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1. Since $f(1)=f(1 \cdot 1)=f(1)^{2}$, we get $f(1)=1$ or $f(1)=0$. The latter leads to $f(x)=$ $f(1) f(x)=0$. The former gives $f(1)=f\left(x_{1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{n}^{2}\right)=f(x)^{2}$ and thus $f(x) \in\{-1,1\}$. We get that each $f\left(1, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, 1\right)$ is either identically 1 or is equal to $x_{i}$.
Moreover

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(1, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, 1\right)
$$

Thus $f=w_{S}$ for some $S \subseteq[n]$ (namely, that set $S$ consists of indices $i$ such that $\left.f\left(1, \ldots, x_{i}, \ldots, 1\right)=x_{i}\right)$.
2. Let us calculate the number of canonical paths passing through a fixed directed edge.

$$
\left(L 0, x_{i}, R 0\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow\left(L 1, x_{i}, R 0\right) \rightarrow\left(L 1,-x_{i}, R 0\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow\left(L 1, x_{i}, R 1\right)
$$

To determine the a canonical path we have to choose $L 0$ and $R 1$. Therefore we have $2^{i-1}$. $2^{n-i}=2^{n-1}$ canonical paths passing through the edge

$$
\left(L 1, x_{i}, R 0\right) \rightarrow\left(L 1,-x_{i}, R 0\right)
$$

Take $A \subseteq\{-1,1\}^{n}$. There are $|A| \cdot\left|A^{c}\right|$ canonical paths $x \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow y$, where $x \in A$ and $y \in A^{c}$. Each of them passes through some edge from $\partial A$ and at most $2^{n-1}$ passes through a fixed edge. It follows that

$$
|A| \cdot\left|A^{c}\right| \leq 2^{n-1}|\partial A|
$$

3. Let $\mathbb{E}$ denote the expectation with respect to the uniform distribution on the hypercube (as in the lectures). For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ let $x^{i}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots,-x_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{\{i\}}\right| & =\left|\mathbb{E}\left[f w_{\{i\}}\right]\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, 1, \ldots x_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{1}, \ldots,-1, \ldots x_{n}\right)\right]\right|=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{i}\right)\right|\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E}\left|f(x)-f\left(x^{i}\right)\right|^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla_{i} f\right|^{2}\right]=\sum_{S: i \in S} a_{S}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\nabla_{i} f=\sum_{S} a_{S} \nabla_{i} w_{S}=\sum_{S: i \in S} a_{S} \nabla_{i} w_{S}$ and form Parseval's identity (this part has been showed during the lecture). Summing over $i$ we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{\{i\}}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{S: i \in S} a_{S}^{2}=\sum_{S}|S| a_{S}^{2} \leq \operatorname{deg}(f) \sum_{S} a_{S}^{2}=\operatorname{deg}(f)
$$

4. We shall work on the discrete cube. For $f:\{-1,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{-1,1\}$ define $(L f)(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \sim x}(f(y)-$ $f(x))$. We have $L w_{S}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-|S| w_{S}+\left(n-|S| w_{S}\right)\right)-\frac{n}{2} w_{S}=-|S| w_{S}$. We claim that always

$$
\operatorname{Var}(f) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(-L f)]
$$

To see this observe that by using Parseval's identity the left hand side is equal to $\sum_{S \neq \emptyset} a_{S}^{2}$ whereas the right hand side is

$$
\mathbb{E}[f(-L f)]=\langle f,(-L) f\rangle=\left\langle\sum_{S} a_{S} w_{S}, \sum_{S}\right| S\left|a_{S} w_{S}\right\rangle=\sum_{S}|S| a_{S}^{2}
$$

and the inequality follows.
We now claim that if $f$ is even, that is $f(x)=f(-x)$ then

$$
\operatorname{Var}(f) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(-L f)]
$$

Since $f$ we have $a_{S}=0$ whenever $2 \nmid|S|$ (since $a_{S}=\left\langle f, w_{S}\right\rangle=0$ as $f w_{S}$ is odd), we get $f=\sum_{S: 2| | S \mid} a_{S} w_{S}$. The inequality reduces to

$$
\sum_{S \neq \emptyset} a_{S}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{S: 2| | S \mid}|S| a_{S}^{2}
$$

and is clearly true.
We now define $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left|a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{n} x_{n}\right|$ and observe that by the triangle inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-L f)(x) & =\frac{n}{2} f(x)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|-a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} x_{n}\right|+\ldots+\left|a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}+\ldots-a_{n} x_{n}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \frac{n}{2} f(x)-\frac{1}{2}(n-2) f(x)=f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $-L f \leq f$. Since $f$ is even and non-negative, we get

$$
\operatorname{Var}(f)=\mathbb{E}\left[f^{2}\right]-(\mathbb{E}[f])^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(-L f)] \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[f(-L f)] \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[f^{2}\right]
$$

In other words $\mathbb{E}\left[f^{2}\right] \leq 2(\mathbb{E}[f])^{2}$. From the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}=1$ we get $\mathbb{E}\left[f^{2}\right]=1$. Thus $\mathbb{E}[f] \geq 2^{-1 / 2}$, is precisely the desired inequality.
The equality holds for $a_{1}=a_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $a_{3}=\ldots=a_{n}=0$.
5. We are going to present three different solutions. Because of that we will be going into less details.

## Solution 1.

For $u, v \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ such that $u_{i} \leq v_{i}$ and $2+\sum u_{i}=\sum v_{i}$ let us call $u$ a predecessor of $v$ and $v$ a successor of $u$. If $s(u)$ is a number of ones in $u$ then $u$ has $s(u)$ predecessors and $n-s(u)$ successors. If there is an element $a$ in $A$ such that all its predecessors are not in $A$ and such that $2 s(a)<n$ then by removing it from $A$ we will get a monotone set with a set whose boundary is bigger by $n-2 s(a)$. Similarly, if there is an element $a$ in $A^{c}$ whose all successors are in $A$ then by adding it to $A$ we increase boundary of $A$ by $2 s(a)-n$.
Because of that if $A$ is not $M a j$ then we can increase its boundary what quickly proves the thesis.

## Solution 2.

If an edge of hypercube goes from $u$ to $v$ such that $u$ is a predecessor of $v$ than there are exactly $s(u)!(n-1-s(u))$ ! path shortest paths from $(-1, \ldots,-1)$ to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ passing through it. Note that every such path passes through exactly one edge of boundary of $A$. Since $s(u)!(n-1-s(u))$ ! is minimized when $s(u)=\frac{n-1}{2}$ and edges on boundary of Maj are exactly edges minimizing it, it can be argued that Maj has the biggest possible boundary out of monotone sets.

## Solution 3.

By abuse of notation, let $A(x)$ be a function denoting whether $x \in A(A(x)=1$ if $x \in A$ and $A(x)=-1$ if $x \notin A)$.
Let's consider function $A(x) x_{i}$. We can see that this expression is directly proportional to the number of edges in boundary of $A$ in the $i$-th direction. Hence $A(x)\left(x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)$ is directly proportional to the size of boundary of $A$.
We can see that $\mathbb{E}\left(A(x)\left(x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left|x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}\right|\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{Maj}(x)\left(x_{1}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)\right)$, hence $|\partial M a j| \geq|\partial A|$.

