

ELLIPTIC CLASSES OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES

SHRAWAN KUMAR, RICHÁRD RIMÁNYI, AND ANDRZEJ WEBER

ABSTRACT. We introduce new notions in elliptic Schubert calculus: the (twisted) Borisov-Libgober classes of Schubert varieties in general homogeneous spaces G/P . While these classes do not depend on any choice, they depend on a set of new variables. For the definition of our classes we calculate multiplicities of some divisors in Schubert varieties, which were only known for full flag varieties before. Our approach leads to a simple recursions for the elliptic classes. Comparing this recursion with R-matrix recursions of the so-called elliptic weight functions of Rimanyi-Tarasov-Varchenko we prove that weight functions represent elliptic classes of Schubert varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Schubert calculus is usually considered in ordinary cohomology or in K -theory. Generalized cohomology theories correspond to formal group laws. Under this correspondence ordinary cohomology and K -theory correspond to the one-dimensional algebraic groups \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}^* respectively. There is another one-dimensional complex algebraic group, the elliptic curve $E = \mathbb{C}^*/q^{\mathbb{Z}}$, ($|q| < 1$ fixed). The corresponding cohomology theory is called *elliptic*. In this paper we study the thus obtained (equivariant) *elliptic Schubert calculus*.

A key step in any Schubert calculus is assigning a characteristic class to a Schubert variety. Traditionally this characteristic class is the *fundamental class* notion of the given cohomology theory. However, it is known that in elliptic cohomology the notion of fundamental class is *not* well defined [BE90], or in other words, the notion depends on choices. There are important works (e.g. [GR13, LZ15] and references therein) on elliptic fundamental classes based on making some natural choices—the choice can be geometric (a resolution) or algebraic (a basis in a Hecke algebra). In this paper we are suggesting a notion which does not depend on choices. Our class is not the elliptic fundamental class (as just discussed, it does not exist); we regard our class as an analogue of the cohomological *Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson (CSM) class*, and the K-theoretic *motivic Chern (MC) class*. In fact, certain limits of our elliptic class recovers the CSM and the MC classes.

The CSM and MC characteristic classes are one-parameter deformations of the fundamental classes in their respective cohomology theories. The parameter is usually denoted by¹ h . At “ $h = \infty$ ” and $h = 1$ the CSM and MC classes specialize to the fundamental class of the theory. Our elliptic class also depends on the extra h parameter. However, the elliptic analogue has a

¹or by \hbar in physics literature, also sometimes by y in K -theory—to match the classical notion of Hirzebruch χ_y -genus

pole at $h = 1$, which we regard as another incarnation of the fact that the notion of fundamental class should not exist in elliptic cohomology.

Our project— definition of the h -deformed elliptic class of a Schubert variety—has been carried out for full flag varieties G/B in [RW19]. Along the way, it was necessary to introduce further new variables² μ_i . The purpose of this paper is to carry out the same task for general homogeneous spaces G/P . Compared to the case of G/B some unexpected difficulties need to be handled. The setup of elliptic characteristic classes has a deeply geometric component which is missing from the setup of both CSM classes (in H_T^*) and MC classes (in K_T). Namely, only special kinds of singularities are allowed (the multiplicities of some divisors of the resolution are constrained) and the pull-back of a Cartier divisor (involving the canonical divisor and the boundary divisor) need to be understood. This piece of geometry was not known for general G/P before.

Hence, in the first part of the paper we study the divisors and their pullbacks on Schubert varieties of G/P . In the second part, using these results, we define the elliptic classes of Schubert varieties in G/P and discuss their defining recursions. In the third part, for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$, we prove that the thus obtained elliptic class can be represented by an explicit function called elliptic weight function of [RTV19].

Let us describe some recent developments on the frontiers of geometry and representation theory, which was a guidance of our work, and which may put our construction in context. In a theory initiated by Okounkov and his coauthors [MO12, Oko17, AO16] a new characteristic class is defined under the name of *stable envelope (class)* (see also works of Rimányi-Tarasov-Varchenko [RTV15a, RTV15b, RTV19]). Stable envelopes have cohomological, K-theoretic, and elliptic versions. Roughly speaking this class is defined as follows: an identification is set up between the Bethe algebra of certain quantum integrable systems and the regular representations of certain cohomology, K -theory, elliptic cohomology algebras. On the physics side of this identification there are two natural bases: the spin (or coordinate-) basis and the Bethe (or eigen-) basis. The identification matches the Bethe basis with the fundamental classes of torus fixed points on the geometric side. The geometric classes matching the spin basis are given the name of stable envelope classes. The essence of results in [RV18, FR18, FRW18, AMSS17, AMSS19] is that, in Schubert calculus settings, the cohomological stable envelopes are the CSM classes of Schubert cells, and the K-theoretic stable envelopes are the MC classes of Schubert cells. Hence, it is natural to predict that there is an elliptic generalization of the CSM and MC class. Moreover, that this notion in Schubert calculus matches the elliptic stable envelopes of [AO16, RTV19]. Exactly this prediction is fulfilled by the results of [RW19] and the present paper. Let us emphasize, that although we used the above mentioned works of Okounkov and others as guidance, our work does not rely on them.

Acknowledgements. S.K. is supported by the NSF grant DMS 1802328, R.R. is supported by a Simons foundation grant. A.W. is supported by the research project of the Polish National Research Center 2016/23/G/ST1/04282 (Beethoven 2, German-Polish joint project).

²these extra variables are probably related with the “dynamical variables”, a.k.a. “Kähler variables” of mathematical physics literature

2. NOTATION

Throughout the paper we will use the following notation.

- G is any semisimple connected, simply-connected complex linear group with Borel subgroup B and maximal torus \mathbf{T} . Its Lie algebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{t} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. The dual of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{C}^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ contains the lattice of integral weights $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{C}^*)$, which are identified with characters. We will also need the fractional weights $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Q}}^* = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbb{C}^*) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.
- P is a standard parabolic subgroup with the Levi subgroup L containing \mathbf{T} , see [J03, Part II, §1.8].
- W_P is the Weyl group of P , i.e., the Weyl group of L .
- W^P denotes the smallest length coset representatives in W/W_P .
- We denote the dualizing sheaf of a Cohen-Macaulay Scheme Y by ω_Y .
- $X_w^P \subset G/P$ is the Schubert variety \overline{BwP}/P .
- $\mathcal{E}xt$ denotes the sheaf Ext .
- $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*$ is the (standard) half sum of positive roots of G .
- $\rho^L \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$ is half the sum of positive roots of L .
- \mathbb{C}_{λ} denotes the one dimensional representation of \mathbf{T} as well as the trivial line bundle on $X_P := G/P$ with the \mathbf{T} -equivariant structure given by \mathbb{C}_{λ} .
- For any character λ of P , $\mathcal{L}^P(\lambda)$ denotes the line bundle over X_P :

$$G \times^P \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda} \rightarrow X_P.$$
- Define $\bar{\rho}^L$ by $\bar{\rho}^L(\alpha_i^{\vee}) = 1$, if α_i is a simple root of L
 $= 0$, otherwise.

Observe that $\rho - \bar{\rho}^L$ is a character of P and so is $2\rho - 2\rho^L$. We often identify a character λ by its derivative λ .

3. THE CANONICAL DIVISOR

The canonical sheaf is the key object of our consideration. If X is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme then the dualizing complex is concentrated in the degree $\dim X$ (or degree $-\dim X$ depending on convention). Hence, up to a shift by $\dim X$, it coincides with the dualizing sheaf ω_X defined in [H77, §III.7]. Let $j : V \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of an open subset whose complement is of codimension at least 2. By [KN97, Lemma 2.7] or [Ko13, §5] the canonical sheaf is determined by its restriction to V :

$$(1) \quad \omega_X = j_* j^{-1} \omega_X.$$

It is easy to see that the dualizing sheaf of the homogeneous space is given by

$$(2) \quad \omega_{X_P} = \mathcal{L}^P(-2\rho + 2\rho^L),$$

see, e.g., [J03, Part II, §4.2]. Moreover, X_w^P is a Cohen-Macaulay variety ([BK05, Corollary 3.4.4]). Recall that, for any Cohen-Macaulay subvariety Y of a smooth variety X ,

$$(3) \quad \omega_Y \simeq \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\text{codim } Y}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes \omega_X.$$

In particular,

$$(4) \quad \omega_{X_w^P} = \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}) \otimes \omega_{X_P}.$$

We identify the fixed points of X^P under the action of \mathbf{T} with the set of shortest representatives $W^P \subset W$. For $v, w \in (X^P)^{\mathbf{T}}$ we write $v \rightarrow w$ if $v < w$ and $\dim X_v^P = \dim X_w^P - 1$. Let $\mathring{X}_v^P \subset X_v^P$ denote the Schubert cell. For $w \in W^P$, let $i_w : \{pt\} \rightarrow X_P$ be the map sending the point to the fixed point w . Then, as \mathbf{T} -equivariant line bundles, $i_w^* \mathcal{L}^P(\lambda) = \mathbb{C}_{-w\lambda}$, for any character $\lambda : P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Let

$$\xi^w := \mathbb{C}_{\rho - w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \omega_{X_w^P} \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(\rho - \bar{\rho}^L).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Restricted to \mathring{X}_w^P , we have a B -equivariant isomorphism:*

$$(\xi^w)|_{\mathring{X}_w^P} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P})|_{\mathring{X}_w^P}.$$

Proof. Since \mathring{X}_w^P is smooth isomorphic to an affine space and both sheaves are trivial of rank one, it is enough to show that $i_w^* \xi^w$ is trivial as a \mathbf{T} -module. This follows since by (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^w &= \mathbb{C}_{\rho - w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \left(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(-2\rho + 2\rho^L) \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(\rho - \bar{\rho}^L) \\ &= \mathbb{C}_{\rho - w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(-\rho + 2\rho^L - \bar{\rho}^L) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i_w^* \left(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P}) \right) &\simeq \det \left(\frac{T_w(X_P)}{T_w(X_w^P)} \right) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{C}_{-(\rho + w\rho - 2w\rho^L)}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the last equality we proceed as follows: Let R^+ (resp. R^-) be the set of positive (resp. negative) roots of \mathfrak{g} , R_P^- the set of negative roots of the Levi subgroup of P . Then

$$T_w(X_w^P) = T_w(BwP/P) = T_w(w(w^{-1}Bw \cap B^-)P/P) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in R^+ \cap wR^-} \mathfrak{g}_\beta,$$

$$T_w(X_P) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in w(R^- \setminus R_P^-)} \mathfrak{g}_\beta.$$

Thus,

$$(5) \quad \det \left(\frac{T_w(X_P)}{T_w(X_w^P)} \right) \simeq \mathbb{C}_{-w(2\rho - 2\rho^L) - (\rho - w\rho)} = \mathbb{C}_{-(\rho + w\rho - 2w\rho^L)}$$

by [Ku02, Cor. 1.3.22(3)]. The conclusion of the lemma follows since the weight of $i_w^* \xi_w$ is equal to

$$(\rho - w\bar{\rho}^L) - (\rho + w\rho - 2w\rho^L) - w(-\rho + 2\rho^L - \bar{\rho}^L) = 0.$$

□

Let $V_w^P := \dot{X}_w^P \cup \bigcup_{v \rightarrow w} \dot{X}_v^P$. Then, V_w^P is a smooth open subset of X_w^P . The restriction $\xi^w|_{V_w^P}$ is an invertible B -equivariant $\mathcal{O}_{V_w^P}$ -module. Hence, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\xi^w|_{V_w^P} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(- \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P \right) |_{V_w^P}, \quad \text{for some } m_{w,v}^P \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The coefficients $m_{w,v}^P$ of the restriction of ξ^w to V_w^P are given by the formula:*

$$m_{w,v}^P := 1 - \langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \beta^\vee \rangle,$$

where β is the positive root such that $v = s_\beta w$.

Here the bracket $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the pairing between weights and coweights.

Proof. Take $v \in W^P$ with $v \rightarrow w$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} i_v^* \left(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P} \left(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P} \right) \right) &\simeq \det \left(\frac{T_v(X_P)}{T_v(X_w^P)} \right) \\ &\simeq \det \left(\frac{T_v(X_P)}{T_v(X_v^P)} \right) \otimes \det \left(\frac{T_v(X_w^P)}{T_v(X_v^P)} \right)^* \\ (6) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\simeq \mathbb{C}_{-(\rho+v\rho-2v\rho^L)+\beta}, \quad \text{where } v = s_\beta w, \end{aligned}$$

by (5). Thus, by (4), (2) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} i_v^* \xi^w &= \mathbb{C}_{\rho-w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes i_v^* \left(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P} \left(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P} \right) \otimes \omega_{X_P} \right) \otimes i_v^* \mathcal{L}^P(\rho - \bar{\rho}^L) \\ &= \mathbb{C}_{\rho-w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes i_v^* \left(\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_{X_P}}^{\text{codim } X_w^P} \left(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}, \mathcal{O}_{X_P} \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(-2\rho + 2\rho^L) \right) \otimes i_v^* \mathcal{L}^P(\rho - \bar{\rho}^L) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{C}_{\rho-w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-(\rho+v\rho-2v\rho^L)+\beta} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{v(2\rho-2\rho^L)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-v(\rho-\bar{\rho}^L)} \\ (7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\simeq \mathbb{C}_{\beta(1-\langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \beta^\vee \rangle)}, \end{aligned}$$

as the following calculation shows.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - w\bar{\rho}^L - (\rho + v\rho - 2v\rho^L) + \beta + v(2\rho - 2\rho^L) - v(\rho - \bar{\rho}^L) &= v\bar{\rho}^L + \beta - w\bar{\rho}^L \\ &= s_\beta w\bar{\rho}^L + \beta - w\bar{\rho}^L \\ &= -\langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \beta^\vee \rangle \beta + \beta \\ &= \beta(1 - \langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \beta^\vee \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} i_v^* \left(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(- \sum_{u \rightarrow w} m_{w,u}^P X_u^P \right) \right) &= \det \left(\frac{T_v(X_w^P)}{T_v(X_v^P)} \right)^{\otimes -m_{w,v}^P} \\ (8) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \mathbb{C}_{-\beta}^{\otimes -m_{w,v}^P} \\ &= \mathbb{C}_{m_{w,v}^P \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Equating (7) and (8), we obtain the lemma. \square

Theorem 3.3. ³ For any $w \in W$, we have a B -equivariant isomorphism:

$$\xi^w \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(- \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P \right),$$

where $m_{w,v}^P$ is as in Lemma 3.2. Thus, the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X_w^P}$ of X_w^P is \mathbf{T} -equivariantly isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(- \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P \right) \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(\bar{\rho}^L - \rho).$$

Moreover, the multiplicity $m_{w,v}^P$ is a positive integer.

Proof. We first prove the positivity of $m_{w,v}^P$: We have $v < w$, $\beta > 0$ and $s_\beta w = v$. Hence, $v^{-1} < w^{-1}$ and $w^{-1}s_\beta = v^{-1}$. By [Ku02, Lemma 1.3.13] the root $w^{-1}\beta$ is negative. Hence,

$$m_{w,v}^P = 1 - \langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \beta^\vee \rangle = 1 - \langle \bar{\rho}^L, w^{-1}\beta^\vee \rangle \geq 1.$$

Let $j : V_w^P \hookrightarrow X_w^P$ be the inclusion. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows, where $D := \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P$ is the divisor with $m_{w,v}^P$ as in Lemma 3.2.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}(-D) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & j_*j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}(-D)) & \longrightarrow & j_*j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}) & \longrightarrow & j_*j^{-1}\mathcal{O}_D. \end{array}$$

The middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism since X_w^P is normal and $X_w^P \setminus V_w^P$ is of codim ≥ 2 in X_w^P . Moreover, the right vertical map is injective since the closure of $\bar{D} \cap V_w^P$ coincides with \bar{D} , where \bar{D} denotes the support of D . Hence, the left vertical map

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}(-D) \rightarrow j_*j^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}(-D))$$

is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, since ξ^w is a Cohen-Macaulay $\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}$ -module, by (1), we have

$$\xi^w \xrightarrow{\phi_1} j_*j^{-1}(\xi^w) \xrightarrow{\phi_2} j_*j^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}(-D) \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P}(-D),$$

where the isomorphism ϕ_2 follows from Lemma 3.2 and ϕ_3 is an isomorphism by (9). This proves the theorem. \square

The following corollary will be used in (14) in order to establish the condition of Assumption 5.1.(1) which makes it possible to define the elliptic class of the pair $(X_w, \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P)$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $K_{X_w^P}$ denote a divisor corresponding to the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X_w^P}$. Then,

$$K_{X_w^P} + \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P$$

is a \mathbf{T} -equivariant Cartier divisor representing $\mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(\bar{\rho}^L - \rho)$. \square

³The proof is parallel to that of [Ku17, §10]

Further on we need to analyze the pull-back of the divisor $K_{X_w^P} + \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P$ to a preferred resolution.

4. CHEVALLEY FORMULA

Let $\underline{w} = s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_\ell}$ be a reduced decomposition of $w \in W$ (W being the Weyl group of G and s_j are simple reflections) and let $Z_{\underline{w}}$ be the corresponding Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen resolution

$$f_{\underline{w}} : Z_{\underline{w}} \rightarrow X_w^B \subset G/B,$$

—which is often called standard resolution, or Bott-Samelson resolution, or for short BSDH resolution, see, e.g., [BK05, §2.2.1].

Proposition 4.1. *For any integral weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_Z^*$ (not necessarily dominant), we have*

$$f_{\underline{w}}^*(\mathcal{L}^B(\lambda)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\underline{w}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \lambda, \gamma_i^\vee \rangle \partial_i Z_{\underline{w}} \right),$$

where $\gamma_i := s_{j_\ell} s_{j_{\ell-1}} \dots s_{j_{i+1}} \alpha_{j_i}$, α_j is the simple root corresponding to the simple reflection s_j and

$$\partial_i Z_{\underline{w}} := Z_{s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \dots \widehat{s_{j_i}} \dots s_{j_\ell}}.$$

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & f_{\underline{w}} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ Z_{\underline{w}} & \longrightarrow & X_{w[\ell]}^B \times_{G/P_{\alpha_{j_\ell}}} G/B & \longrightarrow & G/B \\ \pi_{\underline{w}} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z_{\underline{w}[\ell]} & \xrightarrow{f_{\underline{w}[\ell]}} & X_{w[\ell]}^B & \longrightarrow & G/P_{\alpha_{j_\ell}}, \end{array}$$

where $Z_{\underline{w}[\ell]}$ corresponds to the word $s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_{\ell-1}}$ and $X_{w[\ell]}^B := X_{s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_{\ell-1}}}^B$. By [Kem76, §2, Lemma 3],

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{w}}^*(\mathcal{L}^B(\lambda)) &\simeq \pi_{\underline{w}}^*(f_{\underline{w}[\ell]}^*(\mathcal{L}^B(s_{j_\ell} \lambda))) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\underline{w}}}(\langle \lambda, \alpha_{j_\ell}^\vee \rangle Z_{\underline{w}[\ell]}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\underline{w}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \langle s_{j_\ell} \lambda, s_{j_{\ell-1}} \dots s_{j_{i+1}} \alpha_{j_i}^\vee \rangle (\partial_i Z_{\underline{w}}) \right) + \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\underline{w}}}(\langle \lambda, \alpha_{j_\ell}^\vee \rangle (\partial_\ell Z_{\underline{w}})), \text{ by induction on } l(w) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Z_{\underline{w}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \lambda, s_{j_\ell} s_{j_{\ell-1}} \dots s_{j_{i+1}} \alpha_{j_i}^\vee \rangle (\partial_i Z_{\underline{w}}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition. \square

As a corollary of the above proposition and Corollary 3.4, we get the following.

Corollary 4.2.

$$\bar{f}_w^* \left(\omega_{X_w^P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(\sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P \right) \right) \simeq \omega_{Z_w} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_{w,i}^P (\partial_i Z_w) \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{w\bar{\rho}^L},$$

where $\pi^P : X_w^B \rightarrow X_w^P$ is the projection, $\bar{f}_w := \pi^P \circ f_w$ and

$$\begin{aligned} m_{w,i}^P &:= 1 - \langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \beta_i^\vee \rangle, \quad \text{and } \beta_i := s_{j_1} \dots s_{j_{i-1}} \alpha_{j_i} \\ &= 1 + \langle \bar{\rho}^L, \gamma_i^\vee \rangle \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Corollary 3.4,

$$(10) \quad \omega_{X_w^P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(\sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P \right) \simeq \mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^P(\bar{\rho}^L - \rho).$$

Further, by [BK05, Proposition 2.2.2],

$$(11) \quad \omega_{Z_w} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z_w} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial_i Z_w \right) \otimes f_w^*(\mathcal{L}^B(-\rho)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\rho}.$$

From the equation (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_w^* \left(\omega_{X_w^P} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_w^P} \left(\sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P \right) \right) &\simeq \bar{f}_w^* \left(\mathcal{L}^P(\bar{\rho}^L - \rho) \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L} \\ &\simeq f_w^*(\mathcal{L}^B(-\rho)) \otimes f_w^* \left(\mathcal{L}^B(\bar{\rho}^L) \right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{O}_{Z_w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \bar{\rho}^L, \gamma_i^\vee \rangle (\partial_i Z_w) \right) \otimes f_w^*(\mathcal{L}^B(-\rho)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L}, \quad \text{by Proposition 4.1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Z_w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (m_{w,i}^P - 1) (\partial_i Z_w) \right) \otimes f_w^*(\mathcal{L}^B(-\rho)) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\rho+w\bar{\rho}^L} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{Z_w} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_{w,i}^P (\partial_i Z_w) \right) \otimes \omega_{Z_w} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{w\bar{\rho}^L}, \quad \text{by (11)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the corollary. \square

Remark 4.3. In the case of $P = B$ the Corollary 3.4 specializes to [RW19, Theorem 3.2] or [BK05, Exercise 3.4.E.1]. In this case $m_{w,v}^B = 1$ for any $v \rightarrow w$. Moreover, in this case, $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} m_{w,i}^B (\partial_i Z_w) = \partial Z_w$ since each $m_{w,i}^B = 0$ by definition, where $\partial Z_w := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial_i Z_w$.

5. THE BORISOV-LIBGOBER ELLIPTIC CHARACTERISTIC CLASS

We will study the Borisov-Libgober elliptic characteristic class of certain pairs (X, Δ) . It is defined in [BL00, BL03, BL05], and the version we consider is in [RW19]. Here we recall the main definitions in a special case (torus-equivariant case with finitely many fixed points), which is sufficient for the purpose of this paper.

5.1. **Smooth case.** Fix an elliptic curve $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ and let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. First, let Z be a smooth variety and D a simple normal crossing divisor. Assume that a torus \mathbf{T} acts on Z leaving D stable. One can consider the elliptic class of (Z, D) either in the \mathbf{T} -equivariant K -theory, or in the \mathbf{T} -equivariant elliptic cohomology

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\ell}(Z, D) \in K_{\mathbf{T}}(Z)(q, h), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{E}\ell}^E(Z, D) \in \text{Ell}_{\mathbf{T}}(Z)(h).$$

Here we use elliptic cohomology in its traditional sense: it is a generalized complex-oriented cohomology theory, see [L88]. Because of a lack of a convenient definition of *equivariant* elliptic cohomology we rather study the image of the elliptic class in Borel equivariant cohomology or K -theory, see [MW19, §3]. In the sense of recent approaches to $\text{Ell}_{\mathbf{T}}$, as in [AO16, Section 2], [FRV18, Section 4], or [RTV19, Section 7], elements of our $\text{Ell}_{\mathbf{T}}$ are sections of certain line bundles over the *elliptic cohomology scheme* considered in those works. The Euler class of a vector bundle is a section of a *Thom bundle*, see [G14, §7].

Here is the definition of the elliptic class in the special case when $|Z^{\mathbf{T}}| < \infty$. In this case they are defined by their restrictions to \mathbf{T} -fixed points. For a fixed point x we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\ell}(Z, D)|_x = e(T_x Z) \prod_{k=1}^{\dim Z} \frac{\vartheta(\chi_k h^{1-a_k})\vartheta'(1)}{\vartheta(\chi_k)\vartheta(h^{1-a_k})},$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\ell}^E(Z, D)|_x = e^E(T_x Z) \prod_{k=1}^{\dim Z} \frac{\vartheta(\chi_k h^{1-a_k})\vartheta'(1)}{\vartheta(\chi_k)\vartheta(h^{1-a_k})} = \prod_{k=1}^{\dim Z} \frac{\vartheta(\chi_k h^{1-a_k})\vartheta'(1)}{\vartheta(h^{1-a_k})},$$

where the products are taken with respect to the equivariant coordinates at x and

- $\chi_k \in K_{\mathbf{T}}(\{x\}) = R(\mathbf{T})$ is the character of the k -th coordinate;
- $e(T_x Z) = \prod(1 - \chi_k^{-1})$ and $e^E(T_x Z) = \prod \vartheta(\chi_k)$ are the equivariant Euler classes in K -theory and elliptic cohomology;
- $a_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the multiplicity of the divisor along the k -th coordinate; and
-

$$\vartheta(x) = (x^{1/2} - x^{-1/2}) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n x)(1 - q^n/x)$$

is (a version of) the theta function which is considered in [RTV19, RW19]. Here it is treated as a formal series in $x^{\pm 1/2}$. The variable q is treated as a constant.

We have to assume that 1 does not appear among the multiplicities of D , otherwise we have 0 in the denominator.

It is worth getting rid of the dependence on which cohomology theory we are in, and work with the elliptic class

$$\mathcal{E}(Z, D) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\ell}(Z, D)}{e(TZ)} = \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}\ell}^E(Z, D)}{e^E(TZ)}.$$

Then, using the notation

$$\delta(x, y) = \frac{\vartheta(xy)\vartheta'(1)}{\vartheta(x)\vartheta(y)}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{E}(Z, D)_x := \mathcal{E}(Z, D)|_x = \prod_{k=1}^{\dim Z} \delta(\chi_k, h^{1-a_k}).$$

5.2. Singular case. A \mathbf{T} -stable singular pair (X, Δ) is a singular \mathbf{T} -variety X embedded in a smooth ambient \mathbf{T} -variety M with a divisor Δ such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. The \mathbf{T} -equivariant elliptic classes of the pair (X, Δ) are defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell(X, \Delta; M) = f_* \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell(Z, D) \in K_{\mathbf{T}}(M)(q, h), \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell^E(X, \Delta; M) = f_* \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell^E(Z, D) \in \text{Ell}_{\mathbf{T}}(M)(q, h)$$

where $f : Z \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbf{T} -equivariant resolution of singularities and $K_Z + D = f^*(K_X + \Delta)$. If the multiplicities of D are smaller⁴ than 1, then the definition does not depend on the resolution, by [BL03].

Assumption 5.1. *To have well defined elliptic class we assume that*

- (1) $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier,
- (2) the coefficients of $D = f^*(K_X + \Delta) - K_Z$ are smaller than 1.

Just like in the smooth case, it is worth considering the version

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{E}(X, \Delta) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell(X, \Delta; M)}{e(TM)} = \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell^E(X, \Delta; M)}{e^E(TM)} \in e(TM)^{-1} K_{\mathbf{T}}(M)(q, h).$$

Note that, assuming $|M^{\mathbf{T}}| < \infty$, the Euler class $e(TM)$ is invertible in the localization $S^{-1}K_{\mathbf{T}}(M)$, where $S \subset K_{\mathbf{T}}(pt) = R(\mathbf{T})$ is the multiplicative system generated by $1 - \mathbb{C}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Z}}^*$. Assuming that the number of torus fixed points on X and Z are finite, the restriction of $\mathcal{E}(X, \Delta)$ to a \mathbf{T} -fixed point x will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}(X, \Delta)_x$. These latter classes are elements of the fraction field of $K_{\mathbf{T}}(pt)(q, h)$, and are also independent of the ambient manifold M —that is why we dropped M from the notation.

5.3. Push-forward. In the case we study, i.e., that of finitely many \mathbf{T} -fixed points, the push-forward map f_* can be described as follows. Let (Z, D) be the resolution of (X, Δ) as above and x a \mathbf{T} -fixed point in X . Then, according to Lefschetz-Riemann-Roch, which is the equivariant localization description of push-forward maps [CG97, Thm. 5.11.7], we have

$$\mathcal{E}(X, \Delta)_x = \sum_{y \in f^{-1}(x) \cap Z^{\mathbf{T}}} \mathcal{E}(Z, D)_y.$$

6. ELLIPTIC CLASSES OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES

Our main object of study is the equivariant elliptic characteristic classes of Schubert varieties, living in the \mathbf{T} -equivariant K -theory or elliptic cohomology of G/P . By the nature of the definition of elliptic classes (see Section 5) we need to consider not the Schubert varieties or the Schubert cells themselves, but pairs (X_w^P, Δ_w^P) , where Δ_w^P is a certain \mathbf{T} -stable \mathbb{Q} -divisor contained in ∂X_w^P , such that $K_{X_w^P} + \Delta_w^P$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier.

⁴The discrepancy divisor is equal to $-D$. We do not assume that Δ is effective.

6.1. **The class $E(X_w^P)$.** Let X_w^P be a Schubert variety in G/P , λ a character of P and assume that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^P(\lambda)$ over X_w^P is ample. Let $\Delta_{w,\lambda}^P$ be the zero divisor of the unique (up to scalar multiples) U -invariant section (eigenvector) of $\mathcal{L}^P(\lambda)|_{X_w^P}$, where $U := [B, B]$ is the unipotent radical of B . Then, the support of $\Delta_{w,\lambda}^P$ is precisely equal to $\partial X_w^P := \cup_{v \rightarrow w} X_v^P$. Consider the pair

$$(13) \quad (X_w^P, \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P - t \Delta_{w,\lambda}^P),$$

where the coefficients $m_{w,v}^P$ are from Lemma 3.2. Our main object of study is the \mathcal{E} class (see (12)) of this pair. For this to make sense we need to show that the requirements of such a pair are satisfied.

Remark 6.1. For the case $P = B$ Ganter and Ram [GR13, §1, item (a)] suggested to consider the boundary divisor equal to $\partial X_w^B - t \Delta_{w,\rho}^B$ for $0 < t \ll 1$, but in our approach instead of ρ we allow any weight λ defining a sufficiently ample line bundle on X_w^P .

Fix a reduced word \underline{w} of w . As earlier, let $\bar{f}_{\underline{w}} : Z_{\underline{w}} \rightarrow X_w^P$ be the composition of the BSDH resolution $f_{\underline{w}} : Z_{\underline{w}} \rightarrow X_w^B$ with the quotient map $X_w^B \rightarrow X_w^P$. Let

$$(14) \quad \bar{f}_{\underline{w}}^* \left(K_{X_w^P} + \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P - t \Delta_{w,\lambda}^P \right) = K_{Z_{\underline{w}}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i \partial_i Z_{\underline{w}}.$$

By Corollary 4.2 the coefficients $a_i < 1$ if $t \gg 0$, that is, for large t Assumption 5.1 is satisfied; and the \mathcal{E} class of (13) is indeed well defined.

Let us rephrase this construction without mentioning t : allowing rational weights λ , the class

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{E}(X_w^P, \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P - \Delta_{w,\lambda}^P)$$

is well defined for λ belonging to a certain open subset of $(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Q}}^*)^{W_P}$. For these λ 's the dependence of (15) on λ is an explicit meromorphic function in λ (this follows from the push-forward formalism described in Section 5.3). This meromorphic function, now considered for all $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{t}^*)^{W_P}$, is our main object: the *elliptic class of the Schubert variety X_w^P* . We will denote it by $E(X_w^P)$, or by $E(X_w^P, \lambda)$ if we want to emphasize the λ -dependence. In some calculation below we will assume that “ λ is large enough” so that $E(X_w^P)$ equals (15); thus obtained formulas then must hold for the meromorphic function $E(X_w^P)$.

6.2. **Elliptic classes in the BSDH resolution.** Observe that, by Proposition 4.1, Corollary 4.2 and Remark 4.3,

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{f}_{\underline{w}}^* (K_{X_w^P} + \sum_{v \rightarrow w} m_{w,v}^P X_v^P - \Delta_{w,\lambda}^P) &= K_{Z_{\underline{w}}} + \partial Z_{\underline{w}} - \bar{f}_{\underline{w}}^* (\mathcal{L}^B(\lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)) \\ &= \bar{f}_{\underline{w}}^* (K_{X_w^B} + \partial X_w^B - \Delta_{w,\lambda - \bar{\rho}^L}^B), \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial Z_{\underline{w}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \partial_i Z_{\underline{w}}$. Note that the bundle $\mathcal{L}^B(\bar{\rho}^L)$ does not come from X_w^P . The class $E(X_w^P, \lambda)$ is obtained from

$$E(Z_{\underline{w}}, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L) := \mathcal{E}(Z_{\underline{w}}, \partial Z_{\underline{w}} - \bar{f}_{\underline{w}}^* (\Delta_{w,\lambda - \bar{\rho}^L}^B))$$

using the localization formula in Section 5.3.

The class $E(Z_{\underline{w}}, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)$ is determined by its restrictions to the torus fixed points. The \mathbf{T} -fixed points of $Z_{\underline{w}}$ are indexed by the subwords of $\underline{v} \subset \underline{w}$. From the well-known combinatorial description of the BSDH resolution (see [RW19, §§3.2 and 4.3]), we obtain

Proposition 6.2. *Let $w \in W$ and let \underline{w} be a reduced word for w . Then, for any (not necessarily reduced) subword \underline{v} of \underline{w} , we have:*

$$(17) \quad E(Z_{\underline{w}}, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}} = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \delta(e^{-v_{[1,i]}\alpha_{j_i}}, \psi(i)),$$

where $v_{[1,i]}$ is the product of s_{j_k} 's with $k \leq i$ appearing in \underline{v} and

$$\psi(i) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } i\text{-th letter of } \underline{w} \text{ is not omitted in } \underline{v} \\ h^{\langle \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L, \gamma_i^\vee \rangle} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where γ_i is as in Proposition 4.1.

Proof. The multiplicity of the divisor $f_{\underline{w}}^*(\Delta_{w, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L}^B)$ along $\partial_i Z_{\underline{w}}$ is equal to (using Proposition 4.1)

$$\langle \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L, \gamma_i^\vee \rangle.$$

The tangent weights are the same as in [RW19, §3.2]. \square

Recall that, by Subsection 5.3, we have the following: for any $v, w \in W$ (choosing a reduced word \underline{w} for w):

$$(18) \quad E(X_w^B, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_v = \sum_{\underline{v}} E(Z_{\underline{w}}, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}},$$

where the summation runs over those (not necessarily reduced) subwords \underline{v} of \underline{w} for which $\mu(\underline{v}) = v$. Here $\mu(\underline{v}) = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p}$ for the word $\underline{v} = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_p})$. In particular,

$$(19) \quad E(X_w^B, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_v = 0, \text{ if } v \not\leq w.$$

We note that (16) also implies the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be a W_P -invariant weight, $w \in W^P$. Then, for any $v \in W^P$,*

$$E(X_w^P, \lambda)_v = \sum_{u \in W_P} E(X_w^B, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{vu}.$$

(In particular, if $v \not\leq w$, then $E(X_w^P, \lambda)_v = 0$ by using the above identity and the Identity (19).) Equivalently,

$$E(X_w^P, \lambda) = \pi_*^P E(X_w^B, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L),$$

where $\pi^P : G/B \rightarrow G/P$ is the natural quotient map. \square

In Section 8, for $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$ we will identify the functions $E(X_w^P, \lambda)_v$ with some substitutions of well-known special functions called weight functions. Corollary 6.3 seems to be a new result for those substitutions of weight functions.

6.3. Recursions. The Schubert varieties in G/P are parametrized by cosets in W/W_P . Our goal is to describe the behavior of the elliptic class when we pass from w to $s_\alpha w$ for a simple reflection s_α such that $\dim X_{s_\alpha w}^P > \dim X_w^P$. First, we solve the recursion for the BSDH-variety Z_w , which is a resolution of $X_w^{\tilde{B}}$ as well as X_w^P , provided $w \in W^P$. Having an explicit formula for $E(Z_w, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}}$, we obtain a recursion for the classes of the BSDH resolution and then we push it down to X_w^P . It turns out that the recursion is well defined for the elliptic classes of Schubert varieties.

Theorem 6.4. *Let α be a simple root and $w \in W^P$. If $\dim X_w^P < \dim X_{s_\alpha w}^P$ (in particular, $s_\alpha w \in W^P$), then, for any coset $[v] \in W/W_P$,*

$$(20) \quad E(X_{s_\alpha w}^P, \lambda)_{[v]} = \delta(e^{-\alpha}, h^{\langle \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L, w^{-1}\alpha^\vee \rangle}) \cdot E(X_w^P, \lambda)_{[v]} + \delta(e^\alpha, h) \cdot s_\alpha^z \left[E(X_w^P, \lambda)_{[s_\alpha v]} \right],$$

where $s_\alpha^z[-]$ is the action of s_α on the equivariant parameters of K -theory.

The notation “ s_α^z ” will be justified below.

Proof. We first define, for any two cosets, $[u] \leq [v]$ if and only if $u' \leq v'$, where u' is the smallest length coset representative in $[u]$. If $[v] \not\leq [s_\alpha w]$, then so is $[s_\alpha v] \not\leq [w]$ (use [Ku02, Corollary 1.3.19]). Thus, both the sides of the equation (20) are zero by Corollary 6.3.

So, assume that $[v] \leq [s_\alpha w]$. Let $s_\alpha \underline{w}$ be a reduced word for $s_\alpha w$. Let \underline{v} be a subword (not necessarily reduced) of $s_\alpha \underline{w}$. Let $\underline{v}' = \underline{v} \cap \underline{w}$, i.e., $\underline{v}' = \underline{v}$ if the first letter of $s_\alpha \underline{w}$ is omitted in \underline{v} and $s_\alpha \underline{v}' = \underline{v}$ otherwise. Then, by Proposition 6.2,

$$(21) \quad E(Z_{s_\alpha \underline{w}}, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}} = \begin{cases} \delta(e^\alpha, h) s_\alpha^z \cdot [E(Z_w, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}'}] & \text{if the first letter of } s_\alpha \underline{w} \text{ is not omitted in } \underline{v}, \\ \delta(e^{-\alpha}, h^{\langle \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L, w^{-1}\alpha^\vee \rangle}) E(Z_w, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}'} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To compute $E(X_{s_\alpha w}^P, \lambda)_{[v]}$, by Corollary 6.3 and the Identity (18), we sum up the contributions coming from $E(Z_{s_\alpha \underline{w}}, \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L)_{\underline{v}}$, where \underline{v} varies over those (not necessarily reduced) subwords \underline{v} of $s_\alpha \underline{w}$ such that $\mu(\underline{v}) \in [v]$. Let us examine the first factor of the product (17), appearing in (21):

- If the first letter of $s_\alpha \underline{w}$ is not omitted in \underline{v} , then the corresponding factor is equal to

$$\delta(e^\alpha, h).$$

In the remaining factors the variables in the first argument of δ should be changed by the action of s_α .

- If the first letter of $s_\alpha \underline{w}$ is omitted in \underline{v} , then the corresponding factor is equal to

$$\delta(e^{-\alpha}, h^{\langle \lambda - \bar{\rho}^L, w^{-1}\alpha^\vee \rangle}).$$

The remaining factors are unchanged.

Therefore, we obtain two kinds of summands in the decomposition of $E(X_{s_\alpha w}^P, \lambda)_{[v]}$, one coming from the subwords \underline{v} of $s_\alpha \underline{w}$ which do not contain the first letter s_α which contribute to $E(X_w^P, \lambda)_{[v]}$ and the other coming from those subwords \underline{v} which do contain the first letter s_α and hence contribute to $E(X_w^P, \lambda)_{[s_\alpha v]}$. \square

The following lemma for $v \neq 1$ follows from Corollary 6.3, and for $v = 1$ it follows easily from the definition.

Lemma 6.5. *For $v \in W^P$, we have*

$$E(X_1^P, \lambda)_v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The recursion with initial condition presented in Theorem 6.4 and Lemma 6.5 is an effective way of computing the fixed point restrictions of the elliptic classes $E(X_w^P)$. We invite the reader to verify the initial condition and the recursion in the following examples. In these examples we consider homogeneous spaces for $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$. It is convenient to extend the action to GL_n and to have n -dimensional maximal torus. We use the notation $z_i = e^{\varepsilon_i^*}$ (ε_i is the standard basis of $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C}^n$ for $n = 2, 3, 4$), for more general notation for the natural variables of $E(X_w)_v$ for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ see the next section.

Example 6.6. For $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$, $P = B$, $W = \{1, s\}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_1^P)_1 &= 1, & E(X_1^P)_s &= 0, \\ E(X_s^P)_1 &= \delta(z_2/z_1, \mu_2/\mu_1), & E(X_s^P)_s &= \delta(z_1/z_2, h), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\mu_i = h^{-\lambda_i}$. Observe the obvious triangularity property $v \not\leq w \Rightarrow E(X_w^P)_v = 0$, and that the ‘diagonal’ restrictions $E(X_w^P)_w = \prod_{\chi} \delta(\chi, h)$ for the weights χ of $T_w X_w^P$. The off-diagonal restrictions may be complicated formulas in general.

Example 6.7. For $G = \mathrm{SL}_3$, $P = B$, with analogous notation, we have the following fixed point restrictions.

	$v=123$	$v=132$	$v=213$	$v=231$...
$E(X_{123}^P)_v$	1	0	0	0	...
$E(X_{132}^P)_v$	$\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_2}, \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2})$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_3}, h)$	0	0	...
$E(X_{213}^P)_v$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1})$	0	$\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, h)$	0	...
$E(X_{231}^P)_v$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_1})\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_2}, \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2})$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_1})\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_3}, h)$	$\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_1}, \frac{\mu_3}{\mu_2})\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, h)$	$\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, h)\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_3}, h)$...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Example 6.8. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}_4$ and G/P be the Grassmannian of planes in \mathbb{C}^4 . The cells, as well as the fixed points are indexed by the two-element subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. The Weyl group $W_P \subset W = S_4$ is spanned by two transpositions s_1 and s_3 . Let $\mu = \mu(\lambda) = h^{\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3 \rangle}$ be the function in $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{t}^*)^{W_P} \subset (\mathbb{C}^4)^*$ given by the exponent of the product with the dual root $\alpha_2^\vee = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3$. The restrictions of $E(X_w^P)$ are presented in the following table:

	$v = 12$	$v = 13$	$v = 14$	$v = 23$...
$E(X_{12}^P)_v$	1	0	0	0	
$E(X_{13}^P)_v$	$\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_2}, \mu)$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_3}, h)$	0	0	...
$E(X_{14}^P)_v$	$\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_4}, h)\delta(\frac{z_4}{z_2}, \mu) + \delta(\frac{z_3}{z_2}, \mu)\delta(\frac{z_4}{z_3}, \frac{\mu}{h})$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_3}, h)\delta(\frac{z_4}{z_3}, \frac{\mu}{h})$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_4}, h)\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_4}, h)$	0	...
$E(X_{23}^P)_v$	$\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, h)\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_1}, \mu) + \delta(\frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{\mu}{h})\delta(\frac{z_3}{z_2}, \mu)$	$\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{\mu}{h})\delta(\frac{z_2}{z_3}, h)$	0	$\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, h)\delta(\frac{z_1}{z_3}, h)$...
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots

Comparing with the previous example, here the μ -variable and h may appear together in one argument of δ . This is due to the presence of the component $\bar{\rho}^L$, which for $P = B$ vanishes.

Example 6.9. Let $G = Sp_2$ and let $G/P = LG(2)$ be the Lagrangian Grassmannian of 2-planes in \mathbb{C}^4 . It is isomorphic to the quadric in \mathbb{P}^4 . The Weyl group W of G is generated by the transposition $s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the sign change $s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ under the $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ basis as in [Bou81, Planche III]. The corresponding roots are

$$\alpha_1 = (1, -1), \quad \alpha_2 = (0, 2).$$

With respect to the standard scalar product the coroots are the following

$$\alpha_1^\vee = (1, -1), \quad \alpha_2^\vee = (0, 1).$$

The weight $\bar{\rho}^L$ appearing in our computation is equal to $(1, 0)$. The group W_P is generated by s_1 . There are four cells in $LG(2)$ corresponding to the words

$$1, \quad s_2, \quad s_1 s_2, \quad s_2 s_1 s_2.$$

The weights, which are invariant with respect to W_P are of the form (λ, λ) . Let $\mu = h^\lambda$. The elliptic class of the top dimensional Schubert variety restricted to 1 is equal to

$$(22) \quad E(X_{s_2 s_1 s_2}^P)_1 = \delta\left(\frac{1}{z_2^2}, \frac{\mu}{h}\right) \delta\left(\frac{z_2}{z_1}, \frac{\mu^2}{h}\right) \delta\left(\frac{1}{z_2^2}, \mu\right) + \\ + \delta(z_2^2, h) \delta\left(\frac{1}{z_1 z_2}, \frac{\mu^2}{h}\right) \delta\left(\frac{1}{z_2^2}, h\right) + \delta\left(\frac{1}{z_2^2}, \frac{\mu}{h}\right) \delta\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, h\right) \delta\left(\frac{1}{z_1^2}, \mu\right).$$

The first summand corresponds to the empty subword, the second one to $s_2 s_2$, the third one to s_1 . See also Section 9.1.

7. ELLIPTIC CLASSES OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES IN TYPE A

7.1. Notation in type A. Let $G = SL_n$. For convenience we consider the full group of linear transformations GL_n and the maximal torus therein. Denote the standard basis of $\mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C}^n$ by ε_i . The simple roots, following standard convention as in [Bou81, Planche I], are $\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$). Fixing the standard scalar product in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}^n$, we identify coroots with roots, that is $\alpha_i = \alpha_i^\vee$. The Weyl group $W = S_n$ is identified with the group of permutations of the set

$\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and also with the group of $n \times n$ permutation matrices. Let $\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \subset W$ be the set of (simple) reflections corresponding to the simple roots α_i .

Consider the parabolic subgroup P corresponding to the sequence of positive integers $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ with $\sum k_i = n$. The variety G/P is the partial flag variety parametrizing flags of subspaces $(V_i)_{i=1, \dots, m}$ with $\dim V_i/V_{i-1} = k_i$. The Weyl group of the Levi factor is

$$W_P = S_{k_1} \times S_{k_2} \times \cdots \times S_{k_m} \subset W = S_n.$$

Set $k^{(s)} = \sum_{i=1}^s k_i$ and $k^{(0)} = 0$. The simple roots of the Levi factor are those α_i such that $\{i, i+1\} \subset [k^{(s-1)} + 1, k^{(s)}]$ for some $s \in [1, m]$. The defining condition

$$\langle \bar{\rho}^L, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_i \in W_P \\ 0 & \text{if } s_i \notin W_P \end{cases}$$

of $\bar{\rho}^L$ translates to the formula $\bar{\rho}^L = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$r_i - r_{i+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \exists s \in [1, m] \text{ with } \{i, i+1\} \subset [k^{(s-1)} + 1, k^{(s)}] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The weight $\bar{\rho}^L$ is determined by this condition up to the addition of $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$, where $\Delta := (1, \dots, 1)$. For example, if $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 3, 1)$, then $\bar{\rho}^L = (4, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$ or equally well we can take $\bar{\rho}^L = (3, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$.

The number $\langle w\bar{\rho}^L, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle$ is crucial for our computations. In type A it is rewritten as

$$(23) \quad \langle \bar{\rho}^L, w^{-1}\alpha_i^\vee \rangle = r_{w^{-1}(i)} - r_{w^{-1}(i+1)}.$$

7.2. The recursion for $E(X_w^P, \lambda)$ in type A . Let us denote the basis characters $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ by $z_i = e^{\varepsilon_i}$. The exponential of the simple root α_i is hence

$$e^{\alpha_i} = \frac{z_i}{z_{i+1}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Let $y_i = h^{-\varepsilon_i}$. It is treated as a function on $\mathfrak{t}^* = \mathbb{C}^n$: for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$,

$$(24) \quad y_i(\lambda) = h^{-\langle \lambda, \varepsilon_i \rangle} = h^{-\lambda_i}.$$

With this notation, and using (23), we obtain that the recursion of Theorem 6.4 in type A takes the following form for $v, w \in W^P$ and a simple reflection s_i such that $s_i w \in W^P$ and $s_i w > w$:

$$(25) \quad E(X_{s_i w}^P)_{[v]} = \delta \left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \frac{h^{r_{w^{-1}(i+1)}} y_{w^{-1}(i+1)}}{h^{r_{w^{-1}(i)}} y_{w^{-1}(i)}} \right) \cdot E(X_w^P)_{[v]} + \delta \left(\frac{z_i}{z_{i+1}}, h \right) \cdot s_i^z [E(X_w^P)_{[s_i v]}],$$

where $s_i^z[f(\dots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots)] = f(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots)$, and the initial condition takes the form

$$(26) \quad E(X_1^P, \lambda)_v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } v \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

8. WEIGHT FUNCTIONS OF [RTV19] REPRESENT ELLIPTIC CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

We first introduce a class of special functions called *elliptic weight functions*. Then, we show that after a certain shift of variables they represent elliptic classes $E(X_w^P, \lambda)$ in type A_{n-1} .

8.1. Elliptic weight functions. As in Subsection 7.1, we have $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^m$, $k^{(s)} = \sum_{i=1}^s k_i$ and $n = k^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i$. The corresponding partial flag variety SL_n/P parametrizes flags of subspaces $\{V_i\}_{i=1, \dots, m}$ with $\dim V_i = k^{(i)}$. The set of cosets W/W_P (in fact, the set W^P) is in natural bijection with the set of partitions $I = (I_1, \dots, I_m)$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I_i| = k_i$. For such an I we use the notation $\cup_{i=1}^s I_i = \{i_1^{(s)} < \dots < i_{k^{(s)}}^{(s)}\}$.

Consider the set of variables $t_i^{(s)}$ for $s = 1, \dots, m$, $i = 1, \dots, k^{(s)}$, and set $t_i^{(m)} = z_i$. Following [RTV19] define the *elliptic weight function* by

$$\mathbf{w}_I = \frac{1}{\prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k^{(s)}} \prod_{j=1}^{k^{(s)}} \vartheta(ht_j^{(s)}/t_i^{(s)})} \cdot \mathrm{Sym}_{t^{(1)}} \dots \mathrm{Sym}_{t^{(m-1)}}(U_I),$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}_{t^{(s)}}$ is symmetrization with respect to the $t_1^{(s)}, \dots, t_{k^{(s)}}^{(s)}$ variables, and

$$U_I = \prod_{s=1}^{m-1} \prod_{a=1}^{k^{(s)}} \left(\prod_{c=1}^{k^{(s+1)}} \psi_{I, s, a, c}(t_c^{(s+1)}/t_a^{(s)}) \prod_{b=a+1}^{k^{(s)}} \frac{\vartheta(ht_b^{(s)}/t_a^{(s)})}{\vartheta(t_b^{(s)}/t_a^{(s)})} \right),$$

where

$$\psi_{I, s, a, c}(x) = \vartheta(x) \cdot \begin{cases} \delta(x, h) & \text{if } i_c^{(s+1)} < i_a^{(s)} \\ \delta(x, h^{1+p_{I, j(I, s, a)}(i_a^{(s)}) - p_{I, s+1}(i_a^{(s)})} \mu_a / \mu_b) & \text{if } i_c^{(s+1)} = i_a^{(s)} \\ 1 & \text{if } i_c^{(s+1)} > i_a^{(s)}. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mu_a := h^{-\lambda_a}$ and we used the numerical functions

- $j(I, s, a)$ is defined by $i_a^{(s)} \in I_{j(I, s, a)}$;
- $p_{I, j}(i) = |I_j \cap \{1, \dots, i-1\}|$.

For example, for $\mathbf{k} = (1, 1)$ we have (temporarily denoting $t = t_1^{(1)}$)

$$(27) \quad \mathbf{w}_{\{1\}, \{2\}} = \frac{\vartheta(z_1 t^{-1} h \mu_2 \mu_1^{-1}) \vartheta(z_2 t^{-1})}{\vartheta(h \mu_2 \mu_1^{-1})}, \quad \mathbf{w}_{\{2\}, \{1\}} = \frac{\vartheta(z_1 t^{-1} h) \vartheta(z_2 t^{-1} \mu_2 \mu_1^{-1})}{\vartheta(h) \vartheta(\mu_2 \mu_1^{-1})}.$$

More important than the actual formula above—we admit that it is terribly complicated at the first sight—is the recursion for the weight functions phrased in the next two propositions. Recall that the s_i^z operator switches the variables z_i and z_{i+1} . The operator s_i acts on a partition I by replacing the numbers i and $i+1$.

Proposition 8.1 (R-matrix recursion for weight functions). *Assume that for $i \in I_a$, $i+1 \in I_b$ we have $a < b$. Then*

$$(28) \quad \mathbf{w}_{s_i(I)} = \delta\left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \frac{\mu_b h^{p_{I, a}(i)}}{\mu_a h^{p_{I, b}(i+1)}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}_I + \delta\left(\frac{z_i}{z_{i+1}}, h\right) \cdot s_i^z[\mathbf{w}_I].$$

Proof. This is, in fact, not a new result. The weight functions defined in [RTV19, Section 2.4] only differ from ours by some irrelevant power of $\vartheta(h)$, and some global factors. For the weight functions defined there, an R-matrix recursion is proved there in Theorem 2.2(2.18). Applying that formula for $\sigma = 1$, renaming I to $s_i(I)$, and rearranging, we arrive at (28). \square

For a function f in the variables $t_i^{(s)}$ (e.g. a weight function), and $I \in W/W_P$ let $f|_I$ be the function obtained from f by substituting

$$t_j^{(s)} \mapsto z_{i_j^{(s)}} \quad \text{for } s = 1, \dots, m-1, j = 1, \dots, k^{(s)}.$$

Let I^0 be the “smallest” I , that is $I_1^0 = \{1, 2, \dots, k_1\}$, $I_2^0 = \{k_1 + 1, \dots, k_1 + k_2\}$, etc.

Proposition 8.2. *We have*

$$\mathbf{w}_{I^0}|_J = \begin{cases} \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq m} \prod_{i \in I_a^0} \prod_{j \in I_b^0} \vartheta(z_j/z_i) & \text{if } J = I^0 \\ 0 & \text{if } J \neq I^0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The statement follows from [RTV19, Lemmas 2.4, 2.5], or by careful inspection of the formula for the weight function. (The reader is advised to verify the statement by substituting $t = z_1$ or $t = z_2$ in the formula $W_{\{1\}, \{2\}}$ in (27), the general case only differs by tracing indexes). \square

8.2. Weight functions versus elliptic classes. The variables of the weight function \mathbf{w}_I are $t_i^{(s)}$, z_i , μ_i , h . The elliptic class $E(X_w^P, \lambda)$ lives in the $\mathbf{T} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ equivariant K -theory of G/P extended by variables h and y_j (see (24)).

Recall that the partial flag variety G/P parametrizes nested subspaces V_s of dimension $k^{(s)}$. Let the tautological bundle over G/P whose fiber is V_s be denoted by \mathcal{T}_s . Then, \mathcal{T}_s represents an element in $K_{\mathbf{T}}(G/P)$.

Consider the following evaluation of the variables of \mathbf{w}_I :

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} t_i^{(s)} &\mapsto \text{Grothendieck roots of } \mathcal{T}_s \\ z_i &\mapsto \text{Grothendieck roots of the tautological } n\text{-bundle over the classifying space } BT \\ h &\mapsto h \\ \mu_s &\mapsto y_j \cdot h^{s-k^{(s-1)}} \text{ where } j \in [k^{(s-1)} + 1, k^{(s)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last substitution makes sense, since if $j, j' \in [k^{(s-1)} + 1, k^{(s)}]$ then $y_j(\lambda) = y_{j'}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{t}^*)^{W_P}$.

Theorem 8.3. *For any $I \in W^P$, the evaluation (29) of $\mathbf{w}_I/e^E(T(G/P))$ represents $E(X_I^P, \lambda)$.*

In other words the evaluation of the weight function \mathbf{w}_I is the $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}\ell^E$ -class of the pair (13).

Proof. Introducing the notation $e_I = e^E(T(G/P))|_I$, from the known description of the tangent space of partial flag varieties we obtain

$$e_I = \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \prod_{i \in I_a} \prod_{j \in I_b} \vartheta(z_j/z_i).$$

With this notation we need to show that

$$(30) \quad \frac{\mathbf{w}_I|_J}{e_J} = E(X_I^P, \lambda)_J$$

for all I and J , which we will prove by induction on the length of I . For I^0 (30) follows from the comparison of (26) and Proposition 8.2.

Now, assume that for $i \in I_a$, $i+1 \in I_b$ we have $a < b$. Then, from (28), for all J we obtain

$$\mathbf{w}_{s_i(I)}|_J = \delta\left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \frac{\mu_b h^{p_{I,a}(i)}}{\mu_a h^{p_{I,b}(i+1)}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}_I|_J + \delta\left(\frac{z_i}{z_{i+1}}, h\right) \cdot (s_i^z[\mathbf{w}_I])|_J.$$

Using $(s_i^z[\mathbf{w}_I])|_J = s_i^z[\mathbf{w}_I|_{s_i(J)}]$, and temporarily denoting the left hand side of (30) by $E'(X_I^P)_J$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E'(X_{s_i(I)}^P)_J \cdot e_J = \\ \delta\left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \frac{\mu_b h^{p_{I,a}(i)}}{\mu_a h^{p_{I,b}(i+1)}}\right) \cdot E'(X_I^P)_J \cdot e_J + \delta\left(\frac{z_i}{z_{i+1}}, h\right) \cdot s_i^z[E'(X_I^P)_{s_i(J)}] s_i^z[e_{s_i(J)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Remarkably, from the explicit formula for e_I we can see that $s_i^z[e_{s_i(J)}] = e_J$. Hence, after division by e_J , we arrive at

$$(31) \quad E'(X_{s_i(I)}^P)_J = \delta\left(\frac{z_{i+1}}{z_i}, \frac{\mu_b h^{p_{I,a}(i)}}{\mu_a h^{p_{I,b}(i+1)}}\right) \cdot E'(X_I^P)_J + \delta\left(\frac{z_i}{z_{i+1}}, h\right) \cdot s_i^z[E'(X_I^P)_{s_i(J)}].$$

We claim that this recursion is the same as the recursion for $E(X_I^P)_J$ given in (25), which will complete our proof. Hence, we only need to identify the coefficient of $E(X_I)_J$ in (25) with the coefficient of $E'(X_I)_J$ in (31)—after the substitution (29). That is, we need the combinatorial statement

$$r_{w^{-1}(i+1)} - r_{w^{-1}(i)} = (b - k^{(b-1)} + p_{I,a}(i)) - (a - k^{(a-1)} + p_{I,b}(i+1)),$$

or equivalently, that the quantity

$$p_{I,a}(i) + r_{w^{-1}(i)} + k^{(a-1)} - a$$

does not depend on i (a is determined by i via $i \in I_a$). Tracing back the definitions of these combinatorial functions we see that

- $p_{I,a}(i) + k^{(a-1)} + 1 = w^{-1}(i)$, and
- $a - w^{-1}(i)$ works for a choice of $r_{w^{-1}(i)}$ (recall from Section 7.1 that r_j 's are only defined up to a uniform scalar addition).

From these two claims, by cancelling $w^{-1}(i)$, we obtain that $p_{I,a}(i) + k^{(a-1)} + r_{w^{-1}(i)} - a = -1$, that is, a number independent of i . This completes the proof. \square

Example 8.4. Let $k = (2, 3, 2)$, and choose $w = [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_7] \in W^P$. For the corresponding $I = (\{i_1, i_2\}, \{i_3, i_4, i_5\}, \{i_6, i_7\})$ the various combinatorial functions

i	i_1	i_2	i_3	i_4	i_5	i_6	i_7
$w^{-1}(i)$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
a	1	1	2	2	2	3	3
$r_{w^{-1}(i)}$	0	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-4
$k^{(a-1)}$	0	0	2	2	2	5	5
$p_{I,a}(i)$	0	1	0	1	2	0	1

illustrate the last, combinatorial, part of the proof above, namely the identity $p_{I,a}(i) + k^{(a-1)} + r_{w^{-1}(i)} - a = -1$.

9. REMARKS

9.1. Transformation properties. Equivariant elliptic cohomology classes of a point can be regarded as sections of certain line bundles over some products of elliptic curves. Hence, the function $E(X_w^P)_v$ can be regarded as a section of a line bundle (depending on G, P, w, v) over a product of elliptic curves. For example, the function (22) can be regarded as a section of a line bundle over E^4 , where $E = \mathbb{C}^* / (q^{\mathbb{Z}})$, $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, and the coordinates of the factors of E^4 are z_1, z_2, h, μ .

To a product of theta functions we associate a quadratic form as follows: to $\vartheta(\prod_{i=1}^p x_i^{r_i})$ associate $(\sum_{i=1}^p r_i x_i)^2$, and to a product of ϑ -functions associate the sum of the quadratic forms of each factor. For a more conceptual explanation see [FRV18, Section 5]. For example, the quadratic forms associated to the three terms of the function (22) are (up to the same scalar multiple)

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2z_2(\mu - h) + (z_2 - z_1)(2\mu - h) + (-2z_2)\mu, \\
& (-z_1 - z_2)(2\mu - h) \quad \text{and} \quad -2z_2(\mu - h) + (z_1 - z_2)h + (-2z_1)\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

The reader can trivially verify that these three quadratic forms are all equal.

The general fact that the different summands of $E(X_w^P)_v$ must have the same *transformation property* (i.e., the same associated quadratic form) is a useful practical reality check in calculations.

9.2. Axiomatic characterization. The fact that characteristic classes of Schubert (or other geometrically relevant) varieties can be described by axioms turned out useful in several parts of enumerative geometry. Such axiomatic characterizations were initially known for the cohomological fundamental class [Ri01], but, after Okounkov's works, such axiomatic characterizations are proved for the cohomological CSM classes and for the K -theoretic MC classes as well [RV18, FR18, FRW18, FRW19].

It can be shown that the elliptic classes of Schubert varieties studied in this paper have an axiomatic characterization, too. However, no argument in this paper relies on such characterization, and, in fact, even phrasing the axioms precisely would be rather technical. Hence, here we only *sketch* the axiomatic characterization briefly.

The $E(X_w^P)_v$ functions satisfy:

- (1) (GKM axiom) Let $\alpha : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a root of G (not necessarily simple). If $v_1 = v_2 s_\alpha$ then

$$\left(E(X_w^P)_{v_1} - E(X_w^P)_{v_2} \right)_{|\ker(\alpha) \times (\mathfrak{t}^*)^{W_P}} = 0.$$

Here the restriction of the elliptic class is considered as a function on $\mathfrak{t} \times (\mathfrak{t}^*)^{W_P}$.

- (2) (support axiom) In the appropriate sense, the class $E(X_w^P)$ is supported on the union of the conormal spaces of Schubert cells X_v^P for $v \leq w$. To make sense of this condition, first one needs to interpret $E(X_w^P)$ as an element of the K -theory or elliptic cohomology of the cotangent bundle of G/P (using h as the first Chern class of an extra \mathbb{C}^* action scaling the fibers). Then, the support condition means that the class $E(X_w^P)$ restricted to the complement of the named union is 0. A more practical interpretation (which can be phrased without involving the cotangent bundle) is that the local classes $E(X_w^P)_v$ satisfy certain divisibility properties. (For an argument reducing the support condition to a set of divisibility conditions see [RTV19, Proof of Thm 5.1].)
- (3) (normalization axiom) The ‘diagonal’ local classes are $E(X_w^P)_w = \prod \delta(\chi, h)$ for the weights χ of $T_w(X_w^P)$.

The axiomatic characterization theorem for the $E(X_w^P)$ classes states that if a collection of functions $f_{w,v}$ satisfy the three listed conditions, as well as the transformation property of $f_{w,v}$ are the same as those of $E(X_w^P)_v$, then $f_{w,v} = E(X_w^P)_v$. For analogous arguments see [AO16, 3.3.5], [RTV19, Sec.7.8].

REFERENCES

- [AO16] M. Aganagic, A. Okounkov, Elliptic stable envelopes, Preprint arXiv:1604.00423.
- [AMSS17] P. Aluffi, L. C. Mihalcea, J. Schürmann, Ch. Su. Shadows of characteristic cycles, Verma modules, and positivity of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of Schubert cells, Preprint arXiv:1708.08697.
- [AMSS19] P. Aluffi, L. C. Mihalcea, J. Schürmann, Ch. Su. Motivic Chern Classes of Schubert cells, Hecke algebras, and applications to Casselman’s problem, Preprint arXiv:1902.10101.
- [BL00] L. Borisov, A. Libgober. Elliptic genera of toric varieties and applications to mirror symmetry. *Inv. Math.* (2000) 140: 453.
- [BL03] L. Borisov, A. Libgober. Elliptic genera of singular varieties. *Duke Math. J.* 116 (2003), no. 2, 319–351
- [BL05] L. Borisov, A. Libgober. McKay correspondence for elliptic genera. *Ann. of Math.* (2), 161(3):1521–1569, 2005.
- [Bou81] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4–6. Hermann, Paris, 1981.
- [BE90] P. Bressler, S. Evens. The Schubert calculus, braid relations, and generalized cohomology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 317 (1990), no. 2, 799–811.
- [BK05] M. Brion, S. Kumar, *Frobenius Splitting Methods in Geometry and Representation Theory*. Progress in Mathematics, 231. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.
- [CG97] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg. *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, 1997, 2009.
- [FR18] L. Fehér, R. Rimányi. Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of degeneracy loci. *Geometry and Topology* 22 (2018) 3575–3622
- [FRV18] G. Felder, R. Rimányi, A. Varchenko. Elliptic dynamical quantum groups and equivariant elliptic cohomology, *SIGMA (Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications)* 14 (2018), 132, 41 pages

- [FRW18] L. M. Fehér, R. Rimányi, A. Weber. Motivic Chern classes and K-theoretic stable envelopes, Preprint arXiv:1802.01503.
- [FRW19] L. M. Fehér, R. Rimányi, A. Weber. Characteristic classes of orbit stratifications, the axiomatic approach, Preprint arXiv:1811.11467.
- [GR13] N. Ganter, A. Ram. Generalized Schubert Calculus. Journal of the Ramanujan Mathematical Society 28A (Special Issue-2013) pp. 1-42
- [G14] N. Ganter, The elliptic Weyl character formula, Compos. Math. 150 (2014), no. 7, 1196-1234.
- [H77] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977
- [J03] J. C. Jantzen. Representations of algebraic groups. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 107. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003
- [Kem76] G. R. Kempf. Linear systems on homogeneous spaces. Ann. of Math. (2) 103 (1976), 557–591.
- [Ko13] S. J. Kovács. Singularities of stable varieties. Handbook of moduli. Vol. II, 159-203, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 25, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013
- [Ku02] S. Kumar. Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory. Progress in Mathematics, 204. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002
- [Ku17] S. Kumar. Positivity in T -equivariant K -theory of flag varieties associated to Kac-Moody groups (with an Appendix by M. Kashiwara), J. Eur. Math. Soc. 19 (2017), 2469–2519.
- [KN97] S. Kumar, M. S. Narasimhan. Picard group of the moduli spaces of G -bundles. Math. Ann. 308 (1997), no. 1, 155-173
- [L88] P. S. Landweber,. *Elliptic genera: An introductory overview*, in P. S. Landweber, Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1326, Berlin: Springer, pp. 1-10, (1988)
- [LZ15] C. Lenart, K. Zainoulline. A Schubert basis in equivariant elliptic cohomology. New York Journal of Mathematics. 23. 2015
- [MO12] D. Maulik, A. Okounkov. Quantum Groups and Quantum Cohomology, Astérisque No. 408 (2019), Preprint (2012) arXiv:1211.1287.
- [MW19] M. Mikosz, A. Weber. Elliptic classes, McKay correspondence and Theta identities, Preprint arXiv:1909.07303.
- [Oko17] A. Okounkov: Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry. Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory, 251–380, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 24, AMS 2017
- [Ri01] R. Rimányi. Thom polynomials, symmetries and incidences of singularities. Inv. Math. 143, 499–521 (2001)
- [RTV15a] R. Rimanyi, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko. Partial flag varieties, stable envelopes and weight functions, Quantum Topol. 6 (2015), no. 2, 333–364
- [RTV15b] R. Rimanyi, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko. Trigonometric weight functions as K-theoretic stable envelope maps for the cotangent bundle of a flag variety, J. Geom. Phys. 94 (2015), 81–119
- [RTV19] R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko. Elliptic and K-theoretic stable envelopes and Newton polytopes, Selecta Math. (2019) 25:16, 43pp
- [RV18] R. Rimányi, A. Varchenko. Equivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes in partial flag varieties: interpolation and formulae, in Schubert Varieties, Equivariant Cohomology and Characteristic Classes, IMPANGA2015 (eds. J. Buczyński, M. Michalek, E. Postingel), EMS 2018, pp. 225–235
- [RW19] R. Rimányi, A. Weber. Elliptic classes of Schubert cells via Bott-Samelson resolution, Preprint arXiv:1904.10852.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, USA
Email address: `shrawan@email.unc.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, USA
Email address: `rimanyi@email.unc.edu`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, POLAND
Email address: `aweber@mimuw.edu.pl`