

SOME REMARKS ON INDICATRICES OF MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS

MARCIN KYSIĄK

SUMMARY. We show that for a wide class of σ -algebras \mathcal{A} , indicatrices of \mathcal{A} -measurable functions admit the same characterization as indicatrices of Lebesgue-measurable functions. In particular, this applies to functions measurable in the sense of Marczewski.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. The function $s(f) : Y \rightarrow \text{CARD}$, defined by the formula $s(f)(y) = |f^{-1}[\{y\}]|$ is called the (Banach) indicatrix of f . For $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, we say that f is equivalent to g , if there exists a bijection $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ such that $f = g \circ \varphi$. Obviously, this is equivalent to saying that $s(f) = s(g)$.

Morayne and Ryll-Nardzewski show in [5] that a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is equivalent to a Lebesgue-measurable one, if and only if, either $s(f) > 0$ on a perfect set $P \subseteq [0, 1]$ or there exists $y \in [0, 1]$ such that $s(f)(y) = \mathfrak{c}$. In fact, they prove a more general statement. Namely, the same is true for the class of functions which are measurable with respect to the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} generated by the Borel sets and a σ -ideal \mathcal{I} with Borel base containing an uncountable set. They also ask about characterization of indicatrices of other important classes of functions.

A characterization of indicatrices of continuous functions was given by Kwiatkowska in [4]. Also, Komisarski, Michalewski and Milewski in [3] characterized (under the axiom of Σ_1^1 -determinacy) indicatrices of Borel functions.

The purpose of this note is to generalize the characterization of Morayne and Ryll-Nardzewski to other classes of measurable functions. We say that a set $X \subseteq [0, 1]$ is Marczewski-measurable, if for every perfect set $P \subseteq [0, 1]$ there exists a perfect set $Q \subseteq P$ such that $Q \subseteq X$ or $Q \cap X = \emptyset$. Marczewski-measurable sets form a σ -algebra; a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is Marczewski-measurable, if the pre-image of every open set is Marczewski measurable. By Marczewski's theorem (see [7]) this is equivalent to saying that for every perfect set P there exists a perfect set $Q \subseteq P$ such that $f \upharpoonright Q$ is continuous.

We begin with showing that indicatrices of Marczewski-measurable functions admit the same characterization as those of Lebesgue-measurable ones. It is known that the algebra of Marczewski-measurable sets is not of the form considered in [5]. Then we try to isolate the properties of Marczewski-measurable sets and functions used in the proof to obtain a more general result.

For a family of sets \mathcal{A} , let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \forall B \subseteq A \ B \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Observe that if \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ is a σ -ideal.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A05, 26A99, 03E15.

Key words and phrases. Indicatrix, Marczewski-measurable function.

The research was done when the author was visiting Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences.

The following lemma is a slight modification of an argument from [5]. The main difference is that we do not use the assumption of Borel base of the ideal.

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra containing Bor such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a set of size \mathfrak{c} . Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function such that $f[[0, 1]]$ contains a perfect set. Then f is equivalent to a \mathcal{A} -measurable function.*

Proof. Let P be a perfect set contained in the image of f ; we may always assume that $|f[[0, 1]] \setminus P| = \mathfrak{c}$. Let $\Psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow P$ be a Borel isomorphism and let $M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ be a set of cardinality \mathfrak{c} such that $|[0, 1] \setminus M| = \mathfrak{c}$. Observe that Ψ is \mathcal{A} -measurable.

Let $s(f) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{CARD}$ be the indicatrix of f and let $\{M_y : y \in [0, 1]\}$ be a partition of M such that $|M_y| = s(f)(y) - 1$ for $y \in \Psi[[0, 1] \setminus M]$ (this is meaningful, because $s(f)(y) > 0$ for $y \in P$ and we allow M_y to be empty) and $|M_y| = s(f)(y)$ otherwise. Such a partition can be found because for continuum many $y \in [0, 1]$ we stipulate that $|M_y| > 0$, so $\sum_{y \in [0, 1]} |M_y| = \mathfrak{c}$. Define $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in the following way

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \Psi(x), & \text{for } x \notin M; \\ y, & \text{for } x \in M_y. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, g is equivalent to f because they have the same indicatrix and \mathcal{A} -measurable, as

$$\{x \in [0, 1] : g(x) \neq \Psi(x)\} \subseteq M \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}).$$

□

Using exactly the same argument as in [5], one can prove the following.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra containing Bor such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a set of size \mathfrak{c} . Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function constant on a set of cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Then f is equivalent to an \mathcal{A} -measurable function.*

□

Theorem 3. *A function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is equivalent to a Marczewski-measurable one, if and only if, either $f[[0, 1]]$ contains a perfect set, or there exists $y \in [0, 1]$ such that $|f^{-1}[\{y\}]| = \mathfrak{c}$. In particular, each Lebesgue measurable function is equivalent to a Marczewski-measurable one, and vice versa.*

Proof. It is folklore that the algebra of Marczewski-measurable sets satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 1 and 2, which shows sufficiency of this condition.

To prove the necessity, we can assume that f is itself Marczewski-measurable. Then there exists a perfect set P such that $f \upharpoonright P$ is continuous. If $f[P]$ is uncountable, then it contains a perfect set. Otherwise, there exists $y \in f[P]$ such that the set $f^{-1}[\{y\}]$ is of size continuum. □

One can easily see that the argument above is more general than for Marczewski-measurable functions. The assumptions needed for sufficiency of the characterization (i.e. the assumptions of Lemma 1 and 2) are very general (as long as the extensions of Bor are concerned). To prove the necessity, we only used the fact that a Marczewski-measurable function is continuous on a perfect set.

Let us say that a class of functions \mathcal{F} from a Polish space to $[0, 1]$ has the Weak Continuous Restriction Property (WCRP for short) if every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is continuous on a perfect set. This is a weaker property than the Continuous Restriction Property considered in [6], where the perfect set is required not to belong to a given σ -ideal. It is also a weaker version of a suitable instance of the Sierpiński condition considered in [1].

Let us point out that some natural reformulations of the WCRP would be in fact equivalent.

Proposition 4 (folklore). *The following conditions are equivalent for $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where X is a Polish space.*

- (1) $f \upharpoonright P$ is continuous, for a perfect set P ,
- (2) $f \upharpoonright B$ is continuous, for an uncountable Borel set B ,
- (3) $f \upharpoonright P$ is Borel, for a perfect set P ,
- (4) $f \upharpoonright B$ is Borel, for an uncountable Borel set B .

□

As an immediate generalization of Theorem 3 we obtain the following.

Theorem 5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of a Polish space X containing $\text{Bor}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a set of size \mathfrak{c} . Assume that the class of \mathcal{A} -measurable functions has WCRP. Then a function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to an \mathcal{A} -measurable one, if and only if, either $f[X]$ contains a perfect set, or there exists $y \in X$ such that $|f^{-1}[\{y\}]| = \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3. □

The important class of algebras satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 5 are the algebras of sets decided by popular forcing notions. We can interpret the Marczewski-measurable sets as sets decided by the Sacks forcing \mathbb{S} (i.e. such sets X that the set of conditions in \mathbb{S} which either miss X or are included in X is dense). It is folklore that if we replace the Sacks forcing by the forcing notion of Laver, Mathias, Miller or Silver, the functions measurable with respect to the respective σ -algebra have WCRP. Also, each of the respective ideals¹ contains a set of size \mathfrak{c} (this follows from the results from [2]). In particular, in the case of Mathias forcing, we obtain the following.

Corollary 6. *Let \mathcal{A} be the σ -algebra of completely Ramsey subsets of 2^ω . Then a function $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ is equivalent to an \mathcal{A} -measurable one, if and only if, either $f[2^\omega]$ contains a perfect set, or there exists $y \in 2^\omega$ such that $|f^{-1}[\{y\}]| = \mathfrak{c}$. □*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bartoszewicz and E. Kotlicka, *Relationships between continuity and abstract measurability of functions*, Real Analysis Exchange.
- [2] J. Brendle, *Strolling through paradise*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **148** (1995), no. 1, 1–25.
- [3] A. Komisarski, H. Michalewski, and P. Milewski, *Functions equivalent to Borel measurable ones*, Preprint.
- [4] A. Kwiatkowska, *On a continuous function taking every value a given number of times*, Preprint (2005).
- [5] M. Morayne and Cz. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Functions equivalent to Lebesgue measurable ones*, Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences **47** (1999), no. 3, 263–265.
- [6] I. Reclaw, *Restrictions to continuous functions and Boolean algebras*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **118** (1993), no. 3, 791–796.
- [7] E. Szpilrajn (Marczewski), *Sur une classe de fonctions de m. Sierpiński et la classe correspondante d'ensembles*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **24** (1935), 17–34.

¹In case of these forcing notions, the ideal of hereditarily measurable sets coincides with the ideal of sets missed by a dense set of conditions.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WARSAW UNIVERSITY, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSZAWA,
POLAND

E-mail address: `mkysiak@mimuw.edu.pl`