

Chapter 5

Estimation of the mean, autocovariance and autocorrelation

Let $\{X_t\}$ be a stationary time series with mean μ , autocovariance function $\gamma(\cdot)$, and spectral density $f(\cdot)$. Now consider the problem of estimating the mean μ , ACVF $\gamma(\cdot)$ and ACF $\rho(\cdot) = \frac{\gamma(\cdot)}{\gamma(0)}$ from observations of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n .

5.1 Asymptotic Normality

For a large class of strictly linear time series, estimators of the mean μ , ACVF $\gamma(\cdot)$ and ACF $\rho(\cdot)$ will satisfy a central limit theorem and, asymptotically, the distribution, appropriately rescaled, will be normal.

Definition 5.1 (Asymptotic Normality). *Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be a sequence of random variables. They are said to be asymptotically normal, written $Y_n \sim AN(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ if and only if $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[Y_n]$ and $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}(Y_n)$ for each n and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{Y_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \leq x \right) = \Phi(x),$$

where $\Phi(x) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq x)$, $Z \sim N(0, 1)$.

Let $\underline{Y}_1, \underline{Y}_2, \dots$ be a sequence of random k -vectors. The sequence is said to be asymptotically normal, written $\underline{Y}_n \sim AN(\underline{\mu}_n, \Sigma_n)$ if and only if $\underline{\mu}_n = \mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}_n]$ and $\Sigma_{n,i,j} = \text{Cov}(Y_{n,i}, Y_{n,j})$ (Σ_n is the covariance matrix of \underline{Y}_n for each n) and

$$\underline{\lambda}' \underline{Y}_n \sim AN(\underline{\lambda}' \underline{\mu}_n, \underline{\lambda}' \Sigma_n \underline{\lambda}) \quad \forall \underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

5.2 Estimation of μ

The estimator $\bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$ is the natural unbiased estimate of μ .

Theorem 5.2. Let $\{X_t\}$ be a stationary time series with mean μ and autocovariance function $\gamma(\cdot)$ which satisfies $\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} |\gamma(h)| = 0$. Then

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

Suppose $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < +\infty$ and let f denote the spectral density. Then

$$n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) = 2\pi f(0)$$

Proof In all cases,

$$\begin{aligned} n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) &= n \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \gamma(i-j) = \gamma(0) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^n \gamma(j-i) \\ &= \gamma(0) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^{n-i} \gamma(h) = \gamma(0) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n (n-h) \gamma(h). \end{aligned}$$

For the first statement, since $|\gamma(h)| \xrightarrow{|h| \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, therefore

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{h}{n}\right) \gamma(h) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n |\gamma(h)| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=1}^{\lceil n^{1/2} \rceil} |\gamma(h)| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{h=\lceil n^{1/2} \rceil+1}^n |\gamma(h)| \leq \frac{\gamma(0)}{n^{1/2}} + \sup_{h > n^{1/2}} |\gamma(h)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

so that

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \gamma(0) + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{h=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{h}{n}\right) \gamma(h) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

For the second statement, suppose that $\sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} |\gamma(h)| < \infty$. Then it follows firstly, that for any N $\sum_{|h| \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{n}\right) \gamma(h) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{|h| \leq N} \gamma(h)$ and secondly that

$$\left| \sum_{|h| \geq N+1} \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{n}\right) \gamma(h) \right| \leq \sum_{|h| \geq N+1} |\gamma(h)| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow +\infty} 0,$$

from which it follows that

$$n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) = 2\pi f(0).$$

□

The sample average \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of μ and we also have *consistency*; directly from Chebyshev, $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$ implies convergence in probability. When $\sum |\gamma(h)| < +\infty$, we also have the *rate* of convergence and $n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 2\pi f(0)$. We can go further, and show that if $|\gamma(h)|$ decays quickly enough, then \bar{X}_n is asymptotically normal.

Theorem 5.3. Let $\{X_t\}$ be a strictly linear time series defined by

$$X_t = \mu + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_t\} \sim IID(0, \sigma^2)$$

where (ψ_j) satisfy $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \neq 0$. then

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sqrt{v}} \sim AN(0, 1)$$

where

$$v = \sum_{h=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma(h) = \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \right)^2$$

and γ is the ACVF of $\{X_t\}$.

Since $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is not an i.i.d. sequence, we first prove the result for m -dependent stationary processes, where for each t , $X_t \perp X_{t+n}$, $n \geq m + 1$ and then extend to arbitrary linear stationary processes with well defined ACVF.

Theorem 5.4 (Central Limit Theorem for Strictly Stationary m -Dependent Sequences). Let $\{X_t\}$ be a strictly stationary m -dependent sequence of random variables. That is, $X_t \perp X_s$ for all s such that $|t - s| > m$. Let $\mu = 0$ and let γ denote the autocovariance function. Let $v_m = \gamma(0) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \gamma(j)$ and suppose that $v_m \neq 0$. Then

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = v_m$ and
2. $\bar{X}_n \sim AN\left(0, \frac{v_m}{n}\right)$.

Proof The first statement has already been dealt with. For the second statement (asymptotic normality), for each integer k such that $k > 2m$, let

$$Y_{nk} = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \{(X_1 + \dots + X_{k-m}) + \dots + (X_{(r-1)k+1} + \dots + X_{rk-m})\}$$

where $r = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$. Since the series is m -dependent, removal of $X_{jk-m+1}, \dots, X_{jk}$ for each j , splits it into independent pieces; $n^{1/2}Y_{nk}$ is the sum of r i.i.d. random variables, each with mean zero and variance:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{k-m} &= \text{Var}(X_1 + \dots + X_{k-m}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} \sum_{j=1}^{k-m} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \\ &= (k-m)\gamma(0) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k-m} \gamma(j-i) \\ &= (k-m)\gamma(0) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-m} \gamma(j) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-m-j} 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{|j| < k-m} (k-m-|j|)\gamma(j). \end{aligned}$$

By the Central Limit Theorem, therefore:

$$\frac{(n^{1/2}Y_{nk})}{(\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor R_{k-m})^{1/2}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{(d)} N(0, 1)$$

which may be expressed as

$$Y_{nk} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{(d)} N(0, \frac{1}{k} R_{k-m}) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{(d)} N(0, v_m).$$

We now have to show that the pieces that have been omitted do not contribute as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $r \rightarrow +\infty$. That is, it remains to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| n^{1/2} \bar{X}_n - Y_{nk} \right| > \epsilon \right) = 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

To establish this,

$$(n^{1/2} \bar{X}_n - Y_{nk}) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (X_{jk-m+1} + \dots + X_{jk}) + \frac{1}{n^{1/2}} (X_{rk-m+1} + \dots + X_n).$$

The terms are independent for $k > m$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(n^{1/2} \bar{X}_n - Y_{nk}) &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - 1 \right) R_m + R_{h(n)} \right), \\ h(n) &= n - k \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor + m \quad 0 \leq h(n) \leq k + m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Var}(n^{1/2} \bar{X}_n - Y_{nk}) = \frac{1}{k} R_m$$

and, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, the result follows by Chebyshev. \square

We therefore have asymptotic normality for the mean of an $MA(q)$ process for any $q < +\infty$. This is the key point for proving Theorem 5.3, since a stationary linear process can be approximated by a $2m + 1$ dependent process.

Proof of Theorem 5.3 Let X_{tm} denote the $2m + 1$ dependent approximation defined by:

$$X_{tm} = \mu + \sum_{j=-m}^m \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_t\} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma^2)$$

Set

$$Y_{nm} = \bar{X}_{nm} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{tm}.$$

It follows from the previous result that

$$\sqrt{n}(Y_{nm} - \mu) \rightarrow_d N\left(0, \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{j=-m}^m \psi_j\right)^2\right) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow +\infty} N\left(0, \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j\right)^2\right).$$

A direct computation, using $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < +\infty$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{m_2 \geq m_1} \text{Var}\left(n^{1/2}(Y_{nm_2} - Y_{nm_1})\right) &= \sup_{m_2 \geq m_1} n \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{|j|=m_1+1}^{m_2} \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j}\right) \\ &= \sup_{m_2 \geq m_1+1} n \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=m_1+2}^{m_2+n} \epsilon_s \sum_{t=s-m_2}^{s-m_1-1} \psi_{t-s} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1-m_2}^{n-m_1-1} \epsilon_s \sum_{t=s+m_1+1}^{s+m_2} \psi_{t-s}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(\sum_{s=m_1+2}^{m_2+n} \left(\sum_{t=s-m_2}^{s-m_1-1} \psi_{t-s}\right)^2 + \sum_{t=s-m_2}^{n-m_1-1} \left(\sum_{t=s+m_1+1}^{s+m_2} \psi_{t-s}\right)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2(n + m_2 - m_1 - 2)}{n} \left(\left(\sum_{t=-m_2}^{-m_1-1} \psi_t\right)^2 + \left(\sum_{t=m_1+1}^{m_2} \psi_t\right)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\lim_{m_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{m_2 \geq m_1} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Var}\left(n^{1/2}(Y_{nm_2} - Y_{nm_1})\right) = 0.$$

(We *first* let $n \rightarrow +\infty$.) From this, it now follows that

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_{mn} - \mu) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} N(0, v_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow +\infty} N(0, v).$$

□

5.3 Estimation of $\gamma(\cdot)$

The estimators for γ and ρ which are used are:

$$\hat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-h} (X_t - \bar{X}_n)(X_{t+h} - \bar{X}_n), \quad 0 \leq h \leq n-1$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(h)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}$$

respectively. These estimators are clearly biased, but nevertheless are the estimators used, to ensure that the estimated covariance matrix

$$\hat{\Gamma}_h = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\gamma}(0) & \dots & \hat{\gamma}(h) \\ \vdots & & \\ \hat{\gamma}(h) & \dots & \hat{\gamma}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is non-negative definite. The estimators are asymptotically unbiased. The estimates $(\hat{\gamma}(h))_{h=0}^n$ satisfy $\sum_h \gamma(h) = 0$ (exercise).

In the sequel, let $\underline{\gamma} = (\gamma(0), \gamma(1), \dots, \gamma(h))'$, with similar notation for estimators of $\underline{\gamma}$. That is, $\hat{\underline{\gamma}} = (\hat{\gamma}(0), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(h))^t$. This section is devoted to the following result:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\{X_t\}$ be a moving average process satisfying*

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_t\} \sim IID(0, \sigma^2)$$

where $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < +\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4] = \eta\sigma^4 < +\infty$. Let γ be the autocovariance function of $\{X_t\}$. Then for any non negative integer h

$$\hat{\underline{\gamma}} \sim AN\left(\underline{\gamma}, \frac{1}{n}V\right)$$

where V is the covariance matrix with entries

$$v_{pq} = (\eta - 3)\gamma(p)\gamma(q) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\gamma(k)\gamma(k-p+q) + \gamma(k+q)\gamma(k-p)).$$

Note that the ‘noise’ is i.i.d., but we do not require that it is Gaussian. If it is Gaussian, then (clearly) $\eta = 3$ and the first term in the expression for v_{pq} vanishes. We’ll present the proof in stages.

If it is *known* that $\mu = 0$, then the estimator

$$\gamma^*(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-h} X_j X_{j+h}$$

may be used for the ACVF. When trying to establish results, this is easier to work with; under conditions that \bar{X} is asymptotically consistent, $\hat{\underline{\gamma}}$ and γ^* will have the same asymptotics. We’ll use the notation

$$\underline{\gamma}^* = (\gamma^*(0), \dots, \gamma^*(h)).$$

To establish that $\hat{\underline{\gamma}}$ is asymptotically normal, we proceed in stages, firstly by considering $\underline{\gamma}^*$.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\{X_t\}$ be a strictly linear time series with mean 0;*

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_t\} \sim IID(0, \sigma^2)$$

satisfying $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4] = \eta\sigma^4 < \infty$. Let

$$\gamma^*(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t X_{t+h} \quad h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n \text{Cov}(\gamma^*(p), \gamma^*(q)) = (\eta - 3)\gamma(p)\gamma(q) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\gamma(k)\gamma(k-p+q) + \gamma(k+q)\gamma(k-p)).$$

Proof

$$\text{Cov}(\gamma^*(p), \gamma^*(q)) = \text{Cov}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-p} X_j X_{j+p}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-q} X_j X_{j+q}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-p} \sum_{k=1}^{n-q} \text{Cov}(X_j X_{j+p}, X_k X_{k+q})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_j X_{j+p}, X_k X_{k+q}) &= \mathbb{E}[X_j X_{j+p} X_k X_{k+q}] - \text{Cov}(X_j, X_{j+p}) \text{Cov}(X_k, X_{k+q}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_j X_{j+p} X_k X_{k+q}] - \gamma(p)\gamma(q) \end{aligned}$$

We'll use:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_j X_{j+p} X_k X_{k+q}] = \sum_{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4} \psi_{a_1} \psi_{a_2} \psi_{a_3} \psi_{a_4} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{j-a_1} \epsilon_{j+p-a_2} \epsilon_{k-a_3} \epsilon_{k+q-a_4}].$$

Now,

$$\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_s \epsilon_t \epsilon_u \epsilon_v] = \begin{cases} \eta \sigma^4 & s = t = u = v \\ \sigma^2 & s = t \neq u = v, \quad s = u \neq t = v \quad s = v \neq t = u \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_t X_{t+p} X_s X_{s+q}] &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \psi_i \psi_{j+p} \psi_k \psi_{l+q} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{t-i} \epsilon_{t-j} \epsilon_{s-k} \epsilon_{s-l}] \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \psi_i \psi_{j+p} \psi_{k-t+s} \psi_{l-t+s+q} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{t-i} \epsilon_{t-j} \epsilon_{t-k} \epsilon_{t-l}] \\ &= \eta \sigma^4 \sum_i \psi_i \psi_{i+p} \psi_{i-t+s} \psi_{i-t+s+q} + \sigma^4 \sum_{i \neq k} \psi_i \psi_{i+p} \psi_{k-t+s} \psi_{k-t+s+q} \\ &\quad + \sigma^4 \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_i \psi_{i-t+s} \psi_{j+p} \psi_{j-t+s+q} + \sigma^2 \sum_{i \neq j} \psi_i \psi_{i-t+s+q} \psi_{j+p} \psi_{j-t+s} \\ &= (\eta - 3) \sigma^4 \sum_i \psi_i \psi_{i+p} \psi_{i-t+s+p} \psi_{i-t+s+q} + \gamma(p)\gamma(q) + \gamma(t-s)\gamma(p+t-s-q) + \gamma(t-s-q)\gamma(p+t-s) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\gamma^*(p)\gamma^*(q)] &= \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n X_t X_{t+p} X_s X_{s+q}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^n (\gamma(p)\gamma(q) + \gamma(s-t)\gamma(s-t-p+q) + \gamma(s-t+q)\gamma(s-t-p) \\ &\quad + (\eta - 3)\sigma^2 \sum_i \psi_i \psi_{i+p} \psi_{i+s-t} \psi_{i+s-t+q}) \end{aligned}$$

Now set $k = s - t$, change the order of summation and subtract $\gamma(p)\gamma(q)$ from each side. It follows that

$$\text{Cov}(\gamma^*(p), \gamma^*(q)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{|k| < n} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) T_k,$$

where

$$T_k = \gamma(k)\gamma(k-p+q) + \gamma(k+q)\gamma(k-p) + (\eta - 3)\sigma^4 \sum_i \psi_i \psi_{i+p} \psi_{i+k} \psi_{i+k+q}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_k |T_k| \leq 2 \sum_k |\gamma(k)|^2 + |\eta - 3| \sigma^4 \left(\sum_k |\psi_k|^2\right)^2 < +\infty$$

since $\sum_k |\gamma(k)| < +\infty$ and hence $\sum_k |\gamma(k)|^2 < +\infty$ and $\sum |\psi_i| < +\infty$ and hence $\sum |\psi_i|^2 < +\infty$. It follows (using a standard $\epsilon \delta$ argument, noting that for any N , $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{|k| < n \wedge N} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) T_k \rightarrow \sum_{|k| < N} T_k$ and that for any $\epsilon > 0$, N may be chosen such that $\sup_n \left|\sum_{n \vee N \leq |k| < n} \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) T_k\right| < \epsilon$ that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_k$ is well defined and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n \text{Cov}(\gamma^*(p), \gamma^*(q)) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_k = (\eta - 3)\gamma(p)\gamma(q) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\gamma(k)\gamma(k-p+q) + \gamma(k+q)\gamma(k-p))$$

as required. \square

The covariance structure of $\underline{\gamma}^*$ has now been established; the following results show asymptotic normality. Firstly, Theorem 5.7 proves the result for a MA(2m + 1) process where m is finite; Theorem 5.9 extends it to strictly linear processes.

Theorem 5.7. Let $\{X_t\}$ be the moving average process

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-m}^m \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_t\} \sim IID(0, \sigma^2),$$

where $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4] = \eta\sigma^2 < +\infty$. Let γ be the autocovariance function of X . Let $\underline{\gamma}^* = (\gamma^*(0), \dots, \gamma^*(h))^t$ and $\underline{\gamma} = (\gamma(0), \dots, \gamma(h))^t$. Then

$$\underline{\gamma}^* \sim AN(\underline{\gamma}, n^{-1}V)$$

where $V = (v_{pq})_{p,q=0,\dots,h}$ is the covariance matrix with entries

$$v_{pq} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} T_k = (\eta - 3)\gamma(p)\gamma(q) + \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (\gamma(k)\gamma(k-p+q) + \gamma(k+q)\gamma(k-p)).$$

Proof This follows directly from the central limit theorem (Theorem 5.3); consider the random $h+1$ vectors

$$\underline{Y}_t = (X_t X_t, X_t X_{t+1}, \dots, X_t X_{t+h})^t$$

then \underline{Y}_t is a strictly stationary $(2m+h)$ dependent sequence and, taking $X_t X_{t+i} = 0$ for $t+i \geq n$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \underline{Y}_t = (\gamma^*(0), \dots, \gamma^*(h)).$$

For any linear combination $\underline{\lambda}^t \underline{\gamma}^*$ such that $\underline{\lambda}^t V \underline{\lambda} > 0$, it follows that $\{\underline{\lambda}^t \underline{Y}_t\}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3 and hence

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\underline{\lambda}^t \underline{\gamma}^* - \underline{\lambda}^t \underline{\gamma})}{\sqrt{\underline{\lambda}^t V \underline{\lambda}}} \rightarrow_{(d)} N(0, 1)$$

from which the result follows. \square

Lemma 5.8. Let $\{\underline{X}_n, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\underline{Y}_{nj}, j = 1, 2, \dots; n = 1, 2, \dots$ be random k -vectors such that

1. $\underline{Y}_{nj} \rightarrow \underline{Y}_j$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots$
2. $\underline{Y}_j \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and
3. $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P}(|\underline{X}_n - \underline{Y}_{nj}| > \epsilon) = 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

Then

$$\underline{X}_n \rightarrow \underline{Y} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Proof Clear from the definitions. \square

Theorem 5.9. The result of Theorem 5.7 remains true for a process

$$X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_j\} \sim IID(0, \sigma^2)$$

where $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < +\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4] = \eta\sigma^2 < +\infty$.

Proof The proof follows directly by applying Theorem 5.7 to the process

$$X_{tm} = \sum_{j=-m}^m \psi_j \epsilon_{t-j} \quad \{\epsilon_j\} \sim IID(0, \sigma^2).$$

Let

$$\gamma_m^*(p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n X_{tm} X_{(t+p)m},$$

then

$$n^{1/2}(\underline{\gamma}_m^* - \underline{\gamma}_m) \longrightarrow \underline{Y}_m$$

where γ_m is the autocovariance function of $\{X_{tm}\}$ and the vector notation is as in the previous theorem. Then $\underline{Y}_m \sim N(\underline{0}, V_m)$, where V_m is the covariance matrix from Theorem 5.7. As $m \rightarrow +\infty$, $V_m \rightarrow V$. The proof now follows by Lemma 5.8, provided it can be shown that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{P} \left(n^{1/2} |\gamma_m^*(p) - \gamma_m(p) - \gamma^*(p) + \gamma(p)| > \epsilon \right) = 0$$

for $p = 0, 1, \dots, h$. This follows by Chebyshev; the probability is bounded by

$$\frac{n}{\epsilon^2} \text{Var}(\gamma_m^*(p) - \gamma^*(p)) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (n \text{Var}(\gamma_m^*(p)) + n \text{Var}(\gamma^*(p)) - 2n \text{Cov}(\gamma_m^*(p), \gamma^*(p))).$$

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n \text{Var}(\gamma_m^*(p)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Var}(\gamma^*(p)) = v_{pp} \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n \text{Cov}(\gamma_m^*(p), \gamma^*(p)) &= v_{pp} \end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. \square

Now, we have already established that $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \mu$ in probability. Therefore, we should expect that $\hat{\gamma} - \gamma^* \rightarrow 0$. The following proposition establishes that $\hat{\gamma}$ is asymptotically normal, with the same asymptotic covariance structure as for γ^* .

Finally, we put all this together to get the main result (the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\gamma}$).

Proof of Theorem 5.5 For $0 \leq p \leq h$, it follows directly from the definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}(p) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_t X_{t+p} - \bar{X}_n \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_t + \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_t \right) + \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) \bar{X}_n^2 \\ &= \gamma^*(p) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=n-p+1}^n X_t X_{t+p} - \bar{X}_n \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_t + \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_{t+p} + \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) \bar{X}_n \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows directly that

$$\sqrt{n}(\gamma^*(p) - \hat{\gamma}(p)) = n^{1/2} \bar{X}_n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_{t+p} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_t + \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) \bar{X}_n \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=n-p+1}^n X_t X_{t+p}.$$

Now,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{t=n-p+1}^n X_t X_{t+p} \right| \right] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} p \gamma(0) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$n^{1/2}\bar{X}_n \sim \text{AN}\left(0, \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j\right)^2\right)$$

By the weak law of large numbers,

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_{t+p} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-p} X_t + \left(1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) \bar{X}_n\right) \rightarrow 0$$

in probability, from which the result follows. \square

5.4 Estimating the Autocorrelation Function $\rho(\cdot)$

Recall that $\hat{\rho}(h) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(h)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}$ so that $\hat{\rho}(\cdot) = g(\hat{\gamma}(\cdot))$ for a suitable function g . Asymptotic results for $\hat{\rho}$ are therefore obtained by applying the delta method to asymptotic results for $\hat{\gamma}$.

For the ACF $\rho(\cdot)$, the η term disappears.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $\underline{\rho} = (\rho(1), \dots, \rho(h))^t$ and $\underline{\hat{\rho}} = (\hat{\rho}(1), \dots, \hat{\rho}(h))^t$. Let $\{X_t\}$ satisfy:*

$$X_t = \mu + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j \epsilon_j \quad \{\epsilon_t\} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma^2)$$

where $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4] < \infty$, then

$$\underline{\hat{\rho}} \sim \text{AN}(\underline{\rho}, n^{-1}W)$$

where $W = (w_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,h}$ is the covariance matrix whose entries are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ij} = & \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \{\rho(k+i)\rho(k+j) + \rho(k-i)\rho(k+j) \\ & + 2\rho(i)\rho(j)\rho^2(k) - 2\rho(i)\rho(k)\rho(k+j) - 2\rho(j)\rho(k)\rho(k+i)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Proof This follows from the Delta Method (see the course Statistics): Let $g : \mathbb{R}^{h+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$g((x_0, \dots, x_h)^t) = \left(\left(\frac{x_1}{x_0} \right), \dots, \left(\frac{x_h}{x_0} \right) \right)^t.$$

Let γ be the autocovariance of $\{X\}$. Then

$$\underline{\hat{\rho}} = g(\underline{\hat{\gamma}}) \sim \text{AN}\left(g(\underline{\gamma}), \frac{1}{n}DVD^t\right)$$

where D is the matrix of partial derivatives:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_0} = -\frac{g_j}{x_0} & j = 1, \dots, h \\ \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial x_k} = \frac{1}{x_0} \mathbf{1}_{x_k}(x_j) & (j, k) \in \{1, \dots, h\}^2 \end{cases}$$

giving

$$D = \frac{1}{\gamma(0)} \begin{pmatrix} -\rho(1) & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\rho(2) & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ -\rho(h) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

The expression (5.1) is called *Bartlett's formula*. It may be re-arranged to obtain the more convenient form:

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{\rho(k+i) + \rho(k-i) - 2\rho(i)\rho(k)\} \times \{\rho(k+j) + \rho(k-j) - 2\rho(j)\rho(k)\}. \quad (5.2)$$

The assumption $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^4] < \infty$ is relaxed at the expense of a slightly stronger assumption on the sequence $\{\psi_j\}$.

Theorem 5.11. *If $\{X_t\}$ is a strictly linear time series where $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j^2 |j| < \infty$, then*

$$\hat{\rho} \sim AN(\rho, n^{-1}W)$$

where W is given by the previous theorem.

Proof Omitted. □

Using similar techniques, the asymptotic correlations between the estimators can be established;

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Corr}(\hat{\gamma}(i), \hat{\gamma}(j)) = \frac{v_{ij}}{\sqrt{v_{ii}v_{jj}}} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Corr}(\hat{\rho}(i), \hat{\rho}(j)) = \frac{w_{ij}}{\sqrt{w_{ii}w_{jj}}}.$$

5.5 The Ljung-Box Test

A very important situation is application to a series of residuals and deciding whether or not a 'white noise' model fits. As ever, $\rho(0) = 1$. If the series is white noise, then $\rho(1) = \rho(2) = \dots = \rho(h) = 0$ for all $h \geq 1$. In this situation, the Bartlett formula (5.1) reduces to (for $1 \leq i \leq j < +\infty$):

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases}$$

so that $\hat{\rho} \sim AN(0, \frac{1}{n}I)$. Hence, consider testing:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : & \text{The data are an observed random sample from } WN(0, \sigma^2) \\ H_1 & \text{The data are not an observed random sample from } WN(0, \sigma^2). \end{cases}$$

The test statistic

$$Q := n \sum_{j=1}^h \widehat{\rho}(j)^2 \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{(d)} \chi_h^2;$$

the null hypothesis is rejected for $Q > \chi_{h;\alpha}^2$ where $\chi_{h;\alpha}^2$ is the value such that $\mathbb{P}(X > \chi_{h;\alpha}^2) = \alpha$ for $X \sim \chi_h^2$.

The Ljung - Box test The Ljung–Box test (named after Greta M. Ljung and George E. P. Box) modifies the above test, to provide something that has greater accuracy for smaller n . They propose the test statistic

$$Q = n(n+2) \sum_{k=1}^h \frac{\widehat{\rho}^2(k)}{n-k}$$

where n is the sample size, $\widehat{\rho}(k)$ is the sample autocorrelation at lag k , and h is the number of lags being tested. Under the null hypothesis that the series is i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$, $Q \sim \chi_h^2$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

The motivation is as follows: let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$ be i.i.d. $N(0, \sigma^2)$ and let

$$r_k = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \epsilon_j \epsilon_{j+k}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j^2}.$$

(so that $r_k = \widehat{\rho}(k)$). By scaling, we can take ϵ_j 's i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$. Clearly $\mathbb{E}[r_k] = 0$, so that $\mathbb{E}[r_k^2] = \text{Var}(r_k)$. Some computation gives $\text{Var}(r_k) = \frac{n-k}{n(n+2)}$ so that the Ljung Box statistic has the same expectation as the χ^2 distribution.