

The Condensed Homotopy Type of a Scheme

Marcin Lara

IMPAN Warsaw

April 15, 2026

Joint with P. Haine, T. Holzsuh, C. Mair, L. Martini and S. Wolf.

Section 1

π_1 and Π_∞ - topological spaces and étale site

The topological fundamental group

Let X be a “nice”, connected topological space, $x \in X$.

(“nice” = locally path-connected and semi-locally simply connected)

Definitions of π_1

1 Via loops:

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x\} / \text{homotopy}.$$

2 Alternatively (Universal Cover):

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering. Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}/X)^{\text{op}}$.

3 Alternatively (Galois Correspondence):

Consider $F_x : \text{Cov}(X) \rightarrow \text{Set}, Y \mapsto Y_x$; Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(F_x)$.

Relation to Local Systems

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) - \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

(Locally constant sheaves \leftrightarrow Representations of fundamental group).

The topological fundamental group

Let X be a “nice”, connected topological space, $x \in X$.

(“nice” = locally path-connected and semi-locally simply connected)

Definitions of π_1

1 Via loops:

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x\} / \text{homotopy}.$$

2 Alternatively (Universal Cover):

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering. Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}/X)^{\text{op}}$.

3 Alternatively (Galois Correspondence):

Consider $F_x : \text{Cov}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, $Y \mapsto Y_x$; Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(F_x)$.

Relation to Local Systems

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) - \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

(Locally constant sheaves \leftrightarrow Representations of fundamental group).

The topological fundamental group

Let X be a “nice”, connected topological space, $x \in X$.

(“nice” = locally path-connected and semi-locally simply connected)

Definitions of π_1

1 Via loops:

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x\} / \text{homotopy}.$$

2 Alternatively (Universal Cover):

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering. Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}/X)^{\text{op}}$.

3 Alternatively (Galois Correspondence):

Consider

$$F_x : \text{Cov}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}, \quad Y \mapsto Y_x; \quad \text{Then } \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(F_x).$$

Relation to Local Systems

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) - \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

(Locally constant sheaves \leftrightarrow Representations of fundamental group).

The topological fundamental group

Let X be a “nice”, connected topological space, $x \in X$.

(“nice” = locally path-connected and semi-locally simply connected)

Definitions of π_1

1 Via loops:

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x\} / \text{homotopy}.$$

2 Alternatively (Universal Cover):

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering. Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}/X)^{\text{op}}$.

3 Alternatively (Galois Correspondence):

Consider $F_x : \text{Cov}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, $Y \mapsto Y_x$; Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(F_x)$.

Relation to Local Systems

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) - \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

(Locally constant sheaves \leftrightarrow Representations of fundamental group).

The topological fundamental group

Let X be a “nice”, connected topological space, $x \in X$.

(“nice” = locally path-connected and semi-locally simply connected)

Definitions of π_1

1 Via loops:

$$\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) := \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x\} / \text{homotopy}.$$

2 Alternatively (Universal Cover):

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering. Then $\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(\tilde{X}/X)^{\text{op}}$.

3 Alternatively (Galois Correspondence):

Consider

$$F_x : \text{Cov}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}, \quad Y \mapsto Y_x; \quad \text{Then } \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) \cong \text{Aut}(F_x).$$

Relation to Local Systems

There is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, x) - \mathbf{Set}$$

$$\mathbf{Loc}_X(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

(Locally constant sheaves \leftrightarrow Representations of fundamental group).

The topological homotopy type

For "nice" spaces, we want to capture the full homotopy type.

Singular Complex

Let $X \in \mathbf{Top}$. The *singular simplicial set* is:

$$\mathrm{Sing}(X)_\bullet := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(|\Delta^\bullet|, X) \in \mathbf{sSet}$$

where $|\Delta^n| = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^{n+1} \mid x_0 + \dots + x_n = 1\}$ is the standard n -simplex.

Geometric Realization

There is a canonical map (counit of adjunction):

$$|\mathrm{Sing}(X)| \longrightarrow X$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes geometric realization (gluing simplices).

Theorem (Milnor)

If X is a CW-complex (or locally contractible), then $|\mathrm{Sing}(X)| \rightarrow X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The topological homotopy type

For "nice" spaces, we want to capture the full homotopy type.

Singular Complex

Let $X \in \mathbf{Top}$. The *singular simplicial set* is:

$$\mathrm{Sing}(X)_\bullet := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(|\Delta^\bullet|, X) \in \mathbf{sSet}$$

where $|\Delta^n| = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^{n+1} \mid x_0 + \dots + x_n = 1\}$ is the standard n -simplex.

Geometric Realization

There is a canonical map (counit of adjunction):

$$|\mathrm{Sing}(X)| \longrightarrow X$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes geometric realization (gluing simplices).

Theorem (Milnor)

If X is a CW-complex (or locally contractible), then $|\mathrm{Sing}(X)| \rightarrow X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

The topological homotopy type

For "nice" spaces, we want to capture the full homotopy type.

Singular Complex

Let $X \in \mathbf{Top}$. The *singular simplicial set* is:

$$\mathrm{Sing}(X)_\bullet := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(|\Delta^\bullet|, X) \in \mathbf{sSet}$$

where $|\Delta^n| = \{(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^{n+1} \mid x_0 + \dots + x_n = 1\}$ is the standard n -simplex.

Geometric Realization

There is a canonical map (counit of adjunction):

$$|\mathrm{Sing}(X)| \longrightarrow X$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes geometric realization (gluing simplices).

Theorem (Milnor)

If X is a CW-complex (or locally contractible), then $|\mathrm{Sing}(X)| \rightarrow X$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.

∞ -categories: quick motivation

Let $[n] = \{0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n - 1 < n\}$ (lin. ord. set as a category).

The Nerve of a 1-Category

Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category. The *nerve* $N(\mathcal{C})$ is the simplicial set where:

$$N(\mathcal{C})_n = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}([n], \mathcal{C})$$

(n -simplices are strings of n composable morphisms).

Both $N(\mathcal{C}), \text{Sing}(X) \in \text{sSet}$ satisfy **weak Kan condition** (inner horn fill.)

Definition

$(\infty, 1)$ -category = a simplicial set satisfying the weak Kan condition.
(Intuitively: objects = vertices; morphisms = edges; composition: defined up to contractible choice of fillers.)

∞ -groupoid = “**anima**” = Kan complex = sset satisfying full Kan condition (all horns can be filled)

In fact, $\text{Sing} \in \mathbf{Ani}$.

∞ -categories: quick motivation

Let $[n] = \{0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n - 1 < n\}$ (lin. ord. set as a category).

The Nerve of a 1-Category

Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category. The *nerve* $N(\mathcal{C})$ is the simplicial set where:

$$N(\mathcal{C})_n = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}([n], \mathcal{C})$$

(n -simplices are strings of n composable morphisms).

Both $N(\mathcal{C}), \text{Sing}(X) \in \text{sSet}$ satisfy **weak Kan condition** (inner horn fill.)

Definition

$(\infty, 1)$ -category = a simplicial set satisfying the weak Kan condition.
(Intuitively: objects = vertices; morphisms = edges; composition: defined up to contractible choice of fillers.)

∞ -groupoid = “anima” = Kan complex = sset satisfying full Kan condition (all horns can be filled)

In fact, $\text{Sing} \in \mathbf{Ani}$.

∞ -categories: quick motivation

Let $[n] = \{0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n - 1 < n\}$ (lin. ord. set as a category).

The Nerve of a 1-Category

Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category. The *nerve* $N(\mathcal{C})$ is the simplicial set where:

$$N(\mathcal{C})_n = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}([n], \mathcal{C})$$

(n -simplices are strings of n composable morphisms).

Both $N(\mathcal{C}), \text{Sing}(X) \in \text{sSet}$ satisfy **weak Kan condition** (inner horn fill.)

Definition

$(\infty, 1)$ -category = a simplicial set satisfying the weak Kan condition.
(Intuitively: objects = vertices; morphisms = edges; composition: defined up to contractible choice of fillers.)

∞ -groupoid = “**anima**” = Kan complex = sset satisfying full Kan condition (all horns can be filled)

In fact, $\text{Sing} \in \mathbf{Ani}$.

∞ -categories: quick motivation

Let $[n] = \{0 < 1 < 2 < \dots < n - 1 < n\}$ (lin. ord. set as a category).

The Nerve of a 1-Category

Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category. The *nerve* $N(\mathcal{C})$ is the simplicial set where:

$$N(\mathcal{C})_n = \text{Hom}_{\text{Cat}}([n], \mathcal{C})$$

(n -simplices are strings of n composable morphisms).

Both $N(\mathcal{C}), \text{Sing}(X) \in \text{sSet}$ satisfy **weak Kan condition** (inner horn fill.)

Definition

$(\infty, 1)$ -category = a simplicial set satisfying the weak Kan condition.
(Intuitively: objects = vertices; morphisms = edges; composition: defined up to contractible choice of fillers.)

∞ -groupoid = “anima” = Kan complex = sset satisfying full Kan condition (all horns can be filled)

In fact, $\text{Sing} \in \mathbf{Ani}$.

Shape of a topos

For loc. contractible X , $\text{Sing}(X) \in \mathbf{Ani}$ is its “homotopy type” / “shape”.
In general, Borsuk, Artin-Mazur-Friedlander, Töen-Vezzosi, Lurie,.. defines

Shape of an ∞ -topos

$$\infty\text{-Topoi} \ni \mathbf{X} \mapsto \Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

(it is defined as $f_\# \mathbf{1}_X$, where $f_\#$ is a left pro-adjoint to $f^* : \mathbf{Ani} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$).

(To recover previous notn take $\mathbf{X} := \text{Sh}(X, \mathbf{Ani}) = \text{sheaves of anima on } X$)
Fixing a point “ $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ”, $\Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X})$ allows to define

$$\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Grp}), \quad \pi_n(\mathbf{X}, x) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ab})$$

Monodromy correspondence

If \mathbf{X} is locally contractible, then

$$\text{Fun}(\Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Ani}) \simeq \text{Loc}_X(\mathbf{Ani})$$

For any \mathbf{X} , denoting $\mathbf{Ani}_\pi = \text{“}\pi\text{-finite anima”}$ ($\supset \mathbf{FSet}$)

$$\text{Fun}(\widehat{\Pi}_\infty(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Ani}_\pi) \simeq \text{Icc}_X(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Shape of a topos

For loc. contractible X , $\text{Sing}(X) \in \mathbf{Ani}$ is its “homotopy type” / “shape”.
In general, Borsuk, Artin-Mazur-Friedlander, Töen-Vezzosi, Lurie,.. defines

Shape of an ∞ -topos

$$\infty\text{-Topoi} \ni \mathbf{X} \mapsto \Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

(it is defined as $f_\# \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$, where $f_\#$ is a left pro-adjoint to $f^* : \mathbf{Ani} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$).

(To recover previous notn take $\mathbf{X} := \text{Sh}(X, \mathbf{Ani}) =$ sheaves of anima on X)
Fixing a point “ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ ”, $\Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X})$ allows to define

$$\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Grp}), \quad \pi_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ab})$$

Monodromy correspondence

If \mathbf{X} is locally contractible, then

$$\text{Fun}(\Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Ani}) \simeq \text{Loc}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

For any \mathbf{X} , denoting $\mathbf{Ani}_\pi =$ “ π -finite anima” ($\supset \mathbf{FSet}$)

$$\text{Fun}(\widehat{\Pi}_\infty(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Ani}_\pi) \simeq \text{Icc}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Shape of a topos

For loc. contractible X , $\text{Sing}(X) \in \mathbf{Ani}$ is its “homotopy type” / “shape”.
In general, Borsuk, Artin-Mazur-Friedlander, Töen-Vezzosi, Lurie,.. defines

Shape of an ∞ -topos

$$\infty\text{-Topoi} \ni \mathbf{X} \mapsto \Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

(it is defined as $f_\# \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{X}}$, where $f_\#$ is a left pro-adjoint to $f^* : \mathbf{Ani} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$).

(To recover previous notn take $\mathbf{X} := \text{Sh}(X, \mathbf{Ani}) =$ sheaves of anima on X)
Fixing a point “ $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ ”, $\Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X})$ allows to define

$$\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Grp}), \quad \pi_n(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ab})$$

Monodromy correspondence

If \mathbf{X} is locally contractible, then

$$\text{Fun}(\Pi_\infty(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Ani}) \simeq \mathbf{Loc}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

For any \mathbf{X} , denoting $\mathbf{Ani}_\pi =$ “ π -finite anima” ($\supset \mathbf{FSet}$)

$$\text{Fun}(\widehat{\Pi}_\infty(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Ani}_\pi) \simeq \mathbf{lcc}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Side note: Exit Paths

π_1 and Π_∞ allow to recover locally constant sheaves.

What about constructible sheaves?

Let X “nice” top. sp. and P its finite stratification by loc. closed subsets.

The Exit Path ∞ -Category [Lurie, Treumann]

$\text{Exit}^P(X)$ is an ∞ -category (quasicategory) where:

- **Objects:** points of X ;
- **Morphisms:** “exit paths”, i.e. paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ that can only exit a stratum to a larger one, but not return;
- **2-Morphisms:** homotopies respecting the stratification. And so on...

Constructible Sheaves and Homotopy Type

There is an equivalence

$$\text{Sh}_{\text{constr}}^P(X) \simeq \text{Funct}(\text{Exit}^P(X), \mathbf{Ani})$$

The classifying space satisfies:

“inverting morphisms” $\rightarrow \text{B Exit}^P(X) \simeq \Pi_\infty(X)$

Side note: Exit Paths

π_1 and Π_∞ allow to recover locally constant sheaves.

What about constructible sheaves?

Let X “nice” top. sp. and P its finite stratification by loc. closed subsets.

The Exit Path ∞ -Category [Lurie, Treumann]

$\text{Exit}^P(X)$ is an ∞ -category (quasicategory) where:

- **Objects:** points of X ;
- **Morphisms:** “exit paths”, i.e. paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ that can only exit a stratum to a larger one, but not return;
- **2-Morphisms:** homotopies respecting the stratification. And so on...

Constructible Sheaves and Homotopy Type

There is an equivalence

$$\text{Sh}_{\text{constr}}^P(X) \simeq \text{Funct}(\text{Exit}^P(X), \mathbf{Ani})$$

The classifying space satisfies:

“inverting morphisms” $\rightarrow \mathbf{B} \text{Exit}^P(X) \simeq \Pi_\infty(X)$

Recollection: The étale fundamental group of a scheme

Let X be a connected scheme.

Finite Étale Covers

Étale morphism := flat + unramified \Leftrightarrow smooth of relative dim. 0.

Let $\mathcal{E}t_X$ = category of étale maps $Y \rightarrow X$. **This is a site.**

Let $F\mathcal{E}t_X$ = category of finite (=proper + finite fibers) étale maps $Y \rightarrow X$.

Étale fundamental group

Fix a geometric point $\bar{x}: \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow X$, where $\Omega = \bar{\Omega}$ a field.

Let $F_{\bar{x}}: F\mathcal{E}t \rightarrow \mathbf{FSet}$, $Y \mapsto Y(\bar{x})$ be the fiber functor.

Define $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Aut}(F_{\bar{x}})$.

It is a *profinite* topological group.

Galois Correspondence

$$F\mathcal{E}t_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) - \mathbf{FSet}$$

Recollection: The étale fundamental group of a scheme

Let X be a connected scheme.

Finite Étale Covers

Étale morphism := flat+unramified \Leftrightarrow smooth of relative dim. 0.

Let $\mathcal{E}t_X$ = category of étale maps $Y \rightarrow X$. **This is a site.**

Let $F\mathcal{E}t_X$ = category of finite (=proper+finite fibers) étale maps $Y \rightarrow X$.

Étale fundamental group

Fix a geometric point $\bar{x}: \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow X$, where $\Omega = \bar{\Omega}$ a field.

Let $F_{\bar{x}}: F\mathcal{E}t \rightarrow \mathbf{FSet}$, $Y \mapsto Y(\bar{x})$ be the fiber functor.

Define $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Aut}(F_{\bar{x}})$.

It is a *profinite* topological group.

Galois Correspondence

$$F\mathcal{E}t_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) - \mathbf{FSet}$$

Recollection: The étale fundamental group of a scheme

Let X be a connected scheme.

Finite Étale Covers

Étale morphism := flat + unramified \Leftrightarrow smooth of relative dim. 0.

Let $\mathcal{E}t_X$ = category of étale maps $Y \rightarrow X$. **This is a site.**

Let $\mathcal{F}Ét_X$ = category of finite (=proper + finite fibers) étale maps $Y \rightarrow X$.

Étale fundamental group

Fix a geometric point $\bar{x}: \text{Spec}(\Omega) \rightarrow X$, where $\Omega = \bar{\Omega}$ a field.

Let $F_{\bar{x}}: \mathcal{F}Ét \rightarrow \mathbf{FSet}$, $Y \mapsto Y(\bar{x})$ be the fiber functor.

Define $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \text{Aut}(F_{\bar{x}})$.

It is a *profinite* topological group.

Galois Correspondence

$$\mathcal{F}Ét_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x}) - \mathbf{FSet}$$

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ – examples

Example: recovering the Galois group of a field

Let $X = \text{Spec}(k)$ for a field k . Fix \bar{k} separable/algebraic closure of k . This gives a geometric point \bar{x} on X . Then

$$\pi(X, \bar{x}) \simeq \text{Gal}_k$$

This shows that the étale topology is not “locally contractible”. Another way to see this: take $X = \text{Spec}(k)$. To “contract it”, one needs to pass to k^{sep} . The map $\text{Spec}(k^{\text{sep}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is not étale, it is **pro-étale**. The fiber isn’t “discrete”, it is pro-discrete/pro-finite:

$$\text{Spec}(k^{\text{sep}}) \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(k^{\text{sep}}) \simeq \text{Gal}_k \times \text{Spec}(k^{\text{sep}})$$

Comparison over complex numbers (Riemann Existence Thm)

Let X be a variety (= scheme of finite type) over \mathbb{C} .

Let $X^{\text{an}} = X(\mathbb{C})$ with the analytic topology.

There is an isomorphism on profinite completions:

$$\widehat{\pi_1^{\text{top}}(X^{\text{an}})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$$

The Artin–Mazur Homotopy Type

Motivation

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ only captures 1-truncated information. How to define $\pi_n^{\text{ét}}$?

Construction (Artin–Mazur–Friedlander)

Consider the category $\mathbf{HR}(X)$ of hypercovers $U_\bullet \rightarrow X$ in the étale site. The **étale homotopy type** is an object in $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$:

$$\Pi_\infty^{\text{ét}}(X) \approx \varprojlim_{U_\bullet \rightarrow X \in \mathbf{HR}(X)} \text{Sing}(|\pi_0(U_\bullet)|) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Alternatively, use Lurie's shape: $\Pi_\infty^{\text{ét}}(X) = \Pi_\infty(X^{\text{ét}})$ (up to pro trunc.).

Properties

- Defines higher invariants: $\pi_n^{\text{ét}}(X) := \pi_n(\Pi_\infty^{\text{ét}}(X))$ (pro-groups).
- **Comparison:** If X is geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal),
$$\pi_1(\Pi_\infty^{\text{ét}}(X)) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$$

(or, for more general X , after profinite completion)

The Artin–Mazur Homotopy Type

Motivation

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ only captures 1-truncated information. How to define $\pi_n^{\text{ét}}$?

Construction (Artin–Mazur–Friedlander)

Consider the category $\mathbf{HR}(X)$ of hypercovers $U_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ in the étale site. The **étale homotopy type** is an object in $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$:

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) \approx \varprojlim_{U_{\bullet} \rightarrow X \in \mathbf{HR}(X)} \text{Sing}(|\pi_0(U_{\bullet})|) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Alternatively, use Lurie's shape: $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) = \Pi_{\infty}(X^{\text{ét}})$ (up to pro trunc.).

Properties

- Defines higher invariants: $\pi_n^{\text{ét}}(X) := \pi_n(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X))$ (pro-groups).
- **Comparison:** If X is geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal),
$$\pi_1(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X)) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$$

(or, for more general X , after profinite completion)

The Artin–Mazur Homotopy Type

Motivation

$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ only captures 1-truncated information. How to define $\pi_n^{\text{ét}}$?

Construction (Artin–Mazur–Friedlander)

Consider the category $\mathbf{HR}(X)$ of hypercovers $U_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ in the étale site. The **étale homotopy type** is an object in $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$:

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) \approx \varprojlim_{U_{\bullet} \rightarrow X \in \mathbf{HR}(X)} \text{Sing}(|\pi_0(U_{\bullet})|) \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Alternatively, use Lurie's shape: $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) = \Pi_{\infty}(X^{\text{ét}})$ (up to pro trunc.).

Properties

- Defines higher invariants: $\pi_n^{\text{ét}}(X) := \pi_n(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X))$ (pro-groups).
- **Comparison:** If X is geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal),
$$\pi_1(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X)) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$$

(or, for more general X , after profinite completion)

Comparison with topological invariants

Homotopy Type (Artin-Mazur)

The canonical map $\text{Sing}(X^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X)$ induces a weak equivalence of pro-anima:

$$\widehat{\text{Sing}(X^{\text{an}})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X)$$

where $\widehat{(-)}$ denotes profinite completion.

Consequence: Algebraic geometry recovers the profinite homotopy type of the underlying complex manifold.

Remark: Exit categories

There is also a profinite “exit path” category (or, a “stratified shape”) $\text{Gal}(X)$ of a qcqs scheme X , defined by Barwick-Glasman-Haine in “Exodromy”, that detects constructible sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

For X f.t./ \mathbb{C} , it is related to profinite completions of $\text{Exit}^P(X(\mathbb{C}))$.

Comparison with topological invariants

Homotopy Type (Artin-Mazur)

The canonical map $\text{Sing}(X^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X)$ induces a weak equivalence of pro-anima:

$$\widehat{\text{Sing}(X^{\text{an}})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X)$$

where $\widehat{(-)}$ denotes profinite completion.

Consequence: Algebraic geometry recovers the profinite homotopy type of the underlying complex manifold.

Remark: Exit categories

There is also a profinite “exit path” category (or, a “stratified shape”) $\text{Gal}(X)$ of a qcqs scheme X , defined by Barwick-Glasman-Haine in “Exodromy”, that detects constructible sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$.

For X f.t./ \mathbb{C} , it is related to profinite completions of $\text{Exit}^P(X(\mathbb{C}))$.

Section 2

The Pro-étale Topology

The proétale site (Bhatt–Scholze)

We now move from étale to proétale. Motivation: “better” for non-finite coefficients. “Better” for non-normal schemes.

Definition: The pro-étale site $X_{\text{proét}}$

Definition: A map $U \rightarrow X$ is **weakly étale** if it is flat and $\Delta_{U/X} : U \rightarrow U \times_X U$ is flat.

The site $X_{\text{proét}}$ is defined by:

- **Objects:** Weakly étale maps $U \rightarrow X$; **Covers:** fpqc covers.

Examples:

- Étale maps, **inv limits of (affine) étale maps:** $\text{Spec}(k^s) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$;
- $X \setminus \{x\} \sqcup \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}^{\text{sh}}) \rightarrow X$ (for $x \in X$ closed pt)
- If $k = \bar{k}$, then $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{proét}} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ (“condensed sets”).

Key Feature: w-contractible objects

The site $X_{\text{proét}}$ has “enough” local objects that are “weakly contractible”.

The pro-étale site (Bhatt–Scholze)

We now move from étale to pro-étale. Motivation: “better” for non-finite coefficients. “Better” for non-normal schemes.

Definition: The pro-étale site $X_{\text{proét}}$

Definition: A map $U \rightarrow X$ is **weakly étale** if it is flat and $\Delta_{U/X} : U \rightarrow U \times_X U$ is flat.

The site $X_{\text{proét}}$ is defined by:

- **Objects:** Weakly étale maps $U \rightarrow X$; **Covers:** fpqc covers.

Examples:

- Étale maps, **inv limits of (affine) étale maps:** $\text{Spec}(k^s) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$;
- $X \setminus \{x\} \sqcup \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}^{\text{sh}}) \rightarrow X$ (for $x \in X$ closed pt)
- If $k = \bar{k}$, then $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{proét}} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ (“condensed sets”).

Key Feature: w-contractible objects

The site $X_{\text{proét}}$ has “enough” local objects that are “weakly contractible”.

The pro-étale site (Bhatt–Scholze)

We now move from étale to pro-étale. Motivation: “better” for non-finite coefficients. “Better” for non-normal schemes.

Definition: The pro-étale site $X_{\text{proét}}$

Definition: A map $U \rightarrow X$ is **weakly étale** if it is flat and $\Delta_{U/X} : U \rightarrow U \times_X U$ is flat.

The site $X_{\text{proét}}$ is defined by:

- **Objects:** Weakly étale maps $U \rightarrow X$; **Covers:** fpqc covers.

Examples:

- Étale maps, **inv limits of (affine) étale maps:** $\text{Spec}(k^s) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$;
- $X \setminus \{x\} \sqcup \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,\bar{x}}^{\text{sh}}) \rightarrow X$ (for $x \in X$ closed pt)
- If $k = \bar{k}$, then $\text{Spec}(k)_{\text{proét}} \simeq \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ (“condensed sets”).

Key Feature: w-contractible objects

The site $X_{\text{proét}}$ has “enough” local objects that are “weakly contractible”.

Interlude: Condensed Mathematics

Let's see the usefulness of the proétale topology already for $X = \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$.

TopAb is not abelian

$$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$$

is a continuous bijection but not an isomorphism.

In **TopAb**, the kernel and cokernel are trivial, but the map isn't an iso.

Definition (Clausen–Scholze, Barwick–Haine), modulo set-theory...

Let \mathcal{C} be a category (e.g., **Set**, **Ab**, **Grp**, **Ring**, **Ani**). A **condensed object** in \mathcal{C} is a sheaf

$$F : \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

(or, equivalently, on the site of *Extremally Disconnected* sets

Extr \subset $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ – see the next slide), i.e. $\text{Cond}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Sh}(\mathbf{Extr}, \mathcal{C})$.

Topological spaces give condensed sets

For a (T1) top. space M , we have $\underline{M} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(-, M) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set})$.

Interlude: Condensed Mathematics

Let's see the usefulness of the proétale topology already for $X = \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$.

TopAb is not abelian

$$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$$

is a continuous bijection but not an isomorphism.

In **TopAb**, the kernel and cokernel are trivial, but the map isn't an iso.

Definition (Clausen–Scholze, Barwick–Haine), modulo set-theory...

Let \mathcal{C} be a category (e.g., **Set**, **Ab**, **Grp**, **Ring**, **Ani**). A **condensed object** in \mathcal{C} is a sheaf

$$F : \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

(or, equivalently, on the site of *Extremally Disconnected* sets

$\mathbf{Extr} \subset \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ – see the next slide), i.e. $\text{Cond}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Sh}(\mathbf{Extr}, \mathcal{C})$.

Topological spaces give condensed sets

For a (T1) top. space M , we have $\underline{M} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(-, M) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set})$.

Interlude: Condensed Mathematics

Let's see the usefulness of the proétale topology already for $X = \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$.

TopAb is not abelian

$$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$$

is a continuous bijection but not an isomorphism.

In **TopAb**, the kernel and cokernel are trivial, but the map isn't an iso.

Definition (Clausen–Scholze, Barwick–Haine), modulo set-theory...

Let \mathcal{C} be a category (e.g., **Set**, **Ab**, **Grp**, **Ring**, **Ani**). A **condensed object** in \mathcal{C} is a sheaf

$$F : \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

(or, equivalently, on the site of *Extremally Disconnected* sets

Extr \subset $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ – see the next slide), i.e. $\text{Cond}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Sh}(\mathbf{Extr}, \mathcal{C})$.

Topological spaces give condensed sets

For a (T1) top. space M , we have $\underline{M} = \text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(-, M) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set})$.

Interlude: Condensed Mathematics

Let's see the usefulness of the proétale topology already for $X = \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$.

TopAb is not abelian

$$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$$

is a continuous bijection but not an isomorphism.

In **TopAb**, the kernel and cokernel are trivial, but the map isn't an iso.

Definition (Clausen–Scholze, Barwick–Haine), modulo set-theory...

Let \mathcal{C} be a category (e.g., **Set**, **Ab**, **Grp**, **Ring**, **Ani**). A **condensed object** in \mathcal{C} is a sheaf

$$F : \text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

(or, equivalently, on the site of *Extremally Disconnected* sets

Extr \subset $\text{Pro}(\mathbf{FSet})$ – see the next slide), i.e. $\text{Cond}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Sh}(\mathbf{Extr}, \mathcal{C})$.

Topological spaces give condensed sets

For a (T1) top. space M , we have $\underline{M} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(-, M) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set})$.

Why Condensed?

Simplification via Extremely Disconnected Sets

A compact Hausdorff space S is **extremally disconnected** if the closure of every open set is open.

- (Theorem of Gleason) S is projective in **CompHaus**.
- Any $K \in \mathbf{CompHaus}$ admits a surjection $S \twoheadrightarrow K$ with $S \in \mathbf{Extr}$.

Lemma: A functor $F : \mathbf{Extr}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a condensed set iff $F(\emptyset) = *$ and $F(S_1 \sqcup S_2) \cong F(S_1) \times F(S_2)$. (No complicated gluing!)

Nice Categorical Properties

$\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ab})$ is an abelian category. (unlike \mathbf{TopAb} !)

As an extra feature, it has compact projective generators.

Example

$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$ has **non-trivial** cokernel.

Why Condensed?

Simplification via Extremely Disconnected Sets

A compact Hausdorff space S is **extremally disconnected** if the closure of every open set is open.

- (Theorem of Gleason) S is projective in **CompHaus**.
- Any $K \in \mathbf{CompHaus}$ admits a surjection $S \twoheadrightarrow K$ with $S \in \mathbf{Extr}$.

Lemma: A functor $F : \mathbf{Extr}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a condensed set iff $F(\emptyset) = *$ and $F(S_1 \sqcup S_2) \cong F(S_1) \times F(S_2)$. (No complicated gluing!)

Nice Categorical Properties

$\mathbf{Cond}(\mathbf{Ab})$ is an abelian category. (unlike \mathbf{TopAb} !)

As an extra feature, it has compact projective generators.

Example

$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$ has **non-trivial** cokernel.

Why Condensed?

Simplification via Extremely Disconnected Sets

A compact Hausdorff space S is **extremally disconnected** if the closure of every open set is open.

- (Theorem of Gleason) S is projective in **CompHaus**.
- Any $K \in \mathbf{CompHaus}$ admits a surjection $S \twoheadrightarrow K$ with $S \in \mathbf{Extr}$.

Lemma: A functor $F : \mathbf{Extr}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is a condensed set iff $F(\emptyset) = *$ and $F(S_1 \sqcup S_2) \cong F(S_1) \times F(S_2)$. (No complicated gluing!)

Nice Categorical Properties

$\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ab})$ is an abelian category. (unlike \mathbf{TopAb} !)

As an extra feature, it has compact projective generators.

Example

$\mathbb{R}_{\text{disc}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{eucl}}$ has **non-trivial** cokernel.

The pro-étale fundamental group

Back to schemes. Let X be connected, locally topologically noetherian.

Geometric Coverings

A map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a **geometric covering** if: 1. f is étale. 2. f satisfies the valuative criterion of properness.

Let \mathbf{GeoCov}_X be the category of such coverings. $F_{\bar{x}} : \mathbf{GeoCov} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Theorem (Bhatt–Scholze)

There is a topological group $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) (= \text{Aut}(F_{\bar{x}}))$, the **pro-étale fundamental group**, such that:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \mathbf{GeoCov}_X \simeq \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) - \mathbf{Set}$$

(Equivalence with discrete sets with continuous action).

Comparison with Representations

$$\mathbf{Loc}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

Note: For non-normal schemes, $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ is too small to classify these.

The pro-étale fundamental group

Back to schemes. Let X be connected, locally topologically noetherian.

Geometric Coverings

A map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a **geometric covering** if: 1. f is étale. 2. f satisfies the valuative criterion of properness.

Let \mathbf{GeoCov}_X be the category of such coverings. $F_{\bar{x}} : \mathbf{GeoCov} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Theorem (Bhatt–Scholze)

There is a topological group $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) (= \text{Aut}(F_{\bar{x}}))$, the **pro-étale fundamental group**, such that:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \mathbf{GeoCov}_X \simeq \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) - \mathbf{Set}$$

(Equivalence with discrete sets with continuous action).

Comparison with Representations

$$\mathbf{Loc}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

Note: For non-normal schemes, $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ is too small to classify these.

The pro-étale fundamental group

Back to schemes. Let X be connected, locally topologically noetherian.

Geometric Coverings

A map $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a **geometric covering** if: 1. f is étale. 2. f satisfies the valuative criterion of properness.

Let \mathbf{GeoCov}_X be the category of such coverings. $F_{\bar{x}} : \mathbf{GeoCov} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

Theorem (Bhatt–Scholze)

There is a topological group $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) (= \text{Aut}(F_{\bar{x}}))$, the **pro-étale fundamental group**, such that:

$$\mathbf{Loc}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathbf{Set}) \simeq \mathbf{GeoCov}_X \simeq \pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) - \mathbf{Set}$$

(Equivalence with discrete sets with continuous action).

Comparison with Representations

$$\mathbf{Loc}_{X_{\text{proét}}}(\mathbf{Q}_\ell) \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{Q}_\ell}^{\text{cts}}(\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}))$$

Note: For non-normal schemes, $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}$ is too small to classify these.

Structure of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}$: Noohi Groups

What kind of group is it?

$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})$ is a **Noohi group**.

A topological group G is Noohi if the natural map

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F : (G\text{-Set}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$$

is an isomorphism. (“ G is determined by its discrete representations”).

Examples: profinite groups, discrete groups, locally profinite groups.

Characterization: Hausd., nbhd basis at 1_G by open subgrps, Raïkov cplt.

Comparison

- If X is normal: $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ (profinite)
- If $X =$ nodal curve $(y^2 = x^3 + x^2)$ over \mathbf{Q} , $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \mathbf{Z} \times \text{Gal}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
- If $X =$ two smooth curves $C_1, C_2 / \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$ glued at a closed point, then $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_1) *^{\text{Noohi}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_2)$.

In general, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X)$ is **not** pro-discrete. **Can't get it from usual shape!**

Structure of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}$: Noohi Groups

What kind of group is it?

$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})$ is a **Noohi group**.

A topological group G is Noohi if the natural map

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F : (G\text{-Set}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$$

is an isomorphism. (“ G is determined by its discrete representations”).

Examples: profinite groups, discrete groups, locally profinite groups.

Characterization: Hausd., nbhd basis at 1_G by open subgrps, Raïkov cplt.

Comparison

- If X is normal: $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ (profinite)
- If $X =$ nodal curve $(y^2 = x^3 + x^2)$ over \mathbf{Q} , $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \mathbf{Z} \times \text{Gal}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
- If $X =$ two smooth curves $C_1, C_2 / \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$ glued at a closed point, then $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_1) *^{\text{Noohi}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_2)$.

In general, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X)$ is **not** pro-discrete. **Can't get it from usual shape!**

Structure of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}$: Noohi Groups

What kind of group is it?

$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})$ is a **Noohi group**.

A topological group G is Noohi if the natural map

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F : (G\text{-Set}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$$

is an isomorphism. (“ G is determined by its discrete representations”).

Examples: profinite groups, discrete groups, locally profinite groups.

Characterization: Hausd., nbhd basis at 1_G by open subgrps, Raïkov cplt.

Comparison

- If X is normal: $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ (profinite)
- If $X =$ nodal curve ($y^2 = x^3 + x^2$) over \mathbf{Q} , $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \mathbf{Z} \times \text{Gal}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
- If $X =$ two smooth curves $C_1, C_2 / \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$ glued at a closed point, then $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_1) *^{\text{Noohi}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_2)$.

In general, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X)$ is **not** pro-discrete. **Can't get it from usual shape!**

Structure of $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}$: Noohi Groups

What kind of group is it?

$\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})$ is a **Noohi group**.

A topological group G is Noohi if the natural map

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F : (G\text{-Set}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set})$$

is an isomorphism. (“ G is determined by its discrete representations”).

Examples: profinite groups, discrete groups, locally profinite groups.

Characterization: Hausd., nbhd basis at 1_G by open subgrps, Raïkov cplt.

Comparison

- If X is normal: $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) \cong \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X)$ (profinite)
- If $X =$ nodal curve ($y^2 = x^3 + x^2$) over \mathbf{Q} , $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x}) = \mathbf{Z} \times \text{Gal}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.
- If $X =$ two smooth curves $C_1, C_2 / \text{Spec}(\bar{k})$ glued at a closed point, then $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X) = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_1) *^{\text{Noohi}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_2)$.

In general, $\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X)$ is **not** pro-discrete. **Can't get it from usual shape!**

Section 3

Condensed Homotopy Type

Definition and the basics

Let X be a qcqs scheme.

Definition/formula: condensed homotopy type

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \pi_0(X_{\bullet}) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

for any $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ proétale hypercover by w -contractible schemes.
Independent of X_{\bullet} chosen (“unique proétale cosheaf...”). See also Hemo-Richarz-Scholbach.

Fixing a geometric point \bar{x} on X gives a point \bar{x} of $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)$.

Definition

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) &\in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set}), & \mathbf{Extr} \ni S &\mapsto \pi_0(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)(S)) \\ \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x}) &\in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Grp}), & \mathbf{Extr} \ni S &\mapsto \pi_1(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)(S), \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: comparison with $\text{Gal}(X)$

$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq \mathbf{B}^{\text{cond}} \text{Gal}(X)$
where $\text{Gal}(X) =$ the “Galois category” / ‘étale exit-path category”.

Definition and the basics

Let X be a qcqs scheme.

Definition/formula: condensed homotopy type

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \pi_0(X_{\bullet}) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

for any $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ proétale hypercover by w -contractible schemes.
Independent of X_{\bullet} chosen (“unique proétale cosheaf...”). See also Hemo-Richarz-Scholbach.

Fixing a geometric point \bar{x} on X gives a point \bar{x} of $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)$.

Definition

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) &\in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set}), & \mathbf{Extr} \ni S &\mapsto \pi_0(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)(S)) \\ \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x}) &\in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Grp}), & \mathbf{Extr} \ni S &\mapsto \pi_1(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)(S), \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: comparison with $\text{Gal}(X)$

$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq \mathbf{B}^{\text{cond}} \text{Gal}(X)$
where $\text{Gal}(X) =$ the “Galois category” / “étale exit-path category”.

Definition and the basics

Let X be a qcqs scheme.

Definition/formula: condensed homotopy type

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \pi_0(X_{\bullet}) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

for any $X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ proétale hypercover by w -contractible schemes.
Independent of X_{\bullet} chosen (“unique proétale cosheaf...”). See also Hemo-Richarz-Scholbach.

Fixing a geometric point \bar{x} on X gives a point \bar{x} of $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)$.

Definition

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Set}), \quad \mathbf{Extr} \ni S \mapsto \pi_0(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)(S))$$

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x}) \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Grp}), \quad \mathbf{Extr} \ni S \mapsto \pi_1(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)(S), \bar{x})$$

Theorem: comparison with $\text{Gal}(X)$

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq \mathbf{B}^{\text{cond}} \text{Gal}(X)$$

where $\text{Gal}(X) =$ the “Galois category” / ‘étale exit-path category”.

Comparisons

Prodiscrete/profinite completions

There are natural equivalences

$$L^{\text{pro}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)) \simeq \Pi_{<\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X))_{\pi}^{\wedge} \simeq \widehat{\Pi}_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X).$$

Theorem

Let X be a qcqs scheme. Then

$$\mathbf{wLoc}_X \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{cts}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X), \mathbf{Ani}^{\text{ult}}).$$

($\mathbf{wLoc}_X = \mathbf{Loc}_X$ for (topologically) noetherian schemes.)

Theorem

Let X connected topologically noetherian scheme. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{Noohi}} \cong \underline{\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})}.$$

Comparisons

Prodiscrete/profinite completions

There are natural equivalences

$$L^{\text{pro}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)) \simeq \Pi_{<\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X))_{\pi}^{\wedge} \simeq \widehat{\Pi}_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X).$$

Theorem

Let X be a qcqs scheme. Then

$$\mathbf{wLoc}_X \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{cts}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X), \mathbf{Ani}^{\text{ult}}).$$

($\mathbf{wLoc}_X = \mathbf{Loc}_X$ for (topologically) noetherian schemes.)

Theorem

Let X connected topologically noetherian scheme. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{Noohi}} \cong \underline{\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})}.$$

Comparisons

Prodiscrete/profinite completions

There are natural equivalences

$$L^{\text{pro}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X)) \simeq \Pi_{<\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad (\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X))_{\pi}^{\wedge} \simeq \widehat{\Pi}_{\infty}^{\text{ét}}(X).$$

Theorem

Let X be a qcqs scheme. Then

$$\mathbf{wLoc}_X \simeq \text{Fun}^{\text{cts}}(\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X), \mathbf{Ani}^{\text{ult}}).$$

($\mathbf{wLoc}_X = \mathbf{Loc}_X$ for (topologically) noetherian schemes.)

Theorem

Let X connected topologically noetherian scheme. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{Noohi}} \cong \underline{\pi_1^{\text{proét}}(X, \bar{x})}.$$

Some examples/computations

Example

Let W w -contractible. Then $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(W) = \underline{\pi_0(W)} \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$.

Example

k - field. Then

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq \text{B Gal}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq^{\text{B-G-H}} \text{B}(\text{BGal}_k) \simeq \text{BGal}_k$$

In particular, $\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(k), \text{Spec}(\bar{k})) = \underline{\text{Gal}_k}$

(can be also shown a bit more directly)

Theorem

X - compact topological space, $A = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \underline{X}$$

Some examples/computations

Example

Let W w -contractible. Then $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(W) = \underline{\pi_0(W)} \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$.

Example

k - field. Then

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq \text{B Gal}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq^{\text{B-G-H}} \text{B}(\text{BGal}_k) \simeq \text{BGal}_k$$

In particular, $\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(k), \text{Spec}(\bar{k})) = \underline{\text{Gal}_k}$

(can be also shown a bit more directly)

Theorem

X - compact topological space, $A = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \underline{X}$$

Some examples/computations

Example

Let W w-contractible. Then $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(W) = \underline{\pi_0(W)} \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$.

Example

k - field. Then

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq \text{B Gal}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq^{\text{B-G-H}} \text{B}(\text{BGal}_k) \simeq \text{BGal}_k$$

In particular, $\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(k), \text{Spec}(\bar{k})) = \underline{\text{Gal}_k}$

(can be also shown a bit more directly)

Theorem

X - compact topological space, $A = \text{Map}_{\text{cts}}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(A)) = \underline{X}$$

Connected components

One may describe $\pi_0(\Pi_\infty^{\text{cond}}(X))$ “somewhat explicitly”.

Corollary

Suppose that X has finitely many irreducible components. Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(X)$$

Corollary (related to an upcoming project!!)

Let X scheme s.t. $|X|$ is a valuative spectral space (more common for adic spaces). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{\text{sep}}$$

Example: Berkovich disk as connected components

Pick a ring R such that $|\text{Spec}(R)| \simeq \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{1,\text{adic}}$ (adic disc). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(R)) = \underline{\mathbb{D}}^{1,\text{Berk}}$$

Example

For $X =$ “schematic Warsaw circle”, $\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X)$ is non-quasiseparated.

Connected components

One may describe $\pi_0(\Pi_\infty^{\text{cond}}(X))$ “somewhat explicitly”.

Corollary

Suppose that X has finitely many irreducible components. Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(X)$$

Corollary (related to an upcoming project!!)

Let X scheme s.t. $|X|$ is a valuative spectral space (more common for adic spaces). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{\text{sep}}$$

Example: Berkovich disk as connected components

Pick a ring R such that $|\text{Spec}(R)| \simeq \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{1,\text{adic}}$ (adic disc). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(R)) = \underline{\mathbb{D}}^{1,\text{Berk}}$$

Example

For $X =$ “schematic Warsaw circle”, $\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X)$ is non-quasiseparated.

Connected components

One may describe $\pi_0(\Pi_\infty^{\text{cond}}(X))$ “somewhat explicitly”.

Corollary

Suppose that X has finitely many irreducible components. Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(X)$$

Corollary (related to an upcoming project!!)

Let X scheme s.t. $|X|$ is a valuative spectral space (more common for adic spaces). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{\text{sep}}$$

Example: Berkovich disk as connected components

Pick a ring R such that $|\text{Spec}(R)| \simeq \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{1,\text{adic}}$ (adic disc). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(R)) = \underline{\mathbb{D}}^{1,\text{Berk}}$$

Example

For $X =$ “schematic Warsaw circle”, $\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X)$ is non-quasiseparated.

Connected components

One may describe $\pi_0(\Pi_\infty^{\text{cond}}(X))$ “somewhat explicitly”.

Corollary

Suppose that X has finitely many irreducible components. Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_0(X)$$

Corollary (related to an upcoming project!!)

Let X scheme s.t. $|X|$ is a valuative spectral space (more common for adic spaces). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{\text{sep}}$$

Example: Berkovich disk as connected components

Pick a ring R such that $|\text{Spec}(R)| \simeq \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{1,\text{adic}}$ (adic disc). Then

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(\text{Spec}(R)) = \underline{\mathbb{D}}^{1,\text{Berk}}$$

Example

For $X =$ “schematic Warsaw circle”, $\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X)$ is non-quasiseparated.

Non-qs problems of π_1^{cond}

For a topological group G and $H < G$, let

$H^{\text{nc}} =$ group-theoretic normal closure of H in G

$H^{\text{tnc}} = \overline{H^{\text{nc}}} =$ topological normal closure of H in G

$\widehat{\text{Fr}}_W =$ free profinite group on a (discrete) set W .

Proposition

Let $N = \langle \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{C} \rangle^{\text{nc}} < \widehat{\text{Fr}}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Then there is a s.e.s. of (abstract) groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Fr}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \eta)(*) \rightarrow 1$$

Corollary

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \eta)(*) \neq 1$$

Non-qs problems of π_1^{cond}

For a topological group G and $H < G$, let

$H^{\text{nc}} =$ group-theoretic normal closure of H in G

$H^{\text{tnc}} = \overline{H^{\text{nc}}} =$ topological normal closure of H in G

$\widehat{\text{Fr}}_W =$ free profinite group on a (discrete) set W .

Proposition

Let $N = \langle \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{C} \rangle^{\text{nc}} < \widehat{\text{Fr}}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Then there is a s.e.s. of (abstract) groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Fr}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \eta)(*) \rightarrow 1$$

Corollary

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \eta)(*) \neq 1$$

Non-qs problems of π_1^{cond}

For a topological group G and $H < G$, let

$H^{\text{nc}} =$ group-theoretic normal closure of H in G

$H^{\text{tnc}} = \overline{H^{\text{nc}}} =$ topological normal closure of H in G

$\widehat{\text{Fr}}_W =$ free profinite group on a (discrete) set W .

Proposition

Let $N = \langle \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{C} \rangle^{\text{nc}} < \widehat{\text{Fr}}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Then there is a s.e.s. of (abstract) groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Fr}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \eta)(*) \rightarrow 1$$

Corollary

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, \eta)(*) \neq 1$$

Integral descent and $\pi_1^{\text{cond,qs}}$ of normal schemes

Theorem

Let X - qc topologically noetherian scheme.

Assume X is geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal). Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{qs}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x})$$

Theorem: integral hyperdescent for $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}$

Let $X_{\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow Y$ be an integral hypercover. Then the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{k \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(Y) \text{ in } \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Proposition: free condensed vs free topological products

Let $G_1, \dots, G_m \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Grp}^{\text{fin}})$ and $r \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$G_1 *^{\text{cond}} \dots *^{\text{cond}} G_m *^{\text{cond}} \mathbf{Z}^{*\text{cond}r} \rightarrow \underline{G_1 *^{\text{top}} \dots *^{\text{top}} G_m *^{\text{top}} \mathbf{Z}^{*\text{top}r}}$$

is an isomorphism in $\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Grp})$.

Integral descent and $\pi_1^{\text{cond,qs}}$ of normal schemes

Theorem

Let X - qc topologically noetherian scheme.

Assume X is geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal). Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{qs}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x})$$

Theorem: integral hyperdescent for $\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}$

Let $X_{\bullet} \twoheadrightarrow Y$ be an integral hypercover. Then the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{k \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(Y) \text{ in } \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Proposition: free condensed vs free topological products

Let $G_1, \dots, G_m \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Grp}^{\text{fin}})$ and $r \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$G_1 *^{\text{cond}} \dots *^{\text{cond}} G_m *^{\text{cond}} \mathbf{Z}^{*\text{cond}r} \rightarrow \underline{G_1 *^{\text{top}} \dots *^{\text{top}} G_m *^{\text{top}} \mathbf{Z}^{*\text{top}r}}$$

is an isomorphism in $\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Grp})$.

Integral descent and $\pi_1^{\text{cond,qs}}$ of normal schemes

Theorem

Let X - qc topologically noetherian scheme.

Assume X is geometrically unibranch (e.g. normal). Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{qs}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X, \bar{x})$$

Theorem: integral hyperdescent for Π_∞^{cond}

Let $X_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow Y$ be an integral hypercover. Then the canonical map

$$\text{colim}_{k \in \Delta^{\text{op}}} \Pi_\infty^{\text{cond}}(X_k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Pi_\infty^{\text{cond}}(Y) \text{ in } \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$$

Proposition: free condensed vs free topological products

Let $G_1, \dots, G_m \in \text{Pro}(\mathbf{Grp}^{\text{fin}})$ and $r \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$G_1 *^{\text{cond}} \dots *^{\text{cond}} G_m *^{\text{cond}} \mathbf{Z}^{*\text{cond}r} \rightarrow \underline{G_1 *^{\text{top}} \dots *^{\text{top}} G_m *^{\text{top}} \mathbf{Z}^{*\text{top}r}}$$

is an isomorphism in $\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Grp})$.

van Kampen formula for $\pi_1^{\text{cond,qs}}$

Theorem

X “reasonable” (\in [Nagata, topologically noetherian]), connected

$\nu: X^\nu = \sqcup_i X_i^\nu \rightarrow X$ normalization.

Dual graph $\Gamma: E = \pi_0(X^{2\nu}), V = \pi_0(X^\nu)$. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{qs}} \simeq \frac{(*_i^{\text{top}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_i^\nu, \bar{x}_i) *^{\text{top}} \pi_1(\Gamma, T))}{H^{\text{tnc}}}$$

where H is given by “global” and rather standard / expected relations.

Example

$C = C_1 \sqcup_{c_1=c_2} C_2$ as before. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(C, c)^{\text{qs}} \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_1, c_1) *^{\text{top}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_2, c_2)$$

Kurosh subgroup theorem holds!

van Kampen formula for $\pi_1^{\text{cond,qs}}$

Theorem

X “reasonable” (\in [Nagata, topologically noetherian]), connected

$\nu: X^\nu = \sqcup_i X_i^\nu \rightarrow X$ normalization.

Dual graph $\Gamma: E = \pi_0(X^{2\nu}), V = \pi_0(X^\nu)$. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X, \bar{x})^{\text{qs}} \simeq \frac{(*_i^{\text{top}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_i^\nu, \bar{x}_i) *^{\text{top}} \pi_1(\Gamma, T))}{H^{\text{tnc}}}$$

where H is given by “global” and rather standard / expected relations.

Example

$C = C_1 \sqcup_{c_1=c_2} C_2$ as before. Then

$$\pi_1^{\text{cond}}(C, c)^{\text{qs}} \simeq \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_1, c_1) *^{\text{top}} \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_2, c_2)$$

Kurosh subgroup theorem holds!

Subgroups of Free Products: Algebraic vs. Topological

Abstract Kurosh Subgroup Theorem

Let $G = *_{i \in I} G_i$ be the free product of groups. If $H \leq G$, then there exists a free group F and a set of representatives D such that:

$$H \cong F * \left(*_{i \in I, d \in D} (H \cap dG_i d^{-1}) \right).$$

Corollary: Finite Subgroups

Let $G = (*_i A_i) * (*_j B_j)$, where $\{A_i\}$ are finite groups and $\{B_j\}$ are torsion-free. If $K \leq G$ is a **finite** subgroup, then K resides in a conjugate of a finite factor:

$$\exists i, \exists g \in G \quad \text{such that} \quad K \subseteq gA_i g^{-1}.$$

Theorem: Compact Subgroups (Topological)

Let $G = *_{i \in I}^{\text{top}} G_i$ be the free topological product of Hausdorff topological groups. If $K \subseteq G$ is a **compact** subgroup, then:

$$\exists i \in I, \exists g \in G \quad \text{such that} \quad K \subseteq gG_i g^{-1}.$$

Subgroups of Free Products: Algebraic vs. Topological

Abstract Kurosh Subgroup Theorem

Let $G = *_{i \in I} G_i$ be the free product of groups. If $H \leq G$, then there exists a free group F and a set of representatives D such that:

$$H \cong F * \left(*_{i \in I, d \in D} (H \cap dG_i d^{-1}) \right).$$

Corollary: Finite Subgroups

Let $G = (*_i A_i) * (*_j B_j)$, where $\{A_i\}$ are finite groups and $\{B_j\}$ are torsion-free. If $K \leq G$ is a **finite** subgroup, then K resides in a conjugate of a finite factor:

$$\exists i, \exists g \in G \quad \text{such that} \quad K \subseteq gA_i g^{-1}.$$

Theorem: Compact Subgroups (Topological)

Let $G = *_{i \in I}^{\text{top}} G_i$ be the free topological product of Hausdorff topological groups. If $K \subseteq G$ is a **compact** subgroup, then:

$$\exists i \in I, \exists g \in G \quad \text{such that} \quad K \subseteq gG_i g^{-1}.$$

Fundamental exact sequence(s)

Theorem

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism between qcqs schemes, and $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$ a geom. pt. If $\dim(S) = 0$, then the sequence

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(S)$$

is a fiber sequence in $\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$.

Corollary

For X qcqs and geometrically connected

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X) \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1$$

Theorem/Corollary

For X qcqs and geometrically connected

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{k}})^{\text{qs}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X)^{\text{qs}} \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1$$

Fundamental exact sequence(s)

Theorem

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism between qcqs schemes, and $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$ a geom. pt. If $\dim(S) = 0$, then the sequence

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(S)$$

is a fiber sequence in $\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$.

Corollary

For X qcqs and geometrically connected

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X) \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1$$

Theorem/Corollary

For X qcqs and geometrically connected

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{k}})^{\text{qs}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X)^{\text{qs}} \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1$$

Fundamental exact sequence(s)

Theorem

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism between qcqs schemes, and $\bar{s} \rightarrow S$ a geom. pt. If $\dim(S) = 0$, then the sequence

$$\Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{s}}) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(X) \rightarrow \Pi_{\infty}^{\text{cond}}(S)$$

is a fiber sequence in $\text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$.

Corollary

For X qcqs and geometrically connected

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{k}}) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X) \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1$$

Theorem/Corollary

For X qcqs and geometrically connected

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X_{\bar{k}})^{\text{qs}} \rightarrow \pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X)^{\text{qs}} \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow 1$$

Upcoming work

Let X be a (qcqs) rigid/adic space over a non-archimedean field K (think “a p -adic analytic space over a field like \mathbb{Q}_p ”).

Its étale topos is “spectral” (in the adic formalism) and “coherent” – our machinery should work well.

We’ve seen that

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq |X^{\text{Berk}}|$$

i.e. a (usually) non-trivial compact, locally path connected Hausdorff space.

We have a *groupoid* $\Pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X)$, but the fundamental *groups* will heavily depend on the base point. How to get a single π_1 (for a connected X)?

Thm (Achinger-L.-Youcis 2022, building on de Jong)

There is a notion of a “geometric arc” on X : a mixture of an actual arc on $|X^{\text{Berk}}|$ and étale data: a compatible system of isomorphisms of fibre functors along the arc. Can define the property of “unique (geometric) arc lifting”. Then

$\{Y \rightarrow X \mid \text{p.p. étale} + \text{satisfies UGAL after pullback to any curve}\}$
has a good Galois theory \Rightarrow we get a π_1^{arc} (independent of the base point)

Upcoming work

Let X be a (qcqs) rigid/adic space over a non-archimedean field K (think “a p -adic analytic space over a field like \mathbb{Q}_p ”).

Its étale topos is “spectral” (in the adic formalism) and “coherent” – our machinery should work well.

We’ve seen that

$$\pi_0^{\text{cond}}(X) \simeq |X^{\text{Berk}}|$$

i.e. a (usually) non-trivial compact, locally path connected Hausdorff space.

We have a *groupoid* $\Pi_1^{\text{cond}}(X)$, but the fundamental *groups* will heavily depend on the base point. How to get a single π_1 (for a connected X)?

Thm (Achinger-L.-Youcis 2022, building on de Jong)

There is a notion of a “geometric arc” on X : a mixture of an actual arc on $|X^{\text{Berk}}|$ and étale data: a compatible system of isomorphisms of fibre functors along the arc. Can define the property of “unique (geometric) arc lifting”. Then

$\{Y \rightarrow X \mid \text{p.p. étale} + \text{satisfies UGAL after pullback to any curve}\}$
has a good Galois theory \Rightarrow we get a π_1^{arc} (independent of the base point)

Brazas

Let X be a locally “wep-connected” topological space. (More general than “locally path connected”. One can take e.g. $X =$ “Hawaiian earring”!).

Consider *semicoverings*

$$\mathbf{SemCov}_X = \{Y \rightarrow X \mid \text{étale (=local homeo-) + UPL}\}$$

has a good Galois theory. it's fundamental group is $\pi_1^{\text{top}, \tau}(X)^{\text{Noohi}}$.

Hope

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$ with some extra properties.

Consider

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \mid \text{étale + UPL}\}$$

We hope this could have a good Galois theory and be a joint generalization of A.-L.-Y. and Brazas constructions in a single, unified formalism.

Moreover, could try to continue towards higher homotopy groups.

Brazas

Let X be a locally “wep-connected” topological space. (More general than “locally path connected”. One can take e.g. $X = \text{“Hawaiian earring”}$!).

Consider *semicoverings*

$$\mathbf{SemCov}_X = \{Y \rightarrow X \mid \text{étale (=local homeo-) + UPL}\}$$

has a good Galois theory. it’s fundamental group is $\pi_1^{\text{top}, \tau}(X)^{\text{Noohi}}$.

Hope

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cond}(\mathbf{Ani})$ with some extra properties.

Consider

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \mid \text{étale + UPL}\}$$

We hope this could have a good Galois theory and be a joint generalization of A.-L.-Y. and Brazas constructions in a single, unified formalism.

Moreover, could try to continue towards higher homotopy groups.

Thank you!