

Tutorial 11

1. We have a single observation on a random variable X from a distribution with density

$$p(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} e^{-(x-\theta)} & x \geq \theta \\ 0 & x < \theta \end{cases}$$

where $\theta > 0$ is unknown. We test $H_0 : \theta = 0$ against the alternative $H_1 : \theta > 0$ and we reject the null hypothesis if the observed value $x \in [c, +\infty) = \mathcal{R}_{\text{crit}}$ for an appropriate $c > 0$.

- (a) Compute c if the test has significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.
- (b) Determine whether or not this test is uniformly most powerful.

2. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. with distribution $F(x)$ where

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-x^\theta} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad \theta > 0.$$

Find the most powerful test for $H_0 : \theta = 1$ versus $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ for a particular $\theta_1 > 1$. For $\alpha = 0.05$, show that this does not give a UMP test for $H_0 : \theta = 1$ versus $H_1 : \theta > 1$.

3. Let

$$X_i = \begin{pmatrix} X_{i1} \\ X_{i2} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

and suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent. Consider the hypothesis test: $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ versus the alternative $H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ or $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$. Compute the likelihood ratio test statistic.

4. The $F_{n,m}$ distribution is defined as follows: if $V \sim \chi_m^2$, $W \sim \chi_n^2$ and $V \perp W$, then $F := \frac{W/n}{V/m}$ has $F_{n,m}$ distribution. Let X_1, \dots, X_{n_1} and Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_2} be independent exponential $\text{Exp}(\theta)$ and $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ samples respectively and let $\Delta = \frac{\theta}{\lambda}$.

- (a) Let $f(\alpha)$ denote the value such that $\mathbb{P}(F > f(\alpha)) = \alpha$ where $F \sim F_{2n_1, 2n_2}$. Show that $\left[\frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} f\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right), \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}} f\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right]$ is a confidence interval for Δ with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$.
- (b) Show that the test with acceptance region (the region where H_0 is not rejected) given by $[f(1 - \alpha/2), f(\alpha/2)]$ for the test $H_0 : \Delta = 1$ versus $H_1 : \Delta \neq 1$ using test statistic $\hat{\Delta} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{Y}}$ has size α .

5. Let X_1, \dots, X_n denote the times (in days) to failure of n similar pieces of equipment which is considered to be an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ random sample. Consider the hypothesis $H_0 : \frac{1}{\lambda} = \mu \leq \mu_0$ (the average lifetime is no greater than μ_0).

- (a) Show that the test with critical region $\bar{X} \in \left[\mu_0 \frac{k_{2n, \alpha}}{2n}, +\infty \right)$ where $k_{m, \alpha}$ is the value such that $\mathbb{P}(W > k_{m, \alpha}) = \alpha$ for $W \sim \chi_m^2$, is a size α test.

- (b) Give an expression for the power function in terms of the χ^2_{2n} distribution.
- (c) Use the central limit theorem to show that $\Phi\left(-\frac{\mu_0 z_\alpha}{\mu} + \frac{\sqrt{n}(\mu - \mu_0)}{\mu}\right)$ is an approximation to the power function of the test in part (a). Here z_α is the value such that $\mathbb{P}(Z > z_\alpha) = \alpha$ for $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ and $\Phi(z) = \mathbb{P}(Z \leq x)$.
6. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $\text{Poiss}(\theta)$, where θ is unknown.
- (a) Construct a UMP level α test for $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$.
- (b) Show that the power function of the test is increasing in θ .
- (c) What distribution tables would you need to calculate the power function of the UMP test?
- (d) Give an approximate expression, derived using the central limit theorem, for the critical value (above which you reject H_0) if n is large and θ not too close to 0 or $+\infty$.
7. (a) Given a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from a distribution with c.d.f. F , let

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{(-\infty, x]}(X_j)$$

denote the empirical distribution. Consider the test of $H_0 : F = F_0$ versus the alternative $H_1 : F \neq F_0$ for a given F_0 . Let $D_n = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)|$ and consider the test: reject H_0 if and only if $D_n \geq k_\alpha$ for k_α such that $\mathbb{P}_{F_0}(D_n \geq k_\alpha) = \alpha$ under H_0 . (Recall that asymptotically D_n has the Kolmogorov distribution).

Show that the *power* of this test (for a true distribution F), $\beta(F)$, is bounded below by

$$\beta(F) \geq \sup_x \mathbb{P}_F(|\hat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)| \geq k_\alpha).$$

- (b) For $n = 80$, obtain an approximation $\widetilde{k_{0.1}}$ for $k_{0.1}$ and see how well it approximates the true value:

$$k_{0.1} \simeq \frac{1.2}{\sqrt{n}} \simeq 0.134$$

- (c) Again, take $\alpha = 0.10$ and $n = 80$. Let F_0 be the $N(0, 1)$ c.d.f. and

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left\{-\frac{x}{\tau}\right\}} \quad -\infty < x < +\infty \quad \tau = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi}.$$

With this choice of τ , this is the *logistic* distribution with mean zero and variance 1. Evaluate the lower bound $\mathbb{P}_F(|\hat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)| \geq k_\alpha)$ for $\alpha = 0.10$, $n = 80$ and $x = 1.5$ using the normal approximation to the binomial distribution of $n\hat{F}_n(x)$ and the approximate critical value of the previous part. (the value of 1.2 may be obtained from tables of the Kolmogorov Smirnov distribution). If F_0 is the c.d.f. for $N(0, 1)$ then $F_0(1.5) \simeq 0.93$.

- (d) Show that if $F \neq F_0$ and F and F_0 are continuous, then the power of this test tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. You may use the fact that $\sqrt{n}D_n$ under the null hypothesis converges to the Kolmogorov Smirnov distribution. In particular, $\sqrt{n}k_{0.1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_{0.1} = 1.2$.

8. Again, let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with continuous c.d.f. F and let \widehat{F}_n denote the empirical distribution. Let $\psi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ and $\alpha > 0$. Define the statistics:

$$S_{\psi, \alpha} = \sup_x \psi(F_0(x)) |\widehat{F}(x) - F_0(x)|^\alpha$$

$$T_{\psi, \alpha} = \sup_x \psi(\widehat{F}_n(x)) |\widehat{F}(x) - F_0(x)|^\alpha$$

$$U_{\psi, \alpha} = \int \psi(F_0(x)) |\widehat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)|^\alpha F_0(dx)$$

$$V_{\psi, \alpha} = \int \psi(\widehat{F}_n(x)) |\widehat{F}_n(x) - F_0(x)|^\alpha \widehat{F}_n(dx)$$

For each of these statistics show that the distribution under $H_0 : F = F_0$, does not depend on F_0 (continuous).