

A NOTE ON BURKHOLDER-ROSENTHAL INEQUALITY

ADAM OSEKOWSKI

ABSTRACT. Let df be a Hilbert-space-valued martingale difference sequence. The paper is devoted to a new, elementary proof of the estimate

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} df_k \right\|_p \leq C_p \left\{ \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(|df_k|^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |df_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p \right\},$$

with $C_p = O(p/\ln p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, filtered by $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$, a nondecreasing family of sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{F} . Assume that f is an adapted martingale, taking values in a certain separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with norm $|\cdot|$ and scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then $df = (df_n)_{n \geq 0}$, the difference sequence of f , is given by $df_0 = f_0$ and $df_n = f_n - f_{n-1}$, $n \geq 1$. We define the conditional square function of f by

$$s(f) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(|df_k|^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \right]^{1/2}$$

(here and below, $\mathcal{F}_{-1} = \mathcal{F}_0$) and use the notation

$$s_n(f) = \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}(|df_k|^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \right]^{1/2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

for the truncated conditional square function of f .

The purpose of this note is to investigate Burkholder-Rosenthal inequality

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_p \leq c_p \left(\|s(f)\|_p + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |df_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p \right)$$

where $p \geq 2$ and c_p is a constant depending only on p . The special case in which the martingale f is a sum of independent mean-zero random variables forms an important extension of Khintchine inequality and was studied by Rosenthal in the 60's. The proof from [11] gives the constant c_p which grows exponentially in p as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Johnson, Schechtman and Zinn [4] refined the reasoning and showed that the optimal order of c_p as $p \rightarrow \infty$ (still in the independent case) is $p/\ln p$. Applying difficult isoperimetric techniques, Talagrand [12] extended this statement to the case of independent Banach-space-valued random variables. Using hypercontractivity

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 60G42.

Key words and phrases. martingale, conditional square function.

Partially supported by MNiSW Grant N N201 397437.

methods, Kwapien and Szulga [7] gave a completely elementary proof of Talagrand's result.

The inequality (1.1) for general real martingales (and some c_p) was established by Burkholder in [1]. The validity of this estimate with $c_p = O(p/\ln p)$ was proved by Hitczenko [5] (see also [6]). This result was further generalized to vector-valued setting by Pinelis [10]. Consult also Nagaev [8] for a yet another approach.

The purpose of this paper is to present a new and elementary proof of (1.1) with $c_p = O(p/\ln p)$. Precisely, we will establish the following statement.

Theorem 1.1. *If f is a Hilbert-space-valued martingale, then for $p \geq 4$ we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \|f\|_p \leq C_p \left(\|s(f)\|_p^p + \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |df_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where

$$C_p = 2\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{p}{4} + 1 \right)^{1/p} \left(1 + \frac{p}{\ln(p/2)} \right).$$

In fact, using Davis' decomposition, we will be able to prove a slightly stronger estimate: see (2.10) and Remark 2.5 below.

A few words about the proof are in order. Hitczenko [5], [6] and Pinelis [10] apply the extrapolation method (good λ -inequality) of Burkholder and Gundy, combined with appropriate version of Prokhorov "arcsinh" estimate for martingales. Nagaev [8] first establishes a certain exponential bound for the tail of f and deduces Burkholder-Rosenthal estimate using a standard integration argument. Our approach is entirely different and exploits the properties of a certain special function; this type of proof can be regarded as an application of Burkholder's method (see [2] and [9] for more on the subject).

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The starting point is the following technical estimate proved by Kwapien and Szulga [7].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p \geq 4$ and put*

$$\eta = \eta(p) := \frac{\ln(p/2)/p}{1 + \ln(p/2)/p}.$$

Then for any $t \geq 0$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad (1 + t\eta)^p - pt\eta \leq 1 + \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1 \right) t^2 + t^p.$$

We shall require the following vector-valued version of this bound. From now on, we assume that $p \geq 4$ and that $\sigma = \sigma(p) = \eta(p)/\sqrt{2}$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $y, d \in \mathcal{H}$ we have*

$$(2.2) \quad |y + \sqrt{2}\sigma d|^p - p|y|^{p-2} \langle y, \sqrt{2}\sigma d \rangle \leq |y|^p + \frac{p}{2} |y|^{p-2} |d|^2 + |d|^p.$$

Proof. The left-hand side can be rewritten in the form $F(\langle y, \sqrt{2}\sigma d \rangle)$, where

$$F(s) = |y|^2 + 2\sigma^2 |d|^2 + 2s|y|^{p/2} - p|y|^{p-2}s, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now keep $|y|$ and $|d|$ fixed; since the function F is convex, it suffices to prove the estimate for $\langle y, \sqrt{2}\sigma d \rangle = \pm\sqrt{2}\sigma|y||d|$, i.e. in the case when y and d are linearly

dependent. If $\langle y, \sqrt{2}\sigma d \rangle = \sqrt{2}\sigma|y||d|$, then (2.2) follows directly from (2.1); on the other hand, if $\langle y, \sqrt{2}\sigma d \rangle = -\sqrt{2}\sigma|y||d|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y + \sqrt{2}\sigma d|^p - p|y|^{p-2}\langle y, \sqrt{2}\sigma d \rangle &= \left| |y| - \sqrt{2}\sigma|d| \right|^p + p\sqrt{2}\sigma|y|^{p-1}|d| \\ &\leq \left| |y| + \sqrt{2}\sigma|d| \right|^p - p\sqrt{2}\sigma|y|^{p-1}|d|, \end{aligned}$$

so the claim again follows from (2.1). \square

The key ingredient of the proof is the special function $U : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{H} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$U(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} (|y|^2 - x^2)^{p/2} - cx^p - z & \text{if } |y| \geq \sqrt{2}x, \\ |y|^p - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)x^p - z & \text{if } |y| < \sqrt{2}x, \end{cases}$$

where

$$c = p2^{p/2-2} + 1.$$

Let us list some properties of this function.

Lemma 2.3. (i) For any $(x, y, z) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{H} \times [0, \infty)$ we have

$$(2.3) \quad U(x, y, z) = \min \left\{ \left| |y|^2 - x^2 \right|^{p/2} - cx^p - z, |y|^p - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)x^p - z \right\}.$$

(ii) For any $x \geq 0$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad U(x, \sigma y, |y|^p) \leq 0.$$

(iii) For all $(x, y, z) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{H} \times [0, \infty)$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad U(x, y, z) \geq 2^{-p/2} \left[|y|^p - \sigma^p C_p^p (x^p + z) \right].$$

Proof. (i) For fixed $x, z \geq 0$, the function

$$F(s) = s^p - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)x^p - z - (|s^2 - x^2|^{p/2} - cx^p - z), \quad s \geq 0,$$

vanishes at $s = \sqrt{2}x$ and is strictly increasing:

$$F'(s) = ps \left[s^{p-2} - |s^2 - x^2|^{(p-2)/2} \operatorname{sgn}(s^2 - x^2) \right].$$

This yields (2.3).

(ii) This is obvious, since $\sigma \leq 1$.

(iii) Using the definitions of C_p and σ , we see that we must prove the bound

$$U(x, y, z) \geq 2^{-p/2} \left[|y|^p - \left(\frac{p}{4} + 1 \right) 2^p (x^p + z) \right].$$

Now, for $|y| < \sqrt{2}x$, we have

$$U(x, y, z) = |y|^p - \left(\frac{p}{4} + 1 \right) 2^{p/2} x^p - z \geq 2^{-p/2} \left[|y|^p - \left(\frac{p}{4} + 1 \right) 2^p (x^p + z) \right].$$

On the other hand, if $|y| \geq \sqrt{2}x$, then $|y|^2 - x^2 \geq |y|^2/2$ and hence

$$U(x, y, z) \geq 2^{-p/2} \left[|y|^p - 2^{p/2} cx^p - 2^{p/2} z \right],$$

so the majorization is clear. \square

We turn to the key property of the function U .

Lemma 2.4. For any $x, z \geq 0$, $y \in \mathcal{H}$ and any \mathcal{H} -valued, mean-zero random variable d with $\|d\|_p < \infty$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{E}U \left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p \right) \leq U(x, y, z).$$

Proof. We consider three cases separately.

1° *The case $|y|^2 \leq 2x^2$.* By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}U\left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}|y + \sigma d|^p - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)^{p/2} - z - \mathbb{E}|d|^p \\ & = \mathbb{E}\{|y + \sigma d|^p - p|y|^{p-2}\langle y, \sigma d \rangle - |d|^p\} - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)^{p/2} - z. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), the expression in the parentheses does not exceed $|y|^p + p|y|^{p-2}|d|^2/2$; furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)^{p/2} & \geq (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)\left(x^p + \frac{p}{2}x^{p-2}\mathbb{E}|d|^2\right) \\ & \geq (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)x^p + \frac{p}{2}2^{(p-2)/2}x^{p-2}\mathbb{E}|d|^2 \\ & \geq (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)x^p + \frac{p}{2}|y|^{p-2}\mathbb{E}|d|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}U\left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p\right) \leq |y|^p - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)x^p - z,$$

which is precisely the desired bound.

2° *The case $2x^2 < |y|^2 \leq 2(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)$.* We start as previously: by (2.3) and then (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}U\left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p\right) \\ & \leq |y|^p + \frac{p}{2}|y|^{p-2}\mathbb{E}|d|^2 - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)^{p/2} - z. \end{aligned}$$

The latter expression decreases as $\mathbb{E}|d|^2$ increases; indeed, the function

$$F(s) = |y|^p + \frac{p}{2}|y|^{p-2}s - (2^{p/2} - 1 + c)(x^2 + s)^{p/2} - z, \quad s \geq \frac{|y|^2}{2} - x^2,$$

satisfies

$$F'(s) \leq \frac{p}{2}\left[|y|^{p-2} - 2^{p/2-1}(x^2 + s)^{p/2-1}\right] \leq 0.$$

In consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}U\left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p\right) \\ & \leq F\left(\frac{|y|^2}{2} - x^2\right) \\ & = \frac{p}{2}|y|^{p-2}\left(\frac{|y|^2}{2} - x^2\right) - (c-1)\left(\frac{y^2}{2}\right)^{p/2} - z \\ & = -\frac{p}{2}|y|^{p-2}x^2 - z \\ & = \left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{p/2-1}x^2 - \left(\frac{p}{2} + 2^{1-p/2}\right)|y|^{p-2}x^2 - z \\ & \leq \left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{p/2} - c\left(\frac{|y|^2}{2}\right)^{p/2-1}x^2 - z \\ & \leq (|y|^2 - x^2)^{p/2} - cx^p - z, \end{aligned}$$

and we are done.

\circ *The case $|y|^2 > 2(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)$.* Here the reasoning is a bit more complicated. First we show the pointwise estimate

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| |y + \sigma d|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2} - p \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2-1} \langle y, \sigma d \rangle \\ & \leq \left(\left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{1/2} + \sqrt{2}\sigma|d| \right)^p - p \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{(p-1)/2} \sqrt{2}\sigma|d|. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we will establish a slightly stronger inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| |y + \sigma d|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2} - p \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2-1} \langle y, \sigma d \rangle \\ & \leq \left(|y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 + \sigma^2|d|^2 + 2\sqrt{2} \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{1/2} \sigma|d| \right)^{p/2} \\ & \quad - p \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{(p-1)/2} \sqrt{2}\sigma|d|. \end{aligned}$$

To do this, divide throughout by $\left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2}$ and substitute

$$A^2 = \frac{|y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 + \sigma^2|d|^2}{\left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|}, \quad Y = \frac{y}{\left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{1/2}}$$

and

$$D = \frac{d}{\left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{1/2}}.$$

The estimate becomes

$$(2.8) \quad \left| A^2 + 2\langle Y, \sigma D \rangle \right|^{p/2} - p \langle Y, \sigma D \rangle \leq \left| A^2 + 2\sqrt{2}\sigma|D| \right|^p - p\sqrt{2}\sigma|D|.$$

However, the reasoning presented in the proof of (2.2) gives

$$\left| A^2 + 2\langle Y, \sigma D \rangle \right|^{p/2} - p \langle Y, \sigma D \rangle \leq \left(A^2 + 2\sigma|Y||D| \right)^{p/2} - p\sigma|Y||D|.$$

It suffices to use the bounds $|Y| \leq \sqrt{2}$ and $A^2 \geq 1$ to obtain (2.8), because the function $s \mapsto (A^2 + 2s)^{p/2} - ps$ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$. Thus (2.7) follows. We turn to (2.6): applying (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}U \left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left| |y + \sigma d|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2} - c(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)^{p/2} - z - \mathbb{E}|d|^p \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| |y + \sigma d|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2} - p \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2-1} \langle y, \sigma d \rangle \right\} \\ & \quad - c(x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2)^{p/2} - z - \mathbb{E}|d|^p \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{1/2} + \sqrt{2}\sigma|d| \right)^p - p \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{(p-1)/2} \sqrt{2}\sigma|d| \right\} \\ & \quad - cx^p - z - \mathbb{E}|d|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply (2.2) (in the real case) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}U \left(\sqrt{x^2 + \mathbb{E}|d|^2}, y + \sigma d, z + |d|^p \right) \\ & \leq \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2} + \frac{p}{2} \left| |y|^2 - x^2 - \mathbb{E}|d|^2 \right|^{p/2-1} \mathbb{E}|d|^2 - cx^p - z \\ & \leq \left| |y|^2 - x^2 \right|^{p/2} - cx^p - z = U(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of (1.2). It suffices to prove that for any nonnegative integer n ,

$$(2.9) \quad \mathbb{E}|f_n|^p \leq C_p^p \mathbb{E} \left(s_n^p(f) + \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p \right).$$

Of course, we may assume that df_0, df_1, \dots, df_n (and hence also f_n) belong to L^p , since otherwise there is nothing to prove. The key observation is that the process

$$\left(U \left(s_n(f), \sigma f_n, \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p \right) \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

is a supermartingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Indeed, the integrability follows from the above assumption on df ; furthermore, for any $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[U \left(s_{n+1}(f), \sigma f_{n+1}, \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} |df_k|^p \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_n \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[U \left(\sqrt{s_n^2(f) + \mathbb{E}(|df_{n+1}|^2 | \mathcal{F}_n)}, \sigma f_n + \sigma df_{n+1}, \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p + |df_{n+1}|^p \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_n \right], \end{aligned}$$

which does not exceed $U(s_n(f), \sigma f_n, \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p)$, by (2.6) applied conditionally with respect to \mathcal{F}_{n-1} . Next, we have $U(s_0(f), \sigma f_0, |df_0|^p) \leq 0$, in view of (2.4). Combining these two facts with (2.5) yields the claim:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|f_n|^p - C_p^p \left(s_n^p(f) + \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p \right) \right] \leq \frac{2^{p/2}}{\sigma^p} \mathbb{E} U \left(s_n(f), \sigma f_n, \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p \right) \leq 0. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.5. Using Davis' decomposition (see e.g. Davis [3] or Burkholder [1]), one can deduce a slightly stronger form of (1.2). Namely, for all f as in the statement of Theorem 1.1 and $p \geq 4$ we have

$$(2.10) \quad \|f\|_p \leq 2C_p \left(\|s(f)\|_p^p + \|df^*\|_p^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $df^* = \sup_{n \geq 0} |df_n|$. Indeed, fix a martingale f and consider the random variables $d'_n = df_n 1_{\{|df_n| < 2df_{n-1}^*\}}$, $d''_n = df_n 1_{\{|df_n| \geq 2df_{n-1}^*\}}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Here, as usual, $df_{-1}^* \equiv 0$ and $df_n^* = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |df_k|$. Note that on the set $\{|df_n| \geq 2df_{n-1}^*\}$ we have

$$(2^p - 1)|d''_n|^p + (2df_{n-1}^*)^p \leq (2|df_n|)^p \leq (2df_n^*)^p,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{k=0}^n |d''_k|^p \leq \frac{2^p}{2^p - 1} (df_n^*)^p, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Next, observe that for any n ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^n |d'_k|^p &\leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^2 (2df_{k-1}^*)^{p-2} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^n \mathbb{E}(|df_k|^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}) (2df_{k-1}^*)^{p-2} \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} s_n^2(f) (2df_n^*)^{p-2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{p} \|s_n(f)\|_p^p + \frac{p-2}{p} \|2df_n^*\|_p^p, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have exploited Young's inequality. Combining the above estimates for the sums of d'_n and d''_n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^n |df_k|^p &\leq \frac{2}{p} \|s_n(f)\|_p^p + 2^p \left(\frac{1}{2^p - 1} + \frac{p-2}{p} \right) \|df_n^*\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{2}{p} \|s_n(f)\|_p^p + 2^p \|df_n^*\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into (2.9) and using the fact that n is an arbitrary nonnegative integer, we obtain (2.10).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. L. Burkholder, *Distribution functions inequalities for martingales*, Ann. Probab. **1** (1973), 19–42.
- [2] D. L. Burkholder, *Explorations in martingale theory and its applications*, Ecole d'Ete de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX—1989, pp. 1–66, Lecture Notes in Math., 1464, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [3] B. Davis, *On the integrability of the martingale square function*, Israel J. Math. **8**, 187–190.
- [4] W. B. Johnson, G. Schechtman and J. Zinn, *Best constants in moment inequalities for linear combination of independent and exchangeable random variables*, Ann. Probab. **13** No. 1 (1985), 234–243.
- [5] P. Hitczenko, *Best constants in martingale version of Rosenthal inequality*, Ann. Probab. **18** No. 4 (1990), 1656–1668.
- [6] P. Hitczenko, *On a domination of sums of random variables by sums of conditionally independent ones*, Ann. Probab. **22** No. 1 (1994), 453–468.
- [7] S. Kwapięń and J. Szulga, *Hypercontraction methods in moment inequalities for series of independent random variables in normed spaces*, Ann. Probab. **19** No. 1 (1991), 369–379.
- [8] S. V. Nagaev, *On probability and moment inequalities for supermartingales and martingales*, Acta Appl. Math. **79** (2003), 35–46.
- [9] A. Osękowski, *Sharp martingale and semimartingale inequalities*, to appear in Monografie Matematyczne, Birkhäuser.
- [10] I. Pinelis, *Optimum bounds for the distributions of martingales in Banach spaces*, Ann. Probab. **22**, No. 4 (1994), 1679–1706.
- [11] H. P. Rosenthal, *On the subspaces of L^p ($p > 2$) spanned by the sequences of independent random variables*, Israel J. Math. **8** (1970), 273–303.
- [12] M. Talagrand, *Isoperimetry and integrability of the sum of independent Banach-space-valued random variables*, Ann. Probab. **17** No. 4 (1989), 1546–1570.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INFORMATICS AND MECHANICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSAW, POLAND
E-mail address: ados@mimuw.edu.pl