# Chapter 11

# Support Vector Machines

Assume we have a learning set  $\mathcal{L} = \{(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, ..., n\}$  where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^r$  (an r-variate observation) and  $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Here  $y_i$  is a class variable, two classes, which we label +1 and -1. We would like to construct a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathbb{R}$  such that C(x) = sign(f(x)) is a classifier. The separating function f then classifies a test set  $\mathcal{T}$  into two classes,  $\Pi_+$  and  $\Pi_-$  depending on whether f(x) is positive or negative.

## 11.1 Linear Separability

The learning set  $\mathcal{L}$  is *linearly separable* if and only if there is a  $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and a  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^r$  such that  $f(x) = \beta_0 + x'\beta$  separates  $\mathcal{L}$ ; for each  $(y_i, x_i) \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $f(x_i) > 0$  if  $y_i = 1$  and  $f(x_i) < 0$  if  $y_i = -1$ . The hyperplane f(x) = 0 is said to separate  $\mathcal{L}$ .

If such a f exists then, by rescaling, we can find  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \beta_0 + x_i'\beta \ge +1 & y_i = +1 \\ \beta_0 + x_i'\beta \le -1 & y_i = -1. \end{cases}$$

Now consider the two hyperplanes  $H_{+1}: (\beta_0 - 1) + x'\beta = 0$  and  $H_{-1}: (\beta_0 + 1) + x'\beta = 0$ . Points of  $\mathcal{L}$  that lie in either  $H_{+1}$  or  $H_{-1}$  are said to be *support vectors*.

If  $x_{-1}$  lies on  $H_{-1}$  and  $x_{+1}$  lies on  $H_{+1}$  then

$$\begin{cases} (x'_{+1} - x'_{-1})\beta = 2\\ \beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(x'_{+1} + x'_{-1})\beta. \end{cases}$$

The perpendicular distances of the hyperplane  $\beta_0 + x'\beta = 0$  to the points  $x_{-1}$  and  $x_{+1}$  are:

$$d_{-} = \frac{|\beta_0 + x'_{-1}\beta|}{\|\beta\|} = \frac{1}{\|\beta\|} \qquad d_{+} = \frac{|\beta_0 + x'_{+1}\beta|}{\|\beta\|} = \frac{1}{\|\beta\|}.$$

This is easily computed: the unit normal vector to the plane is  $\hat{n} = \frac{\beta}{\|\beta\|}$ . The closest point to  $x_{-1}$  on the plane is:

$$\widetilde{x}_{-1} = x_{-1} - (x'_{-1}\widehat{n})\widehat{n} - \frac{\beta_0}{\|\beta\|}\widehat{n}.$$

Now, to see that it is on the plane:

$$\beta_0 + \widetilde{x}'_{-1}\beta = \beta_0 + x'_{-1}\beta - (x'_{-1}\widehat{n})(\widehat{n}'\beta) - \beta_0 = 0.$$

Furthermore, it is the closest point, since the difference is orthogonal to the plane. Since this point lies on the plane  $(\beta_0 + 1) + x'\beta = 0$ , its distance from the plane  $\beta_0 + x'\beta = 0$  is:

$$d(\widetilde{x}_{-1}, x_{-1})^2 = |x'_{-1} \frac{\beta}{\|\beta\|} + \frac{\beta_0}{\|\beta\|}|^2 = \frac{1}{\|\beta\|^2}$$

from which the expression for  $d_{-}$  follows. The argument for  $d_{+}$  is the same.

The margin of the separating hyperplanes is:  $d = \frac{2}{\|\beta\|}$ . Note that:

$$y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) > +1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n$$

The problem is to find the optimal separating hyperplane, i.e. maximise the margin. That is:

minimise 
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|^2$$
  
subject to  $y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) \ge 1$   $i = 1, ..., n$ 

This is a convex optimisation problem, hence we have a global minimum. The problem is solved using the Lagrange multiplier technique: set

$$F_p(\beta_0, \beta, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left( y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) - 1 \right)$$
  
$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad \alpha_i \ge 0$$

where  $\alpha$  is the *n*-vector of Lagrange coefficients. The Lagrange method is to find a global minimium for fixed  $\alpha$  and then choose the value of  $\alpha$  such that the constraint is satisfied. This boils down to:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F_P}{\partial \beta_0} = -\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0\\ \frac{\partial F_P}{\partial \beta} = \beta - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0\\ y_i (\beta_0 + x_i' \beta) - 1 \ge 0\\ \alpha_i \ge 0\\ \alpha_i (y_i (\beta_0 + x_i' \beta) - 1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for i = 1, ..., n.

This may be solved directly, but it may also be expressed in the dual form, which gives some computational advantage. The minimiser  $(\beta_0^*, \beta)$  satisfies:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \qquad \beta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

and, putting this into so the equation for  $F_P$  gives the dual:

$$F_D(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\beta^*\| - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left( y_i (\beta_0^* + x_i' \beta^*) - 1 \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i' x_j).$$

The primal variables have been removed from the problem;  $F_D$  is referred to as the dual functional of the optimisation problem. The problem may therefore be expressed as:

maximise 
$$F_D(\alpha) = \mathbf{1}'_n \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \alpha' H \alpha$$
  
subject to  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha' y = 0$ 

where  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)'$ , H is an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries:  $H_{ij}y_iy_j(x_i'x_j)$ . Let  $\widehat{\alpha}$  solve the optimisation problem, then

$$\widehat{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{\alpha}_i y_i x_i$$

gives the optimal vector of weights. For  $\hat{\alpha}_i > 0$ , we have  $y_i(\beta_0^* + x_i'\beta^*) = 1$  and hence  $x_i$  is a support vector; for all observations that are not support vectors,  $\hat{\alpha}_i = 0$ . Let  $sv \in \{1, ..., n\}$  be the subset of indices that identify support vectors, then any optimal  $\beta$  is:

$$\widehat{\beta} = \sum_{i \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_i y_i x_i.$$

The primal and dual optimisation problems yield the same solution, the dual is easier to compute. The optimal bias  $\hat{\beta}_0$  is not determined explicitly from the optimisation problem, but is computed from  $\alpha_i(y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) - 1) = 0$  for each support vector and averaging the results.

$$\widehat{\beta}_0 = \frac{1}{|sv|} \sum_{i \in sv} \left( \frac{1 - y_i x_i' \widehat{\beta}}{y_i} \right).$$

Hence the optimal hyperplane is:

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \widehat{\beta}_0 + x'\widehat{\beta} = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} \widehat{\alpha}_i y_i(x_i'x_i)$$

The classification rule is:

$$C(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\widehat{f}(x))$$

For  $j \in sv$ ,

$$y_j \widehat{f}(x_j) = y_j \widehat{\beta}_0 + \sum_{i \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_i y_i y_j (x_j' x_i) = 1$$

so that the squared-norm of the weight vector  $\hat{\beta}$  satisfies:

$$\|\widehat{\beta}\|^2 = \sum_{i \in sv} \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_i \widehat{\alpha}_j y_i y_j (x_i' x_j) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j y_j \sum_{i \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_i y_i (x_i' x_j) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_0) = \sum_{j \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_j (1 - y_j \widehat{\beta}_$$

### 11.2 Linearly Non-Separable

Now suppose that observations are noisy, so that they do not necessarily split into two distinct classes; there is some overlap. We introduce the concept of a non-negative slack variable  $\xi_i$  for each observation  $(x_i, y_i)$ . Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)'$ . The constraint now becomes:

$$y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) + \xi_i \ge 1$$
  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 

We now find the optimal hyperplane that controls both the margin  $\frac{2}{\|\beta\|}$  and some computationally simple function of the slack variables such as

$$g_{\sigma}(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}^{\sigma}.$$

The usual values are either  $\sigma = 1$  or  $\sigma = 2$ . We consider  $\sigma = 1$  (the other case can be done as an exercise). The 1-norm soft-margin optimisation problem is to find  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\xi$  to:

minimise 
$$\frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|^2 + C \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_i$$
subject to 
$$\xi_i \ge 0, \quad y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

where C is a cost parameter, the cost of misclassification. The primal function for the Lagrange multiplier problem is:

$$F_P(\beta_0, \beta, \xi, \alpha, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \|\beta\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left( y_i (\beta_0 + x_i' \beta) - (1 - \xi_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \xi_i$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\eta \geq 0$ . For fixed  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$ , differentiating with respect to  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\xi$  gives:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial F_P}{\partial \beta_0} = -\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = 0\\ \frac{\partial F_P}{\partial \beta} = \beta - \sum_{i=1} \alpha_i y_i x_i = 0\\ \frac{\partial F_P}{\partial \xi_i} = C - \alpha_i - \eta_i = 0 \qquad i = 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

so that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i = 0 \qquad \beta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i \qquad \eta_i = C - \alpha_i$$

The solution to the optimisation problem is obtained by fixing  $\alpha$  and  $\eta$  so that the constraints are satisfied.

The dual functional may be obtained by plugging in appropriately:

$$F_D(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i' x_j)$$

which is the same as for linear separated.

We now have the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions:

$$y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) - (1 - \xi_i) \geq 0$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0$$

$$\alpha_i \geq 0$$

$$\eta_i \geq 0$$

$$\alpha_i(y_i(\beta_0 + x_i'\beta) - (1 - \xi_i)) = 0$$

$$\xi_i(\alpha_i - C) = 0$$

A slack variable  $\xi_i$  can be zero if and only if  $\alpha_i = C$ . The last two equations are used to compute the optimal bias  $\beta_0$ .

As before, the dual problem can be written as: find  $\alpha$  to:

maximise 
$$F_D(\alpha) = \mathbf{1}'_n \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \alpha' H \alpha$$
  
subject to  $\alpha' y = 0$ ,  $0 \le \alpha \le C \mathbf{1}_n$ .

The feasible region is the intersection of  $\alpha' y = 0$  with the box constraint  $0 \le \alpha \le C \mathbf{1}_n$ . As before, if  $\widehat{\alpha}$  solves the optimisation problem, then

$$\widehat{\beta} = \sum_{i \in sv} \widehat{\alpha}_i y_i x_i.$$

## 11.3 NonLinear Support Vector Machines

The observations  $x_i$  only enter into the dual problem via their inner products  $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = x_i' x_j$  and this observation is the crux of extending to nonlinear SVMs.

Let  $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^r \to \mathcal{H}$  be a linear mapping from observation space to a space known as *feature* space. Let

$$\Phi(x_i) = (\phi_1(x_i), \dots, \phi_{N(\mathcal{H})}(x_i))$$

where  $N(\mathcal{H})$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The transformed sample is  $(\Phi(x_i), y_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n. If we substitute  $\Phi(x_i)$  for  $x_i$ , we replace  $x_i'x_j$  by:

$$\langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{N(\mathcal{H})} \phi_k(x_i) \phi_k(x_j)$$

### 11.3.1 The Kernel Trick

Let  $K(x_i, x_j) = \langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle$ . This is known as a *kernel*. We start with a kernel and then compute the corresponding  $\Phi$ . We require a kernel to satisfy:

- K(x,y) = K(y,x) (symmetry)
- $|K(x,y)|^2 \le K(x,x)K(y,y)$  (derived from Cauchy Schwartz inequality)

We would like a reproducing kernel; that is, for any function  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ 

$$\langle f(.), K(x,.) \rangle = f(x)$$

Note, if K is a reproducing kernel, then  $\langle K(x,.), K(y,.) \rangle = K(x,y)$ .

#### 11.3.2 Examples of Kernels

Some standard examples are:

- Polynomial of degree d:  $K(x,y) = (\langle x,y \rangle + c)^d$
- Gaussian radial:  $K(x,y) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}||x-y||^2\right\}$
- Laplace  $K(x, y) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma}||x y||\right\}$
- Thin-plate spline  $K(x,y) = \left(\frac{\|x-y\|}{\sigma}\right)^2 \log(\frac{\|x-y\|}{\sigma})$
- Sigmoid  $K(x,y) = \tanh(a\langle x,y\rangle + b)$

For example, consider r=2 and d=2,  $x=(x_1,x_2)'$ ,  $y=(y_1,y_2)'$  and

$$K(x,y) = (\langle x, y \rangle + c)^2 = (x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + c)^2 = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle.$$

Here

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1, x_2, \sqrt{2c}x_1, \sqrt{2c}x_2, c)'$$

The function  $\Phi(x)$  consists of six features and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^6$ .

Let K be a kernel and suppose that the observations of  $\mathcal{L}$  are linearly separable in the *feature* space corresponding to kernel K. Then the dual optimisation problem is as before, but with the matrix H:

$$H_{ij} = y_i y_j K(x_i, x_j) = y_i y_j K_{ij}.$$

Since K is a kernel, the matrix K defined by entries  $K_{ij}$  is non-negative definite so that the optimisation problem can be solved as before.

The non-separable setting (for the dual problem) also follows through as before.

Grid search for parameters A reproducing kernel Hilbert space is a Hilbert space such that there is a Kernel K satisfying  $f(x) = \langle f, K_x \rangle$ . Consider the Gaussian reproducing kernel. We need to determine two parameters: C, the cost of violating the constraints and the parameter  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ . The parameter C for the box constraints is usually chosen by searching through a wide range of possible values using cross validation (usually 10-fold) on  $\mathcal{L}$  An initial grid rather crude grid of possible values for  $\gamma$ , say 0.00001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1 can be used to get a 'ball park' figure and then refined. In this way, we make a two-way grid for  $(C, \gamma)$ .

### 11.3.3 SVM as a Regularisation Method

Let  $\mathcal{H}_K$  denote the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with K and let  $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$ . Let  $||f||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2$  denote the squared norm of f in  $\mathcal{H}_K$ . We consider the hinge loss function:

$$L = (1 - y_i f(x_i))_+$$

Note that L = 0 if  $y_i f(x_i) \ge 1$ . That is, L = 0 for  $y_i = 1$  and  $f(x_i) \ge 1$  or  $y_i = -1$  and  $f(x_i) < -1$  (the situations where  $f(x_i)$  gives the correct classification).

Consider the problem of finding  $f \in \mathcal{H}_K$  to:

minimise 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - y_i f(x_i))_+ + \lambda ||f||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2$$

where  $\lambda > 0$ . The first term measures the distance of the data from separability, while the second penalises overfitting. The tuning parameter  $\lambda$  balances the trade-off.

The optimisation criterion is not differentiable, but we can consider it as follows:

$$f(.) = f^{\parallel}(.) + f^{\perp}(.) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K(x_i, .) + f^{\perp}(.)$$

where  $f^{\parallel}$  denotes the projection of f onto the subspace of  $\mathcal{H}_K$  generated by  $(K(x_1,.),\ldots,K(x_n,.))$  and  $f^{\perp}$  is the part perpendicular to this; i.e.  $\langle f^{\perp}(.),K(x_i,.)\rangle=0$  for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ . Since

$$f(x_i) = \langle f(.), K(x_i, .) \rangle = \langle f^{\parallel}(.), K(x_i, .) \rangle + \langle f^{\perp}(.), K(x_i, .) \rangle$$

and the second term vanishes, we have:

$$f^{\parallel}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K(x_i, x)$$

independent of  $f^{\perp}$  and hence

$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 = ||\sum_i \alpha_i \alpha_i K(x_i, .)||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 + ||f^{\perp}||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 \ge ||\sum_i \alpha_i K(x_i, .)||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2$$

with equality if and only if  $f^{\perp} = 0$ .

Therefore

$$||f^{\parallel}||_{\mathcal{H}_K}^2 = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j K(x_i, x_j) = ||\beta||^2$$

where  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \Phi(x_i)$ .

If the space  $\mathcal{H}_K$  consists of linear functions of the form  $f(x) = \beta_0 + \Phi(x)'\beta$ , with  $||f||_{\mathcal{H}_k}^2 = ||\beta||^2$ , then the problem of finding f is equivalent of finding  $\beta_0, \beta$  which solves:

minimise 
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - y_i(\beta_0 + \Phi(x_i)'\beta))_+ + \lambda \|\beta\|^2$$

so that the problem with non-differentiability due to the hinge loss function can be reformulated in terms of the 1-norm soft-margin optimisation problem.