

Gaussian approximation of moments of sums of independent symmetric random variables with logarithmically concave tails*

Rafał Łatała¹

University of Warsaw and Polish Academy of Sciences

Abstract: We study how well moments of sums of independent symmetric random variables with logarithmically concave tails may be approximated by moments of Gaussian random variables.

1. Introduction

Let $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ be a Bernoulli sequence, i.e. a sequence of independent symmetric variables taking values ± 1 . Hitczenko [4] showed that for $p \geq 2$ and $S = \sum_i a_i \varepsilon_i$,

$$(1) \quad \|S\|_p \sim \sum_{i \leq p} a_i^* + \sqrt{p} \left(\sum_{i > p} (a_i^*)^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where (a_i^*) denotes the nonincreasing rearrangement of $(|a_i|)$ and $f(p) \sim g(p)$ means that there exists a universal constant C such that $C^{-1}f(p) \leq g(p) \leq Cf(p)$ for any parameter p (see also [8] and [5] for related results). Gluskin and Kwapien [2] generalized the result of Hitczenko and found two sided bounds for moments of sums of independent symmetric random variables with logarithmically concave tails (we say that X has logarithmically concave tails if $\ln \mathbf{P}(|X| \geq t)$ is concave from $[0, \infty)$ to $[-\infty, 0]$). In particular they showed that for a sequence (\mathcal{E}_i) of independent symmetric exponential random variables with variance 1 (i.e. the density $2^{-1/2} \exp(-\sqrt{2}|x|)$), $S = \sum_i a_i \mathcal{E}_i$, and $p \geq 2$,

$$(2) \quad \|S\|_p \sim p \|a\|_\infty + \sqrt{p} \|a\|_2,$$

where $\|a\|_p = (\sum_i |a_i|^p)^{1/p}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\|a\|_\infty = \sup |a_i|$. Two sided inequality for moments of sums of arbitrary independent symmetric random variables was derived in [7].

Results (1) and (2) suggest that if all coefficients are of order $o(1/p)$ then $\|S\|_p$ should be close to the p -th norm of the corresponding Gaussian sum that is to $\gamma_p \|a\|_2$, where $\gamma_p^p = \|\mathcal{N}(0, 1)\|_p^p = 2^{p/2} \Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2}) / \sqrt{\pi}$. The purpose of our note is to verify this assertion.

*Partially supported by the Foundation for Polish Science.

¹Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warszawa, Poland and Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Śniadeckich 8, P.O.Box 21, 00-956 Warszawa 10, Poland, e-mail: rlatala@mimuw.edu.pl

AMS 2000 subject classifications: Primary 60E15; secondary 60F05.

Keywords and phrases: sums of independent random variables, moments, logarithmically concave tails, Gaussian approximation.

2. Results

First we show the intuitive result that in the class of normalized symmetric random variables with logarithmically concave tails Bernoulli and exponential random variables are extremal.

Proposition 1. *Let X_i be independent symmetric r.v.'s with logarithmically concave tails such that $\mathbf{E}X_i^2 = 1$. Then for any $p \geq 3$,*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathcal{E}_i \right\|_p.$$

Proof. Lower bound follows from Theorem 1.1 of [1] (in fact we do not use here the assumption of logconcavity of tails). To prove the upper bound it is enough to show that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \geq 3$,

$$\mathbf{E}|a + bX_i|^p \leq \mathbf{E}|a + b\mathcal{E}_i|^p.$$

Let $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2}(|a+bx|^p + |a-bx|^p)$, then φ' is convex on $[0, \infty)$ with $\varphi'(0) = 0$. Since $\mathbf{E}X_i^2 = 1 = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{E}_i^2$ there exist t_0 such that $\mathbf{P}(|X_i| \geq t_0) = \mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{E}_i| \geq t_0)$. Logconcavity of tails implies that $\mathbf{P}(|X_i| \geq t) \leq \mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{E}_i| \geq t)$ for $t \geq t_0$ and the opposite inequality holds for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Let $\varphi'(t_0) = ct_0$ for some $c > 0$. Then by convexity of φ' we have $(\varphi'(t) - ct)(\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{E}_i| \geq t) - \mathbf{P}(|X_i| \geq t)) \geq 0$ for all t . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^\infty (\varphi'(t) - ct)(\mathbf{P}(|\mathcal{E}_i| \geq t) - \mathbf{P}(|X_i| \geq t)) dt \\ &= \mathbf{E}(\varphi(\mathcal{E}_i) - \varphi(X_i)) - \frac{c}{2} \mathbf{E}(\mathcal{E}_i^2 - X_i^2) = \mathbf{E}|a + b\mathcal{E}_i|^p - \mathbf{E}|a + bX_i|^p. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Next technical lemma will be used to compare characteristic functions of Bernoulli and exponential sums.

Lemma 1. *Let $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq \dots \geq |a_n|$. Then for any t ,*

$$(3) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(a_i t) + \frac{1}{2} a_1^2 t^2 \geq \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{1 + a_i^2 t^2 / 2}.$$

Proof. We will consider 3 cases.

Case I $|a_1 t| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Let $x_i = a_i^2 t^2 / 2$, then since $\cos(a_i t) \geq 1 - a_i^2 t^2 / 2 \geq 0$, to establish (3) it is enough to show that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) + x_1 \geq \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{1 + x_i} \quad \text{for } 1 \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=2}^n (1 + x_i) \left[\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i) + x_1 \right] &= (1 - x_1) \prod_{i=2}^n (1 - x_i^2) + x_1 \prod_{i=2}^n (1 + x_i) \\ &\geq (1 - x_1) \left(1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i^2 \right) + x_1 \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_i \right) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{i=2}^n x_i^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n x_1 x_i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Case II $\sqrt{2} \leq |a_1 t| \leq \pi/2$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \cos(a_i t) + \frac{1}{2} a_1^2 t^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} a_1^2 t^2 \geq 1 \geq \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{1 + a_i^2 t^2 / 2}.$$

Case III $|a_1 t| \geq \pi/2$. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \cos(a_i t) + \frac{1}{2} a_1^2 t^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} a_1^2 t^2 - |\cos(a_1 t)| \geq 1 \geq \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{1 + a_i^2 t^2 / 2}. \quad \square$$

Using the above lemma we may now compare moments of Bernoulli and exponential sums in the special case $p \in [2, 4]$.

Lemma 2. *Let $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq \dots \geq |a_n|$. Then for any $2 \leq p \leq 4$,*

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p \geq \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \mathcal{E}_i \right|^p.$$

Proof. Let $S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i$ and $S_2 = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \mathcal{E}_i$, obviously we may assume that $2 < p < 4$. By Lemma 4.2 of [3] we have for any random variable X with finite fourth moment,

$$\mathbf{E}|X|^p = C_p \int_0^\infty \left(\varphi_X(t) - 1 + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \mathbf{E}|X|^2 \right) t^{-p-1} dt,$$

where φ_X is the characteristic function of X and $C_p = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sin(\frac{p\pi}{2}) \Gamma(p+1) > 0$. Notice that by Lemma 1,

$$\varphi_{S_1}(t) - \varphi_{S_2}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(a_i t) - \prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{1 + a_i^2 t^2 / 2} \geq -a_1^2 t^2 / 2,$$

thus

$$\mathbf{E}|S_1|^p - \mathbf{E}|S_2|^p = C_p \int_0^\infty \left(\varphi_{S_1}(t) - \varphi_{S_2}(t) + a_1^2 t^2 / 2 \right) t^{-p-1} dt \geq 0. \quad \square$$

To generalize the above result to arbitrary $p > 2$ we need one more easy estimate.

Lemma 3. *For any real numbers a, b we have*

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{E}|a\mathcal{E} + b|^p = |b|^p + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} a^2 \mathbf{E}|a\mathcal{E} + b|^{p-2} \quad \text{for } p \geq 2$$

and

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{E}|a\varepsilon + b|^p \geq |b|^p + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} a^2 |b|^{p-2} \quad \text{for } p \geq 3.$$

Proof. By integration by parts it is easy to show that for any $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ of at most polynomial growth we have $\mathbf{E}f(\mathcal{E}) = f(0) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}f''(\mathcal{E})$. If we take $f(x) = |ax + b|^p$ we obtain (5). To prove (6) it is enough to notice that the function $g(x) := \mathbf{E}|x\varepsilon + b|^p$ satisfies $g(0) = |b|^p$, $g'(0) = 0$ and $g''(x) = p(p-1) \mathbf{E}|x\varepsilon + b|^{p-2} \geq p(p-1) |b|^{p-2}$. \square

Our first theorem shows that moments of Bernoulli sums dominate moments of exponential sums up to few largest coefficients.

Theorem 1. Let $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq \dots \geq |a_n|$. Then for any $p \geq 2$,

$$(7) \quad \gamma_p^p \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{p/2} \geq \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p \geq \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=\lceil p/2 \rceil}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p \geq \gamma_p^p \left(\sum_{i=\lceil p/2 \rceil}^n a_i^2 \right)^{p/2}.$$

Proof. To establish the middle inequality we will show by double induction first on k then on n that for $p \in (2k, 2k+2]$,

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p \geq \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p.$$

For $k=1$ this follows by Lemma 2. Suppose that our assertion holds for $k-1$ and let $p \in (2k, 2k+2]$. For $n < k+1$ the inequality (7) is obvious. If $n \geq k+1$ and (8) holds for $n-1$ then by (6), induction assumption, and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p &\geq \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p + a_1^2 \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^{p-2} \\ &\geq \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=k+2}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p + a_{k+1}^2 \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^{p-2} \\ &= \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=k+1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right|^p. \end{aligned}$$

First inequality in (7) follows by the Khintchine inequality with optimal constant [3] and the last inequality in (7) is an easy consequence of the fact that \mathcal{E} is a mixture of gaussian r.v.'s (see Remark 5 in [6]). \square

Next two corollaries present more precise versions of inequalities (1) and (2).

Corollary 1. For any $p \geq 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} (a_i^*)^2 \right)^{1/2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i < \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^* \right\} &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \\ &\leq \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} (a_i^*)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{i < \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have by the triangle inequality and the Khintchine inequality with optimal constant [3],

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right\|_p &\leq \left\| \sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^* \varepsilon_i \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i < \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^* \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \\ &\leq \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} (a_i^*)^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{i < \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

To show the lower bound we use (7)

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right\|_p = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \geq \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} (a_i^*)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

and an easy estimate

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \geq \left\| \sum_{i < \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^* \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \geq (\mathbf{P}(\varepsilon_i = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i < \lceil p/2 \rceil))^{1/p} \sum_{i < \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^*. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2. For any $p \geq 2$ we have

$$\max \left\{ \gamma_p \|a\|_2, \frac{p}{e\sqrt{2}} \|a\|_\infty \right\} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathcal{E}_i \right\|_p \leq \gamma_p \|a\|_2 + p \|a\|_\infty.$$

Proof. Let $S = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathcal{E}_i$ and $k = \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1$. We have $\|S\|_p \geq \gamma_p \|a\|_2$ by the last inequality in (7). Moreover

$$\|S\|_p \geq \|a\|_\infty \|\mathcal{E}\|_p = \|a\|_\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma(p+1))^{1/p} \geq \frac{p}{\sqrt{2}e} \|a\|_\infty.$$

To get the upper bound we use twice bounds (7) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\|_p - \gamma_p \|a\|_2 &\leq \|S\|_p - \left\| \sum_{i > k} a_i^* \mathcal{E}_i \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i \leq k} a_i^* \mathcal{E}_i \right\|_p \leq \|a\|_\infty \left\| \sum_{i \leq k} \mathcal{E}_i \right\|_p \\ &\leq \|a\|_\infty \left\| \sum_{i \leq 2k} \varepsilon_i \right\|_p \leq 2k \|a\|_\infty \leq p \|a\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now we may state a result that generalizes (up to a multiplicative constant) previous corollaries.

Theorem 2. Let X_i be independent symmetric r.v.'s with logarithmically concave tails such that $\mathbf{E}X_i^2 = 1$ and $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq \dots \geq |a_n|$. Then for any $p \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2}, \left\| \sum_{i < p} a_i X_i \right\|_p \right\} &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_p \\ &\leq \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i \geq \lceil p/2 \rceil} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left\| \sum_{i < p} a_i X_i \right\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Lower bound is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1. To get the upper bound let $k = \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1$. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sum_{i > 2k} a_i X_i \right\|_p + \left\| \sum_{i \leq 2k} a_i X_i \right\|_p \leq \gamma_p \left(\sum_{i > k} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + \left\| \sum_{i \leq 2k} a_i X_i \right\|_p$$

again by Theorem 1 and Proposition 1. \square

Remark. By the result of Gluskin and Kwapien we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i < p} a_i X_i \right\|_p \sim \sup \left\{ \sum_{i < p} a_i b_i : \sum_{i < p} M_i(b_i) \leq p \right\},$$

where $M_i(x) = x^2$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $M_i(x) = -\ln \mathbf{P}(|X_i| \geq x)$ for $|x| > 1$.

We conclude with one more result about Gaussian approximation of moments.

Corollary 3. *Let X_i be as in Theorem 2, then for any $p \geq 3$,*

$$\left| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i \right\|_p - \gamma_p \|a\|_2 \right| \leq p \|a\|_\infty.$$

Proof. The statement immediately follows by Proposition 1 and Corollaries 1 and 2. \square

References

- [1] FIGIEL, T., HITCZENKO, P., JOHNSON, W. B., SCHECHTMAN, G. AND ZINN, J. (1997). Extremal properties of Rademacher functions with applications to the Khintchine and Rosenthal inequalities. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** 997–1027. MR1390980
- [2] GLUSKIN, E. D. AND KWAPIEŃ, S. (1995). Tail and moment estimates for sums of independent random variables with logarithmically concave tails. *Studia Math.* **114** 303–309. MR1338834
- [3] HAAGERUP, U. (1982). The best constants in the Khintchine inequality. *Studia Math.* **70** 231–283. MR0654838
- [4] HITCZENKO, P. (1993). Domination inequality for martingale transforms of a Rademacher sequence. *Israel J. Math.* **84** 161–178. MR1244666
- [5] HITCZENKO, P. AND KWAPIEŃ, S. (1994). On the Rademacher series. In *Probability in Banach Spaces, 9, Sandjberg, Denmark* 31–36. Birkhäuser, Boston. MR1308508
- [6] KWAPIEŃ, S., LATAŁA, R. AND OLESZKIEWICZ, K. (1996). Comparison of moments of sums of independent random variables and differential inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **136** 258–268. MR1375162
- [7] LATAŁA, R. (1997). Estimation of moments of sums of independent real random variables. *Ann. Probab.* **25** 1502–1513. MR1457628
- [8] MONTGOMERY-SMITH, S. J. (1990). The distribution of Rademacher sums. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** 517–522. MR1013975