

Sobolev capacities

$$\infty > p > 1, n \geq 2$$

Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open subset.

For any compact $K \subset \Omega$ we shall write

$$W(K, \Omega) = \{u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : u \geq 1 \text{ on } K\}.$$

Definition of p -capacity of $K \subset \Omega$, K compact

$$\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) = \inf_{u \in W(K, \Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p$$

Then, for any open $U \subset \Omega$ we set

$$\text{Cap}_p(U, \Omega) = \sup_{\substack{K \subset U \\ K \text{ compact}}} \text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega),$$

and for arbitrary $E \subset \Omega$

$$\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega) = \inf_{\substack{U \supset E \\ U \text{ open}}} \text{Cap}_p(U, \Omega).$$

Note that the capacity of a compact set $E = K$ is defined ambiguously: it is not clear, whether the last method returns the same result as the original one. The same applies to U open. This will be resolved in a moment!

Exercise: The capacity could be equivalently defined with $W_0(K, \Omega)$ in place of $W(K, \Omega)$, where $W_0(K, \Omega) = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega) : u \geq 1 \text{ on } K\}$.

I shall give a list of important properties of Cap_p in a moment; now a crucial definition:

Def: $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is of p -capacity zero if for any ~~$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$~~ , open, open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
 $\text{Cap}_p(E \cap \Omega, \Omega) = 0$.

We shall exploit this definition in a shortly.

A property holds p -quasi everywhere if it holds everywhere but on a set of p -capacity arbitrarily small.

E.g. f is p -quasicontinuous on Ω if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $X_\varepsilon \subset \Omega$, $\text{Cap}_p(X_\varepsilon, \Omega) < \varepsilon$ such that $f|_{\Omega \setminus X_\varepsilon}$ is continuous.

Theorem: (Lusin type theorem for $W^{1,p}$ functions)

Any $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ function is p -quasicontinuous.

Theorem (Egorov type theorem for $W^{1,p}$ functions)

A sequence $\{f_n\}$ of continuous functions, convergent to f in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, has a p -quasiuniformly convergent ^{subsequence} to f .

Motivation

Properties of Cap_p

Theorem

$$E_i \subset \Omega$$

Monotonicity

① If $E_1 \subset E_2$, then $\text{Cap}_p(E_1, \Omega) \leq \text{Cap}_p(E_2, \Omega)$

② If $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$, both sets are open, then $\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega_1) \geq \text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega_2)$

③ for compact $K_1, K_2 \subset \Omega$

$$\text{Cap}_p(K_1 \cup K_2, \Omega) + \text{Cap}_p(K_1 \cap K_2, \Omega) \leq \text{Cap}_p(K_1, \Omega) + \text{Cap}_p(K_2, \Omega).$$

(poor man's inclusion-exclusion formula).

④ if $K_i \subset \Omega$ are compact, $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \dots$, $K = \bigcap_i K_i$, then $\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}_p(K_i, \Omega)$

⑤ if $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E = \bigcup_i E_i$, then $\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}_p(E_i, \Omega)$

⑥ if $E = \bigcup_i E_i$, then $\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega) \leq \sum_i \text{Cap}_p(E_i, \Omega)$

① & ② are obvious by the definition.

To prove ③, we need the following

Exercise If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $\max\{u, 0\} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$
 $\mathbb{R} \quad \uparrow \quad u^+$

$$\nabla u^+ = \begin{cases} \nabla u & u > 0 \\ 0 & u \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Corollary (Another exercise): If $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

then $\max\{u, v\}$ and $\min\{u, v\} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\nabla \max\{u, v\} = \begin{cases} \nabla u & u \geq v \\ \nabla v & v \geq u \end{cases}$$

Proof of ③ If $u_1 \in W(K_1, \Omega)$

$u_2 \in W(K_2, \Omega)$

then $\max\{u_1, u_2\} \in W_0(K_1 \cup K_2, \Omega)$

$\min\{u_1, u_2\} \in W_0(K_1 \cap K_2, \Omega)$

and

$$\text{Cap}_p(K_1 \cup K_2, \Omega) + \text{Cap}_p(K_1 \cap K_2, \Omega) \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \max\{u_1, u_2\}|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \min\{u_1, u_2\}|^p$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_1|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^p$$

and this holds for any u_1, u_2 .

This proves the desired inequality.

④ By ①, $(\text{Cap}_p(K_i, \Omega))_i$ is a non-increasing sequence \Rightarrow it converges.

Let $b = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cap}_p(K_i, \Omega)$.

For any i , $\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) \leq \text{Cap}_p(K_i, \Omega)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) \leq b$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $u \in W(K, \Omega)$ s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p < \text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) + \varepsilon.$$

$u \in C_0^\infty$, thus $A_\varepsilon = \{u \geq 1 - \varepsilon\}$ is a compact subset of Ω , and $K \subset \text{int } A_\varepsilon$.

Therefore for large i $K_i \subset A_\varepsilon$.

$$b \leq \text{Cap}_p(K_i, \Omega) \leq \text{Cap}_p(A_\varepsilon, \Omega) = (*)$$

Note that $\tilde{u} = \frac{u}{1-\varepsilon} \in W(A_\varepsilon, \Omega)$, thus

$$b_\varepsilon(*) \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^p = (1-\varepsilon)^{-p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \\ < \frac{\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) + \varepsilon}{(1-\varepsilon)^p}$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we see that

$$b \leq \text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega).$$

⑤ and ⑥ are far more technical and I will skip these proofs.

Any set-function defined on 2^{Ω} that enjoys properties ①, ④ and ⑤ is called a Choquet capacity. The following useful theorem holds:

Theorem (Choquet): For any Borel $E \subset \Omega$

$$\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega) = \sup_{K \subset E} \text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega)$$

On the exercise course we shall calculate the capacity of a point and a ball relative to a larger ball.

Now we shall concentrate on sets of capacity zero.

Motivation for studying sets of p -capacity zero:

Theorem: Suppose $E \subset \Omega$ is a closed (in a subspace topology of Ω) subset of Ω . Then

$$\rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$$

if and only if E is of p -capacity zero.

(i.e. every function in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be approximated in $W^{1,p}$ -norm by a sequence of smooth functions with supports in $\Omega \setminus E$).

Thus null- p -capacity-sets are negligible in the Sobolev context.

Observation: Every set of p -capacity zero is contained in a Borel (even G_δ) set of the same property.

(Proof - exercise).

By property (6), any countable union of sets of p -cap. zero is again of p -cap. zero.

Our definition of p -cap. zero engages all open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; for bounded E it is enough to find one:

Lemma: If E is bounded and for some bounded and open $\Omega \supset E$ we have $\text{Cap}_p(E, \Omega) = 0$ then E is of p -cap. zero.

Proof: Take any open $\tilde{\Omega}$. We want to prove that $\text{Cap}_p(\tilde{E} \cap \tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Omega}) = 0$.

By Choquet's theorem it is enough to prove that for any $K \subset \tilde{E} \cap \tilde{\Omega}$, K compact $\text{Cap}_p(K, \tilde{\Omega}) = 0$.

Take $u \in W(K, \Omega)$ and $v \in W(K, \tilde{\Omega})$.
Then $uv \in W(K, \tilde{\Omega})$

$$\text{Cap}_p(K, \tilde{\Omega}) \leq \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\nabla(uv)|^p = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\nabla u \cdot v + u \cdot \nabla v|^p$$

we can put Ω here
 $\text{supp } uv \subset \Omega \cap \tilde{\Omega}$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} 2^p (\max_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p \cdot |u|^p + \max_{\Omega} |v|^p \cdot |\nabla u|^p)$$

$$\leq C(p, v) \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right)$$

$$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\leq} C(p, v, \Omega) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p$$

Poincaré ineq.
($u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$)

← this can be made arbitrarily small by proper choice of u .

Thus $\text{Cap}_p(K, \tilde{\Omega}) = 0$. \square

Theorem: If E is of p -capacity zero, then $|E|=0$.

Proof: Take any bounded Ω .

$\text{Cap}_p(E \cap \Omega, \Omega) = 0 \rightarrow \exists_{\mathcal{U} \text{ open}} : E \cap \Omega \subset \mathcal{U} \subset \Omega,$
s.t. $\text{Cap}_p \mathcal{U} < \varepsilon$.

Fix $K \subset \mathcal{U}$ compact, then $\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) < \varepsilon$
as well.

We can choose $\varphi \in W(K, \Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p \leq \text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon.$$

Then $|K| \leq \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^p \stackrel{\text{Poincaré ineq.}}{\leq} c \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^p < 2c\varepsilon$

Thus $|\mathcal{U}| = \sup_{K \subset \mathcal{U}} |K| \leq 2c\varepsilon \Rightarrow |E|=0. \quad \square$

A much stronger theorem holds:

Theorem: Suppose $1 < p \leq n$ and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is of p -capacity zero. Then the Hausdorff dimension of E is at most $n-p$.

and almost converse: for $p \in (1, n)$ if $\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(E) < \infty$, then E is of p -capacity zero.

Proof is not beyond our abilities, but beyond our time resources.

Proof that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$ iff E is of p -cap. zero. (for bounded Ω).

If E is of p -capacity zero, then there exists $C_p(\Omega \cap E, E) = 0 \Rightarrow$ there exists

a sequence of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ -functions φ_j functions $u_j \in W(\Omega \cap E, E)$ such that

$$\int |\nabla u_j|^p \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Take any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then $(1-u_j)\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$;

$$(1-u_j)\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$$

$$\text{thus } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E) \supseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$$

The reverse inclusion is trivial.

Let now $K \subset E$ be compact. We assume $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$ and need to prove that $\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) = 0$.

Take any $\varphi \in W(K, \Omega)$. Since $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we can approximate φ with a sequence $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus E)$, $\varphi_j \xrightarrow{W^{1,p}} \varphi$.

$$\text{Then } \varphi - \varphi_j \in W(K, \Omega),$$

$$\text{Cap}_p(K, \Omega) \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi - \nabla \varphi_j|^p \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \square.$$

A similar theorem, but only one-way holds for $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$:

Theorem: If $E \subset \Omega$ is closed (rel to Ω) and of p -cap. zero, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) = W^{1,p}(\Omega \setminus E)$.

Proof: Exercise.

Theorem: $W^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ iff $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is of p -cap. zero

Proof: \Leftarrow

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,p}(\Omega) &= W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &= W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega)) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \\ &= W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned}$$

thus $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega))$
which implies $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ is of p -cap. zero.

Theorem: If E is of p -cap zero, then $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ is connected.

Exercise 4 $\text{Cap}_p(\{x_0\}, B(x_0, 1))$ $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

We can of course assume $x_0 = 0$.

Take radial function: $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \geq 1 \\ 1 - |x| & |x| < 1 \end{cases}$

This is a Lipschitz, thus a $W^{1,p}$ function for any p .

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ $\text{Cap}_p(\{0\}, B(x_0, 1)) \leq \int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^p = (*)$

$$\nabla f_\varepsilon(x) = \nabla f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^p = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} |\nabla f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)|^p$$

$$(*) = \int_{\substack{B(0,1) \\ \mathbb{R}^n}} |\nabla f\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)|^p \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \cdot dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(y)|^p \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \varepsilon^n dy =$$

$$= \varepsilon^{n-p} \|\nabla f\|_p^p \rightarrow$$

If $n > p$, this estimate goes to 0 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

\Rightarrow for $n > p$ $\text{Cap}_p(\{0\}, B(0,1)) = 0$.

For $n \geq p$ we know that $W^{1,p} \subset L^\infty$

and if $f \in W(\{0\}, B(0,1))$, then

$$1 \leq \|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{W^{1,p}} \leq C \cdot \|\nabla f\|_{L^p}$$

which proves Poincaré ineq.

that $\text{Cap}(\{0\}, B(0,1)) \geq \frac{1}{C}$.

How about $p = n$?

$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ does contain unbounded functions, e.g. $f(x) = \begin{cases} \log \log \frac{1}{|x|} & |x| < \frac{1}{e} \\ 0 & |x| \geq \frac{1}{e} \end{cases}$
 (with c. supp.)

By convergence of the improper integral

$$\int_{B(0,r)} |\nabla f|^p \rightarrow 0 \quad r \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\varphi_n(x) = \min\{f, \max\{\min\{f(x)-n, 1\}, 0\}\}$
 $\nabla \varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \in (n, n+1) \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$

$\varphi_n \in W_0(\{0,1\}, B(0,1))$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_p(\{x_0\}, B(0,1)) &\leq \int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla \varphi_n|^p = \int_{B(0, e^{-e^n}) \setminus B(0, e^{-e^{n+1}})} |\nabla f|^p \leq \\ &\leq \int_{B(0, e^{-e^n})} |\nabla f|^p \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log \log \frac{1}{|x|} &= n \\ \log \frac{1}{|x|} &= e^n \\ \frac{1}{|x|} &= e^{e^n} \\ |x| &= e^{-e^n} \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < r \leq R < \infty$

Exercise: Prove that $\text{Cap}_p(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, B(x_0, R)) =$

$$= \begin{cases} n\omega_n \left(\frac{|n-p|}{p-1}\right)^{p-1} \left| R^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} - r^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} \right|^{1-p} & p \neq n \\ n\omega_n \left(\log \frac{R}{r}\right)^{1-n} & p = n \end{cases}$$

Proof: Suppose $u \in W(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, B(x_0, R))$, i.e. $u \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, R))$, $u \geq 1$ on $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$. } $x_0 = 0$

Then for any $z \in S^{n-1}$

$$\int_r^R \frac{d}{dt} [u(tz)] dt = -1, \text{ thus}$$

$$1 \leq \int_r^R \left| \frac{d}{dt} [u(tz)] \right| dt = \int_r^R |\langle \nabla u(tz), z \rangle| dt$$

$$\leq \int_r^R |\nabla u(tz)| dt \stackrel{H\ddot{o}l\ddot{d}\text{er}}{\leq} \left(\int_r^R |\nabla u(tz)|^p t^{n-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\int_r^R t^{\frac{1-n}{p} \cdot \frac{p}{p-1}} dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$$

For $p < n$,

$$A(p, n, r, R) = \left(\int_r^R t^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}} dt \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = A(p, n, r, R) \left(\ln \frac{R}{r} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = A$$

$$= \left(\frac{p-1}{p-n} t^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} \Big|_r^R \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = \left(\frac{p-1}{p-n} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(R^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} - r^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$$

We have $1 \leq A^p \int_r^R |\nabla u(tz)|^p t^{n-1} dt$
 integrating this over all $z \in S^{n-1}$ we get

$$n\omega_n = |S^{n-1}| \leq A^p \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_r^R |\nabla u(tz)|^p t^{n-1} dt dz$$

$$\stackrel{P}{=} \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_0^R |\nabla u(tz)|^p t^{n-1} dt dz = A^p \int_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx$$

($|\nabla u| = 0$ on $B(x_0, r)$)

herefore $\text{Cap}_p(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, B(x_0, R)) \ll$

$$\int_{B(x, R)} |\nabla u|^p \ll$$

for any $u \in W(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, B(x_0, R))$

$$\int_{B(x, R)} |\nabla u|^p \geq A^{-p} n \omega_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cap}_p(\overline{B(x_0, r)}, B(x_0, R)) \geq n \omega_n A^{-p}$$

Reverse inequality: for

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{|x-x_0|}^R t^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}} dt / \int_r^R t^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}} dt & \text{on } B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r) \\ 1 & \text{on } B(0, r) \\ 0 & \text{outside } B(0, R) \end{cases}$$

is in $W(\overline{B(0, r)}, B(0, R))$ and

$$\int_{B(0, R)} |\nabla u|^p = n \omega_n A^{-p}$$