Potential estimates for solutions of nonstandard growth measure data problems



Anna Zatorska-Goldstein joint project with Flavia Giannetti and Iwona Chlebicka

MIMUW @ University of Warsaw

Nonstandard Seminar 09.11.2020

$$-\mathrm{div}\mathcal{A}(x,Du) = \mu$$
 in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

with nonnegative bounded measure μ and Carathéodory's function $\mathcal{A}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N \implies$ nonlinear operator (including Δ and Δ_p).

Solutions can be unbounded, but we can control them precisely by a certain potential and infer local properties such as Hölder continuity.

Chlebicka, Giannetti, AZG, Wolff potentials and local behaviour of solutions to measure data elliptic problems with Orlicz growth, arXiv:2006.02172

Problems:

- definition of solution
- Orlicz growth (no homogeneity $\mathcal{A}(x, k\xi) = |k|^{p-2} k \mathcal{A}(x, \xi)$)
- measurable dependence $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x,\xi)$

2 of 19

The operator of general growth

Growth & ellipticity condition - Orlicz framework

 $c_1^{\mathcal{A}}G(|\xi|) \leq \mathcal{A}(x,\xi)\cdot \xi$ and $|\mathcal{A}(x,\xi)| \leq c_2^{\mathcal{A}}g(|\xi|),$

g = G' and $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2 \quad (\Rightarrow G \text{ is sandwiched between power functions})$ e.g. Zygmund-type function $G_{p,\alpha}(s) = s^p \log^{\alpha}(1+s)$

Examples

$$-\operatorname{div} (a(x)Du) = \mu \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \ll a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
$$-\operatorname{div} (a(x)|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \ll a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$
$$-\operatorname{div} \left(a(x)\frac{G(|Du|)}{|Du|^2}Du\right) = \mu \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \ll a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

Potential estimate in the linear case 1/2 Global case

If u solves $-\Delta u = \mu$ in \mathbb{R}^N , with μ - a locally integrable function, and $u \to 0$ at ∞ , then

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} E(x, y) \, d\mu(y)$$

where E is the fundamental solution, i.e.,

$$E(x) = c_n \begin{cases} |x - y|^{2-n} & \text{if } n > 2, \\ -\log|x - y| & \text{if } n = 2, \end{cases}$$

so, for n > 2, it can be estimated as follows:

$$|u(x)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} =: I_2(|\mu|)(x) \quad \Leftarrow \text{Riesz potential}$$

Potential estimate in the linear case 2/2

Local behaviour of solutions to $-\Delta u = \mu$

Localized/trucated Riesz potential of a nonnegative measure

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{I}_{2}^{\mu}(x,R) &:= \int_{0}^{R} \frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-2}} \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \lesssim_{n} \int_{B_{R}(x)} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{d|\mu|(y)}{|x-y|^{n-2}} = \mathbf{I}_{2}(|\mu|)(x) \quad \Leftarrow \text{Riesz potential} \end{split}$$

Then locally

 $|u(x)| \leq C\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\mu}(x,R) + \mathrm{`sth} \mathrm{ not} \mathrm{ that} \mathrm{ much} \mathrm{ important'}\right).$

Potential estimate in the power growth case

 $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu \text{ for } 1$

For the nonlinear operator we have

 $|u(x)| \leq C \left(\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,R) + \text{'sth}(u,R) \text{ not that much important'} \right),$

with

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,R) = \int_{0}^{R} \left(\frac{|\mu|(B_{\varrho}(x))}{\varrho^{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d\varrho$$

called Wolff potential (similar ones were considered by Havin & Maz'ya). For p = 2 we are back with Riesz potential.

Kilpeläinen & Malý ['92,'94] proven that for $\mu \ge 0$ we actually have

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,R) \lesssim u(x) \lesssim \mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{p}(x,2R) + 'sth(u,R)'$$

Trudinger & Wang [2002], Korte & Kuusi [2010], Kuusi & Mingione [2018]

Measure data problems

Let μ be a nonnegative Radon measure. Consider problems

- $-\Delta u = \mu$
- $-\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = \mu$
- $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu$,

where $\mathcal{A}(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \simeq G(|\xi|)$, and G is an Orlicz function.

How the equation can be interpreted? What is a correct notion of a solution?

The function G generates an Orlicz space $L^{G}(\Omega)$ and a Sobolev-type space $W^{1,G}(\Omega)$ which is reflexive and separable if $G \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$.

Who can be called 'a solution'?

A function $u \in W^{1,G}_{loc}(\Omega)$ is called a weak solution to a problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathsf{f} \quad \int_\Omega \mathcal{A}(x, Du) \cdot D\phi \, dx = \int_\Omega \phi \, d\mu(x) \quad \mathsf{for \ every} \ \ \phi \in C^\infty_c(\Omega).$$

- weak solutions are too restrictive;
- distributional solutions can be too wild;
- already for $-\Delta u = \delta_0$ in B(0, 1) we have the fundamental solution $E(x) = c_n |x y|^{2-n}$, (n > 2), which does not belong to the energy space $W_0^{1,2}(B(0, 1))$, but we like it!

Different notions of very weak solutions

One may study various kids of very weak solutions:

- SOLA (Boccardo&Gallouët),
- renormalized solutions (DiPerna&Lions, Boccardo, Giachetti, Diaz, Murat),
- entropy solutions (Bénilan, Boccardo, Gallouët, Gariepy, Pierre, Vazquez, Murat),
- approximable solutions (Cianchi-Mazya),
- *A*-superharmonic functions (Kilpeläinen, Maly, Korte, Kuusi, Tuhola-Kujanpää '11) – nonlinear counterpart of the Perron method for Laplacian;

The class of A-superharmonic functions is wide enough to solve the equation. Conversely, each A-superharmonic function solves equation with some nonnegative (not necessarily finite) Radon measure μ on Ω .

\mathcal{A} -superharmonic functions

\mathcal{A} -harmonicity

A <u>continuous</u> function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,G}(\Omega)$ is an *A*-harmonic function in an open set Ω if it is a (weak) solution to $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, Du) = 0$.

$\mathcal{A}\text{-super/subharmonicity}$

We say that a lower semicontinuous function u is \mathcal{A} -superharmonic if for any $K \Subset \Omega$ and any \mathcal{A} -harmonic $h \in C(\overline{K})$ in K, $u \ge h$ on ∂K implies $u \ge h$ in K (u is \mathcal{A} -subharmonic if (-u) is \mathcal{A} -superharmonic).

An \mathcal{A} -superharmonic function

- is defined everywhere,
- can be unbounded,
- has a generalized gradient Du;
- generates a measure: $-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu_u$;

This object we want to 'control by a potential' and prove its regularity. $_{10 of 19}$

Potential theory in the Musielak-Orlicz setting

Chlebicka, AZG, Generalized superharmonic functions with strongly nonlinear operator, arXiv:2005.00118

Properties of *A*-harmonic and *A*-superharmonic functions involving an operator having generalized Orlicz growth (reflexive Orlicz spaces, natural variants of variable exponent and double-phase spaces). In particular: Harnack's Principle, Minimum Principle, boundary Harnack inequality, etc.

For more references: see last presentation of Petteri Harjulehto https://www.mimuw.edu.pl/ ichlebicka/nonstandard-seminar.html

Theorem - potential estimates

Assume that u is a nonnegative function being \mathcal{A} -superharmonic and finite a.e. in $B(x_0, R_W) \Subset \Omega$ for some R_W . Let (Havin-Mazy'a-)Wolff potential be given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{G}^{\mu_{u}}(x_{0},R) = \int_{0}^{R} g^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_{u}(B(x_{0},r))}{r^{n-1}}\right) dr$$

with μ_u generated by u and g = G'. Then for $R \in (0, R_W/2)$ we have

$$C_L\left(\mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R)-R\right) \leq u(x_0) \leq C_U\left(\inf_{B(x_0,R)} u(x) + \mathcal{W}_G^{\mu_u}(x_0,R) + R\right)$$

with $C_L, C_U > 0$ depending only on parameters $i_G, s_G, c_1^A, c_2^A, n$.

Powerful corollaries

 $u \ge 0$ is A-superharmonic and finite a.e. and $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ (distrib.)

- The result is sharp as the same potential controls bounds from above and from below.
- *u* is continuous in $x_0 \iff \mathcal{W}^{\mu_u}_G(x,r)$ is small for $x \in B(x_0,r)$.

• if
$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du) = \mu_u = \delta_{x_0}$$
; x is close to x_0 , $r = |x - x_0|$, then

$$c^{-1}\left(\int_{r}^{2r}g^{-1}\left(s^{1-n}\right)\,ds-r\right)\leq u(x)$$
$$\leq c\left(\int_{r}^{2r}g^{-1}\left(s^{1-n}\right)\,ds+\inf_{B_{2r}}u+r\right)$$

If additionally G is so fast in infinity that $\int_0 g^{-1}(s^{1-n}) ds < \infty$, then $u \in L^{\infty}(B_r)$. This bound is optimal.

Powerful corollaries

 $u \ge 0$ is A-superharmonic and finite a.e. and $\mu_u := -\text{div}\mathcal{A}(x, Du)$ (distrib.)

- $u \in C^{0,\beta}_{loc}(\Omega) \iff \mu_{u,\theta}(B(x,r)) \leq cr^{n-1}g(r^{\theta-1}) \simeq r^{n-\theta}G(r^{\theta-1})$ (Orlicz-Morrey-type condition; * [C., Karppinen, 2019])
- Orlicz version of the fact that Lorentz regularity of the datum $(\mu \in L(\frac{n}{p}, \frac{1}{p-1})(\Omega))$ implies continuity of the solution
- Orlicz version of the fact that Marcinkiewicz regularity of the datum (μ ∈ L(ⁿ/_{p+θ(p-1)}, ∞)(Ω)) implies Hölder continuity of the solution.
- Orlicz version of the Hedberg–Wolff Theorem yielding full characterization of the natural dual space to $W_0^{1,G}(\Omega)$ by the means of the Wolff potential

The Hedberg–Wolff Theorem

Let μ be a nonnegative bounded Radon measure compactly supported in bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_{G}^{\mu}(x_{0},R) = \int_{0}^{R} g^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu(B(x_{0},r))}{r^{n-1}}\right) dr$$

be its Wollf potential. Then

$$\mu \in (W_0^{1,G}(\Omega))'$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} \mathcal{W}^{\mu}_{G}(x,R) \, d\mu(x) < \infty \quad ext{for some} \ \ R > 0.$$

15 of 19

Potential estmates - about the proof

It is enough to prove Theorem 1 for continuous \mathcal{A} -supersolutions.

- we take a nondecreasing sequence {φ_j} of Lipschitz functions converging pointwise to u.
- we consider the obstacle problem with a nonnegative obstacle φ_j, and boundary datum u (Chlebicka-Karppinen, Karppinen-Lee);
- we get a nondecreasing sequence {u_j} of nonnegative continuous *A*-supersolutions converging to *u* pointwise with *Du_j* → *Du* a.e. for generalized gradient 'D'.

$\{u_j\}$ – nonnegative supersolutions $\rightarrow u$

- for every *j*, we have that {*T_ku_j*}_k is a nondecreasing sequence of continuous functions converging to *u_j* and they generate a sequence of measures {μ<sub>*T_ku_j*}_k ⊂ (*W*₀^{1,G}(Ω))'.
 </sub>
- $\{\mu_{T_k u_j}\}_k$ locally converge weakly-* to μ_{u_j} .
- Choosing diagonally subsequence of {*T_ku_j*}_{k,j}, we get a nondecreasing sequence {*uⁱ*}_i of continuous and bounded *A*-supersolutions converging pointwise to *u* and such that *Duⁱ* → *Du* a.e. in Ω.
- the corresponding measures μ_{u^i} locally converge weakly-* to μ_u .

Upper bound

- we modify u to be a weak solution in a countable union of disjoint annuli shrinking to a point x₀ (we construct a Poisson's modification of u over a family of annuli)
- the corresponding measure in each annulus concentrates on the boundary of the particular annulus
- we can control the concentrations, since the measure corresponding to the new solution stays also in the dual of $W^{1,G}(B(x_0, R))$.
- being a solution is a local property, so we are equipped with a priori estimates for weak solutions in each annulus.

Thank you for your attention!

