

Constructing Trusted Code Base XIII

Methods of Trusted Execution

Aleksy Schubert & Jacek Chrzęszcz



Threats of malware: viruses, worms, trojans

- purpose: ransomware, spyware, adware, scareware, for fun, for experiment
- threats:
 - black-market exploitation
 - send email spam
 - contraband data hosting (e.g. child pornography)
 - DDoS nodes
 - extortion
 - monitoring of web browsing
 - display of unsolicited advertisements
 - redirect affiliate marketing revenues to the spyware creator
- typical path: WWW, email
- rotkits and backdoors implanted by malware

Threats of malware: kinds of vulnerabilities

- security bugs:
 - buffer overruns (writes/reads/segfaults)
 - affecting control flow
 - incomplete control of access
- loopholes (aka features)
- design flaws (e.g. booting from external device, lack of sanitization)
- overprivileged users (e.g. users with root access)
- over-privileged code (e.g. setuid programs, weak sandboxes)
- user error

Preventing malware wrongdoing

- monitoring system actions (antivirus software, network alerts)
- scanning executables
- website security scans
- virtualization
- sandboxing
- jailing (system-level virtualization)
- no method for hardware implants

Prevent from malware

- the value of software identity
- secure machines run only authorised code
- secure machines run only certified code
- ways to certify code
- ways to authorise code

Break-once run anywhere

- all computers in network with the same system
- many machines in the world use the same system/software version
- diversity = higher maintenance cost = higher robustness

Operating system vulnerabilities

- I/O processing: complex, full of exceptional control flows, tuning for performance can introduce threats
- vague access policy
- partial guarding of the access to resources due to efficiency reasons (incomplete mediation)
- hooks for extended security features

Time of check, time of use

- in sharing time systems or distributed systems
- operation may be authorised at the beginning
- but its critical structures can be changed on the run
- runtime properties vs load-time properties
 - the policy may change

Patching vulnerabilities

- can make the system less secure
 - narrow focus on the fault (immediate cause vs design flaw)
 - nonobvious side effects
 - failure somewhere else after fixing
 - non-fixing due to performance reasons

Good design principles

- least privilege
- economy of mechanism (small, simple, and straightforward)
- open, public design (no security through obscurity)
- complete mediation
- conservative permission granting
- separation of privilege (many mechanisms to access)
- least common mechanism (weakest link principle)
- ease of use

TCB as part of secure operating environment

- TCB can be ensured through:
 - hardware
 - some notion of processes (subjects)
 - primitive files (objects)
 - protected memory
 - some interprocess communication

TCB to control security

- monitors four basic interactions:
 - process activation and switching
 - crossing execution domain boundaries
 - memory protection (secrecy and integrity for each domain)
 - guarding I/O operations

Small TCB is possible

- Honeywell prototype secure operating system, *Scomp*
 - initially 20 routines
 - less than 1,000 lines of source code
 - final security kernel: 10,000 lines of code.
- seL4 microkernel: ca 10,000 lines of code