

Lecture I

Adrian Langer

July 19, 2016

1 Harder–Narasimhan filtration and its properties

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n defined over some algebraically closed field k . Let L be an ample (or nef) line bundle on X . For any torsion free \mathcal{O}_X -module E on X we define its *slope* by

$$\mu_L(E) = \frac{c_1(E) \cdot c_1(L)^{n-1}}{\text{rk } E}.$$

We say that E is *slope L -semistable* if for every subsheaf $E' \subset E$ we have $\mu(E') \leq \mu(E)$. E is *slope L -stable* if for every subsheaf $E' \subset E$ of rank less than the rank of E we have $\mu_L(E') < \mu_L(E)$.

Let us recall basic facts about the Harder–Narasimhan filtration. In these notes semistability always means slope semistability with respect to some polarization (ample or sometimes nef).

Let X be a normal projective variety over a field k and let us fix a nef line bundle L . Let E be a torsion free \mathcal{O}_X -module. Then there exists a unique filtration $E_0 = 0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_m = E$ such that all the quotients $E^i = E_i/E_{i-1}$ are torsion free and slope L -semistable, and

$$\mu_L(E^1) > \mu_L(E^2) > \dots > \mu_L(E^m).$$

This filtration is called the *Harder–Narasimhan filtration* of E .

The first term $E_1 = E^1$ in the filtration is called the *maximal destabilizing subsheaf* and its slope is denoted by $\mu_{\max,L}(E)$. Similarly, the last quotient E^m in the filtration is called the *minimal destabilizing quotient* and its slope is denoted by $\mu_{\min,L}(E)$.

Let $E_0 = 0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_m = E$ be any filtration such that all the quotients E_i/E_{i-1} are torsion free. Let us set $r_i := rk E_i$ and $d_i := \deg_L E_i = c_1(L)^{\dim X - 1} \cdot c_1(E_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. By convention we set $(r_0, d_0) = (0, 0)$. Then we can consider the polygonal curve in \mathbb{R}^2 obtained by joining the points (r_i, d_i) for $i = 0, \dots, m$. If E_\bullet is the Harder–Narasimhan filtration then the corresponding curve is called the *Newton polygon* of E .

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let $E_0 = 0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_m = E$ be any filtration such that all the quotients E_i/E_{i-1} are torsion free. Then the corresponding polygonal curve lies below the Newton polygon of E . Moreover, if the corresponding polygonal curve coincides with the Newton polygon of E then E_\bullet is the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E .*

Exercise 1.0.1. Prove the above proposition.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $E_0 = 0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_m = E$ be any filtration such that all the quotients E_i/E_{i-1} are torsion free and slope L -semistable. Prove that the maximal (minimal) slopes of the corresponding polygonal curve are not larger (respectively, smaller) than that of the Newton polygon of E .*

2 Semistability in positive characteristic

Let X be a scheme of characteristic $p > 0$ (i.e., \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras). By $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ we denote the *absolute Frobenius morphism* of X , which is defined as identity on topological spaces and raising to the p -th power on the structure sheaves.

Let X be a scheme defined over a perfect field k of characteristic p . Let X' be the product of the structure morphism $X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } k$ over the absolute Frobenius morphism $F_S : S \rightarrow S$. Then $F_X : X \rightarrow X$ factors through a k -morphism $F_{X/k} : X \rightarrow X'$, which is called the *geometric Frobenius morphism*. So we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & F_X & & \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{F_{X/k}} & X' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & S & \xrightarrow{F_S} & S
 \end{array}$$

In the following we often write F instead of $F_{X/k}$ if no confusion is likely to occur.

Example 2.1. (Raynaud) Below we give examples of semistable bundles with unstable Frobenius pull back.

Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p . Then $(F_C)_* \mathcal{O}_C$ is semistable but $F_C^*((F_C)_* \mathcal{O}_C)$ is not semistable.

Exercise 2.0.2. Let E be a vector bundle on C as above. Prove that $F_C^*((F_C)_* E)$ is not semistable.

Assume that $p \geq 3$ and consider the vector bundle B_1 defined as the cokernel of the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow (F_C)_* \mathcal{O}_C$. Then B_1 is semistable but $F_C^* B_1$ is not semistable.

Exercise 2.0.3. Show that $F_C^* B_1$ is not semistable.

Hint: Compute the degree of B_1 and use $B_1 \subset (F_C)_* \omega_C$ coming from the Frobenius push down of the de Rham complex on C .

3 Modules with connections

Let X be a k -scheme and let E be an \mathcal{O}_X -module.

Definition 3.1. We say that $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}$ is a k -connection if it is a morphism of abelian sheaves such that

$$\nabla(fs) = f\nabla(s) + s \otimes df$$

for all open subsets $U \subset X$ and sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $s \in E(U)$.

It is important to note that ∇ is usually not a morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

A k -connection can be extended to a morphism of abelian sheaves

$$\nabla = \nabla_i : E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^{i+1}$$

by

$$\nabla(s \otimes \omega) = s \otimes d\omega + (-1)^i \nabla(s) \wedge \omega,$$

where $\nabla(s) \wedge \omega$ is the image of $\nabla(s) \otimes \omega$ under the canonical map $E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^i \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^{i+1}$ given by $s \otimes \omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \rightarrow s \otimes (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)$. The composition

$$K = \nabla_1 \circ \nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^2$$

is \mathcal{O}_X -linear and it is called the *curvature*.

Exercise 3.0.4. Check that

$$\nabla_{i+1} \circ \nabla_i(s \otimes \omega) = K(s) \otimes \omega.$$

If $K = 0$ then we say that the connection ∇ is *integrable*. In that case we get a complex of sheaves of k -modules

$$E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^i \xrightarrow{\nabla_i} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^{i+1} \longrightarrow \dots$$

called the *de Rham complex of (E, ∇)* and denoted by $(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet, \nabla)$.

Example 3.2. Let X be a smooth complex variety and let (E, ∇) be an \mathcal{O}_X -module with a connection. Then E is locally free and $c_i(E) = 0 \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ for $i > 0$.

These facts are no longer true in positive characteristic and in the next subsection we will see that there are many pairs (E, ∇) for which E is non-locally free or $c_i(E)$ are non-zero.

If $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}$ is a k -connection then it defines $T_{X/k} \rightarrow \text{End}_k E$ by sending $D \in T_{X/k} = \text{Hom}(\Omega_{X/k}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to the endomorphism

$$E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes D} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X = E.$$

Now if k has characteristic p and D is a derivation then D^p is also a derivation. Therefore we can define a *p -curvature* $\psi : F_{X/k}^* T_X \rightarrow \text{End } E$ of (E, ∇) by

$$\psi(D) = (\nabla(D))^p - \nabla(D^p).$$

4 Cartier's inseparable descent

Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let X be a smooth k -scheme. Let E be a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. Then $F_{X/k}^* E = F_{X/k}^{-1} E \otimes_{F_{X/k}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X'}} \mathcal{O}_X$ has a canonical connection defined by $\nabla_{\text{can}}(e \otimes f) = e \otimes df$.

THEOREM 4.1. (Cartier) *There is an equivalence of categories between the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -modules and the category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules with integrable k -connection and vanishing p -curvature. This equivalence is given by the functors sending an $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module E to $(F_{X/k}^* E, \nabla_{\text{can}})$ and (V, ∇) to $V^\nabla = \{v \in V : \nabla(v) = 0\}$.*

Exercise 4.0.5. Let us consider a functor between the category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules and the category of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules with integrable k -connection and vanishing p -curvature given by sending an \mathcal{O}_X -module E to (F_X^*E, ∇_{can}) , where ∇_{can} is the canonical connection from Cartier's theorem for the pull back of E via $X' \rightarrow X$.

1. When is this functor an equivalence of categories?
2. Can you generalize Cartier's theorem to the relative set up?

5 Bounding semistability of Frobenius pull backs

Now let us assume that X and Y be smooth projective k -varieties of dimension n and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite, separable map. If E is L -semistable on X then f^*E is f^*L -semistable.

On the other hand we saw that this fails if we do not assume that f is separable. Here we show how to bound instability of pull-back of sheaves by the Frobenius morphism.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let us assume that k has characteristic $p > 0$. Let us fix a very ample divisor H such that $T_X(H)$ is globally generated. For any rank r torsion free \mathcal{O}_X -module E we have*

$$\mu_{\max, H}(F_X^*E) \leq p\mu_{\max, H}(E) + (r-1)H^n.$$

Proof. Let us first assume that E is H -semistable and let $E_0 = 0 \subset E_1 \subset \dots \subset E_m = F_X^*E$ be the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of F_X^*E . Note that none of the subsheaves $E_i \subset F_X^*E$ descends to a subsheaf of E , as it would contradict semistability of E . Therefore by Cartier's theorem these subsheaves are not preserved by the canonical connection ∇_{can} of F_X^*E . It follows that the induced \mathcal{O}_X -linear maps

$$E_i \rightarrow F_X^*E/E_i \otimes \Omega_X$$

are nonzero. But $T_X(H)$ is globally generated and therefore we can embed Ω_X into $\mathcal{O}_X(H)^{\oplus N}$ for some N . So for every $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ we have non-zero maps

$$E_i \rightarrow F_X^*E/E_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(H)^{\oplus N},$$

whose existence implies

$$\mu(E_i/E_{i-1}) \leq \mu(E_{i+1}/E_i) + H^n.$$

Summing these inequalities for $i = 1, \dots, m-1$ we get

$$\mu_{\max}(F_X^*E) \leq \mu_{\min}(F_X^*E) + (m-1)H^n \leq \mu(F_X^*E) + (r-1)H^n = p\mu(E) + (r-1)H^n,$$

which proves the required inequality.

Now if E is not H -semistable then we can apply the obtained inequality to all the quotients of the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of E . Since $\mu_{\max,H}(F_X^*E)$ is bounded from the above by the maximum of slopes of any filtration with semistable quotients, we immediately get the required inequality. \square

Exercise 5.0.6. Use the above proposition to show that for any torsion free \mathcal{O}_X -module E and any very ample divisor H

$$-\infty < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{\min,H}((F_X^n)^*E)}{p^n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{\max,H}((F_X^n)^*E)}{p^n} < +\infty$$

Exercise 5.0.7. Generalize the above exercise to show that the assertion holds also if H is assumed to be nef instead of very ample.