

Tutorial 7

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from distribution $p_\theta(0) = 1 - \theta$, $p_\theta(1) = \theta$, where the parameter $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Show that \bar{X} is a UMVU (uniformly minimum variance unbiased) estimator of θ .
- Let $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$. In other words

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x} \quad x = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

Consider the estimators $\hat{\theta} = \frac{X}{n}$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{X+1}{n+2}$. Compute the bias of these estimators, their variance and their mean squared errors. Are they consistent?

- Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a sample from a Poisson distribution with unknown parameter λ . To estimate λ , we estimate $g(\lambda) := \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 0) = e^{-\lambda}$ and we consider two estimators \hat{g}_1, \hat{g}_2 of g , where

$$\hat{g}_1 = e^{-\bar{X}}, \quad \hat{g}_2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{n\bar{X}},$$

where \bar{X} is the sample average. Compute the bias of the estimators \hat{g}_1 and \hat{g}_2 .

- Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample from a Bernoulli(p) distribution (that is, $\mathbb{P}(X_j = 1) = p$, $\mathbb{P}(X_j = 0) = 1 - p$). Show that there do not exist unbiased estimators of the quantities

$$g_1(p) = \frac{p}{1-p}, \quad g_2(p) = \frac{1}{p}.$$

- Let (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) be a random sample with unknown expected value μ and known variance σ^2 .

(a) Show that the statistic

$$T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$$

is an unbiased estimator of μ .

(b) Compute the variance of T and show that, for unbiased estimators of this form, it is minimised for $a_i = \frac{1}{n}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

- Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. Bernoulli(p) random variables. Find the Cramer-Rao lower bound for the variance of an unbiased estimator of $g(p) = p(1 - p)$.

- Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be a random sample from an exponential distribution $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$. That is, the density function is:

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq 0\}}.$$

Show that the statistic $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = nX_{1:n}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\frac{1}{\lambda}$, but that it is not consistent.

8. Suppose θ is an unknown parameter to be estimated, and let $f(X)$ be the estimator. Let $l(\theta, a)$ be a *loss function*, where the *loss* incurred when estimating θ by $f(X)$ is given by $l(\theta, f(X))$. The *risk function* is defined as:

$$R(\theta, f) = \mathbb{E}_\theta [l(\theta, f(X))].$$

Suppose that the loss function $l(\theta, a)$ is strictly convex in the variable a . Suppose $g(X)$ is an unbiased estimator of $q(\theta)$ and that $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic. Let $g^*(X) = \mathbb{E}_\theta [g(X)|T(X)]$. Show that $R(\theta, g^*) \leq R(\theta, g)$.

Hint Jensen's inequality: if ϕ is a convex function and X a random variable then

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi(X)] \geq \phi(\mathbb{E}[X]).$$

9. Let X have density or probability mass function $p(x, \theta)$, where $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the following assumptions hold:

- $\{x : p(x, \theta) > 0\}$ is the same for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and
- For any statistic T satisfying $\mathbb{E}[|T(X)|] < +\infty$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\int T(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p(x, \theta) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int T(x) p(x, \theta) dx.$$

Suppose that h is monotone increasing and differentiable from Θ to $h(\Theta)$. Let $\eta = h(\theta)$ and $q(x, \eta) = p(x, h^{-1}(\eta))$.

- (a) Let $I_p(\theta)$ and $I_q(\eta)$ denote the Fisher information in the two parametrisations. Show that

$$I_q(\eta) = \frac{1}{(h'(h^{-1}(\eta)))^2} I_p(h^{-1}(\eta)).$$

- (b) Let $B_p(\theta)$ and $B_q(\eta)$ denote the information inequality lower bound for the two parametrisations. That is,

$$B_p(\theta) = \frac{(\psi_1'(\theta))^2}{I(\theta)}, \quad B_q(\eta) = \frac{(\psi_2'(\eta))^2}{I(\eta)}$$

where $\psi_1(\theta)$ is the quantity to be estimated and $\psi_2(\eta) = \psi_1(h^{-1}(\eta))$; i.e. the same quantity under the η -parametrisation.

Show that $B_q(\eta) = B_p(h^{-1}(\eta))$. That is, the Fisher information lower bound is the same.

10. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ where μ is known.

- (a) Show that

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)^2$$

is a UMVU estimator of σ^2 .

- (b) For parameter estimation, a *decision rule* d simply means assigning a decision $d(X)$ for the unknown parameter. A decision rule d is *inadmissible* if there is another decision rule d^* such that $R(\theta, d^*) \leq R(\theta, d)$ for all θ and $R(\theta, d^*) < R(\theta, d)$ for some θ . A decision rule is *admissible* if it is not inadmissible. Show that $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is inadmissible under squared loss error $R(\theta, d) = \mathbb{E}_\theta [|\theta - d(X)|^2]$.

Hint Consider bias estimators of the form $a_n \hat{\sigma}^2$ where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is defined above.

11. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be independent Poisson random variables, where

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_j] = \mu_j = \exp \{ \alpha + \beta z_j \}.$$

(For example, z_j could be the level of a drug given to the j th patient with an infectious disease, and Y_j could denote the number of infectious microbes found in a unit of blood taken from patient j 24 hours after the drug was administered.)

- (a) Write the model for Y_1, \dots, Y_n in two-parameter canonical exponential form and give the sufficient statistic.
- (b) Let $\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$. Compute $I(\theta)$, the information matrix for the model and find the lower bound on the variances of unbiased estimators $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ of α and β .
- (c) Let $z_i = \log \left(\frac{i}{n+1} \right)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Compute $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} I(\theta)$ and give the limit of n times the lower bound on the variances of $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$.

Hint Use integral approximations for the sums.

Short Answers

1. Firstly,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta [\bar{X}] = \mathbb{E}_\theta [X_1] = 0 \times (1 - \theta) + 1 \times \theta = \theta$$

so the estimator is unbiased.

Secondly: there are several ways to show it is UMVU.

$$p_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \theta^{\sum_j x_j} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_j x_j} = \exp \left\{ n\bar{x} \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right) + n \log(1 - \theta) \right\}$$

This is an exponential family; take \bar{X} as the sufficient statistic and $\eta := n \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)$ as the canonical parameter. Hence, by the result in lectures, \bar{X} is the UMVU estimator of its expected value, which is $\mathbb{E}_\theta[\bar{X}] = \theta$.

Alternatively, we can compute the Cramér-Rao lower bound directly. The estimator has variance

$$\mathbf{V}_\theta(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{V}_\theta(X_1) = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n}.$$

Now to show that this achieves the C-R lower bound, compute $I(\theta) = nI_1(\theta)$, the information in the sample.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log p_\theta(0) &= -\frac{1}{1 - \theta} & \frac{d}{d\theta} \log p_\theta(1) &= \frac{1}{\theta} \\ I_1(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p_\theta(X) \right)^2 \right] = (1 - \theta) \frac{1}{(1 - \theta)^2} + \theta \frac{1}{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{\theta(1 - \theta)} \end{aligned}$$

hence the information from a sample size n is:

$$I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta(1 - \theta)}$$

and the C-R lower bound is

$$\frac{1}{I(\theta)} = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n}.$$

hence estimator is UMVU.

2.

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[X] = \frac{1}{n} n\theta = \theta$$

so this estimator is unbiased.

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{V}(X) = \frac{1}{n^2} n\theta(1 - \theta) = \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{n} < \frac{1}{4n}$$

so

$$\mathbb{P}(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\theta(1 - \theta)}{\epsilon^2 n} \leq \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2 n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$$

hence uniformly consistent.

For $\tilde{\theta}$

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\theta}] = \frac{n\theta + 1}{n + 2} = \frac{n}{n + 2}\theta + \frac{1}{n + 2}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}(\tilde{\theta}) &= \frac{n}{n + 2}\theta + \frac{1}{n + 2} - \theta = \frac{1 - 2\theta}{n + 2} \\ \mathbf{V}(\tilde{\theta}) &= \frac{n\theta(1 - \theta)}{(n + 2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

so that the mean squared error is:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\tilde{\theta} - \theta|^2 \right] = \frac{(1 - 2\theta)^2}{(n + 2)^2} + \frac{n\theta(1 - \theta)}{(n + 2)^2} = \frac{1 + (n - 4)\theta + 3\theta^2}{(n + 2)^2}$$

Yes - the estimator is uniformly consistent; for $n \geq 4$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\tilde{\theta} - \theta|^2 > \epsilon) \leq \frac{1 + (n - 4)\theta(1 - \theta)}{\epsilon^2(n + 2)^2} \leq \frac{1 + (n - 4)/4}{\epsilon^2(n + 2)^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

3. $Y := n\bar{X} = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \sim \text{Pois}(n\lambda)$ so that

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{g}_1] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-Y/n} \right] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda n)^x}{x!} e^{-n\lambda - (x/n)} = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda n e^{-1/n})^x}{x!} e^{-n\lambda} = e^{-n\lambda(1 - e^{-1/n})}$$

so

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{g}_1) = e^{-\lambda} \left(e^{-\lambda(n(1 - e^{-1/n}) - 1)} - 1 \right).$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{g}_2] = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n\lambda)(1 - \frac{1}{n}))^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda n} = e^{n\lambda - \lambda - n\lambda} = e^{-\lambda}$$

so that \hat{g}_2 is unbiased.

4. An unbiased estimator of $g_1(p)$ is a function of the n binary variables $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}[T(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \sum_{\{0,1\}^n} T(x_1, \dots, x_n) p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} = \frac{p}{1 - p}$$

where k denotes the number of 1s in the sequence (x_1, \dots, x_n) .

so that

$$0 = \sum_{\{0,1\}^n} T(x_1, \dots, x_n) p^{k-1} (1 - p)^{n-k+1} - 1.$$

This holds for all p , which is a contradiction, since the equation is a polynomial of degree $n + 1$ and hence has at most $n + 1$ distinct roots. Similarly for g_2 .

5. (a)

$$\mathbb{E}[T] = \mu \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \mu.$$

(b)

$$\mathbf{V}(T) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$$

$n - 1$ free variables; $a_n = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j$ so that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \mathbf{V}(T) = 2\sigma^2 (a_i - a_n) = 0$$

so that $a_1 = \dots = a_n$. With constraint that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j = 1$, it follows that $a_j = \frac{1}{n}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, n$.

6.

$$\mathbb{P}(x) = p^x(1-p)^{1-x} \Rightarrow \log \mathbb{P}(x) = x \log p + (1-x) \log(1-p) \quad x \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$\frac{d}{dp} \log \mathbb{P}(x) = \frac{d}{dp} \log \mathbb{P}(x) = \left(\frac{x}{p} - \frac{(1-x)}{1-p} \right) = \left(\frac{x}{p(1-p)} - \frac{1}{1-p} \right)$$

$$I(p) = \mathbf{V}_p \left(\frac{d}{dp} \log \mathbb{P}_p(X) \right) = \frac{1}{p^2(1-p)^2} \mathbf{V}_p(X) = \frac{1}{p(1-p)}.$$

For n observations, $I_n(g) = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}$. The Cramér lower bound is therefore:

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{g}) \geq \frac{(g'(p))^2}{I_n(g)} = \frac{(1-2p)^2 p(1-p)}{n}.$$

7. $\min_j X_j \sim \text{Exp}(n\lambda)$ hence

$$\mathbb{E} \left[n \min_j X_j \right] = n \frac{1}{n\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

$$\mathbf{V} \left(n \min_j X_j \right) = n^2 \frac{1}{n^2 \lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2},$$

Also, $n \min_j X_j \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, hence $\mathbb{P}(|n \min_j X_j - \lambda| > \epsilon) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

8. This follows immediately from the definition and Jensen:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\theta, g^*) &= \mathbb{E}_\theta [l(\theta, g^*(X))] = \mathbb{E}_\theta [l(\theta, \mathbb{E}_\theta [g(X)|T(X)])] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_\theta [\mathbb{E}_\theta [l(\theta, g(X))|T(X)]] = \mathbb{E}_\theta [l(\theta, g(X))] = R(\theta, g). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we do not need T to be a *sufficient* statistic.

9. (a) This follows simply from the definition: let $\eta = h(\theta)$, then

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} \log q(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{dh(\theta)/d\theta} \frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(x, \theta)$$

$$I_q(\eta) = \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[\left(\frac{d}{d\eta} \log q(x, \eta) \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{(h'(\theta))^2} \mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p(x, \theta) \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{h'(h^{-1}(\eta))} I_p(h^{-1}(\eta)).$$

(b) Consider a quantity $\psi(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_\theta[T(X)]$, so that $T(X)$ is an unbiased estimator of $\psi(\theta)$. The lower bound using parameter θ is:

$$\frac{(\psi'(\theta))^2}{I_p(\theta)},$$

while with parameter η :

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} \psi(h^{-1}(\eta)) = \psi'(h^{-1}(\eta)) \frac{dh^{-1}(\eta)}{d\eta},$$

so that the lower bound is:

$$\frac{\left(\frac{d}{d\eta} \psi(h^{-1}(\eta)) \right)^2}{I_q(\eta)} = \frac{(\psi'(h^{-1}(\eta)))^2}{I_p(h^{-1}(\eta))}.$$

10. (a) Unbiased is clear:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma^2} [\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{V}_{\sigma^2}(X_j) = \sigma^2$$

The variance is:

$$\mathbf{V}_{\sigma^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{V}((X_j - \mu)^2) = \frac{\sigma^4}{n} \mathbf{V} \left(\left(\frac{X_1 - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$$

while the Fisher information is $I(\sigma^2) = nI_1(\sigma^2)$;

$$\frac{d}{d(\sigma^2)} \log p(x, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^4}$$

Let $I_n(\sigma^2)$ denote the information in a sample of size n , then $I_n(\sigma^2) = nI_1(\sigma^2)$ and:

$$I_1(\sigma^2) = \mathbf{V}_{\sigma^2} \left(\left(\frac{d}{d(\sigma^2)} \log p(x, \sigma^2) \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{4\sigma^4} \mathbf{V}_{\sigma^2} \left(\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \right)$$

and now use $V = \left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2 \sim \chi_1^2$ so that $\mathbf{V}(V) = 2$. Then

$$I_1(\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \Rightarrow I_n(\sigma^2) = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4}.$$

Hence the Cramér-Rao lower bound is $\frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$, which is $\mathbf{V}_{\sigma^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2)$.

so that $I(\sigma^2) = \frac{n}{2\sigma^4}$ giving a lower bound of $\frac{2\sigma^4}{n}$, hence $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an UMVU estimator.

- (b) For an unbiased estimator, this risk function is simply the variance since $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is UMVU, it follows that any estimator with smaller risk must be biased. Try estimators of the form $a_n \hat{\sigma}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\sigma^2} \left[|a_n \hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2|^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\sigma^2} \left[|a_n(\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2) + (a_n - 1)\sigma^2|^2 \right] \\ &= a_n^2 \mathbf{V}_{\sigma^2}(\hat{\sigma}^2) + ((a_n - 1)\sigma^2)^2 \\ &= a_n^2 \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} + (a_n - 1)^2 \sigma^4\end{aligned}$$

Minimising gives: $a_n = \frac{n}{n+2}$. This gives estimator $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n+2} \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j - \mu)^2$ and $R(\sigma^2, \tilde{\sigma}^2) = \frac{2}{n+2} \sigma^4$ which is smaller than the UMVU estimator.

11. (a)

$$p(y_1, \dots, y_n; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n y_j!} \exp \left\{ \alpha \sum_{j=1}^n y_j + \beta \sum_{j=1}^n y_j z_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \exp \{ \alpha + \beta z_j \} \right\}$$

Sufficient statistic: $T(Y) = (T_1(Y), T_2(Y))$ where

$$T_1(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j Y_j.$$

- (b)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log p &= \sum_{j=1}^n y_j - \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\alpha + \beta z_j} \Rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log p = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\alpha + \beta z_j} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log p &= \sum_{j=1}^n y_j z_j - \sum_{j=1}^n z_j e^{\alpha + \beta z_j} \Rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \log p = e^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2 e^{\beta z_j} \\ &\quad -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \log p = e^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n z_j e^{\beta z_j}\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}I_{\alpha, \alpha}(\theta) &= -\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \log p(X, \alpha, \beta) \right] = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j = e^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n e^{\beta z_j} \\ I_{\beta, \beta}(\theta) &= -\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta^2} \log p(X; \alpha, \beta) \right] = e^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2 e^{\beta z_j} \\ I_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) &= -\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} \log p(X; \alpha, \beta) \right] = e^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n z_j e^{\beta z_j}.\end{aligned}$$

We have to invert the information matrix:

$$I^{-1}(\theta) = \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{\left(\sum e^{\beta z_j} \right) \left(\sum z_j^2 e^{\beta z_j} \right) - \left(\sum z_j e^{\beta z_j} \right)^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sum z_j^2 e^{\beta z_j} & -\sum z_j e^{\beta z_j} \\ -\sum z_j e^{\beta z_j} & \sum e^{\beta z_j} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\alpha}) \geq e^\alpha \left\{ \left(\sum e^{\beta z_j} \right) - \frac{\left(\sum z_j e^{\beta z_j} \right)^2}{\left(\sum z_j^2 e^{\beta z_j} \right)} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\beta}) \geq e^\alpha \left\{ \left(\sum z_j^2 e^{\beta z_j} \right) - \frac{\left(\sum z_j e^{\beta z_j} \right)^2}{\left(\sum e^{\beta z_j} \right)} \right\}$$

Note If the information matrix is singular, then the results are correct but useless; they give variances greater than or equal to 0.

(c) Integrals are straightforward :

$$\int_0^1 x^\beta dx = \frac{1}{1+\beta}$$

$$\int_0^1 x^\beta \log x dx = \int_0^1 e^{\beta \log x} \log x dx = \frac{d}{d\beta} \int_0^1 x^\beta dx = -\frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2}$$

$$\int_0^1 x^\beta (\log x)^2 dx = \frac{d^2}{d\beta^2} \int_0^1 x^\beta dx = \frac{2}{(1+\beta)^3}$$

In the limit,

$$\frac{1}{n} I(\theta) \rightarrow e^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+\beta} & -\frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2} \\ -\frac{1}{(1+\beta)^2} & \frac{2}{(1+\beta)^3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$nI^{-1}(\theta) \rightarrow e^{-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 2(1+\beta) & (1+\beta)^2 \\ (1+\beta)^2 & (1+\beta)^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lower bounds: $2(1+\beta)e^{-\alpha}$ and $(1+\beta)^3e^{-\alpha}$ respectively for α and β .