Weak and strong moments of random vectors

Rafał Latała

Abstract

We discuss a conjecture about comparability of weak and strong moments of log-concave random vectors and show the conjectured inequality for unconditional vectors in normed spaces with a bounded cotype constant.

1 Introduction

Let X be a random vector with values in some normed space $(F, \| \ \|)$. The question we will discuss is how to estimate $\|X\|_p = (\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p}$ for $p \geq 1$. Obviously $\|X\|_p \geq \|X\|_1 = \mathbb{E}\|X\|$ and for any continuous linear functional φ on F with $\|\varphi\|_* \leq 1$ we have $\|X\|_p \geq (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}$. It turns out that in some situations one may reverse these obvious estimates and show that for an absolute constant C and any $p \geq 1$,

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \leq C\Big(\mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \leq 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}\Big).$$

This is for example the case when X has Gaussian or product exponential distribution. In this note we will concentrate on the more general case of log-concave vectors.

A measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called logarithmically concave (log-concave in short) if for any compact nonempty sets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mu(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \geq \mu(A)^\lambda \mu(B)^{1-\lambda}.$$

By the result of Borell [3] a measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with full dimensional support is log-concave if and only if it is absolutely continuos with respect to the Lebesgue measure and has a density of the form e^{-f}, where $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is a convex function. Log-concave measures are frequently studied in convex geometry, since by the Brunn-Minkowski inequality uniform distributions on convex bodies as well as their lower dimensional marginals are log-concave. In fact the class of log-concave measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the smallest class of probability measures closed under linear transformation and weak limits that contains uniform distributions on convex bodies. Vectors with logaritmically concave distributions are called log-concave.

In the sequel we discuss the following conjecture posed in a stronger form in [5] about the comparison of strong and weak moment for log-concave vectors.

Conjecture 1.1. *For any n dimensional log-concave random vector and any norm $\| \ \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we have for $1 \le p < \infty$,*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \le C_1 \mathbb{E}\|X\| + C_2 \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \le 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}, \tag{1}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are absolute constants.

In Section 2 we gather known results about validity of (1) in special cases. Section 3 is devoted to the unconditional vectors. In particular we show that Conjecture 1.1 is satisfied under additional assumption of unconditionality of X and bounded cotype constant of the underlying normed space.

Notation

Let (ε_i) be a Bernoulli sequence, i.e. a sequence of independent symmetric variables taking values ± 1. We assume that (ε_i) are independent of other random variables.

By $(\mathcal{E}_i)$ we denote a sequence of independent symmetric exponential random variables with variance 1 (i.e. the density $2^{-1/2}\exp(-\sqrt{2}|x|)$). We set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(n)} = (\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_n)$ for an n-dimensional random vector with product exponential distribution and identity covariance matrix.

By $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we denote the standard scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by (e_i) the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We set B_p^n for a unit ball in ℓ_p^n, i.e. $B_p^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_p \le 1\}$. For a random variable Y and $p > 0$ we write $\|Y\|_p = (\mathbb{E}|Y|^p)^{1/p}$.

We write C (resp. $C(\alpha)$) to denote universal constants (resp. constants depending only on parameter α). Value of a constant C may differ at each occurence.

2 Known results

Since any norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ may be approximated by a supremum of exponential number of functionals we get

Proposition 2.1 (see [5, Proposition 3.20])**.** *For any n-dimensional random vector X inequality* (1) *holds for $p \ge n$ with $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = 10$.*

It is also easy to reduce Conjecture 1.1 to the case of symmetric vectors.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that* (1) *holds for all symmetric n-dimensional log-concave vectors X. Then it is also satisfied with constants $4C_1 + 1$ and $4C_2$ by all log-concave vectors X.*

Proof. Assume first that X has a log-concave distribution and $\mathbb{E}X = 0$. Let X' be an independent copy of X, then $X - X'$ is symmetric and log-concave. Moreover for $p \ge 1$,

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} = (\mathbb{E}\|X - \mathbb{E}X'\|^p)^{1/p} \le (\mathbb{E}\|X - X'\|^p)^{1/p},$$
$$\mathbb{E}\|X - X'\| \le \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \mathbb{E}\|X'\| = 2\mathbb{E}\|X\|$$

and for any functional φ,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X-X')|^p)^{1/p} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p} + (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X')|^p)^{1/p} = 2(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}$$

Hence (1) holds for X with constant $2C_1$ and $2C_2$.

If X is arbitrary log-concave then $X - \mathbb{E}X$ is log-concave with mean zero. We have for any $p \geq 1$,

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \leq (\mathbb{E}\|X-\mathbb{E}X\|^p)^{1/p} + \mathbb{E}\|X\|, \quad \mathbb{E}\|X-\mathbb{E}X\| \leq 2\mathbb{E}\|X\|$$

and for any functional φ,

$$(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X-\mathbb{E}X)|^p)^{1/p} \leq (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p} + |\varphi(\mathbb{E}X)| \leq 2(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}.$$

□

Remark. Estimating $\|X\|_p$ is strictly connected with bounding tails of $\|X\|$. Indeed by Chebyshev's inequality we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X\| \geq e\|X\|_p) \leq e^{-p}$$

and by the Paley-Zygmund inequality and the fact that $\|X\|_{2p} \leq C\|X\|_p$ for $p \geq 1$ we get

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\|X\| \geq \frac{1}{C}\|X\|_p\Big) \geq \min\Big\{\frac{1}{C}, e^{-p}\Big\}.$$

Gaussian concentration inequality easily implies (1) for Gaussian vectors X (see for example Chapter 3 of [8]). For Rademacher sums comparability of weak and strong moments was established by Dilworth and Montgomery-Smith [4]. More general statement was shown in [6].

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that $X = \sum_i v_i\xi_i$, where $v_i \in F$ and ξ_i are independent symmetric r.v's with logarithmically concave tails. Then for any $p \geq 1$ inequality* (1) *holds with absolute constants C_1 and C_2.*

This immediately implies

Corollary 2.4. *Conjecture 1.1 holds under additional assumption that coordinates of X are independent.*

Proof. We have $X = \sum_{i=1}^n e_iX_i$ with X_i independent log-concave real random variables. It is enough to notice that variables X_i have log-concave tails and in the symmetric case apply Theorem 2.3. General independent case may be reduce to the symmetric one as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. □

The crucial tool in the proof of Theorem 2.3 is the Talagrand two-level concentration inequality for the product exponential distribution [12]:

$$\nu^n(A) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - \nu^n(A + \sqrt{t}B_2^n + tB_1^n) \leq e^{-t/C},\ t > 0,$$

where ν is the symmetric exponential distribution, i.e. $d\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\exp(-|x|)dx$.

In [5] more general concentration inequalities were investigated. For a probability measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ define

$$\Lambda_\mu(y) = \log \int e^{\langle y,z\rangle} d\mu(z), \quad \Lambda^*_\mu(x) = \sup_y (\langle y, x\rangle - \Lambda_\mu(y))$$

and

$$B_\mu(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ \Lambda_\mu(x) \le t\}.$$

One may show that $B_{\nu^n}(t) \sim \sqrt{t}B_2^n + tB_1^n$. Argument presented in [5, Section 3.3] gives

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that for some $\alpha \ge 1$ and $\beta > 0$ and any convex symmetric compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\mu(K) \ge \frac{1}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - \mu(\alpha K + B_\mu(t)) \le e^{-t/\beta}, \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{2}$$

Then inequality (1) *holds with $C_1 = \alpha$ and $C_2 = C\beta$.*

In [5] it was shown that concentration inequality (2) holds with $\alpha = 1$ for symmetric product log-concave measures and for uniform distributions on B_r^n balls. This gives

Corollary 2.6. *Inequality* (1) *holds with $C_1 = 1$ and universal C_2 for uniform distributions on B_r^n balls $1 \le r \le \infty$.*

Modification of Paouris' proof [11] of large deviation inequality for ℓ_2 norm of isotropic log-concave vectors shows that weak and strong moments are comparable in the Euclidean case (see [1] for details):

Theorem 2.7. *If X is a log-concave n-dimensional random vector then for any Euclidean norm $\| \ \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \le C\Big(\mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \le 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}\Big).$$

3 Unconditional case

We say that a random vector $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ has *unconditional distribution* if the distribution of $(\eta_1 X_1, \ldots, \eta_n X_n)$ is the same as X for any choice of signs $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n$. Random vector X is called *isotropic* if it has identity covariance matrix, i.e. $\mathrm{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that X is an n-dimensional isotropic, unconditional, log-concave vector. Then for any norm $\| \ \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p \ge 1$,*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \le C\Big(\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \le 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}\Big). \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $T = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|t\|_* \le 1\}$ be the unit ball in the space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\ \|_*)$ dual to $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\ \|)$. Then $\|x\| = \sup_{t\in T}\langle t, x\rangle$. By the result of Talagrand [13] (see also [14]) there exist subsets $T_n \subset T$ and functions $\pi_n : T \to T_n$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ such that $\pi_n(t) \to t$ for all $t \in T$, $\#T_0 = 1$, $\#T_n \le 2^{2^n}$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), \mathcal{E}\rangle\|_{2^n} \le C\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in T}\langle t, \mathcal{E}\rangle = C\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\|. \tag{4}$$

Let us fix $p \ge 1$ and choose $n_0 \ge 1$ such that $2^{n_0-1} < 2p \le 2^{n_0}$. We have

$$\|X\| = \sup_{t\in T}\langle t, X\rangle \le \sup_{t\in T}|\langle \pi_{n_0}(t), X\rangle| + \sup_{t\in T}\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle|. \tag{5}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\mathbb{E}\sup_{t\in T}|\langle \pi_{n_0}(t), X\rangle|^p\Big)^{1/p} &\le \Big(\mathbb{E}\sum_{s\in T_{n_0}}|\langle s, X\rangle|^p\Big)^{1/p} \le (\#T_{n_0})^{1/p}\sup_{s\in T_{n_0}}(\mathbb{E}|\langle s, X\rangle|^p)^{1/p} \\ &\le 16\sup_{t\in T}(\mathbb{E}|\langle t, X\rangle|^p)^{1/p} = 16\sup_{\|\varphi\|_*\le 1}(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

To estimate the last term in (5) notice that for $u \ge 16$ we have by Chebyshev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{P}\Big(\sup_{t\in T}\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle| \ge u\sup_{t\in T}\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}\|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle\|_{2^n}\Big) \\ &\quad\le \mathbb{P}\Big(\exists_{n\ge n_0}\exists_{t\in T}\ |\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle| \ge u\|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle\|_{2^n}\Big) \\ &\quad\le \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}\sum_{s\in T_{n+1}}\sum_{s'\in T_n}\mathbb{P}(|\langle s - s', X\rangle| \ge u\|\langle s - s', X\rangle\|_{2^n}) \le \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}\#T_{n+1}\#T_n u^{-2^n} \\ &\quad\le \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{8}{u}\Big)^{2^n} \le 2\Big(\frac{8}{u}\Big)^{2^{n_0}} \le 2\Big(\frac{8}{u}\Big)^{2p}.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts this gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big(\sup_{t\in T}\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle|\Big)^p\Big)^{1/p} \\ &\quad\le \sup_{t\in T}\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}\|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle\|_{2^n}\Big(16 + \Big(2p\int_0^{\infty}u^{p-1}\Big(\frac{8}{u+16}\Big)^{2p}\Big)^{1/p}\Big) \\ &\quad\le 32\sup_{t\in T}\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty}\|\langle \pi_{n+1}(t) - \pi_n(t), X\rangle\|_{2^n}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The result of Bobkov and Nazarov [2] gives

$$\|\langle t, X\rangle\|_r \leq C\|\langle t, \mathcal{E}\rangle\|_r \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } r \geq 1. \tag{8}$$

Thus the statement follows by (4)-(7). $\square$

Remark. The only property of the vector X that was used in the above proof was estimate (8). Thus inequality (3) holds for all n-dimensional random vectors satisfying (8).

Remark. Estimate (8) gives $(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p} \leq C(\mathbb{E}|\varphi(\mathcal{E})|^p)^{1/p}$ for any functional φ, therefore Theorem 3.1 is stronger than the estimate from [7]:

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \leq C\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\|^p \sim C\Big(\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \leq 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(\mathcal{E})|^p)^{1/p}\Big).$$

In some situation one may show that $\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\| \leq C\mathbb{E}\|X\|$. This is the case of spaces with bounded cotype constant.

Corollary 3.2. *Suppose that $2 \leq q < \infty$, $F = (\mathbb{R}^n, \| \|)$ is a finite dimensional space with a q-cotype constant bounded by $\beta < \infty$. Then for any n-dimensional unconditional, log-concave vector X and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \leq C(q,\beta)\Big(\mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \leq 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}\Big),$$

where $C(q,\beta)$ is a constant that depends only on q and β.

Proof. Applying diagonal transformation (and appropriately changing the norm) we may assume that X is also isotropic.

By the result of Maurey and Pisier [9] (see also Appendix II in [10]) one has

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\| = \mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\mathcal{E}_i\Big\| \leq C_1(q,\beta)\mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\varepsilon_i\Big\|.$$

By the unconditionality of X and Jensen's inequality we get

$$\mathbb{E}\|X\| = \mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\varepsilon_i|X_i|\Big\| \geq \mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\varepsilon_i\mathbb{E}|X_i|\Big\|.$$

We have $\mathbb{E}|X_i| \geq \frac{1}{C}(\mathbb{E}|X_i|^2)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{C}$, therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\| \leq CC_1(q,\beta)\mathbb{E}\|X\|$$

and the statement follows by Theorem 3.1. $\square$

For general norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$ one has

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathcal{E}\| = \mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\varepsilon_i|\mathcal{E}_i|\Big\| \leq \mathbb{E}\sup_i |\mathcal{E}_i|\mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\varepsilon_i\Big\| \leq C\log n\ \mathbb{E}\Big\|\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\varepsilon_i\Big\|.$$

This together with the similar argument as in the proof of Corollary 3.2 gives the following.

Corollary 3.3. *For any n-dimensional unconditional, log-concave vector X, any norm $\| \ \|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p \geq 1$ one has*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \leq C\Big(\log n\ \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|\varphi\|_* \leq 1} (\mathbb{E}|\varphi(X)|^p)^{1/p}\Big).$$

Acknowledgments

Research of R. Latała was partially supported by the Foundation for Polish Science and MNiSW grant N N201 397437.

References

[1] R. Adamczak R. Latała, A. Litvak, A. Pajor and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, in preparation.

[2] S.G. Bobkov and F.L. Nazarov, *On convex bodies and log-concave probability measures with unconditional basis*, in: Geometric aspects of functional analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. 1807, Springer, Berlin, 2003, 53–69.

[3] C. Borell, *Convex measures on locally convex spaces*, Ark. Math. 12 (1974), 239–252.

[4] S.J Dilworth and S.J. Montgomery-Smith, *The distribution of vector-valued Rademacher series* Ann. Probab. 21 (1993), 2046–2052.

[5] R. Latała and J.O. Wojtaszczyk, *On the infimum convolution inequality*, Studia Math. 189 (2008), 147–187.

[6] R. Latała, *Tail and moment estimates for sums of independent random vectors with logarithmically concave tails*, Studia Math. 118 (1996), 301–304.

[7] R. Latała, *On weak tail domination of random vectors*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 57 (2009), 75–80.

[8] M. Ledoux and M. Talagrand, *Probability in Banach spaces. Isoperimetry and processes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[9] B. Maurey and G. Pisier, *Séries de variables alatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. 58 (1976), 45–90.

[10] V.D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic theory of finite-dimensional normed spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1200, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[11] G. Paouris, *Concentration of mass on convex bodies*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 16 (2006), 1021–1049.

[12] M. Talagrand, *A new isoperimetric inequality and the concentration of measure phenomenon*, in: Israel Seminar (GAFA), Lecture Notes in Math. 1469, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 94–124.

[13] M. Talagrand *The supremum of some canonical processes*, Amer. J. Math. 116 (1994), 283–325.

[14] M. Talagrand, *The generic chaining. Upper and lower bounds of stochastic processes*, Springer, Berlin, 2005.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland
Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail: `rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl`