

Continuity and Harnack's inequality for bounded solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations with non-standard growth under the non-logarithmic Zhikov's condition

Igor Skrypnik

joint project with O.Hadzhy, M.Shan and M.Voitovych

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics ,Slovjansjk, Ukraine

10 may 2021

In this talk we are concerned with regularity of bounded solutions to equations

$$\operatorname{div} \left(g(x, |\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0, x \in \Omega$$

In this talk we are concerned with regularity of bounded solutions to equations

$$\operatorname{div} \left(g(x, |\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0, x \in \Omega$$

with so-called (p, q) growth

$$\left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{g(\cdot, u)}{g(\cdot, v)} \leq \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{q-1}, \quad u \geq v > 0, 1 < p < q$$

In this talk we are concerned with regularity of bounded solutions to equations

$$\operatorname{div} \left(g(x, |\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0, x \in \Omega$$

with so-called (p, q) growth

$$\left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{g(\cdot, u)}{g(\cdot, v)} \leq \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{q-1}, \quad u \geq v > 0, 1 < p < q$$

under the non-logarithmic Zhikov's condition

$$(g_\mu) : g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K, \exists b \geq 0$$

$$\int_0^\infty \mu^{-\gamma}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty, \quad \mu(r) \sim \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq 1/\gamma$$

We also consider parabolic equations with (p, q) growth

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \left(g(x, t, |\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0, (x, t) \in \Omega_T$$

We also consider parabolic equations with (p, q) growth

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \left(g(x, t, |\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0, (x, t) \in \Omega_T$$

under the non-logarithmic condition

$$(g_\mu) \quad g(x, t, v/r) \leq c(K) \mu(r) g(y, \tau, v/r), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$\int_0^\infty \mu^{-\gamma}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty, \quad \mu(r) \sim \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq 1/\gamma$$

We also consider parabolic equations with (p, q) growth

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \left(g(x, t, |\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) = 0, (x, t) \in \Omega_T$$

under the non-logarithmic condition

$$(g_\mu) \quad g(x, t, v/r) \leq c(K) \mu(r) g(y, \tau, v/r), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$\int_0^\infty \mu^{-\gamma}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty, \quad \mu(r) \sim \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq 1/\gamma$$

We are interested in such basic properties of bounded solutions as interior continuity, continuity up to the boundary and Harnack's inequality

- boundedness, anisotropic elliptic and parabolic equations
Kolodiy(1970, 1971, MGU Vestnik)

$$p_{\max} \leq \frac{np}{n-p}, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad p < n$$

- homogenization problems, Lavrentiev phenomenon Zhikov(1983, 1995)
- C^1 regularity Marcellini(1986)
- Hölder continuity, C^1 regularity, Harnack's inequality
(g independent of x) Lieberman(1991)

Few of the results concerning the regularity of solutions to $p(x)$ -Laplace Eq. under the *log* Zhikov's condition

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), x, y \in B_r(x_0), r < v \leq K$$

$$\Delta_{p(x)} u = 0$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) \leq \frac{L}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, 0 < L < +\infty \Rightarrow u \in C^{0,\beta}, \beta > 0$$

regularity, Harnack inequality; boundary continuity - Acerbi , Fusco , Alkhutov , Chiado Piat , Coscia , Mingione , Krasheninnikova , Diening, Kristensen, Harjulehto , Hästö , Toivanen , Karppinen, Ok,....

Few of the results concerning the regularity of solutions to double-phase and triple-phase Eqs. under the *log* Zhikov's condition

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), x, y \in B_r(x_0), 0 < v \leq K$$

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + a(x) |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

$$0 \leq a(x) \in C^{0,\alpha}, 1 < p \leq q \leq p + \alpha \Rightarrow u \in C^{0,\beta}, \beta > 0$$

regularity, Harnack inequality - Esposito, Mingione, Colombo, Baroni, Harjulehto, Hästö, Ok, Cupini, Marcellini, Mascolo, ...

Few of the results concerning the regularity of solutions to double-phase and triple-phase Eqs. under the *log* Zhikov's condition

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), x, y \in B_r(x_0), 0 < v \leq K$$

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + a(x) |\nabla u|^{q-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

$$0 \leq a(x) \in C^{0,\alpha}, 1 < p \leq q \leq p + \alpha \Rightarrow u \in C^{0,\beta}, \beta > 0$$

regularity, Harnack inequality - Esposito, Mingione, Colombo, Baroni, Harjulehto, Hästö, Ok, Cupini, Marcellini, Mascolo, ...

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u + a_1(x) |\nabla u|^{q_1-2} \nabla u + a_2(x) |\nabla u|^{q_2-2} \nabla u) = 0$$

regularity - De Filippis, Oh, Fang, Zhang, Zhang, ...

Few of the results concerning the regularity of solutions to degenerate double-phase Eq. under the *log* Zhikov's condition

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), x, y \in B_r(x_0), 0 < v \leq K$$

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (1 + a(x) \ln(1 + |\nabla u|))) = 0$$

$$0 \leq a(x), \operatorname{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq \frac{B}{\ln \frac{1}{r}} \Rightarrow u \in C^{0,\beta}, \beta > 0$$

regularity, Harnack inequality - Mingione , Siepe , Gianetti , Passarelli di Napoli , Baroni, Colombo, Harjulehto , Hästö, Byun, Oh , Coscia , Balci , ...

Non log ZHIKOV's CONDITION

Zhikov introduced the following condition

$$\operatorname{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}} = 0, \quad \int_0 \mu^{-n/p}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for example, $\mu(r) = \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq p/n$

Zhikov introduced the following condition

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}} = 0, \quad \int_0 \mu^{-n/p}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for example, $\mu(r) = \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq p/n$

- density of C^∞ in $W^{1,p(x)}$ - Zhikov(2004)

this condition is precise : if $L > p/n$, the result fails

Zhikov introduced the following condition

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}} = 0, \quad \int_0 \mu^{-n/p}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for example, $\mu(r) = \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq p/n$

- density of C^∞ in $W^{1,p(x)}$ - Zhikov(2004)

this condition is precise : if $L > p/n$, the result fails

- Gehring's lemma - Pastuchova and Zhikov (2008)

$$\int |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \ln^{\delta_0} (1 + |\nabla u|) dx < +\infty, \quad \exists \delta_0 \in (0, 1),$$

if δ_0 replace by $\delta_0 + \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$, the result fails

Zhikov introduced the following condition

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}} = 0, \quad \int_0 \mu^{-n/p}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for example, $\mu(r) = \ln^L \frac{1}{r}, L \leq p/n$

- density of C^∞ in $W^{1,p(x)}$ - Zhikov(2004)

this condition is precise : if $L > p/n$, the result fails

- Gehring's lemma - Pastuchova and Zhikov (2008)

$$\int |\nabla u|^{p(x)} \ln^{\delta_0} (1 + |\nabla u|) dx < +\infty, \quad \exists \delta_0 \in (0, 1),$$

if δ_0 replace by $\delta_0 + \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0$, the result fails

- in general case non *log* Zhikov's condition transforms into

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K) \mu(r) g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K, \exists b \geq 0$$

$$\int_0 \mu^{-\gamma}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

The first attempt in the direction of non-*log* condition for the $p(x)$ -Laplace was made by Alkhutov and Krasheninnikova (2008), namely, they proved the C_{loc} result under the *exp* condition

$$\Delta_{p(x)}u = 0$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)}p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \int_0^r e^{-\gamma \mu^\beta(r)} \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \ln \frac{1}{r} \right)^L, \quad 0 < L\beta < 1 \text{ satisfies the above condition}$$

The first attempt in the direction of non-*log* condition for the $p(x)$ -Laplace was made by Alkhutov and Krasheninnikova (2008), namely, they proved the C_{loc} result under the *exp* condition

$$\Delta_{p(x)}u = 0$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)}p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \int_0^r e^{-\gamma \mu^\beta(r)} \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \ln \frac{1}{r} \right)^L$, $0 < L\beta < 1$ satisfies the above condition

later, under the same *exp* condition, $p(x)$ -Laplacian: Harnack's inequality, continuity up to the boundary- Surnachev (2017), Alkhutov and Surnachev (2018)

The first attempt in the direction of non-*log* condition for the $p(x)$ -Laplace was made by Alkhutov and Krasheninnikova (2008), namely, they proved the C_{loc} result under the *exp* condition

$$\Delta_{p(x)} u = 0$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) \leq \frac{\ln \mu(r)}{\ln \frac{1}{r}}, \quad \int_0^r e^{-\gamma \mu^\beta(r)} \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \ln \frac{1}{r} \right)^L$, $0 < L\beta < 1$ satisfies the above condition

later, under the same *exp* condition, $p(x)$ -Laplacian: Harnack's inequality, continuity up to the boundary- Surnachev (2017), Alkhutov and Surnachev (2018)

general case: interior continuity, Harnack's inequality- Skr. and Voitovych (2020), Shan, Skr. and Voitovych (2021)

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, v/r), x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K$$

DIFFICULTIES

- De Giorgi's method, expansion of the positivity

$u > 0, \exists N > 0, \exists \alpha \in (0, 1)$, having the information on the measure

$$|\{B_r(x_0) : u \geq N\}| \geq \alpha \mu^{-\gamma}(r) |B_r(x_0)|$$

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, v/r), x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K$$

DIFFICULTIES

- De Giorgi's method, expansion of the positivity

$u > 0, \exists N > 0, \exists \alpha \in (0, 1)$, having the information on the measure

$$|\{B_r(x_0) : u \geq N\}| \geq \alpha \mu^{-\gamma}(r) |B_r(x_0)|$$

we will inevitably arrive at the lower bound of the solution

$$\min_{B_{r/2}(x_0)} u \geq \gamma N e^{-\gamma \mu^\beta(r)} \text{ and } \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma \mu^\beta(r)} dr/r = +\infty$$

DIFFICULTIES

- Moser's method, the appearance of *exp* condition one can easily seen from the inequality

$$\max_{B_{r/2}(x_0)} \ln \frac{\omega_r}{v_{\pm}(x)+r} \leq \gamma \mu^{\gamma}(r), \quad v_+(x) = M_r - u(x), \quad v_-(x) = u(x) - m_r$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{r/2} \leq (1 - e^{-\gamma \mu^{\gamma}(r)}) \omega_r + r \Rightarrow \int_0^r e^{-\gamma \mu^{\gamma}(r)} dr / r = +\infty$$

DIFFICULTIES

- Moser's method, the appearance of *exp* condition one can easily see from the inequality

$$\max_{B_{r/2}(x_0)} \ln \frac{\omega_r}{v_{\pm}(x)+r} \leq \gamma \mu^{\gamma}(r), \quad v_+(x) = M_r - u(x), \quad v_-(x) = u(x) - m_r$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{r/2} \leq (1 - e^{-\gamma \mu^{\gamma}(r)}) \omega_r + r \Rightarrow \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\gamma \mu^{\gamma}(r)} dr / r = +\infty$$

- So, the direct application of De Giorgi's or Moser's method leads us to the exponential condition, therefore we need to use some workaround

u - solution \Rightarrow Cacciopoli type inequality + Young's inequality $\forall a, b, \varepsilon > 0$: $g(x, a)b \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g(x, a)a + \max(\varepsilon^{p-1}, \varepsilon^{q-1})g(x, b)b$

u - solution \Rightarrow Cacciopoli type inequality + Young's inequality $\forall a, b, \varepsilon > 0$: $g(x, a)b \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g(x, a)a + \max(\varepsilon^{p-1}, \varepsilon^{q-1})g(x, b)b$
 $\Rightarrow u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega) : \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_-(l,r)}{r}) |\nabla u| \zeta^q dx \leq \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} \frac{M_-(l,r)}{r} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_-(l,r)}{r}) dx + \\ & + K_1 \varepsilon^{p-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} g(x, M_-(l,r)) |\nabla \zeta| (u-l)_- |\nabla \zeta| dx \end{aligned}$$

u - solution \Rightarrow Cacciopoli type inequality + Young's inequality $\forall a, b, \varepsilon > 0$: $g(x, a)b \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}g(x, a)a + \max(\varepsilon^{p-1}, \varepsilon^{q-1})g(x, b)b$
 $\Rightarrow u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega) : \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_-(l,r)}{r}) |\nabla u| \zeta^q dx \leq \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} \frac{M_-(l,r)}{r} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_-(l,r)}{r}) dx + \\ & + K_1 \varepsilon^{p-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} g(x, M_-(l,r)) |\nabla \zeta| (u-l)_- |\nabla \zeta| dx \end{aligned}$$

$u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega), \forall B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega, \forall k < l \in \mathbb{R},$
 $M_+(k, r) := \sup_{B_r(x_0)} (u - k)_+, (u - k)_+ := \max(u - k, 0)$
 $M_-(l, r) := \sup_{B_r(x_0)} (u - l)_-, (u - l)_- := \max(l - u, 0),$
 $\forall \zeta \in C_0^1(B_r(x_0)), 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, |\nabla \zeta| \sim \frac{1}{r}$

u - solution $\Rightarrow u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega) : \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_+(k,r)}{r}) |\nabla u| \zeta^q dx \leq \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} \frac{M_+(k,r)}{r} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_+(k,r)}{r}) dx + \\ & + K_1 \varepsilon^{p-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} g(x, M_+(k,r)) |\nabla \zeta| (u - k)_+ |\nabla \zeta| dx \end{aligned}$$

u - solution $\Rightarrow u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega) : \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_+(k,r)}{r}) |\nabla u| \zeta^q dx \leq \\ & \leq \frac{K_1}{\varepsilon} \frac{M_+(k,r)}{r} \int_{B_r(x_0) \cap \{k < u < l\}} g(x, \frac{M_+(k,r)}{r}) dx + \\ & + K_1 \varepsilon^{p-1} \int_{B_r(x_0)} g(x, M_+(k,r) |\nabla \zeta|) (u - k)_+ |\nabla \zeta| dx \end{aligned}$$

The advantage of such $B_{1,g}(\Omega)$ classes is that we move away from Orlicz spaces, and we do not need any special properties of these spaces. Moreover, in the *log* case, Hölder continuity and Harnack's inequality is a simple consequence of the definition of $B_{1,g}(\Omega)$ classes (Ladyzgenskaya and Ural'tseva, $B_p(\Omega)$ classes, 1964)

We propose to replace the non *log* Zhikov's condition by

$$(g_\lambda) : g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0) \subset B_R(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r)$$

$$\exists b \geq 0, \quad \exists 0 < \lambda(r) \leq 1 : \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \lambda(r) = 0, \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r^{1-\bar{a}}}{\lambda(r)} = 0, \quad \exists \bar{a} \in (0, 1)$$

$$\lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0$$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \omega_r \leq \omega_\rho \exp\left(-\gamma \int_{2r}^\rho \lambda(s) \frac{ds}{s}\right) + \gamma b \rho^{\bar{a}}, \quad 0 < r \leq \rho,$$

if additionally $\int_0^\infty \lambda(s) ds/s = +\infty \Rightarrow u \in C_{loc}(\Omega)$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega) \Rightarrow \omega_r \leq \omega_\rho \exp\left(-\gamma \int_{2r}^{\rho} \lambda(s) \frac{ds}{s}\right) + \gamma b \rho^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad 0 < r \leq \rho,$$

if additionally $\int_0 \lambda(s) ds/s = +\infty \Rightarrow u \in C_{loc}(\Omega)$

continuity result is a consequence of elliptic $B_{1,g}$ classes

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0 g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(B_r(x_0) \setminus \Omega, B_{8r}(x_0); \lambda(r))}{r^{n-1}} \right) dr = +\infty,$$

$x_0 \in \partial\Omega, \Rightarrow x_0$ is a regular boundary point

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0 g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(B_r(x_0) \setminus \Omega, B_{8r}(x_0); \lambda(r))}{r^{n-1}} \right) dr = +\infty,$$

$x_0 \in \partial\Omega, \Rightarrow x_0$ is a regular boundary point

$$F \subset B_r(x_0) \subset B_\rho(x_0), \mathfrak{M}(F) := \{\varphi \in W_0(B_{8\rho}(x_0)), \varphi \geq 1 \text{ on } F\}$$

$$C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m) := \inf_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}(F)} \int_{B_{8\rho}(x_0)} g(x, m|\nabla\varphi|) |\nabla\varphi| dx, m > 0$$

CONTINUITY UP TO THE BOUNDARY

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0 g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(B_r(x_0) \setminus \Omega, B_{8r}(x_0); \lambda(r))}{r^{n-1}} \right) dr = +\infty,$$

$x_0 \in \partial\Omega, \Rightarrow x_0$ is a regular boundary point

$$F \subset B_r(x_0) \subset B_\rho(x_0), \mathfrak{M}(F) := \{\varphi \in W_0(B_{8\rho}(x_0)), \varphi \geq 1 \text{ on } F\}$$

$$C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m) := \inf_{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}(F)} \int_{B_{8\rho}(x_0)} g(x, m|\nabla\varphi|) |\nabla\varphi| dx, m > 0$$

$p(x)$ -Laplace, $\lambda(r) = 1, \mu(r) = 1$: Alkhutov and Krasheninnikova(2004),

$\lambda(r) = 1, \mu(r) = (\ln \ln \frac{1}{r})^L$: Alkhutov and Surnachev(2019)

$$\text{exp condition } \int_0 e^{-\gamma_1 \mu^{\gamma_2}(r)} \left(\frac{C_{p(\cdot)}(\cdot)(B_r(x_0) \setminus \Omega)}{r^{n-p(x_0)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p(x_0)-1}} \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

- $F = B_r(x_0)$, $br < m \leq \lambda(8r) \Rightarrow$
 $C(B_r(x_0), B_{8r}(x_0); m) \asymp r^{n-1}g(x_0, m/r) \Rightarrow$

- $F = B_r(x_0)$, $br < m \leq \lambda(8r) \Rightarrow$

$$C(B_r(x_0), B_{8r}(x_0); m) \asymp r^{n-1} g(x_0, m/r) \Rightarrow$$

if

$$C(B_r(x_0) \setminus \Omega, B_{8r}(x_0); \lambda(r)) \geq \gamma^{-1} C(B_r(x_0), B_{8r}(x_0); \lambda(r)) \Rightarrow$$

$$\int_0^r g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(B_r(x_0) \setminus \Omega, B_{8r}(x_0); \lambda(r))}{r^{n-1}} \right) dr \geq \gamma^{-1} \int_0^r \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r}$$

$\lambda(r) = 1$: Harjulehto and Hästö (2017)

We use old Landis's ideas and his so-called "growth-type" lemma. We construct the auxiliary solutions of potential type :

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x, \nabla v) = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{D} := B_{8\rho}(x_0) \setminus F, \quad F \subset B_r(x_0), \quad r < \rho$$

$$v - m\psi \in W_0(\mathcal{D}), \quad b\rho < m \leq \lambda(8\rho), \quad \psi \in \mathfrak{M}(F), \quad \psi = 1 \text{ on } F$$

LOWER and UPPER BOUNDS of AUXILIARY SOLUTIONS

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

either

$$C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m) \leq c(1+b)\rho^{n-1},$$

or

LOWER and UPPER BOUNDS of AUXILIARY SOLUTIONS

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

either

$$C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m) \leq c(1+b)\rho^{n-1},$$

or

$$\gamma^{-1}g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m)}{\rho^{n-1}} \right) \rho \leq v(x) \leq \gamma g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m)}{\rho^{n-1}} \right) \rho$$

$$x \in B_{4\rho}(x_0) \setminus B_{2\rho}(x_0)$$

LOWER and UPPER BOUNDS of AUXILIARY SOLUTIONS

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

either

$$C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m) \leq c(1+b)\rho^{n-1},$$

or

$$\gamma^{-1}g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m)}{\rho^{n-1}} \right) \rho \leq v(x) \leq \gamma g_{x_0}^{-1} \left(\frac{C(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0); m)}{\rho^{n-1}} \right) \rho$$

$$x \in B_{4\rho}(x_0) \setminus B_{2\rho}(x_0)$$

$$p - Laplace : v(x) \asymp m \left(\frac{C_p(F, B_{8\rho}(x_0))}{\rho^{n-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad x \in B_{4\rho}(x_0) \setminus B_{2\rho}(x_0)$$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0 \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r)\lambda(r)dr/r = +\infty \Rightarrow$$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0^\infty \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r)\lambda(r)dr/r = +\infty \Rightarrow$$

$$u(x_0) \leq \gamma \frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \left(\min_{B_\rho(x_0)} u + (1+b)\rho \right)$$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$$

$$g(x, v/r) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, v/r), \quad x, y \in B_r(x_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0 \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r)\lambda(r)dr/r = +\infty \Rightarrow$$

$$u(x_0) \leq \gamma \frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \left(\min_{B_\rho(x_0)} u + (1+b)\rho \right)$$

this condition is worse than the previous one, but at the same time it is much better, than the *exp* type condition

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta$$

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{q-p}}$$

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq q - p \quad : \quad \int_0^1 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq q-p \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{q-p}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(q-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}, \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p} \mu(r)$$

$$\mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \left(\ln \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq q - p \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{q-p}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(q-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

$$\text{exp condition fails} : \int_0 e^{-\gamma_1 \mu^{\gamma_2}(r)} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$$

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x)v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq Ar^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x)v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq Ar^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$$\mu_i(r) = \ln^{\beta(q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}, \beta > 0, \Rightarrow$$

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x)v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq Ar^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$$\mu_i(r) = \ln^{\beta(q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}, \beta > 0, \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln^{\beta(\max_i q_i - p)} \frac{1}{r}$$

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x) v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq A r^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$$\mu_i(r) = \ln^{\beta(q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}, \beta > 0, \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln^{\beta(\max_i q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \ln^{-\beta} \frac{1}{r}$$

SOME EXAMPLES

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x) v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq A r^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$$\mu_i(r) = \ln^{\beta(q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}, \beta > 0, \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln^{\beta(\max_i q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \ln^{-\beta} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

SOME EXAMPLES

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x) v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq A r^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$$\mu_i(r) = \ln^{\beta(q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}, \beta > 0, \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln^{\beta(\max_i q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \ln^{-\beta} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(\max_i q_i-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a_i(x_0) > 0, i = 1, \dots, l$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

SOME EXAMPLES

$(l + 1)$ PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + \sum_{i=1}^l a_i(x) v^{q_i-1}$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a_i(x) \leq A r^{q_i-p} \mu_i(r), i = 1, \dots, l$$

$$\mu_i(r) = \ln^{\beta(q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}, \beta > 0, \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln^{\beta(\max_i q_i-p)} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = \ln^{-\beta} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(\max_i q_i-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a_i(x_0) > 0, i = 1, \dots, l$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

$$\text{exp condition fails} : \int_0 e^{-\gamma_1 \mu^{\gamma_2}(r)} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

$$\mu_1(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta, \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

$$\mu_1(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta, \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln \ln \frac{1}{r}$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

$$\mu_1(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta, \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln \ln \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\beta}$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

$$\mu_1(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta, \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln \ln \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\beta}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad : \quad \int_0^1 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

$$\mu_1(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta, \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln \ln \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\beta}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \beta < 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x)v)), \quad \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Br\mu_1(r)$$

coefficient inside the logarithm

$$\mu_1(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta, \beta \geq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = \ln \ln \frac{1}{r}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\beta}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \beta < 1 \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

$$\text{exp condition fails} : \int_0 e^{-\gamma_1 \mu^{\gamma_2}(r)} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{\alpha+\beta}$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{q-p}}$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq q - p \quad : \quad \int_0^1 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq q - p \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq \frac{q-p}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(q-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq q - p \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq \frac{q-p}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(q-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

one can add multipliers $(1 + \ln(1 + \ln(1 + v)))^{\alpha_1} \dots$

DEGENERATE DOUBLE PHASE Eq.

$$g(x, v) = v^{p-1} + a(x)v^{q-1}(1 + \ln(1 + v))^\alpha$$

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq Ar^{q-p}(\ln \frac{1}{r})^\beta$$

even in the case $\beta = 0$ we cannot follow the *log* case

$$(g_\mu) : \mu(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{\alpha+\beta}$$

$$(g_\lambda) : \lambda(r) = (\ln \frac{1}{r})^{-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{q-p}}$$

$$\text{continuity} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq q - p \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

$$\text{Harnack} : 0 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq \frac{q-p}{1 + \frac{2n}{p-1}(q-p)} \quad : \quad \int_0 \lambda(r) \mu^{-\frac{2n}{p-1}}(r) \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

for fixed x_0 in the case $a(x_0) > 0$ the term $\frac{\mu^{\frac{2n}{p-1}}(\rho)}{\lambda(\rho)} \sim \ln \frac{1}{\rho}$ is discarded

one can add multipliers $(1 + \ln(1 + \ln(1 + v)))^{\alpha_1} \dots$

$$\text{exp condition fails} : \int_0 e^{-\gamma_1 \mu^{\gamma_2}(r)} \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$$

GENERALIZED Non log ZHIKOV'S CONDITION

- $g_1(x, v) = v^{p(x)-1}$, $g_2(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + a(x) \ln(1 + v))$,

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) + \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq L \ln \ln \frac{1}{r} / \ln \frac{1}{r} \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda(r) \sim r \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln \ln \frac{1}{r}}\right) \text{ but the condition fails: } \int_0^{\infty} \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$$

So, $g_1(x, v)$ and $g_2(x, v)$ —????—still open problem

GENERALIZED Non log ZHIKOV'S CONDITION

- $g_1(x, v) = v^{p(x)-1}$, $g_2(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + a(x) \ln(1 + v))$,

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) + \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq L \ln \ln \frac{1}{r} / \ln \frac{1}{r} \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda(r) \sim r \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln \ln \frac{1}{r}}\right) \text{ but the condition fails: } \int_0^{\lambda(r)} \frac{dx}{r} < +\infty$$

So, $g_1(x, v)$ and $g_2(x, v)$ —????—still open problem

- The difficulties completely similar to that of C^1 regularity (Acerbi, Mingione (2001, 2005), Bögelein, Habermann (2010), Ok (2016, 2017)),

by using the auxiliary solutions, we need to estimate the integrals

$$\frac{\ln \ln 1/r}{\ln 1/r} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} \ln(1 + |\nabla v|) dx$$

by Pastuchova and Zhikov that is open problem ???

GENERALIZED Non log ZHIKOV'S CONDITION

- $g_1(x, v) = v^{p(x)-1}$, $g_2(x, v) = v^{p-1}(1 + a(x) \ln(1 + v))$,

$$\text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} p(x) + \text{osc}_{B_r(x_0)} a(x) \leq L \ln \ln \frac{1}{r} / \ln \frac{1}{r} \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda(r) \sim r \exp\left(\frac{1}{\ln \ln \frac{1}{r}}\right) \text{ but the condition fails: } \int_0^r \lambda(r) \frac{dr}{r} < +\infty$$

So, $g_1(x, v)$ and $g_2(x, v)$ —????—still open problem

- The difficulties completely similar to that of C^1 regularity (Acerbi, Mingione (2001, 2005), Bögelein, Habermann (2010), Ok (2016, 2017)),

by using the auxiliary solutions, we need to estimate the integrals

$$\frac{\ln \ln 1/r}{\ln 1/r} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |\nabla v|^{p(x)} \ln(1 + |\nabla v|) dx$$

by Pastuchova and Zhikov that is open problem ???

- different behavior with respect to non-logarithmic condition

$$v^{p-1}(1 + a(\cdot) \ln(1 + v)) \sim p(\cdot)\text{-Laplace (only } (g_\mu) \text{ condition)}$$

$$v^{p-1}(1 + \ln(1 + a(\cdot)v)) \sim \text{double-phase (both } (g_\mu) \text{ and } (g_\lambda) \text{ conditions)}$$

SKETCH OF THE PROOF

$l_1, l_2 > 0$, following Krylov and Safonov consider the equation

$$\max_{B_{\rho\tau}(x_0)} u = \frac{u(x_0)}{2^{(1-\tau)l_1}} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^{l_2}, \tau \in (0, 1); \text{ let } \tau_0 \text{ be the max.root}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{x}: u(\bar{x}) = \max_{B_{\rho\tau_0}(x_0)} u = \frac{u(x_0)}{2^{(1-\tau_0)l_1}} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau_0)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^{l_2}, r := \frac{(1-\tau_0)\rho}{2}$$

$$\text{Claim. } \exists \nu \in (0, 1) : \left| \left\{ x \in B_r(\bar{x}) : u(x) \geq \frac{u(\bar{x})}{2} \right\} \right| \geq \nu \mu^{-2n}(r) |B_r(\bar{x})|,$$

SKETCH OF THE PROOF

$l_1, l_2 > 0$, following Krylov and Safonov consider the equation

$$\max_{B_{\rho\tau}(x_0)} u = \frac{u(x_0)}{2(1-\tau)^{l_1}} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^{l_2}, \tau \in (0, 1); \text{ let } \tau_0 \text{ be the max.root}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{x}: u(\bar{x}) = \max_{B_{\rho\tau_0}(x_0)} u = \frac{u(x_0)}{2(1-\tau_0)^{l_1}} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau_0)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^{l_2}, r := \frac{(1-\tau_0)\rho}{2}$$

$$\text{Claim. } \exists \nu \in (0, 1) : \left| \left\{ x \in B_r(\bar{x}) : u(x) \geq \frac{u(\bar{x})}{2} \right\} \right| \geq \nu \mu^{-2n}(r) |B_r(\bar{x})|,$$

We stop here because a direct application of this inequality leads us to condition with *exp*, instead, we use auxiliary solutions v , $v = \frac{u(\bar{x})\lambda(\rho)}{2}$ on F ,

$$F := \{x \in B_r(\bar{x}) : u(x) \geq \lambda(\rho) \frac{u(\bar{x})}{2}\}, \quad |F| \geq \nu \mu^{-2n}(r) |B_r(\bar{x})|,$$

using lower bound of auxiliary solution and only after we use the Claim, we arrive at the required Harnack's inequality

PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH (p,q) -GROWTH

- \log Zhikov's condition

C^1 -regularity and Hölder continuity

Antontsev, Zhikov, Acerbi, Mingione, Xu, Cheng, Alkhutov, Bögelein, Duzaar, Marcellini, Yao, Diening, Scharle, Schwarzacher, Hästö, Ok

Wang, Bahja- $p(x, t) - Laplace$ (Harnack)

Buryachenko, Skr.-double-phase parabolic (Harnack)

Hwang, Lieberman Hölder continuity :

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \left(g(|\nabla u|) \nabla u / |\nabla u| \right) = 0, (p, q)\text{-growth}$$

$$p \geq 2 \text{ or } q \leq 2,$$

PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH (p,q) -GROWTH

- \log Zhikov's condition

C^1 -regularity and Hölder continuity

Antontsev, Zhikov, Acerbi, Mingione, Xu, Cheng, Alkhutov, Bögelein, Duzaar, Marcellini, Yao, Diening, Scharle, Schwarzacher, Hästö, Ok

Wang, Bahja- $p(x, t) - Laplace$ (Harnack)

Buryachenko, Skr.-double-phase parabolic (Harnack)

Hwang, Lieberman Hölder continuity :

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \left(g(|\nabla u|) \nabla u / |\nabla u| \right) = 0, (p, q)\text{-growth}$$

$$p \geq 2 \text{ or } q \leq 2,$$

$p < 2 < q$??? our aim is also to introduce minimal additional assumptions on the coefficients to guarantee the continuity of the solutions in the case $p < 2 < q$

- \log Zhikov's condition

C^1 -regularity and Hölder continuity

Antontsev, Zhikov, Acerbi, Mingione, Xu, Cheng, Alkhutov, Bögelein, Duzaar, Marcellini, Yao, Diening, Scharle, Schwarzacher, Hästö, Ok

Wang, Bahja- $p(x, t) - Laplace$ (Harnack)

Buryachenko, Skr.-double-phase parabolic (Harnack)

Hwang, Lieberman Hölder continuity :

$$u_t - \operatorname{div} \left(g(|\nabla u|) \nabla u / |\nabla u| \right) = 0, (p, q)\text{-growth}$$

$$p \geq 2 \text{ or } q \leq 2,$$

$p < 2 < q$??? our aim is also to introduce minimal additional assumptions on the coefficients to guarantee the continuity of the solutions in the case $p < 2 < q$

- non- \log Zhikov's condition of "exp" type- Skr., Voitovych

u-solution \Rightarrow (Cacciopoli type inequality + Young's inequality), from the elliptic term of Eq. $\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

u-solution \Rightarrow (Cacciopoli type inequality + Young's inequality), from the elliptic term of Eq. $\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0) \cap (k < u < l)} g(x, t, \frac{M_+(k)}{r}) |\nabla u| \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t) dx dt \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \frac{M_+(k)}{r} \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0) \cap (k < u < l)} g(x, t, \frac{M_+(k)}{r}) dx dt + \\
 & + \gamma \varepsilon^{p-1} \left\{ \int_{B_r(x_0)} (u - k)_+^2 \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t_0 - \theta) dx + \right. \\
 & + \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} (u - k)_+^2 \zeta^q(x) |\varphi_t| dx dt + \\
 & \left. + \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} g(x, t, (u - k)_+ |\nabla \zeta|) (u - k)_+ |\nabla \zeta| dx dt \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

PARABOLIC $B_{L,q}(\Omega_T)$ CLASSES

u-solution \Rightarrow (Cacciopoli type inequality + Young's inequality), from the elliptic term of Eq. $\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0) \cap (k < u < l)} g(x, t, \frac{M_+(k)}{r}) |\nabla u| \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t) dx dt \leq \\
 & \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon} \frac{M_+(k)}{r} \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0) \cap (k < u < l)} g(x, t, \frac{M_+(k)}{r}) dx dt + \\
 & + \gamma \varepsilon^{p-1} \left\{ \int_{B_r(x_0)} (u - k)_+^2 \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t_0 - \theta) dx + \right. \\
 & + \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} (u - k)_+^2 \zeta^q(x) |\varphi_t| dx dt + \\
 & \left. + \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} g(x, t, (u - k)_+ |\nabla \zeta|) (u - k)_+ |\nabla \zeta| dx dt \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

similar inequality for $(u - l)_-$,

$$M_+(k) := \sup_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} (u - k)_+, \quad Q_{r,\theta}(x_0, t_0) := B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - \theta, t_0)$$

From the parabolic term of Eq. , by Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t\}} (u - k)_\pm^2 \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t) dx \\
 & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t_0 - \theta\}} (u - k)_\pm^2 \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t_0 - \theta) dx + \\
 & + \gamma \left\{ \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0, t_0)} (u - k)_\pm^2 \zeta^q(x) |\varphi_t| dx dt + \right. \\
 & \left. + \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0, t_0)} g(x, t, (u - k)_\pm |\nabla \zeta|) (u - k)_\pm |\nabla \zeta| dx dt \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the parabolic term of Eq. ,by Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t\}} (u - k)_\pm^2 \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t) dx \\
 & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t_0 - \theta\}} (u - k)_\pm^2 \zeta^q(x) \varphi(t_0 - \theta) dx + \\
 & + \gamma \left\{ \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} (u - k)_\pm^2 \zeta^q(x) |\varphi_t| dx dt + \right. \\
 & \left. + \iint_{Q_{r,\theta}(x_0,t_0)} g(x,t, (u - k)_\pm |\nabla \zeta|) (u - k)_\pm |\nabla \zeta| dx dt \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

The same as in the elliptic case, the advantage of such $B_{1,g}(\Omega_T)$ classes is that we move away from Orlicz spaces, and we do not need any special properties of these spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t\}} \ln^2 \frac{M_{\pm}(k)}{M_{\pm}(k) - (u-k)_{\pm} + a} \zeta^q(x) dx \leq \\
 & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t_0 - \theta\}} \ln^2 \frac{M_{\pm}(k)}{M_{\pm}(k) - (u-k)_{\pm} + a} \zeta^q(x) dx + \\
 & + \frac{\gamma}{r^2} \ln \frac{M_{\pm}(k)}{a} \iint_{A_k^{\pm}} \psi \left(x, t, (M_{\pm}(k) - (u-k)_{\pm} + a) |\nabla \zeta| \right) dx dt \\
 \\
 & \psi(x, t, v) := \frac{g(x, t, v)}{v}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t\}} \ln^2 \frac{M_{\pm}(k)}{M_{\pm}(k) - (u-k)_{\pm} + a} \zeta^q(x) dx \leq \\ & \leq \int_{B_r(x_0) \times \{t_0 - \theta\}} \ln^2 \frac{M_{\pm}(k)}{M_{\pm}(k) - (u-k)_{\pm} + a} \zeta^q(x) dx + \\ & + \frac{\gamma}{r^2} \ln \frac{M_{\pm}(k)}{a} \iint_{A_k^{\pm}} \psi \left(x, t, (M_{\pm}(k) - (u-k)_{\pm} + a) |\nabla \zeta| \right) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi(x, t, v) := \frac{g(x, t, v)}{v}$$

$p > 2 \Rightarrow$ by (p, q) -growth condition $\psi \nearrow$

$q < 2 \Rightarrow$ by (p, q) -growth condition $\psi \searrow$

$p < 2 < q$??? \Rightarrow additional assumptions

PARABOLIC $B_{1,q}(\Omega_T)$ CLASSES

Fix (x_0, t_0) , additionally assume : $\exists R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, b_0, a_0 \geq 0$

Fix (x_0, t_0) , additionally assume : $\exists R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, b_0, a_0 \geq 0$

- degenerate: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v) = \frac{g(x_0, t_0, v)}{v}$ is non decreasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$

PARABOLIC $B_{1,q}(\Omega_T)$ CLASSES

Fix (x_0, t_0) , additionally assume : $\exists R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, b_0, a_0 \geq 0$

- degenerate: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v) = \frac{g(x_0, t_0, v)}{v}$ is non decreasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$
- singular: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$

PARABOLIC $B_{1,q}(\Omega_T)$ CLASSES

Fix (x_0, t_0) , additionally assume : $\exists R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, b_0, a_0 \geq 0$

- degenerate: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v) = \frac{g(x_0, t_0, v)}{v}$ is non decreasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$
- singular: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$

if $p \geq 2 \Rightarrow \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T$ degenerate case $(b_0, a_0 = 0)$

if $q \leq 2 \Rightarrow \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T$ singular case $(b_0, a_0 = 0) \Leftarrow$

$$\Leftarrow \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{g(x, t, w)}{g(x, t, v)} \leq \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^{q-1}, (x, t) \in \Omega_T, w \geq v > 0$$

PARABOLIC $B_{L,g}(\Omega_T)$ CLASSES

Fix (x_0, t_0) , additionally assume : $\exists R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, b_0, a_0 \geq 0$

- degenerate: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v) = \frac{g(x_0, t_0, v)}{v}$ is non decreasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$
- singular: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$

if $p \geq 2 \Rightarrow \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T$ degenerate case $(b_0, a_0 = 0)$

if $q \leq 2 \Rightarrow \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T$ singular case $(b_0, a_0 = 0) \Leftarrow$

$$\Leftarrow \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{g(x, t, w)}{g(x, t, v)} \leq \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^{q-1}, (x, t) \in \Omega_T, w \geq v > 0$$

these additional assumptions arise naturally(see examples below)

PARABOLIC $B_{L,g}(\Omega_T)$ CLASSES

Fix (x_0, t_0) , additionally assume : $\exists R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, b_0, a_0 \geq 0$

- degenerate: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v) = \frac{g(x_0, t_0, v)}{v}$ is non decreasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$
- singular: $\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$

if $p \geq 2 \Rightarrow \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T$ degenerate case ($b_0, a_0 = 0$)

if $q \leq 2 \Rightarrow \forall (x, t) \in \Omega_T$ singular case ($b_0, a_0 = 0$) \Leftarrow

$$\Leftarrow \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^{p-1} \leq \frac{g(x, t, w)}{g(x, t, v)} \leq \left(\frac{w}{v}\right)^{q-1}, (x, t) \in \Omega_T, w \geq v > 0$$

these additional assumptions arise naturally(see examples below)

- in the proof we use DiBenedetto's approach and his innovative scaling method

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2} + a(x, t)v^{q-2}$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Ar^{q-p} \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2} + a(x, t)v^{q-2}$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Ar^{q-p} \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$
 $a(x_0, t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow$ singular for $v > 0$ ($a_0 = b_0 = 0$)

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2} + a(x, t)v^{q-2}$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Ar^{q-p} \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$

$a(x_0, t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow$ singular for $v > 0$ ($a_0 = b_0 = 0$)

$a(x_0, t_0) > 0$, $\psi'(x_0, t_0, v) = v^{p-3}(p-2 + (q-2)a(x_0, t_0)v^{q-p}) \geq 0$,

if $v \geq \left(\frac{2-p}{(q-2)a(x_0, t_0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-p}}$. $R : AR^{q-p} \ln^\beta \frac{1}{R} = 1/2a(x_0, t_0) \Rightarrow$

degenerate if $v \geq b_0(A, p, q)R^{-1}$ ($a_0 = 1$),

$a(x_0, t_0) \asymp a(x, t)$ in $Q_{R,R}(x_0, t_0)$

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x, t)v))$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0,t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Br \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$

CASE $p < 2 < q = p + 1$

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x, t)v))$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Br \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$
 $a(x_0, t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow$ singular for $v > 0$ ($a_0 = b_0 = 0$)

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x, t)v))$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Br \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$
 $a(x_0, t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow$ singular for $v > 0$ ($a_0 = b_0 = 0$)
 $a(x_0, t_0) > 0$, $\psi'(x_0, t_0, v) \leq v^{p-3}((p-2) \ln(1 + a(x_0, t_0)v) + 1) \leq 0$,
 if $v \geq \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2-p}} - 1}{a(x_0, t_0)}$. $R : BR \ln^\beta \frac{1}{R} = 1/2a(x_0, t_0) \Rightarrow$
 singular if $v \geq b_0(B, p)R^{-1}$ ($a_0 = 1$),
 $a(x_0, t_0) \asymp a(x, t)$ in $Q_{R,R}(x_0, t_0)$

CASE $p < 2 < q = p + 1$

- $\psi(x, t, v) = v^{p-2}(1 + \ln(1 + a(x, t)v))$, $\text{osc}_{Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0)} a(x, t) \leq Br \ln^\beta \frac{1}{r}$
 $a(x_0, t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow$ singular for $v > 0$ ($a_0 = b_0 = 0$)
 $a(x_0, t_0) > 0$, $\psi'(x_0, t_0, v) \leq v^{p-3}((p-2) \ln(1 + a(x_0, t_0)v) + 1) \leq 0$,
if $v \geq \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2-p}} - 1}{a(x_0, t_0)}$. $R : BR \ln^\beta \frac{1}{R} = 1/2a(x_0, t_0) \Rightarrow$
singular if $v \geq b_0(B, p)R^{-1}$ ($a_0 = 1$),
 $a(x_0, t_0) \asymp a(x, t)$ in $Q_{R,R}(x_0, t_0)$

All another cases can be considered completely similar...

MAIN RESULT

$g(x, t, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, v/r), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$

$u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega_T), \text{fix } (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T \Rightarrow$ if additionally $\int_0^\infty \lambda(s)ds/s = +\infty \Rightarrow$

u is continuous at (x_0, t_0)

MAIN RESULT

$g(x, t, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, v/r), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$

$u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega_T), \text{fix } (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T \Rightarrow$ if additionally $\int_0^\infty \lambda(s)ds/s = +\infty \Rightarrow$

u is continuous at (x_0, t_0)

if $p \geq 2$, or $q \leq 2 \Rightarrow u \in C_{loc}(\Omega_T)$

MAIN RESULT

$g(x, t, v/r) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, v/r), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r),$

$u \in B_{1,g}(\Omega_T), \text{fix } (x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T \Rightarrow$ if additionally $\int_0^\infty \lambda(s)ds/s = +\infty \Rightarrow$

u is continuous at (x_0, t_0)

if $p \geq 2$, or $q \leq 2 \Rightarrow u \in C_{loc}(\Omega_T)$

the conditions on the coefficients in the double phase, $(l+1)$ -phase, degenerate double phase, are completely similar to that of elliptic case

- singular case : $2n/(n+1) < p < \min(2, q)$

HARNACK'S INEQUALITY, SINGULAR CASE

- singular case : $2n/(n+1) < p < \min(2, q)$

in contrast to continuity ,we cannot prove Harnack's inequality under the same condition :

$\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-\alpha_0}$?????

the difficulties arise in the proof of the backward in time Harnack's inequality,

HARNACK'S INEQUALITY, SINGULAR CASE

- singular case : $2n/(n+1) < p < \min(2, q)$

in contrast to continuity, we cannot prove Harnack's inequality under the same condition :

$\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$?????

the difficulties arise in the proof of the backward in time Harnack's inequality,

$\exists a_0, b_0 \geq 0, 0 < q_1 < 1, R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, \psi(x, t, v) = \frac{g(x, t, v)}{v},$

$$\frac{\psi(x, t, w)}{\psi(x, t, v)} \leq \left(\frac{v}{w}\right)^{1-q_1}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_{R, R}(x_0, t_0), w \geq v > b_0 R^{-a_0}$$

HARNACK'S INEQUALITY, SINGULAR CASE

- singular case : $2n/(n+1) < p < \min(2, q)$

in contrast to continuity, we cannot prove Harnack's inequality under the same condition :

$\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$?????

the difficulties arise in the proof of the backward in time Harnack's inequality,

$\exists a_0, b_0 \geq 0, 0 < q_1 < 1, R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, \psi(x, t, v) = \frac{g(x, t, v)}{v},$

$$\frac{\psi(x, t, w)}{\psi(x, t, v)} \leq \left(\frac{v}{w}\right)^{1-q_1}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_{R, R}(x_0, t_0), w \geq v > b_0 R^{-a_0}$$

$q < 2 \Rightarrow$ (by (p, q) growth conditions) singular, $a_0, b_0 = 0, q_1 = 2 - q$

HARNACK'S INEQUALITY, SINGULAR CASE

- singular case : $2n/(n+1) < p < \min(2, q)$

in contrast to continuity, we cannot prove Harnack's inequality under the same condition :

$\psi(x_0, t_0, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0 R^{-a_0}$??????

the difficulties arise in the proof of the backward in time Harnack's inequality,

$\exists a_0, b_0 \geq 0, 0 < q_1 < 1, R = R(x_0, t_0) > 0, \psi(x, t, v) = \frac{g(x, t, v)}{v},$

$$\frac{\psi(x, t, w)}{\psi(x, t, v)} \leq \left(\frac{v}{w}\right)^{1-q_1}, \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_{R, R}(x_0, t_0), w \geq v > b_0 R^{-a_0}$$

$q < 2 \Rightarrow$ (by (p, q) growth conditions) singular, $a_0, b_0 = 0, q_1 = 2 - q$
case $p < 2 < q, a(x_0, t_0) = 0$ -double-phase ??? still open

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0),$$

$$br < v \leq K, 0 < \rho < R^{a_1}, \exists a_1 > a_0/\bar{a}, \delta > 0:$$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), \quad (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0),$$

$$br < v \leq K, \quad 0 < \rho < R^{a_1}, \exists a_1 > a_0/\bar{a}, \delta > 0 :$$

$$u(x_0, t_0) \leq c \left\{ \inf_{B_\rho(x_0)} u(\cdot, t) + (b + b_0)\rho^{1-\frac{a_0}{a_1}} \right\},$$

$$\forall t : t_0 - \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(x_0, t_0, \frac{\delta u(x_0, t_0)}{\rho})} \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(x_0, t_0, \frac{\delta u(x_0, t_0)}{\rho})}$$

non \log case $a(x_0, t_0) > 0$ is also included

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), \quad (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0),$$

$$br < v \leq K, \quad 0 < \rho < R^{a_1}, \exists a_1 > a_0/\bar{a}, \delta > 0 :$$

$$u(x_0, t_0) \leq c \left\{ \inf_{B_\rho(x_0)} u(\cdot, t) + (b + b_0)\rho^{1-\frac{a_0}{a_1}} \right\},$$

$$\forall t : t_0 - \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(x_0, t_0, \frac{\delta u(x_0, t_0)}{\rho})} \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(x_0, t_0, \frac{\delta u(x_0, t_0)}{\rho})}$$

non *log* case $a(x_0, t_0) > 0$ is also included

p -Laplace evolution equation, $p < 2$ - DiBenedetto, Gianazza and Vespi (2008)

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r)$$

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, t, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, t, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda_1(r)dr/r = +\infty, \lambda_1(r) = \lambda(\rho) \mu^{-\beta}(\rho) \Rightarrow$$

MAIN RESULT

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K\lambda(r)$$

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)\mu(r)g(y, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), br < v \leq K$$

$$(\mathbf{A}(x, t, \xi) - \mathbf{A}(x, t, \eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi \neq \eta$$

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda_1(r)dr/r = +\infty, \lambda_1(r) = \lambda(\rho) \mu^{-\beta}(\rho) \Rightarrow$$

$$u(x_0, t_0) \leq \gamma\lambda_1(\rho)\left\{ \min_{B_\rho(x_0)} u(\cdot, t) + (b + b_0)\rho^{1 - \frac{a_0}{a_1}} \right\}, \quad a_1 > a_0/\bar{a}$$

$$\forall t: \quad t_0 - \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(x_0, t_0, \frac{\delta\lambda_1(\rho)u(x_0, t_0)}{\rho})} \leq t \leq t_0 + \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(x_0, t_0, \frac{\delta\lambda_1(\rho)u(x_0, t_0)}{\rho})}.$$

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2-p} + \frac{n(1+2(2-p))}{p+n(p-2)}, \quad p + n(p-2) > 0$$

The difficulties arising in the parabolic case completely similar to that of elliptic case, namely having information on the measure of the "positivity set" of u over the ball $B_r(y)$ for some time level τ :

$$|\{B_r(y) : u(\cdot, \tau) \geq N\}| \geq \alpha(r)|B_r(y)|,$$

with some $r > 0$, $N > 0$ and $\alpha(r) \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha(r) \rightarrow 0$, as $r \rightarrow 0$, and using the standard DiBenedetto's arguments, we inevitably arrive to the estimate

$$u(x, t) \geq N\gamma_1^{-1}e^{-\gamma_2\alpha^{-\beta}(r)}, \quad x \in B_{2r}(y),$$

for some time level $t > \tau$ and with some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \beta > 1$. This estimate leads us to *exp* type condition

$$\int_0 e^{-\gamma_2\alpha^{-\beta}(r)\mu^\gamma(r)} \frac{dr}{r} = +\infty$$

An important step in the proof of Harnack's inequality is the so-called $L^1 - L^\infty$ Harnack's inequality, DiBenedetto (p -Laplace evolution equation, 1993) :

$$\sup_{Q^+_{r,t-s}(y,s)} u \leq \gamma \left(\frac{r^p}{t-s} \right)^{\frac{n}{\varkappa}} \left(r^{-n} \inf_{2s-t < \tau < t} \int_{B_{2r}(y)} u(x, \tau) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{\varkappa}} + \\ + \gamma \left(\frac{t-s}{r^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}, \quad \varkappa = p + n(p-2) > 0$$

$$\varphi_Q(v) := \frac{v^{n+1}}{[G_Q^{-1}(v^2)]^n}, \quad G_Q(v) := \inf_{(x,t) \in Q} G(x,t,v), \quad \varphi_Q(v) \nearrow$$

$$\psi_Q(v) := \sup_{(x,t) \in Q} \psi(x,t,v), \quad G(x,t,v) := \int_0^v g(x,t,z) dz$$

$$\varphi_Q(v) := \frac{v^{n+1}}{[G_Q^{-1}(v^2)]^n}, \quad G_Q(v) := \inf_{(x,t) \in Q} G(x,t,v), \quad \varphi_Q(v) \nearrow$$

$$\psi_Q(v) := \sup_{(x,t) \in Q} \psi(x,t,v), \quad G(x,t,v) := \int_0^v g(x,t,z) dz$$

$$\sup_{Q_{r,t-s}^+(y,s)} u \leq$$

$$\gamma(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \inf_{2s-t < \tau < t} \int_{B_{2r}(y)} u(x,\tau) dx \right)$$

$$+ \gamma(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right) \right) +$$

$$+ \gamma r \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right),$$

$$\text{provided } \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right) \geq (b+b_0) r^{-\frac{a_0}{a}}$$

$$\varphi_Q(v) := \frac{v^{n+1}}{[G_Q^{-1}(v^2)]^n}, \quad G_Q(v) := \inf_{(x,t) \in Q} G(x,t,v), \quad \varphi_Q(v) \nearrow$$

$$\psi_Q(v) := \sup_{(x,t) \in Q} \psi(x,t,v), \quad G(x,t,v) := \int_0^v g(x,t,z) dz$$

$$\sup_{Q_{r,t-s}^+(y,s)} u \leq$$

$$\gamma(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left((t-s)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \inf_{2s-t < \tau < t} \int_{B_{2r}(y)} u(x,\tau) dx \right)$$

$$+ \gamma(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right) \right) +$$

$$+ \gamma r \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right),$$

provided $\psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right) \geq (b+b_0) r^{-\frac{\alpha_0}{a}}$

$$\varphi_Q(v) \rightarrow (p\text{-Laplace}) v^{\frac{p+n(p-2)}{p}}, \quad r \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1} \left(\frac{r^2}{t-s} \right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{t-s}{r^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$$

L^1 form of the HARNACK INEQUALITY

- if $\psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1}\left(\frac{r^2}{t-s}\right) \geq (b+b_0)r^{-\frac{a_0}{a}}$, \Rightarrow

$$\sup_{s < \tau < t} \int_{B_r(y)} u(x, \tau) dx \leq \gamma \inf_{s < \tau < t} \int_{B_{2r}(y)} u(x, \tau) dx$$
$$+ \gamma r \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1}\left(\frac{r^2}{t-s}\right),$$

p -Laplace evolution Eq. :

$$r \psi_{Q_{r,t-s}(y,s)}^{-1}\left(\frac{r^2}{t-s}\right) = \left(\frac{t-s}{r^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}}$$

EXPANSION OF THE POSITIVITY

The next important step in the proof of Harnack's inequality is the so-called theorem on the expansion of positivity

$$g(x_1, t_1, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(x_2, t_2, \frac{v}{r}), (x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2) \in Q_{r,r}(y, s), br < v \leq K\lambda(r)$$

$\psi(y, s, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0R^{-\alpha_0}$

and let for some $0 < \rho \leq R^{\alpha_1}, a_1 > a_0/\bar{a}, 0 < N \leq \lambda(\rho), \alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$|\{B_\rho(y) : u(\cdot, t) \geq N\}| \geq \alpha|B_\rho(y)|, \forall t \in (s - \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(y, s, \frac{\delta N}{\rho})}, s)$$

EXPANSION OF THE POSITIVITY

The next important step in the proof of Harnack's inequality is the so-called theorem on the expansion of positivity

$$g(x_1, t_1, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(x_2, t_2, \frac{v}{r}), (x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2) \in Q_{r,r}(y, s), br < v \leq K\lambda(r)$$

$\psi(y, s, v)$ is non increasing for $v \geq b_0R^{-\alpha_0}$

and let for some $0 < \rho \leq R^{a_1}, a_1 > a_0/\bar{a}, 0 < N \leq \lambda(\rho), \alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$|\{B_\rho(y) : u(\cdot, t) \geq N\}| \geq \alpha|B_\rho(y)|, \forall t \in (s - \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(y, s, \frac{\delta N}{\rho})}, s)$$

\Rightarrow either $N \leq (b + b_0)\rho^{1-\alpha_0/a_1}$, or $\exists \sigma \in (0, 1)$

$$u(x, t) \geq \sigma N, x \in B_{2\rho}(y), \forall t \in (s - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho^2}{\psi(y, s, \frac{\delta N}{\rho})}, s)$$

In the proof of this theorem for the function ψ , we used a condition similar to the continuity condition

SKETCH OF THE PROOF, NON \log CONDITION

following to DiBenedetto, Gianazza and Vespi for $\tau \in (0, 1)$ consider the equation

$$M_\tau := \sup_{B_{\rho\tau}(x_0)} u(\cdot, t_0) = N_\tau$$

$$N_\tau := \frac{1}{2} u(x_0, t_0) \frac{\lambda(\rho)}{\lambda((1-\tau)\rho)} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^\beta (1-\tau)^{-m}$$

τ_0 -the maximal root, $\tau_1 = 1 - 4^{-\frac{1}{m}}(1-\tau_0)$, $2r = (1 - 4^{-\frac{1}{m}}(1-\tau_0))\rho$,

Claim. $\exists \bar{x} : |\{B_r(\bar{x}) : u(\cdot, s) \geq \varepsilon N_{\tau_0} \bar{\lambda}(2r)\}| \geq \alpha(r) |B_r(\bar{x})|$

$$\forall s : |s - t_0| \leq \bar{\theta}_0, \quad \bar{\theta}_0 = \frac{r^2}{\psi(\bar{x}, t_0, \frac{\delta N_{\tau_0}}{r} \bar{\lambda}(2r))},$$

$$\bar{\lambda}(2r) = \mu^{-m_1}(2r)\lambda(2r), \quad \alpha(r) = \alpha\mu^{-m_2}(2r), \quad \exists m_1, m_2 > 0$$

SKETCH OF THE PROOF, NON \log CONDITION

following to DiBenedetto, Gianazza and Vespri for $\tau \in (0, 1)$ consider the equation

$$M_\tau := \sup_{B_{\rho\tau}(x_0)} u(\cdot, t_0) = N_\tau$$

$$N_\tau := \frac{1}{2} u(x_0, t_0) \frac{\lambda(\rho)}{\lambda((1-\tau)\rho)} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^\beta (1-\tau)^{-m}$$

τ_0 -the maximal root, $\tau_1 = 1 - 4^{-\frac{1}{m}}(1-\tau_0)$, $2r = (1 - 4^{-\frac{1}{m}}(1-\tau_0))\rho$,

Claim. $\exists \bar{x} : |\{B_r(\bar{x}) : u(\cdot, s) \geq \varepsilon N_{\tau_0} \bar{\lambda}(2r)\}| \geq \alpha(r) |B_r(\bar{x})|$

$$\forall s : |s - t_0| \leq \bar{\theta}_0, \quad \bar{\theta}_0 = \frac{r^2}{\psi(\bar{x}, t_0, \frac{\delta N_{\tau_0}}{r} \bar{\lambda}(2r))},$$

$$\bar{\lambda}(2r) = \mu^{-m_1}(2r)\lambda(2r), \alpha(r) = \alpha\mu^{-m_2}(2r), \exists m_1, m_2 > 0$$

In the case $\lambda(r) = \mu(r) = 1$ (*log* case) this inequality is applicable similarly to DiBenedetto, Gianazza and Vespri (Acta Math., 2008).

SKETCH OF THE PROOF, NON \log CONDITION

following to DiBenedetto, Gianazza and Vespri for $\tau \in (0, 1)$ consider the equation

$$M_\tau := \sup_{B_{\rho\tau}(x_0)} u(\cdot, t_0) = N_\tau$$

$$N_\tau := \frac{1}{2} u(x_0, t_0) \frac{\lambda(\rho)}{\lambda((1-\tau)\rho)} \left(\frac{\mu((1-\tau)\rho)}{\mu(\rho)} \right)^\beta (1-\tau)^{-m}$$

τ_0 -the maximal root, $\tau_1 = 1 - 4^{-\frac{1}{m}}(1 - \tau_0)$, $2r = (1 - 4^{-\frac{1}{m}}(1 - \tau_0))\rho$,

Claim. $\exists \bar{x} : |\{B_r(\bar{x}) : u(\cdot, s) \geq \varepsilon N_{\tau_0} \bar{\lambda}(2r)\}| \geq \alpha(r) |B_r(\bar{x})|$

$$\forall s : |s - t_0| \leq \bar{\theta}_0, \quad \bar{\theta}_0 = \frac{r^2}{\psi(\bar{x}, t_0, \frac{\delta N_{\tau_0}}{r} \bar{\lambda}(2r))},$$

$$\bar{\lambda}(2r) = \mu^{-m_1}(2r)\lambda(2r), \quad \alpha(r) = \alpha\mu^{-m_2}(2r), \quad \exists m_1, m_2 > 0$$

In the case $\lambda(r) = \mu(r) = 1$ (*log* case) this inequality is applicable similarly to DiBenedetto, Gianazza and Vespri (Acta Math., 2008).

Direct application leads to condition with *exp*, instead, we use old Landis's ideas and his so-called growth lemma. We use $L^1 - L^\infty$, L^1 forms of Harnack's inequality and the result on the expansion of positivity, we also use auxiliary solutions v $\forall s : |s - t_0| \leq \bar{\theta}_0$, as initial time, we use the Claim, after iteration we arrive at the required Harnack's inequality

SUB POTENTIAL LOWER BOUND, log CASE

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(t, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), \quad (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,r}(x_0, t_0), 0 < v \leq K$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0, \quad p(x, t)\text{-Laplace } \{b = 1\} \text{ ???} \quad q < 2 \Rightarrow b_0 = 0$$

$$Q := Q_{|x-x_0|, (t-t_0)}(x_0, t_0), h(v) := \frac{g^{-1}(v)}{v} \nearrow, \quad g(v) := \inf_Q g(\cdot, \cdot, v)$$

$$B_{8|x-x_0|}(x_0) \subset B_{R_0}(x_0), \quad 0 < t - t_0 \leq \epsilon R_0 < \epsilon t_0$$

on the right-hand side the term $(b + b_0)\rho^{1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}}$ is discarded

$$\frac{u(x, t)}{u(x_0, t_0)} \geq \gamma \left\{ 1 + \gamma_1 \frac{u(x_0, t_0)}{h^{-1} \left(\frac{t-t_0}{|x-x_0|^2} \right)} \frac{|x-x_0|}{t-t_0} \right\}^{-1}.$$

this estimate gives the same decay in the space variables as the Barenblatt-type solution

SUB POTENTIAL LOWER BOUND, \log CASE

$$g(x, t, \frac{v}{r}) \leq c(K)g(t, \tau, \frac{v}{r}), \quad (x, t), (y, \tau) \in Q_{r,\tau}(x_0, t_0), 0 < v \leq K$$

$$\Rightarrow b = 0, \quad p(x, t)\text{-Laplace } \{b = 1\} \text{ ???} \quad q < 2 \Rightarrow b_0 = 0$$

$$Q := Q_{|x-x_0|, (t-t_0)}(x_0, t_0), h(v) := \frac{g^{-1}(v)}{v} \nearrow, \quad g(v) := \inf_Q g(\cdot, \cdot, v)$$

$$B_{8|x-x_0|}(x_0) \subset B_{R_0}(x_0), \quad 0 < t - t_0 \leq \epsilon R_0 < \epsilon t_0$$

on the right-hand side the term $(b + b_0)\rho^{1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}}$ is discarded

$$\frac{u(x, t)}{u(x_0, t_0)} \geq \gamma \left\{ 1 + \gamma_1 \frac{u(x_0, t_0)}{h^{-1} \left(\frac{t-t_0}{|x-x_0|^2} \right)} \frac{|x-x_0|}{t-t_0} \right\}^{-1}$$

this estimate gives the same decay in the space variables as the Barenblatt-type solution

p -Laplace evolution eq. (DiBenedetto, Gianazza, Vespi, 2010)

$$\sim \frac{u(x, t)}{u(x_0, t_0)} \geq \gamma \left\{ 1 + \gamma_1 u(x_0, t_0) \left(\frac{|x-x_0|^p}{t-t_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-p}} \right\}^{-1}$$

$$\sim \gamma \left\{ 1 + \gamma_1 u(x_0, t_0)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left(\frac{|x-x_0|^p}{t-t_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right\}^{-\frac{p-1}{2-p}}$$

THANKS

FOR

ATTENTION