## **On the Kummer construction**

JW: joint work with Marco Andreatta, also reporting work of Maria Donten

• Take abelian surface *A*,

- Take abelian surface A,
- divide it by an involution  $a \mapsto -a$ ,

- Take abelian surface A,
- divide it by an involution  $a \mapsto -a$ ,
- resolve 16 simple double points,

- Take abelian surface A,
- divide it by an involution  $a \mapsto -a$ ,
- resolve 16 simple double points,
- get a surface S with  $H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  and  $K_S \simeq 0$

• Consider a finite group of automorphisms of an abelian variety A, that is G < Aut(A)

- Consider a finite group of automorphisms of an abelian variety A, that is G < Aut(A)
- The tangent action at the unit of A is a complex representation of G, that is  $\rho: G \to GL(TA)$ .

- Consider a finite group of automorphisms of an abelian variety A, that is G < Aut(A)
- The tangent action at the unit of A is a complex representation of G, that is  $\rho: G \to GL(TA)$ .
- The same representation is in cohomology  $\rho: G \to GL(H^1(A, \mathbb{C})).$

- Consider a finite group of automorphisms of an abelian variety A, that is G < Aut(A)
- The tangent action at the unit of A is a complex representation of G, that is  $\rho: G \to GL(TA)$ .
- The same representation is in cohomology  $\rho: G \to GL(H^1(A, \mathbb{C})).$
- Want trivial invariant subspace and  $\rho(G) < SL(H^1(A, \mathbb{C}))$

- Consider a finite group of automorphisms of an abelian variety A, that is G < Aut(A)
- The tangent action at the unit of A is a complex representation of G, that is  $\rho: G \to GL(TA)$ .
- The same representation is in cohomology  $\rho: G \to GL(H^1(A, \mathbb{C})).$
- Want trivial invariant subspace and  $\rho(G) < SL(H^1(A, \mathbb{C}))$
- Take the quotient Y = A/G, find a crepant resolution  $X \to Y$ , get a Calabi-Yau or symplectic manifold,  $H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$  and  $K_X \simeq 0$

• A special case: take an integral (irreducible) representation of a finite group  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ 

- A special case: take an integral (irreducible) representation of a finite group  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$
- Take an abelian variety A of dim d and extend  $\rho$  to  $\rho_A = \rho_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A : G \to Aut(A^r)$

- A special case: take an integral (irreducible) representation of a finite group  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$
- Take an abelian variety A of dim d and extend  $\rho$  to  $\rho_A = \rho_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A : G \to Aut(A^r)$
- If d not even then assume  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to SL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ .

- A special case: take an integral (irreducible) representation of a finite group  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$
- Take an abelian variety A of dim d and extend  $\rho$  to  $\rho_A = \rho_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A : G \to Aut(A^r)$
- If d not even then assume  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to SL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- Then representation on  $TA^r$  and  $H^1(A, \mathbb{C})$  is  $r \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$

- A special case: take an integral (irreducible) representation of a finite group  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$
- Take an abelian variety A of dim d and extend  $\rho$  to  $\rho_A = \rho_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A : G \to Aut(A^r)$
- If d not even then assume  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to SL(r, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- Then representation on  $TA^r$  and  $H^1(A, \mathbb{C})$  is  $r \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$
- Extended version:  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z}[Aut(A)])$  or  $\rho_{\mathbb{Z}} : G \to GL(r, End(A))$

### constrains: representations

• Let  $G < GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$  be a finite subgroup. Then, for every prime p > 2 its *p*-th reduction  $G \hookrightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{Z}) \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is an embedding.

### constrains: representations

- Let  $G < GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$  be a finite subgroup. Then, for every prime p > 2 its *p*-th reduction  $G \hookrightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{Z}) \to GL(r, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is an embedding.
- Let  $g \in GL(r, \mathbb{Z})$  be of order m. Then roots of the characteristic polynomial of A, or eigenvalues of A, are among (possibly nonprimitive) m-th roots of unity. In particular,  $\varphi(m) \leq r$ , where  $\varphi$  denotes the Euler function.

## **constrains: Lefschetz theorem**

• Let  $g: A \to A$  be an automorphism and let  $\rho_g \in GL(TA)$  be its tangent. Then Fix(g) is a subgroup of dimension equal to the multiplicity of 1 as an eigenvalue of  $\rho_g$ . If it is zero then

 $|Fix(g)| = |det(1 - \rho_g)|^2.$ 

## **constrains: Lefschetz theorem**

- Let  $g: A \to A$  be an automorphism and let  $\rho_g \in GL(TA)$  be its tangent. Then Fix(g) is a subgroup of dimension equal to the multiplicity of 1 as an eigenvalue of  $\rho_g$ . If it is zero then  $|Fix(g)| = |det(1 - \rho_g)|^2$ .
- The number  $|det(1 \rho_g)|^2$  is integer thus, if g is of order m then  $\varphi(m) \leq 2 \cdot dimA$ .

## **constrains: Lefschetz theorem**

- Let  $g: A \to A$  be an automorphism and let  $\rho_g \in GL(TA)$  be its tangent. Then Fix(g) is a subgroup of dimension equal to the multiplicity of 1 as an eigenvalue of  $\rho_g$ . If it is zero then  $|Fix(g)| = |det(1 - \rho_g)|^2$ .
- The number  $|det(1 \rho_g)|^2$  is integer thus, if g is of order m then  $\varphi(m) \leq 2 \cdot dimA$ .
- If dimA = 2 then  $\varphi(m) \leq 2$  hence m = 2, 3, 4, 6.

### constrains: resolutions

 In dimension 2 and 3 we know a lot about crepant resolutions but in higher dimensions it is hard.

### constrains: resolutions

- In dimension 2 and 3 we know a lot about crepant resolutions but in higher dimensions it is hard.
- For solvable groups we can take towers of resolutions of abelian singularities, provided at each step we get an equivariant one.

### ex: resolutions for solvable gps

Consider  $D_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  generated by matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathbb{C}^3/D_4$  has different crepant resolutions, one invariant w. resp. to permutations of coordinates.



# **DuVal singularities**

Finite subgroups in  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  are classified by Dynkin diagrams associated to incidence of (-2) curves in resolution of their quotients.

# **DuVal singularities**

These Du Val groups have elements of order 1, 2, 3, 4, 6: Dynkin diagram group  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ quaternion  $Q_8$ 

binary dihedral  $BD_{12}$ binary tetrahedral  $BT_{24}$ 



## **Kummer surface for** $G = \mathbb{Z}_6$

Representation  $\frac{1}{6}(1,5)$ ,  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} = \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_6^{-1}$ 



Number of (-2)-curves  $= 1 \times 5 + 4 \times 2 + 5 \times 1 = 18$ ; dimension of invariant subspace of  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$  is 2.

Lattice of subgroups



 $W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = 1$ 

Lattice of subgroups Lefschetz thm fixed pts BTQ $\mathbb{Z}_6$  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ 4  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ 

1 9 16

 $W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = 1$ 

Lattice of subgroups



Pts with given isotropy



 $W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = 1$ 

Lattice of subgroups



 $W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad W = 1$ 

Singular pts & resolutions # pts resolution 1 • • • • • • 1 • • • • • 4 • • • 1 • • • • •

Number of (-2)-curves  $1 \times 6 + 1 \times 4 + 4 \times 2 + 1 \times 1 =$ 19; dimension of invariant subspace of  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \rho_{\mathbb{C}}$  is 1.

## notation: group action

- Normalizer of H < G is denoted by N(H).
- Weyl group is  $W_H = N(H)/H$
- [H] is the conjugacy class of H in G, that is the set of subgroups  $\{gHg^{-1} : g \in G\}$ .
- Note that #[H] = [G : N(H)] and for  $H' \in [H]$  we have  $W_{H'} = W_H$ .

## notation: group action

- For *G* acting on *B*, and H < G by  $B^H$  we denote the subset of *B* fixed by *H* while  $B_0^H \subset B^H$  is the set of points whose isotropy (or stabilizer) is exactly *H*.
- The restriction of the action of *G* to N(H) defines an action of  $W_H$  on  $B^H$ .

## strata of resolution

For understanding resolution

$$f: X \to Y$$

write the quotient  $Y = A^r/G$  as disjoint sum of locally closed sets (strata)  $Y_{[H]}$  consisting of orbits of points whose isotropy is in the conjugacy class of a subgroup H < G. Over  $Y_{[H]}$  the singularities of Y are locally quotients of  $\mathbb{C}^{rd}$  by action of H. Take inverse images of sets  $Y_{[H]}$ , get a decomposition of X into disjoint sum of locally closed sets  $X_{[H]}$  such that the restriction

 $X_{[H]} \to Y_{[H]}$ 

is a locally trivial fiber bundle with a fiber  $F_H$  depending on the resolution of the *H*-quotient singularity.

### structure of strata

Let  $\overline{Y_{[H]}} \subset Y$  denote the closure of  $Y_{[H]}$  in  $Y = A^r/G$  and  $\widehat{Y_{[H]}} \to \overline{Y_{[H]}}$  be its normalization. The morphism

#### $\overline{(A^r)^H_0} \to \widehat{Y_{[H]}}$

is quotient by  $W_H$ , where the action of  $W_H$  on  $(A^r)_0^H$  is determined by the action of N(H).

### structure of strata

Action of  $W_{[H]}$  on  $\overline{(A^r)_0^H}$  lifts to  $\overline{(A^r)_0^H} \times F_H$ , we get commutative diagram



where the horizontal arrows on the left hand side are quotient maps while these on the right hand side are inclusions onto open subsets.

# notation: ring of representations

• Consider ring R(G) of complex representations of G with 1 trivial rank 1 representation.

# notation: ring of representations

- Consider ring R(G) of complex representations of G with 1 trivial rank 1 representation.
- By  $d \cdot \rho$  denote the sum of d copies of representation while by  $\rho^{\otimes m}$  and  $\rho^{\wedge m}$  we denote m-th tensor and, respectively, alternating power of  $\rho$ .

# notation: ring of representations

- Consider ring R(G) of complex representations of G with 1 trivial rank 1 representation.
- By  $d \cdot \rho$  denote the sum of d copies of representation while by  $\rho^{\otimes m}$  and  $\rho^{\wedge m}$  we denote m-th tensor and, respectively, alternating power of  $\rho$ .
- We have a map  $\mu_0 : R(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$  which to a representation  $\rho$  assigns the rank of its maximal trivial subrepresentation.

## virtual Poincaré

• For compact manifold X of dim n its Poincaré polynomial  $P_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} b_i(X) t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , with t formal variable,  $b_i(X) = dim H_{DR}^i(X)$ .

## virtual Poincaré

- For compact manifold X of dim n its Poincaré polynomial  $P_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} b_i(X) t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , with t formal variable,  $b_i(X) = dim H_{DR}^i(X)$ .
- Virtual Poincaré polynomials are defined also for differences of compact varieties, that is for  $U = X \setminus Z$  set  $P_U = P_X P_Z$ .

## virtual Poincaré

- For compact manifold X of dim n its Poincaré polynomial  $P_X(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} b_i(X) t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ , with t formal variable,  $b_i(X) = dim H_{DR}^i(X)$ .
- Virtual Poincaré polynomials are defined also for differences of compact varieties, that is for  $U = X \setminus Z$  set  $P_U = P_X P_Z$ .
- Coefficients of virtual Poincaré  $P_X(t)$  are equal to (standard) Betti numbers if X is compact and has quotient singularities.

## cohomology of quotients

• Given action of *G* on variety *Z* define *G*-Poincaré polynomial  $P_{Z,G}(t) \in R(G)[t]$  whose coefficient with  $t^i$ is the vector space  $H^i(Z, \mathbb{C})$  with induced *G* action.

## cohomology of quotients

- Given action of *G* on variety *Z* define *G*-Poincaré polynomial  $P_{Z,G}(t) \in R(G)[t]$  whose coefficient with  $t^i$ is the vector space  $H^i(Z, \mathbb{C})$  with induced *G* action.
- In our set-up

$$P_{A^r,G}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2rd} (2d \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{C}})^{\wedge i} \cdot t^i$$

## cohomology of quotients

- Given action of *G* on variety *Z* define *G*-Poincaré polynomial  $P_{Z,G}(t) \in R(G)[t]$  whose coefficient with  $t^i$ is the vector space  $H^i(Z, \mathbb{C})$  with induced *G* action.
- In our set-up

$$P_{A^r,G}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2rd} (2d \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{C}})^{\wedge i} \cdot t^i$$

• For  $Y = A^r / \rho_A$  we have  $P_Y(t) = \mu_0(P_{A^r,G}(t))$  .

## strata of Y

Let  $K \subset Y_{[H]}$  irreducible component with normalized closure  $\widehat{K}$ . Then  $\widehat{K} \simeq A_K/W_K$  where  $W_K < W_H$  is the subgroup which preserves  $A_K \simeq A^{r_0}$ , a component of the closure of  $(A^r)_0^H$  which dominates K.

$$P_{A_K,W_K}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2r_0 d} (2d \cdot \nu_K)^{\wedge i} \cdot t^i$$

where  $\nu_K : W_K \to GL(r_0, \mathbb{C})$  is a representation of  $W_K$  induced from  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}}$ .



McKay correspondence postulates a *canonical* relation of conjugacy classes of a group H with cohomology of a crepant resolution of its quotient singularity.

### strata of X

 $W_H$  acts on the cohomology of  $F_H$  as it acts on the conjugacy classes of H. So,  $W_K$ -Poincaré polynomial  $P_{F_H,W_K}$  is determined by the adjoint action of  $W_K$  on conjugacy classes of H, which is  $w([h]) \mapsto [whw^{-1}]$ , where  $w \in N(H)$  represents an element of  $W_H = N(H)/H$  and  $h \in H$ . Thus

$$P_{(A_K \times F_H)/W_K} = \mu_0(P_{A_K,W_K} \cdot P_{F_H,W_K})$$

# diagram of strata, again

Thus we have cohomology of some entries in the diagram:



The difference  $(\overline{(A^r)_0^H} \times F_H)/W_{[H]} \setminus X_{[H]}$  lives in strata associated to supergroups of *H*.

# subgroups of $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$

The following are, up to isomorphism, (non-trivial) finite subgroups of  $SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ :

- cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}_a$ , for a = 2, 3, 4 and 6 (they are not interesting since  $H^1$  of quotient is  $\neq 0$ ),
- dihedral groups  $D_{2a}$ , for a = 2, 3, 4 and 6,
- alternating group  $A_4$  (e.g. tetrahedral group T of isometries of tetrahedron)
- symmetric group  $S_4$  (e.g. octahedral group O of isometries of a cube)

We are interested in their conjugacy classes in  $GL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ .

## subgroups of $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$



# subgroups of $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$

Note that some of these groups are conjugate in  $GL(3, \mathbb{C})!$ 

## case $O = S_4$ , 1-dim sing

$$\begin{array}{cccc} g & W(\langle g \rangle) & \widehat{Y(\langle g \rangle)} & P_{F_{\langle g \rangle}} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 & 6 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & 1+t \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \mathbb{Z}_2 & 4 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & 1+(2+\epsilon)t \end{array}$$

## case $O = S_4$ , 1-dim sing



## case $O = S_4$ , 0-dim sing

1-dim non-free point sets meet in at

$${p \in A^3 : 2p = 0}$$

cardinality  $4^{3} = 64$  *H* # fixed pts # sing pts  $P_{F_{H}}$  *D*<sub>4</sub> 24 4 1+3t  $3 \times D_{8}$  36 12 1+4t  $G = S_{4}$  4 4 1+4t

### calculation in maxima

$$S3(t) := 1+t^{2}+4*t^{3}+t^{4}+t^{6}$$

$$-(15*(1+t^{2}-4)+20);$$

$$S12(t) := 10*((1+t^{2})*(1+t^{2}))$$

$$-4*(1+t^{2}));$$

$$S13(t) := ((t^{4}+2*t^{3}+2*t^{2}+1))$$

$$-4*(1+t^{2}));$$

$$S14(t) := 4*((2*t^{4}+2*t^{3}+3*t^{2}+1))$$

$$-4*(1+2*t^{2}));$$

$$S0(t) := 4*(1+3*t^{2})+(12+4)*(1+4*t^{2});$$

$$P(t) := S3(t)+S12(t)+S13(t)+S14(t)+S0(t);$$



$$\begin{array}{rrrr} D_4 & t^6+51t^4+8t^3+51t^2+1\\ D_4 & t^6+21t^4+20t^3+21t^2+1\\ D_4 & t^6+15t^4+8t^3+15t^2+1\\ \hline D_4 & t^6+15t^4+8t^3+15t^2+1\\ \hline D_6 & t^6+15t^4+32t^3+15t^2+1\\ \hline D_6 & t^6+15t^4+32t^3+15t^2+1\\ \hline D_6 & t^6+7t^4+16t^3+7t^2+1\\ \hline D_8 & t^6+36t^4+14t^3+36t^2+1\\ \hline D_8 & t^6+15t^4+8t^3+15t^2+1\\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} D_{12} & t^6 + 21t^4 + 20t^3 + 21t^2 + 1 \\ \hline A_4 & t^6 + 19t^4 + 8t^3 + 19t^2 + 1 \\ A_4 & t^6 + 7t^4 + 8t^3 + 7t^2 + 1 \\ \hline A_4 & t^6 + 7t^4 + 8t^3 + 7t^2 + 1 \\ \hline S_4 & t^6 + 20t^4 + 14t^3 + 20t^2 + 1 \\ \hline S_4 & t^6 + 11t^4 + 8t^3 + 11t^2 + 1 \\ \hline S_4 & t^6 + 11t^4 + 8t^3 + 11t^2 + 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Note that groups conjugate in  $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$  may give non-isomorphic Kummer manifolds. Dual representations yield the same Poincaré; they are related to (local) symmetries of diagram of inclusions.

• Let *G* be a Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra acting on its lattice of roots  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}$  its Cartan algebra. The action of *G* preserves the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence a symplectic form on  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

- Let *G* be a Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra acting on its lattice of roots  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}$  its Cartan algebra. The action of *G* preserves the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence a symplectic form on  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ .
- Type  $A_n$ :  $G = S_{n+1}$  (with standard repr.), resulting with "generalized Kummer" (Fujiki, Beauville).

- Let *G* be a Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra acting on its lattice of roots  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}$  its Cartan algebra. The action of *G* preserves the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence a symplectic form on  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ .
- Type  $A_n$ :  $G = S_{n+1}$  (with standard repr.), resulting with "generalized Kummer" (Fujiki, Beauville).
- Type  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ :  $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \rtimes S_n$  resulting with Hilbert schemes of (standard) Kummer surfaces.

- Let *G* be a Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra acting on its lattice of roots  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}$  its Cartan algebra. The action of *G* preserves the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence a symplectic form on  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ .
- Type  $A_n$ :  $G = S_{n+1}$  (with standard repr.), resulting with "generalized Kummer" (Fujiki, Beauville).
- Type  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ :  $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \rtimes S_n$  resulting with Hilbert schemes of (standard) Kummer surfaces.
- Both series are obtained by integral Kummer construction with A an abelian surface.

- Let *G* be a Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra acting on its lattice of roots  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h}$  its Cartan algebra. The action of *G* preserves the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , hence a symplectic form on  $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^*$ .
- Type  $A_n$ :  $G = S_{n+1}$  (with standard repr.), resulting with "generalized Kummer" (Fujiki, Beauville).
- Type  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ :  $G = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \rtimes S_n$  resulting with Hilbert schemes of (standard) Kummer surfaces.
- Both series are obtained by integral Kummer construction with A an abelian surface.
- Two (out of four known) topological types of
   irreducible symplectic manifolds are Kummer. May be there are more of them?

• Type  $E_6$  with  $G = BT_{24}$  also admits a (local!) symplectic resolution but it does not allow a global resolution.

- Type  $E_6$  with  $G = BT_{24}$  also admits a (local!) symplectic resolution but it does not allow a global resolution.
- Key fact: symplectic resolutions are semi-small so isolated quotient singularities do not have a symplectic resolution in dimension > 2

Lattice of subgroups



Lattice of subgroups BTQ $\mathbb{Z}_6$  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ 

Lefschetz thm fixed pts



Lattice of subgroups



Pts with given isotropy



