

14.5 Consider the family of linear Gaussian networks, as illustrated on page 502.

- a. In a two-variable network, let  $X_1$  be the parent of  $X_2$ , let  $X_1$  have a Gaussian prior, and let  $P(X_2|X_1)$  be a linear Gaussian distribution. Show that the joint distribution  $P(X_1, X_2)$  is a multivariate Gaussian, and calculate its covariance matrix.
- b. Prove by induction that the joint distribution for a general linear Gaussian network on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is also a multivariate Gaussian.

14.6 The probit distribution defined on page 503 describes the probability distribution for a Boolean child, given a single continuous parent.

- a. How might the definition be extended to cover multiple continuous parents?
- b. How might it be extended to handle a *multivalued* child variable? Consider both cases where the child's values are ordered (as in selecting a gear while driving, depending on speed, slope, desired acceleration, etc.) and cases where they are unordered (as in selecting bus, train, or car to get to work). [*Hint*: Consider ways to divide the possible values into two sets, to mimic a Boolean variable.]

14.7 This exercise is concerned with the variable elimination algorithm in Figure 14.10.

- a. Section 14.4 applies variable elimination to the query

$$P(\text{Burglary} | \text{JohnCalls} = \text{true}, \text{MaryCalls} = \text{true}) .$$

Perform the calculations indicated and check that the answer is correct.

- b. Count the number of arithmetic operations performed, and compare it with the number performed by the enumeration algorithm.
- c. Suppose a network has the form of a *chain*: a sequence of Boolean variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  where  $\text{Parents}(X_i) = \{X_{i-1}\}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . What is the complexity of computing  $P(X_1 | X_n = \text{true})$  using enumeration? Using variable elimination?
- d. Prove that the complexity of running variable elimination on a polytree network is linear in the size of the tree for any variable ordering consistent with the network structure.

14.8 Investigate the complexity of exact inference in general Bayesian networks:

- a. Prove that any 3-SAT problem can be reduced to exact inference in a Bayesian network constructed to represent the particular problem and hence that exact inference is NP-hard. [*Hint*: Consider a network with one variable for each proposition symbol, one for each clause, and one for the conjunction of clauses.]
- b. The problem of counting the number of satisfying assignments for a 3-SAT problem is #P-complete. Show that exact inference is at least as hard as this.

14.9 Consider the problem of generating a random sample from a specified distribution on a single variable. You can assume that a random number generator is available that returns a random number uniformly distributed between 0 and 1.

- a. Let  $X$  be a discrete variable with  $P(X = x_i) = p_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . The **cumulative distribution** of  $X$  gives the probability that  $X \in \{x_1, \dots, x_j\}$  for each possible  $j$ . Ex-