

**Exam in Mathematical Logic**  
**January 27, 2011**

Reminder: an  $\exists_1$  (or purely existential) formula has the form  $\exists y_1 \dots \exists y_n \psi$ , where  $\psi$  is quantifier-free. A  $\forall_2$  formula has the form  $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_k \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_n \psi$ , where  $\psi$  is quantifier-free.

1. Find a formula in DNF and a formula in CNF logically equivalent to:

$$\neg((p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow \neg(q \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge \neg r))).$$

2. Let  $\varphi$  be the sentence:

$$\neg \forall x (\neg \forall z R(x, z) \wedge \forall z \exists z \neg S(x, z)).$$

- (a) Find a sentence in prenex normal form logically equivalent to  $\varphi$ ,  
(b) Prove that  $\varphi$  is not logically equivalent to any  $\forall_2$  sentence.

3. Let  $\sigma$  be a finite signature containing only relation symbols. Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a countable structure over  $\sigma$  and let  $T$  be a theory over  $\sigma$ . Assume that every finite substructure of  $\mathbb{A}$  can be embedded in a model of  $T$ . Show that  $\mathbb{A}$  can be embedded in a countable model of  $T$ .

4. A model  $\mathbb{A}$  of a theory  $T$  is called existentially closed if for every  $\mathbb{B} \supseteq \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{B} \models T$ , every tuple  $\bar{a} \in A$  and every  $\exists_1$  formula  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ ,

$$\text{if } \mathbb{B} \models \varphi(\bar{a}), \text{ then } \mathbb{A} \models \varphi(\bar{a}).$$

- (a) Give an example of an infinite boolean algebra which is not existentially closed (as a model of the theory of boolean algebras in the signature  $\{\wedge, \vee, -, 0, 1\}$ ).  
(b) Let  $T$  be a theory in a finite signature. Show that if  $T$  has an infinite model that is not existentially closed, then  $T$  has a model of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  that is not existentially closed.

5. Recall that  $Spec(\varphi) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there is } \mathbb{A} \models \varphi, \text{ card}(A) = n\}$ .

- (a) Show that there exists a sentence  $\varphi$  such that  
 $Spec(\varphi) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}$ .  
(b) Show that there exists a sentence  $\psi$  such that  
 $Spec(\psi) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}\}$ .  
(c) Show that there is no sentence  $\xi$  in the empty signature which is true in all models of cardinality divisible by 4 and false in all models of cardinality congruent to 2 (mod 8).  
(d) Explain how (a)-(c) imply that “Craig’s interpolation theorem fails over finite structures”.