Modelling XML Applications

Patryk Czarnik


XML and Applications 2015/2016 Lecture 2 – 07.03.2016

XML application (recall)

- XML application (zastosowanie XML)
 - A concrete language with XML syntax
- Typically defined as:
 - Fixed set of acceptable tag names (elements and attributes, sometimes also entities and notations)
 - Structure enforced on markup, e.g.: "<person> may contain one or more <first-name> and must contain exactly one <surname>"
 - Semantics of particular markups (at least informally)

Modelling new XML application

- Analysis & design
 - analysis of existing documents, new requirements, etc.
 - identifying nouns, their role and dependencies
 - data types, constraints, limits
- Writing down
 - structure definition "schema"
 - semantics description usually in natural language; in schema (comments, annotations) or a separate document



Standards for defining structure of XML documents

DTD

- part of XML standard (1998, 2004)
- origins from SGML (1974)
- XML Schema W3C Recommendation(s)
 - version 1.0 2001
 - version 1.1 2012

Relax NG

- OASIS Committee Specification 2001
- ISO/IEC 19757-2 2003

Schematron

- alternative standard and alternative approach
- several version since 1999
- impact on XML Schema 1.1

Benefits of formal definition

- Tangible asset resulting from analysis & design
 - Formal, unambiguous definition of language
 - Reference for humans (document authors and readers, programmers and tool engineers)
- Ability to validate documents using tools or libraries
 - Programs may assume correctness of the content of validated documents (less conditions to check!)
- Content assist in editors
 - autocomplete during typing, stub document generation

Two levels of document correctness (recall)

- Document is well-formed (poprawny składniowo) if:
 - conforms to XML grammar,
 - and satisfies additional *well-formedness constraints* defined in XML recommendation.
 - Then it is accessible by XML processors (parsers).
- Document is valid (poprawny strukturalnie, "waliduje się") if additionally:
 - is consistent with specified document structure definition; from context: DTD, XML Schema, or other;
 - in strict sense (DTD): satisfies validity constraints given in the recommendation.

Then it is an instance of a logical structure and makes sense in a particular context.

Element content – simple case

Example content

<student>
 <first-name>Monika</first-name>
 <surname>Domżałowicz</surname>
 <birth-date>1990-03-13</birth-date>
</student>

DTD definition

- <!ELEMENT student (first-name, surname, birth-date)>
- <!ELEMENT first-name (#PCDATA)>
- <!ELEMENT surname (#PCDATA)>
- <!ELEMENT birth-date (#PCDATA)>

XML Schema definition

```
<xs:element name="student">
    <xs:complexType>
        <xs:sequence>
            <xs:element name="first-name" type="xs:string"/>
                 <xs:element name="surname" type="xs:string"/>
                 <xs:element name="birth-date" type="xs:date"/>
                 </xs:sequence>
                </xs:sequence>
                </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
```

Document Type Definition (DTD)

- Defines structure of a class of XML documents ("XML application").
- Optional and not very popular in new applications.
 - Replaced by XML Schema and alternative standards.
 - It is worth to know it, though. Important for many technologies created 10-30 years ago and still in use.
- Contains declarations of:
 - elements ("element types" to be precise)
 - attributes ("attribute lists"...)
 - entities described last week
 - notations extremely rarely used, we'll skip them

Example DTD (fragments)

```
<!ELEMENT teacher (first-name+, last-name)>
<!ATTLIST teacher degree (MSc | PhD | Prof) #REQUIRED
                 guest (yes | no) "no">
<!ELEMENT student (first-name+, last-name, birth-date, idetification)>
<!ELEMENT identification (PESEL | (passport-nr, country)>
<!ELEMENT first-name (#PCDATA)>
                                    <teacher degree="MSc">
. . .
                                       <first-name>Patryk</first-name>
                                       <last-name>Czarnik</last-name>
<student>
                                    </teacher>
   <first-name>Henry</first-name>
   <first-name>Walton</first-name>
   <first-name>Junior</first-name>
   <last-name>Jones</last-name>
   <birth-date>1905-05-05/birth-date>
   <identification>
       <passport-nr>1234567890</passport-nr>
       <country>USA</country>
   </identification>
</student>
```

Element declaration in DTD

- Element name
- Element type; one of:
 - EMPTY
 - ANY
 - (content specification)
- Content specification is built of
 - element names
 - #PCDATA token*
 - joint together using basic regular expression operators.
 - *) #PCDATA is allowed only under special conditions

Symbols in DTD element specifications

- Parenthesis ()
- Occurrence indicators (postfix operators)
 - ? zero or one
 - * zero or more
 - + one or more
 - no symbol exactly one
- Combination (infix associative operators)
 - , sequence (all in the given order)
 - | choice (one of the given)

XML Schema

- Replacement for DTD in new applications of XML
- Separate W3C standard
 - v 1.0 in 2001 3 recommendations
 - v 1.1 in 2012 2 recommendations
- "XML Schema definition" (*.xsd) is itself XML document
- Similar capabilities for tree-level structure specification
- Much more capabilities than in DTD for
 - text-level content ("simple types"/ "datatypes")
 - modularisation of the definition (type inference, imports, namespace support)
 - identity constraints (keys and references)
 - in v 1.1 also more advanced constraints
- Much more verbose than DTD

Types in XML Schema

Concept of **type** – one of basic distinctions wrt DTD

- Elements and attributes have specified types
- Type specify allowable content of an element / attribute
 - for elements also their attributes
 - type spec. does not include identity constraints
- Type is independent of element (or attribute) name
 - many elements may have the same type
 - elements with the same name may have different types "in different places"

Types – categorisation

Types can be categorised with respect to:

- complexity
 - complex types define tree-level structure: subelements and attributes; they can be applied to elements only
 - simple types define text-level content; they can be applied to elements and attributes
- scope
 - named types are defined in global scope and can be used many times
 - anonymous types are defined in the place of use
- origin
 - predefined / built-in provided by XML Schema
 - user-defined

Element declaration

```
<xs:element name="student" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
     <xs:complexType>
         <xs:sequence>
             <xs:element name="first-name" type="xs:string" max0ccurs="3" />
             <xs:element name="last-name" type="xs:string" />
             <xs:element name="birth-date" type="xs:date" />
             <xs:element name="identification">
                <xs:complexType>
                    <xs:choice>
                        <xs:element name="PESEL" type="xs:string"/>
                        <xs:sequence>
                            <xs:element name="passport-nr" type="xs:string"/>
                            <xs:element name="country" type="xs:string"/>
                        </xs:sequence>
                    </xs:choice>
                </xs:complexType>
             </rs:element>
         </xs:sequence> ...
<!ELEMENT student (first-name+, last-name, birth-date, idetification)>
<!ELEMENT identification (PESEL | (passport-nr, country)>
<!ELEMENT first-name (#PCDATA)>
. . .
```

More details in examples!

Disclaimer

Taking our experience and students' opinions into account we will try not to *copy standard specifications onto slides* but rather to show by examples:

- some typical usage,
- different paths to do a thing so you can choose your approach depending on needs,
- chosen cases of advanced usage and rarely used features – it is impossible to show all of them during a short lecture,
- some good and bad practices.

It also means, in particular, that slides are not a complete source of knowledge required to pass the exam. $$_{16/30}$$

Basic things to look in the examples

"students" - several ways to write a schema for the same document

- Structure of DTD, structure of XML Schema definition
- Typical element definition
- Controlling number of occurrences
- Sequence and choice
- Building complex models (nested groups)
- Defining attributes in schema and DTD

More possibilities see lab classes

- Avoiding code duplication and different ways of writing definitions in schemas
 - Local definitions vs global definitions
 - Anonymous types vs named (global) types
 - Named groups
 - Extending complex types
- Mixed content
 - DTD approach (#PCDATA| a | b)*
 - Mixed content with controlled subelements schema only
- Any order (xs:all) schema only

Model groups

- Element content defined with model groups:
 - sequence all in the given order
 - choice one of the given choices
 - all all given elements in any order
- sequence and choice may be nested, multiplied, etc.
- all restricted
 - may not be mixed with sequence and choice
 - may not be nested
 - can contain only elements with different names and occurrence number <= 1

Namespaces – motivation

- Same names of tags may denote different things.
- Problematic especially when combining document fragments from different sources into one document.

```
<article code="A1250">
<title>Assignment in Pascal and C</title>
<author>
<fname>Jan</fname> <surname>Mądralski</surname>
<address>...
<code>01-234<code>
</address>
</author>
<body>
<paragraph>
Assignment is written as <code>x = 5</code> in C
and <code>x := 5</code> in Pascal.
</paragraph>
</body>
</article>
```

XML namespaces – realisation

- Namespace name (*identyfikator przestrzeni nazw*)
 globally unique identifier
 - Universal Resource Identifier (URI) in XML v1.0
 - Internationalized Resource Identifier (IRI) in XML v1.1
- Namespace prefix (prefiks przestrzeni nazw)
 - local, for convenient reference
 - Local for document or fragment
 - Processors should not depend on prefixes!
- Names resolved and interpreted as pairs: (namespace name, local name)
- To make things more complex:
 - scope and overrding
 - default namespace

Usage of namespaces and prefixes

```
<art:article code="A1250"
  xmlns:art="http://xml.mimuw.edu.pl/ns/article"
  xmlns:t="http://xml.mimuw.edu.pl/ns/text-document"
  xmlns:ad="urn:addresses">
  <art:title>Assignment in Pascal and C</art:title>
  <art:author>
    <fname>lan</fname> <surname>Madralski</surname>
    <ad:address>...
      <ad:code>01-234</ad:code>
    </ad:address>
  </art:author>
  <art:body>
    <t:paragraph>
      Assignment is written as <t:code>x = 5</t:code> in C
      and <t: code>x := 5</t: code> in Pascal.
    </t:paragraph>
  </art:body>
</art:article>
```

Namespaces – overriding and scopes

```
<pre:article code="A1250" xmlns:pre="http://xml.mimuw.edu.pl/ns/article">
<pre:attile>Assignment in Pascal and C</pre:title>
<pre:author>
<fname>Jan</fname> <surname>Mądralski</surname>
<pre:address xmlns:pre="urn:addresses">...
<pre:code>01-234</pre:code>
</pre:address>
</pre:author>
<pre:body>
<pre:paragraph xmlns:pre="http://xml.mimuw.edu.pl/ns/text-document">
Assignment is written as <pre:code>x = 5</pre:code> in C
and <pre:code>x = 5</pre:code> in Pascal.
</pre:paragraph>
</pre:body>
</pre:article>
```

Default namespace

- Applies to element names which do not have a prefix.
- Does not apply to attributes.

```
<article code="A1250" xmlns="http://xml.mimuw.edu.pl/ns/article">
<title>Assignment in Pascal and C</title>
<author>
<fname>Jan</fname> <surname>Mądralski</surname>
<address xmlns="urn:addresses">...
<code>01-234</code>
</address>
</author>
<body>
<paragraph xmlns="http://xml.mimuw.edu.pl/ns/text-document">
Assignment is written as <code>x = 5</code> in C
and <code>x := 5</code> in C
and <code>x := 5</code> in Pascal.
</paragraph>
</body>
</article>
```

Namespaces – supplement

- Qualified name name with non-empty ns.URI
- Unqualified name name with null (not assigned) ns.
 - elements without prefixes when no default namespace
 - attributes without prefixes always
- Namespace name
 - Only identifier, even if in form of an address!
 - Should be in form of URI / IRI; some processors do not check it, though
 - Pay attention to every character (uppercase/lowercase, etc.) – most processors simply compare strings
- XML namespaces may be used not only for element and attribute names – e.g. type names in XML Schema

Namespace awareness

- A document may be well-formed as XML while erroneous from the point of view of namespaces.
 - For some applications (usually old ones...) such document might be proper and usable.
- Modern parsers can be configured to process namespaces or not.

The mentioned document would be

- parsed successfully by a parser which is not namespaceaware,
- revoked by a namespace-aware parser.

Modularisation options

- Combining multiple files
 - DTD external parameter entities
 - Schema include, import, redefine
- Reusing fragments of model definition
 - DTD parameter entities
 - Schema groups and attribute groups (in practice equivalent to the above)
 - Schema types, type derivation (no such feature in DTD)
- Global and local definitions
 - In DTD all elements global, all attributes local
 - In schema both can be global or local, depending on case

Import or include?

- xs:import
 - Imports foreign definitions to refer to
- xs:redefine
 - Includes external definitions, but a local definition overrides external one if they share the same name

xs:include

- Basic command, almost like textual insertion
- Imported module must have the same target namespace or no target namespace

A multi-module, namespace-aware project with overused xs:include leads to duplication of logic in the software that processes documents (or enforces meta-programming tricks to avoid it). /based on personal experience/

Schema and namespaces

- DTD is namespace-ignorant
- XML Schema conceptually and technically bound with XML namespaces
 - Basic approach: one schema (file) = one namespace
 - Splitting one ns into several files technically possible
 - Referring to components from other namespaces available
- Important attributes
 - targetNamespace if given, all <u>global</u> definitions within a schema go into that namespace
 - elementFormDefault, attributeFormDefault
 - should local elements or attributes have qualified names?
 - default for both: unqualified
 - typical approach: elements qualified, attributes unqualified
 - setting may be changed for individual definitions

Using namespaces in XML Schema

Different technical approaches to handle namespaces in XML Schema

- XML Schema ns. bound to xs: or xsd:, no target namespace
- XML Schema ns. bound to xs: or xsd:, target namespace as default namespace
 - Convenient as long as we don't use keys and keyrefs
- Target namespace bound to a prefix (tns: by convention)
- Then we can declare XML Schema as default namespace and avoid using xs: or xsd:
- See examples ns1.xsd ns4.xsd