or of even degree generators. Then*

$$(s_k \otimes \mathbb{1}) \circ \beta_{k+1} \circ (s_k \otimes \mathbb{1}) = (k! + (k-1)!)(s_k \otimes \mathbb{1}).$$

□

Therefore the composition

$$\Sigma^M X \longrightarrow X^{(\ell+1)} \longrightarrow L_{\ell+1}(X) \longrightarrow X^{(\ell+1)} \longrightarrow \Sigma^M X$$

is a p -local homotopy equivalence when $1 < \ell < p - 1$.

Notice $\beta_{k+1} \circ (s_k \otimes \mathbb{1})$ is an idempotent modulo p when $k = 2, 3, \dots, p - 2, p$ (excluding $p - 1$).

Theorem 3.6 *Let X be a suspension and M be the sum of degrees of generators in $V = \widetilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. When V has $1 < \ell < p - 1$ generators, and all generators are either of even or of odd degree, then*

(i) $\Omega\Sigma^{M+1}X$ is a retract of $\Omega\Sigma X$;

(ii) *Therefore $\Omega\Sigma^{c_k+1}X$ is a retract of $\Omega\Sigma X$ for all $k \geq 0$, where (recursively)*

$$c_0 = 0$$

and

$$c_k = (\ell + 1)c_{k-1} + M.$$

(iii) *Therefore $\pi_i^S(\Sigma X)$ is a retract of $\pi_{i+c_k}(\Sigma X)$ for all k large enough s.t. $i < c_k$.*

□

→ A weaker version of Theorem 3.6 when $\ell = p$: Proposition 3.5 implies $\beta_{\ell+1} \circ (s_\ell \otimes \mathbb{1})$ is an idempotent modulo p . Then the telescope $T(\beta_{\ell+1} \circ (s_\ell \otimes \mathbb{1}))$ splits off from $L_{\ell+1}(X)$, and its mod- p homology is isomorphic to that of $\Sigma^M X$.

QUESTION: is $T(\beta_{\ell+1} \circ (s_\ell \otimes \mathbb{1}))$ homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma^M X$ when $\ell = p$?

→ Computational evidence indicates that Proposition 3.5 can be generalised so that

$$(s_\ell^{\otimes k} \otimes 1) \circ \beta_{\ell k+1} \circ (s_\ell^{\otimes k} \otimes 1) = s_\ell^{\otimes k} \otimes 1 \pmod{p},$$

for $1 < \ell < p - 1$ and all $k \geq 1$. So Theorem 3.6 can probably be strengthened considerably.

FURTHER WORK: What happens when V has *both* even and odd degree generators.

4. Exponent Growth (Lower bounds)

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6:

Corollary 4.1 *If X has $1 < \ell < p$ cells,*

$$\exp_p(\Sigma X) \geq \exp_p(\Sigma^{M+1} X).$$

□

QUESTION: When is it true that $\exp_p(Y) \geq \exp_p(\Sigma Y)$?

Theorem 4.2 (Cohen, Neisendorfer) *Let X be any p -localized cell complex consisting of $\ell < p - 1$ odd cells, $V = \widetilde{H}_*(X)$. Then there exists a functorial decomposition*

$$\Omega\Sigma X \simeq A(X) \times \Omega Q(X)$$

such that $A(X)$ is a finite H -space with

$$H_*(A(X)) \cong S(V)$$

as primitively generated algebras, and

$$H_*(\Omega Q(X)) \cong S([L(V), L(V)]) = \bigotimes_{i=2}^{\infty} (S(L_i(V))).$$

□

Given X consists only of $1 < \ell < p - 1$ odd cells, Theorem 3.6 and 4.2 imply $A(\Sigma^{c_k} X)$ is a retract of $\Omega\Sigma X$ for all $k \geq 1$ such that c_k is even. Since

$$T(L_{\ell+1}(V)) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{\infty} S(L_i(L_{\ell+1}(V))) \subseteq S([L(V), L(V)]),$$

this result can be strengthened:

Theorem 4.3 *If V consists of $1 < \ell < p - 1$ odd dimensional generators and ℓ is even:*

$$\Omega\Sigma X \simeq \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} A(\Sigma^{c_i} X) \times (\text{Some other space}).$$

□

If N is the dimension of the top cell(s) in X , and \bar{X} is X minus an N -cell, there exist homotopy fibrations

$$A(\Sigma^{c_i} \bar{X}) \longrightarrow A(\Sigma^{c_i} X) \xrightarrow{q} S^{c_i+N}.$$

If the order of the attaching map for the N -cell that we removed (from X to get \bar{X}) is known (say p^t), there exist degree p^t factorizations

$$S^{c_i+N} \longrightarrow A(\Sigma^{c_i} X) \xrightarrow{q} S^{c_i+N}.$$

In this case, by a result of Theriault we get homotopy fibrations

$$\Omega S^{c_i+N} \times \Omega A(\Sigma^{c_i} \bar{X}) \longrightarrow \Omega A(\Sigma^{c_i} X) \longrightarrow S^{c_i+N}\{p^t\}$$

where $\exp_p(S^{c_i+N}\{p^t\}) = p^t$.

4.1. The curious case of rank 2

When X is a suspension with only $\ell = 2$ even dimensional cells (M is the sum of their dimensions), from Proposition 3.5

$$L_2(X) = S^M,$$

and

$$L_3(X) = \Sigma^M X.$$

Recall $c_1 = M$, $c_k = (\ell + 1)c_{k-1} + M = 3c_{k-1} + M$.

By iteration we have:

Theorem 4.4 *For any suspended 2-cell complex X of even cells*

$$\Omega\Sigma X \simeq \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega(S^{c_i+1}) \times (\text{Some other space}).$$

□

QUESTION: In general, do loop spaces of spheres retract off from $\Omega\Sigma X$ when $\ell > 2$.

4.2. Moore Conjecture

Recall simply connected finite CW -complex is rationally trivial if and only if its stable homotopy has bounded p -exponent.

Since our space ΣX has either even or odd cells, it is *not* rationally trivial, and so has unbounded p -exponent on stable homotopy.

Since the stable homotopy of ΣX retracts off from its unstable homotopy (by Theorem 3.6), ΣX has unbounded p -exponent.

GUESS 1: For any general finite simply connected cell complex X , there exists an infinite sequence Y_1, Y_2, \dots of finite dimensional rationally nontrivial spaces such that each ΩY_i is a retract of $\Omega \Sigma X$ and the mod- p homology of Y_{i+1} is a suspension of the mod- p homology of Y_i .

- We saw this happens when when X has $\ell < p - 1$ or $\ell = p$ number of odd or even cells
- When X has $\ell = 4$ even cells and $p \neq 2, 5$, apparently $\beta_{\ell k+2} \circ (s_\ell^{\otimes k} \otimes s_2)$ is an idempotent modulo p for all $k \geq 1$. So the guess might be true in this case.
- When X has a combination of both even and odd cells, then the guess is true when $\ell = 2$ and $p > 2$.

Stanely's result (stable homotopy of X has finite exponent if and only if X is rationally trivial) can be restated as: For any infinite sequence of spaces Y_1, Y_2, \dots such that Y_{i+1} is a suspension of Y_i ,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\exp(Y_i)) = \infty$$

if and only if the rational homology of Y_1 is non-trivial.

The “sufficiency” part of his result might hopefully be strengthened to:

GUESS 2: For any infinite sequence of spaces Y_1, Y_2, \dots such that the mod- p homology of Y_{i+1} is a suspension of the mod- p homology of Y_i , the rational homology of each Y_i is non-trivial $\Rightarrow \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\exp(Y_i)) = \infty$

5. THANKS!