Characteristic classes of Borel orbits of square-zero upper-triangular matrices

Piotr Rudnicki^{*} and Andrzej Weber⁺

April 12, 2022

Abstract

Anna Melnikov provided a parametrization of Borel orbits in the affine variety of square-zero $n \times n$ matrices by the set of involutions in the symmetric group. A related combinatorics leads to a construction a Bott-Samelson type resolution of the orbit closures. This allows to compute cohomological and K-theoretic invariants of the orbits: fundamental classes, Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes and motivic Chern classes in torus-equivariant theories. The formulas are given in terms of Demazure-Lusztig operations. The case of square-zero upper-triangular matrices is rich enough to include information about cohomological and K-theoretic classes of the double Borel orbits in $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{C}^m)$ for k + m = n. We recall the relation with double Schubert polynomials and show analogous interpretation of Rimányi-Tarasov-Varchenko trigonometric weight function.

The most prominent example of singular spaces with linear group action present in literature is the case of the closures of nilpotent orbits in the Lie algebra of a reductive group. The new discoveries concerning characteristic classes for singular varieties were not applied to nilpotent orbits so far. The exception is the whole nilpotent cone. It has well known desingularization – the Springer resolution. This resolution can be identified with the cotangent bundle of the generalized flag variety. The Springer resolution was studied by many authors in the context of characteristic classes, starting from [9] and recently in particular [5, 6, 27, 43]. By [19] any symplectic resolution of the nilpotent orbit is of the form of the cotangent bundle T^*G/P , where P is a parabolic subgroup. The symplectic resolution is not unique. Any such pair of resolutions differ by a sequence of locally trivial family of special flops, [34]. The nilpotent orbits not admitting any symplectic resolution appear in all types except A_n and G_2 . Now we will study only the case A_n .

Each nilpotent G-orbit decomposes into Borel orbits. It is remarkable that there is a finite number of B-orbits for elements of nilpotence order (height) two. For higher nilpotence order the number of B-orbits is infinite except low dimensional cases. Bender-Perrin [8] has described a resolution of 2-nilpotent B-orbit closures. The construction is inductive, similar to the construction of the Bott-Samelson resolution of Schubert varieties. It is a mixture of the Bott-Samelson resolution and it forms an associated vector bundle. We present that construction in a constructive way, so that it can be used to compute cohomological invariants of orbits.

^{*}Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Poland, piotr.rudnicki@mimuw.edu.pl

[†]Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, Poland, aweber@mimuw.edu.pl

A.W. supported by NCN grant 2016/23/G/ST1/04282 (Beethoven 2)

We are primarily interested in the characteristic classes. One can think about characteristic classes as a deformation of the notion of the fundamental class, as advertised in a review article [39]. We will focus on the invariants listed below. They are of cohomological nature and live in Borel equivariant cohomology or in equivariant K-theory. We will consider the equivariant theories for the maximal torus.

- The fundamental classes in equivariant cohomology and equivariant K-theory serve as a starting point, as well as an occasion to compare our approach with classical Schubert calculus.
- The *Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson* classes are the invariants of singular varieties having good covariant functorial properties. Their existence was conjectured by Grothendieck and Verdier. The first functorial construction was given by MacPherson [29]. It demands possibility of resolving singularities. Thus the theory is available for varieties in characteristic zero. In a series of papers Aluffi (e.g. [2, 3, 4]) computed many examples, gave an alternative definition and connected this theory with various problems in enumerative geometry. An equivariant version was introduced by Ohmoto [35, 45].
- The χ_y -genus defined by Hirzebruch for smooth varieties admits an extension for singular varieties and the underlying homology class was defined in [10]. An equivariant version (especially for torus action) were defined and studied in [46]. A need and profits from introducing equivariant version is clear when one deals with classical singular varieties like Schubert varieties. By the localization theorem computation may be reduced to local calculus of power series. The K-theoretic counterpart of the Hirzebruch class seems to be more natural for problems originating from representation theory. The definition was given in [10] and the equivariant version was introduced in [18, 6].

The structure allowing to compute the characteristic classes of Schubert varieties in the generalized flag variety G/B serves as the model situation. The equivariant cohomology and K-theory with respect to the maximal torus $\mathbb{T} \subset B$ admit actions of the Demazure-Lusztig-type operations. According to [6] the Demazure-Lusztig operations permute the motivic Chern classes of Schubert varieties. The construction is motivated by a geometric interpretation of the Hecke algebra as presented in [12]. An elliptic version of the Demazure-Lusztig operations were used in [42] to compute the elliptic characteristic classes for Schubert varieties in G/B and to identify them with stable envelopes of Okounkov [1]. There are two families of operations constructed on the elliptic cohomology of G/B. The operations are indexed by the simple reflections $s \in W$, the generators of the Weyl group. Let's denote the mentioned operations (for the purpose of this exposition) by \mathcal{BS}_s and \mathcal{R}_s . The Schubert varieties are indexed by the elements of the Weyl group. According to the main result of [42] the elliptic classes $\mathcal{E}\ell\ell(X_w)$ satisfy two recursions

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{BS}_s(\mathcal{E}\ell\ell(X_w)) = \mathcal{E}\ell\ell(X_{ws}) \quad \text{if} \quad \text{length}(ws) > \text{length}(w) \,, \\ &\mathcal{R}_s(\mathcal{E}\ell\ell(X_w)) = \mathcal{E}\ell\ell(X_{sw}) \quad \text{if} \quad \text{length}(sw) > \text{length}(w) \,. \end{split}$$

The Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand-type formulas serve as a prototype for the first relation, it is called the Bott-Samelson recursion. The second one (corresponding to the left action of simple reflections) is a rewritten R-matrix relation. The elliptic class of any Schubert variety X_w can be computed inductively with respect to the length of w, starting from the class of X_{id} which is a point. For cohomology (including quantum cohomology) and K-theory such operations were described in [33]. We find analogous relations for characteristic classes of 2-nilpotent *B*-orbits.

The nilpotent elements of nilpotence order at most two for the group GL_n are the matrices, whose square is zero

$$A^2 = 0$$
.

In addition we assume that A is upper-triangular. The variety of such matrices were studied in [30]. The *B*-orbits are indexed by certain combinatorics. To see the first nontrivial example of nilpotent orbits consider the 2-nilpotent elements contained in $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_3$. These are the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } ac = 0.$$

There are three 2-nilpotent upper-triangular *B*-orbits of rank one

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \{a = 0, c \neq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = \{a \neq 0, c = 0\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_3 = \{a = 0, c = 0, b \neq 0\}$$

The characteristic classes of O_1 and O_2 can be obtained from the minimal one – the fundamental class O_3 – by suitably modified Demazure operations. In this case the closures of orbits are smooth, but starting from n = 4 singularities appear. The closure of the B orbit of the matrix

/0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0
$\setminus 0$	0	0	0/

consists of the block matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where *A* is a 2×2 singular matrix.

The Bender and Perrin resolution of 2-nilpotent *B*-orbits allows to apply the methods of [42, 26] and to give recursive formula for characteristic classes. Let us present the geometric picture. All the square-zero matrices of a fixed order r are conjugate. They form a GL_n orbit. Every such matrix A is characterized by its kernel K, the image W and a nondegenerate map $\mathbb{C}^n/K \to W$. From this data one constructs a resolution of the closure of the GL_n -orbit. Let $\mathcal{F}\ell(r, n - r, n)$ be the 2-step flag variety consisting of pairs of subspaces $W \subset K$ in \mathbb{C}^n with $\dim(W) = r$, $\dim(K) = n - r$. There are natural maps

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n/K,W) & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} & \overline{\operatorname{GL}_n \cdot A} & \subset & \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^n) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathcal{F}\ell(r,n-r,n).
\end{array}$$

Moreover the resolution map φ factorizes through the bundles

Hom $(\mathbb{C}^n/W, W)$ and Hom $(\mathbb{C}^n/K, K)$

over the corresponding Grassmannians giving rise to symplectic resolutions. The natural action of the Borel subgroup decomposes the singular space $\overline{\operatorname{GL}}_n \cdot A$ as well as its resolutions. To construct a resolution of the Borel orbit closure it is enough to resolve a suitable Schubert variety in the Grassmannian and pull back the bundle of Hom's. A precise algorithm is given by Theorem 3.6.

In the present paper the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes c_{SM} and motivic Chern classes mC are computed, as well as the fundamental classes. The ambient space here is the vector space of strictly upper-triangular matrices in \mathfrak{gl}_n , denoted by \mathcal{N} .

Theorem (Theorems 4.1 and 5.1). There exist operators β_i and A_i acting on the fraction field of $H^*_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n]$ and β_i^K and A_i^K acting on the fraction field of $K_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N})[y] = \mathbb{Z}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}, y]$ having the

following properties. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{N}$ is an *B*-orbit of a square-zero matrix, and suppose $\mathcal{O}_2 = Bs_i\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{N}$, where s_i is the matrix of a simple reflection. Assume dim $\mathcal{O}_2 = \dim \mathcal{O}_1 + 1$. Then the fundamental classes, Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes and Motivic Chern classes can be computed by the formulae

$$\begin{split} [\overline{\mathcal{O}}_2] &= e(\mathcal{N}) \cdot \beta_i \Big(\frac{[\overline{\mathcal{O}}_1]}{e(\mathcal{N})} \Big) \in H^{2\mathrm{codim}\,\mathcal{O}_2}_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N}) \,, \qquad c_{\mathrm{SM}}(\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{N}) = e(\mathcal{N}) \cdot A_i \Big(\frac{c_{\mathrm{SM}}(\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{N})}{e(\mathcal{N})} \Big) \in H^*_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N}) \,, \\ [\overline{\mathcal{O}}_2]_K &= e^K(\mathcal{N}) \cdot \beta_i^K \Big(\frac{[\overline{\mathcal{O}}_1]_K}{e^K(\mathcal{N})} \Big) \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N}) \,, \qquad \mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{N}) = e^K(\mathcal{N}) \cdot A_i^K \Big(\frac{\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{N})}{e^K(\mathcal{N})} \Big) \in K_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N})[y] \,. \end{split}$$

Here $e(\mathcal{N})$ and $e^{K}(\mathcal{N})$ stand for the equivariant Euler classes in cohomology and K-theory. The operators appearing in the theorem are versions of Hecke operators from [5] or [6]. The notion of the K-theoretic class in not ambiguous in this case, since the singularities of the square-zero orbit closures are rational, see Remark 4.3.

At the first sight the space of square-zero matrices may seem quite innocent and not very interesting, but the *B*-equivariant geometry of this space contains information about classically studied objects, such as Schubert classes in cohomology of flag varieties. Note that $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ can be embedded as square-zero matrices of the size $2n \times 2n$

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0A\\ 0 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This was already noticed by [25, §2,2]. The Borel orbit of the big matrix consists of matrices of the same shape with A replaced by B_1AB_2 , where B_1 and B_2 are upper-triangular $n \times n$ matrices. The fundamental classes in the equivariant cohomology of $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ are the double Schubert polynomials. Thus cohomological properties of B orbits of square-zero matrices contain information about classical Schubert calculus. In our approach two sets of variables of the double Schubert polynomials have equal role and can be exchanged by the Hecke action. Exchanging such variables corresponds to leaving the upper-right block. For example if n = 2, in the doubled dimension we have three rank 2 upper triangular orbits:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \boxed{\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}} \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{\mathbf{0} & 1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \boxed{\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}} \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \boxed{\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}} \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The first matrix belongs to the minimal rank 2 orbit and it corresponds to the 0-dimensional Schubert cell in the flag variety $\mathcal{F}\ell(2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. The second one corresponds to the open Schubert cell in $\mathcal{F}\ell(2)$. The third one belongs to an *exterior* orbit, not visible in cohomology of \mathbb{P}^1 . Beside these three orbits we have orbits of rank 1 and 0. There is an action of permutations on the set of the B_{2n} -orbits. In the presented example the simple reflections act as follows:

$$s_1 \cdot A_1 = s_3 \cdot A_1 = A_2$$
, $s_2 \cdot A_1 = A_3$.

This action¹ agrees with the left and right actions of permutations on the set of Schubert cells. From our point of view right and left operations belong to the same family. Right operations are obtained by

¹In our setup this is a partial action. The orbits of A_2 and A_3 are maximal among the upper-triangular orbits. The matrices $s_2 \cdot A_2$, $s_1 \cdot A_3$ and $s_3 \cdot A_3$ are not upper triangular. The resolution of [8] does not assume upper-triangularity of the *B*-orbit. Nevertheless we do not want to extend the exposition in the current paper.

conjugation with the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$ and left operations are those obtained by conjugation with $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$. Additionally w have the middle operation which destroys the block-upper-triangular structure. For an extended discussion about right and left Demazure-Lusztig operations see the introduction of [33]. We hope that our approach will shed a new light in on theory of characteristic classes in the classical situation.

Quite analogously to Schubert polynomials one can find interpretation of the trigonometric weight function of Rimányi-Tarasov-Varchenko. We describe here only the case of the full flag variety. This time we present the flag variety as the quotient $\mathcal{F}\ell(n) = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^n) /\!\!/ B_{n-1}$ and embed $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^n)$ into the space of upper-triangular matrices of the size $(2n-1) \times (2n-1)$. Surprisingly the weight functions are exactly equal to the motivic Chern class of orbits (up to the factor corresponding to B_{n-1}).

At the end we would like to remark that the classes of *B*-orbit closures of square-zero matrices were studied by Di Francesco and Zinn-Justin in [14, 13], later by Knutson and Zinn-Justin in [24, 25]. The results of their work include our formulas for fundamental classes in (usual) equivariant cohomology. The main feature of the mentioned papers is certain Temperley-Lieb algebra, an extension of Hecke algebra, which governs the fundamental classes of square-zero *B*-orbits in the Lie algebra, as well as certain classes in the resolutions of the nilpotent orbit. The relevant formalism of Temperley-Lieb algebra action for K-theory was introduced in [47]. The structure considered there is quite rich. The classes obtained by the Temperley-Lieb action are related to our fundamental classes in K-theory, although the exact relation is involving, see [*loc. cit.* §5.4]. Novelty of our results is computation of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson an motivic Chern classes for square-zero Borel orbits. Moreover geometric interpretation of Tarasov-Rimányi-Varchenko weight function is new. We concentrate on the trigonometric weight function and its relation with motivic Chern classes of $B_{n-1} \times B_n$ -orbits in Hom($\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^n$). The parallel statement for homological version follows by well known specialization.

Content: After introducing the notation in §1 we provide in §2 geometric description of orbits corresponding to the involutions consisting of one or two transpositions. The general case is treated in the main part of the paper. In §3 we describe the resolution of singularities of closures of orbits by specializing the construction of Bender and Perrin. The formulas allowing to compute characteristic classes by the action of a suitable Hecke algebra are given in §4 and §5. In the remaining sections we show connection with classical Schubert calculus and the theory of weight functions.

We would like to thank the Referee for suggesting many improvements of the manuscript.

Contents

1 Parametrization of Borel orbits in \mathcal{N}			
2	Geometric description of lower rank orbits	7	
	2.1 Orbits corresponding to transpositions	7	
	2.2 Orbits corresponding to double transpositions	8	
3	Inductive construction of resolution	10	
	3.1 The minimal orbit of a fixed rank	10	
	3.2 A combinatorial lemma	10	

	3.3 Multi-twisted product	12
	3.4 Torus fixed points in the resolution	13
4	Fundamental classes: localization and induction	13
	4.1 Equivariant push-forward	13
	4.2 The induction	14
5	Characteristic classes	16
	5.1 The induction	16
	5.2 Example of computations	18
6	Relation with classical Schubert calculus	19
	6.1 From nilpotent orbits to Schubert cells	19
	6.2 Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials	20
	6.3 Porteous formula	23
7	Relation with RTV weight function	24

1 Parametrization of Borel orbits in \mathcal{N}

Let \mathcal{N} be the space of (strictly) upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices with complex coefficients. Hence \mathcal{N} is an affine space of dimension $\frac{(n-1)\cdot n}{2}$. The square-zero matrices form an affine subvariety $\{A \in \mathcal{N} \mid A^2 = 0\} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Let $\operatorname{GL}_n = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the general linear group and $B = B_n$ be the standard Borel subgroup of GL_n , consisting of upper triangular matrices. The Borel group acts on \mathcal{N} by conjugation. It is known that for $n \ge 6$ the number of B_n orbits in \mathcal{N} is infinite, while the number of square-zero B-orbits is finite. We review now the combinatorial description provided by Melnikov in [30, §1].

The set of B_n -orbits is in bijection with involutions in the symmetric group S_n . Let us fix $w \in S_n$. If w is an involution then it can be written uniquely as a composition of disjoint transpositions $w = (i_1, j_1) (i_2, j_2) \dots (i_k, j_k)$ for $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ and $i_s < j_s$ for any $s = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We always assume that involutions are written in this order without making explicit appealing to this. Let us denote the set of all involutions in S_n by Inv_n . For any $w \in S_n$ let $M_w \in GL_n$ be the permutation matrix. For $w \in Inv_n$ we define the matrix N_w by erasing the lower-triangular and diagonal part from M_w , that is

$$(N_w)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \ge j, \\ (M_w)_{ij}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For example, take $w = (1,3) (2,4) \in Inv_4$. Then

Theorem 1.1 ([30, 2.2]). For each square-zero B_n -orbit in $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{N}$ there exists a unique involution $w \in Inv_n$ such that $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{N_w}$.

In the sequel we will just write \mathcal{O}_w as a shorthand for \mathcal{O}_{N_w} . We will often refer to

Lemma 1.2 ([30, §3]). For $w = (i_1, j_1) (i_2, j_2) \dots (i_m, j_m) \in \text{Inv}_n$ one has

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_w) = mn + \sum_{k=1}^m (i_k - j_k) - \sum_{k=2}^m r_k(w)$$
(1)

where for $k \geq 2$ we put

$$r_k(w) = \#\{\ell < k \mid j_\ell < j_k\} + \#\{\ell < k \mid j_\ell < i_k\}.$$
(2)

Quick use of the above formula is a bit problematic. There is a graphical representation of the involution, from which one can read easily the dimension of the orbit. We draw arcs connecting the transposed numbers. For example if $w = (2, 6) (4, 7) \in S_8$ the corresponding picture is the following:

$$1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8$$

The dimension of the orbit is equal to

$$m(n-m) - \sharp(\text{intersections}) - \sum_{\text{fixed points}} \sharp(\text{arcs passing over}).$$

In our example the dimension of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(2,6)(4,7)}$ is equal to 2(8-2) - 1 - (0+1+2+0) = 8. We refer for details in [32].

2 Geometric description of lower rank orbits

2.1 Orbits corresponding to transpositions

Let us fix $1 \le k < \ell \le n$ and consider transposition $w = (k, \ell) \in Inv_n$. Any matrix belonging to \mathcal{O}_w satisfies three conditions

- 1. it is of the block form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with *A* of the size $k \times (n \ell + 1)$
- 2. the rank of N_w is one.
- 3. the entry $(N_w)_{k,\ell}$ is non-zero.

Let X_w be the closure of \mathcal{O}_w in \mathcal{N} .

Proposition 2.1. The variety X_w is defined by the conditions 1. and 2. above.

Proof. The variety *Y* defined by 1. and 2. (or equivalently rk(A) = 1) is a classical rank locus. It is irreducible of dimension $n + k - \ell$. The orbit $\mathcal{O}_{(k,\ell)}$ is of the same dimension by Lemma 1.2. Since it is contained in *Y*, its closure is equal to *Y*.

The orbit closure $X_{(k,\ell)}$ admits a resolution of singularities which is the bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus (n-\ell-1)}$ over $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^k)$. Here \mathbb{C}^k is identified with the space of the columns having zeros at the positions below k. The resolution sends the tuple of proportional vectors $v_\ell, v_{\ell+1}, \ldots, v_n$ (lying in the same fiber of $\mathcal{O}(-1)$) to the matrix with columns equal to v_i for $i \ge \ell$ and the remaining columns are zero.

2.2 Orbits corresponding to double transpositions

Let $w = (i, j) (k, \ell) \in Inv_n$. We have 3 cases of the value of $r_2(w)$. Namely, $r_2(w)$ is equal to 0, 1 or 2. Clearly $X_{(i,j)(k,\ell)}$ is contained in the algebraic sum

$$X_{(i,j)(k,\ell)} \subset X_{(i,j)} + X_{(k,\ell)} = \{x + y \mid x \in X_{(i,j)}, \ y \in X_{(k,\ell)}\}$$

but in general there is no equality.



0. The case $r_2(w) = 0$. For the pattern $i - k - \ell - j$ (the dashed lines indicate, that the subsequent vertices do not have to be adjacent) the matrices belonging to X_w are nested



The bigger rectangle corresponds to the $k \times (n - \ell + 1)$ matrix, the smaller one to the $i \times (n - j + 1)$ -matrix.

We describe a partial resolution of the variety X_w . Let $\mathcal{F}\ell(1,2;\mathbb{C}^k)$ be the 2-step flag variety consisting of lines and planes in \mathbb{C}^k . Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^k \to \mathbb{C}^{k-i}$ be the projection onto last (k-i)-coordinates. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}\ell(1,2;\mathbb{C}^k)$ be the Schubert variety defined by the condition

$$\Omega = \{ (L, P) \in \mathcal{F}\ell(1, 2; \mathbb{C}^k) \mid \dim \pi(P) \le 1 \} = \{ (L, P) \in \mathcal{F}\ell(1, 2; \mathbb{C}^k) \mid \dim(P \cap \mathbb{C}^i) \ge 1 \}$$

We have the tautological bundles of lines \mathcal{L} and planes \mathcal{P} over the flag variety $\mathcal{F}\ell(1,2,n)$. Consider the restricted bundle

$$\mathcal{E} = \left(\mathcal{L}^{\ell - j} \oplus \mathcal{P}^{n - j - 1}
ight)_{|\Omega} .$$

Let $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{N}$ be the map which sends the coordinates of \mathcal{E} to the columns of the matrix. The variety $X_{(i,j)} + X_{(k,\ell)}$ is contained in the image of p. Counting the dimensions (Lemma 1.2) we see that

$$X_{(i,j)(k,\ell)} = X_{(i,j)} + X_{(k,\ell)} = p(\mathcal{E}).$$

The map $p: \mathcal{E} \to X_w$ is proper but it is not a resolution of singularities of X_w since \mathcal{E} is a bundle over the singular base Ω . Resolving the singularities of the Schubert variety Ω we obtain a resolution of X_w .

Corollary 2.2. If $w = (i, j) (k, \ell)$ and $i < k < \ell < j$ then the orbit closure X_w is defined by rank conditions.

We will not give a detailed explanation of the above statement, since in general the ideal defining X_w is given in [31, Sec. 3, Theorem §3.5]. In this case the ideal is generated by the conditions which can be expressed in terms of geometric position of the "north-east" rectangles² NE(i, j) and $NE(k, \ell)$ determined by the pairs (i, j) and (k, ℓ) :

²For example the "noth-east" rectangle NE(i, j) determined by the pair (i, j) consist of the entries (i', j') with $1 \le i' \le i$ and $j \le j' \le n$.

- $\operatorname{rk}(A) \leq 2;$
- the 2×2 minors of the submatrices not intersecting with the intersection $NE(i, j) \cap NE(k, \ell)$ vanish;
- the entries of the matrix which do not lie in any rectangle are zero.
- **1.** The case $r_2(w) = 1$. For the pattern $i k j \ell$ the matrices belonging to X_w are of the shape:



Note that both rectangles are contained in a bigger one, which is entirely located above the diagonal. Let us consider the (smooth) Schubert variety

$$\Omega = \{ (L, P) \in \mathcal{F}\ell(1, 2; \mathbb{C}^k) \mid L \subseteq \mathbb{C}^i \}.$$

The variety Ω fibers over $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^i)$ with the fiber isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1})$, therefore dim $\Omega = i + k - 3$. Similarly as in the previous case we set

$$\mathcal{E} = \left(\mathcal{L}^{\ell - j} \oplus \mathcal{P}^{n - \ell + 1} \right)_{|\Omega|}$$

Here

$$\dim(\mathcal{E}) = i + k - 3 + (\ell - j) + 2(n - \ell + 1) = 2n + (i - j) + (k - \ell) - 1$$

As before we define the projection map $p : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{N}$. By the construction $X_w \subset p(\mathcal{E})$. The variety $p(\mathcal{E})$ is irreducible and by Lemma 1.2 $\dim(X_w) = 2n + (i - j) + (k - \ell) - 1$ as well. Thus $X_w = p(\mathcal{E})$ and p is a resolution of singularities.

Corollary 2.3. If $w = (i, j) (k, \ell)$ and $i < k < j < \ell$ then the orbit closure X_w is defined by rank conditions.

2. The case $r_2(w) = 2$. For the pattern $i - j - k - \ell$ matrices belonging to X_w are of the shape:



This shape of matrices in X_w is analogous to the previous case. The difference is that the North-East rectangle spanned by coordinate (k, j) does not lie in the strictly upper triangular part of $n \times n$ matrices. Rank conditions do not suffice to define X_w in this case. One equation is missing, X_w is a hypersurface in $p(\mathcal{E})$. It turns out we just need to intersect the rank conditions variety with the condition $A^2 = 0$. Geometrically this means that we do not take the whole bundle \mathcal{E} (defined analogously as in the previous cases) but we have to restrict to a naturally defined quadric $Q \subseteq \mathcal{E}$. The singularities in this case are quadratic and they are easy to resolve.

Corollary 2.4. If $w = (i, j) (k, \ell)$ and $i < j < k < \ell$ then the orbit closure X_w is defined by rank conditions and the condition $A^2 = 0$.

Further investigation by hand of X_w singularities is troublesome. We stop here our consideration. For a general description of the ideal of X_w see [31]. The precise form of the ideal of X_w is irrelevant for our purposes. We will be primarily interested in resolution of singularities of X_w . As we can see the resolution of B-orbit closure is intimately related to resolving singularities of Schubert cells.

3 Inductive construction of resolution

In the article [8] Bender and Perrin proved a general result about resolution of singularities for B-orbits in 2-nilpotent cones in simple simply-laced groups (i.e. in the type ADE). In this section, we will give a precise form of this resolution in the special case $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$. In subsequent sections we will apply the resolution obtained here to compute fundamental classes of orbit closures and characteristic classes of orbits.

Theorem 3.1 ([8, Corollary 3.2.1]). Let G be a simple simply-laced group and B its Borel subgroup. Let $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ be nilpotent element of height 2 and let X be its B-orbit closure. Then, there exists a sequence of minimal parabolic subgroups P_1, \ldots, P_m and a vector space Y, such that

 $f: P_1 \times^B \dots \times^B P_m \times^B Y \to X, \qquad [p_1, \dots, p_m, x] \mapsto p_1 \dots p_m \cdot x,$

is a resolution of singularities.

In our context, $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, but results for nilpotent orbits apply.

3.1 The minimal orbit of a fixed rank

All the square-zero matrices of a fixed rank are conjugate. Each adjoint orbit $GL_n \cdot N_w$ contains a minimal B_n orbit. It is closed in $GL_n \cdot N_w$. It is elementary to check that the following orbit is minimal, for example computing its dimension by Lemma 1.2.

Proposition 3.2. The minimal orbit in $GL_n \cdot N_w$ is of the form N_{w_m} , where $m = rk(N_w)$ and

$$w_m = (1, n - m + 1)(2, n - m + 2) \dots (m, n) .$$

The closure of \mathcal{O}_{w_m} is the linear space X_{w_m} consisting of the matrices A having the entries $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j + i \leq 2n - m$.



3.2 A combinatorial lemma

We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.3. Let $w = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_m, j_m) \in S_n$ be an involution. Let $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{n-2m}$ be the fixed points of w. Suppose $\pi_w \in S_n$ is the permutation, written in one-line notation as

$$\pi_w = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-2m}, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m$$

i.e. $\pi_w(1) = i_1, \pi_w(2) = i_2, \dots, \pi_w(n) = j_m$. Then

$$\pi_w \cdot N_{w_m} = M_{\pi_w} N_{w_m} M_{\pi_w}^{-1} = N_w \tag{3}$$

Moreover

$$\dim(\mathcal{O}_w) = \ell(\pi_w) + \frac{m(m+1)}{2} \tag{4}$$

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Let us compute the length of π_w counting the number of the inversions in π_w . The set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is the sum of three intervals: I = [1, m], K = [m + 1, n - 2m] and J = [n - 2m + 1, n]. The permutation π_w preserves the orders of the first two sets. Therefore

$$\ell(\pi_w) = in(I, K) + in(I, J) + in(K, J) + in(J, J) = in(I, K \cup J) + in(I \cup K, J) - in(I, J) + in(J, J),$$

where

$$in(X,Y) = \sharp\{(x,y) \in X \times Y \mid x < y, \ \pi_w(x) > \pi_w(y)\}$$

Also note that if $\ell \ge k$ then $j_{\ell} > i_{\ell} \ge i_k$. Therefore

$$in(I,J) = \sharp\{\ell < k \mid j_\ell < i_k\}$$

$$in(J,J) = \sharp\{\ell < k \mid j_{\ell} > j_k\} = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} - \sharp\{\ell < k \mid j_{\ell} < j_k\}.$$

By the definition (2)

$$\sum_{k=2}^{m} r_k(w) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} + in(I,J) - in(J,J).$$

Moreover

$$in(I, K \cup J) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} i_k - k = \sum_{k=1}^{m} i_k - \frac{m(m+1)}{2}.$$

Let $(j'_1 > j'_2 > \cdots > j'_m)$ be the sequence of indices j_k written in the reverse order. We have

$$in(I \cup K, J) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (n - j'_k + 1) - k = nm - \sum_{k=1}^{m} j_k - \frac{m(m-1)}{2}.$$

Finally we obtain

$$\ell(\pi_w) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^m i_k - \frac{m(m+1)}{2}\right) + \left(nm - \sum_{k=1}^m j_k - \frac{m(m-1)}{2}\right) - \left(\sum_{k=2}^m r_k(w) - \frac{m(m-1)}{2}\right)$$
$$= nm + \sum_{k=1}^m (i_k - j_k) - \sum_{k=2}^m r_k(w) - \frac{m(m+1)}{2}.$$

Conclusion follows from Lemma 1.2.

Remark 3.4. The permutation π_w defined in the Lemma 3.3 in general is not the unique one satisfying (3) and (4). Let w = (1,5)(2,6)(3,4)

$$1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6$$

there are three permutations conjugating N_{w_3} to N_w : 123564, 132546 and 312456. They all have the minimal length equal to 2.

3.3 Multi-twisted product

Definition 3.5. Let 0 < i < n.

- The transposition $(i, i + 1) \in S_n$ is denoted by s_i .
- The subgroup of GL_n generated by B_n and N_{s_i} is denoted by P_i . It is a minimal parabolic group (properly) containing B_n .

Now let us define the main protagonist of this section. Let $\underline{\pi} = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_\ell})$ be a reduced word representing π_w . We define the multiple twisted product

$$\mathcal{X}_{\pi} = P_{i_1} \times^B \cdots \times^B P_{i_{\ell}} \times^B X_{w_m}$$

with respect to the Borel group $B = B_n$. In addition *B* acts on \mathcal{X}_{π} and the morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} & \xrightarrow{J_{\underline{\pi}}} & \mathfrak{g} = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) & & (p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_\ell}, y) \longmapsto p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_\ell} \cdot y \\ & & \downarrow \\ g_{\underline{\pi}} & & & \downarrow \\ P_{i_1}/B \cong \mathbb{P}^1 & & p_{i_1}B \end{array}$$

are *B*-equivariant. The map $g_{\underline{\pi}}$ is a fibration with fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}$, where $\underline{\pi}' = (s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_\ell})$. In fact, we have $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} = P_{i_1} \times^B \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $w = (i_1, j_1) \dots (i_m, j_m) \in \text{Inv}_n$ and let π_w be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $\underline{\pi} = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_\ell})$ be a reduced word representing π_w . Then

$$f_{\pi}: \mathcal{X}_{\pi} \to X_w \subset \mathcal{N}$$

is a *B*-equivariant resolution of singularities of the closure of \mathcal{O}_w .

Proof. The map $f_{\underline{\pi}}$ is a composition of two proper morphism. The first is the Springer resolution $\operatorname{GL}_n \times^B \mathcal{N} \to \mathfrak{g}$ with the Bott-Samelson resolution of the (bundle over) Schubert variety $(B\pi B) \times^B X_{w_m}$. Therefore the map f_{π} is proper.

The map $f_{\underline{\pi}}$ sends the sequence $\underline{w} = [s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \ldots, s_{i_m}, N_{w_m}]$ to $(s_{i_1}s_{i_2} \ldots s_{i_m}) \cdot N_{w_m} = N_w$. (Here we identified the transposition s_{i_a} with its matrix $M_{s_{i_a}}$ to avoid staircase indices.) Therefore the whole orbit \mathcal{O}_w is contained in the image. By Lemma 3.3 dim $\mathcal{O}_w = \dim \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}$, therefore the map $f_{\underline{\pi}}$ restricted to the orbit of \underline{w} is a covering. On the other hand (by an elementary argument) the stabilizer of N_w in B is connected. Therefore this covering is trivial. Hence $f_{\underline{\pi}}$ is a resolution of singularities.

We have described in a constructive way the resolution of X_w of Theorem [8, Corollary 2.4.3]. Compare [Lemma 7.3.1, *loc. cit.*].

Remark 3.7. Suppose $\underline{\pi} = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\ldots s_{i_\ell}$ is a reduced word. Then for $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ the composition $\tau = s_{i_k} \circ s_{i_{k+1}} \circ \cdots \circ s_{i_\ell}$ is a permutation which preserves upper-triangularity of N_{w_m} , i.e. $\tau N_{w_m} \tau^{-1}$ is upper triangular. This follows from the fact that if a < b and $\pi(a) < \pi(b)$ then the inequality is preserved for the truncated word. Suppose $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} \to \mathcal{X}_w$ is a resolution of singularities or equivalently $N_w = \underline{\pi} \cdot N_{w_m}$ and dim $(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}) = \dim(\mathcal{X}_w)$. Let $\underline{\tau} = (s_{i_k}, s_{i_{k+1}}, \ldots, s_{i_\ell})$. Then at each step the matrix $N = \tau \cdot N_{w_m}$ is upper-triangular and $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\tau}}$ is a resolution of the B-orbit of N.

Example 3.8. Let $w = (1,6)(3,4) \in \text{Inv}_7$. The permutation given by Lemma 3.3 is equal to $\underline{\pi} = s_2 s_6 s_4 s_5 s_6$.

Let us analyze all the intermediate steps obtained inductively which lead to the resolution of the orbit closures. We call whole process *evolution of the dots*.



3.4 Torus fixed points in the resolution

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_n \times \mathbb{C}^*$, where $\mathbb{T}_n \subset B_n$ is the maximal torus consisting of the diagonal matrices. The torus \mathbb{T}_n acts on \mathcal{N} , $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}$, X_w , P_i/B etc. as a subgroup of the Borel group. The factor \mathbb{C}^* of \mathbb{T} acts on \mathcal{N} as the scalar multiplication. The resolution \mathcal{X}_{π} is a fiber bundle over the Bott-Samelson variety

$$Z_{\underline{\pi}} = P_{i_1} \times^B P_{i_2} \times^B \cdots \times^B P_{i_\ell} / B$$

which is a resolution of the Schubert variety $B\pi B/B \subset \operatorname{GL}_n / B$, see [11, §2.2]. The action of the factor \mathbb{C}^* lifts to $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}$ as the scalar multiplication in the fibers of the projection $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} \to Z_{\underline{\pi}}$.

The fixed points of the torus \mathbb{T}_n (as well as of \mathbb{T}) on $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}$ are contained in the zero section, i.e. in $Z_{\underline{\pi}}$. They are indexed by the subwords of $\underline{\pi}$, see [42, §3.2]. Hence $|\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}^{\mathbb{T}}| = 2^m$. Our further computation is an induction based on the fibration $g_{\underline{\pi}} : \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} \to P_i/B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. The fixed point $B/B \in P_i/B$ will be denoted by p_0 and the other fixed point $s_i B/B$ will be denoted p_{∞} . The fiber over p_0 is equivariantly isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}$ where the word $\underline{\pi}'$ arises by omitting the first letter in $\underline{\pi}$. The fiber over p_{∞} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}$ too but the action of the torus is twisted. For $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $[s_{i_1}, x] \in g_{\pi}^{-1}(p_{\infty})$

$$t \cdot [s_{i_1}, x] = [ts_{i_1}, x] = [s_{i_1}s_{i_1}ts_{i_1}, x] = [s_{i_1}, s_{i_1}ts_{i_1}x],$$

i.e. the action is twisted by s_{i_1} .

4 Fundamental classes: localization and induction

4.1 Equivariant push-forward

We briefly describe the main tool which allows efficient computation of fundamental classes in the situation when a torus is acting and there are finitely many fixed points. The method is based on the

equivariant push-forward and may be applied in many contexts, for various cohomology theories. We are primarily interested in ordinary cohomology and K-theory, but for sake of compactness we present the general formula.

Suppose $h_{\mathbb{T}}(-)$ is a \mathbb{T} -equivariant version of a complex oriented cohomology theory in the sense [28, §1]. Let $f : M \to N$ be a proper map of smooth complex varieties. Let us assume that the fixed point sets $M^{\mathbb{T}}$ and $N^{\mathbb{T}}$ are finite. From the formal properties of complex oriented theories (see [44]) it follows that for any class $\alpha \in h_{\mathbb{T}}(M)$ and any fixed point $p \in N^{\mathbb{T}}$

$$\frac{f_*(\alpha)_{|p}}{e^h(T_pN)} = \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p) \cap M^{\mathrm{T}}} \frac{\alpha_{|q}}{e^h(T_qM)} \,. \tag{5}$$

Here for a \mathbb{T} representation V the class $e^h(V) \in h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$ denotes the Euler class in the cohomology theory h(-). For the classical cohomology the Euler class of a one dimensional representation is equal to the weight of the action, i.e.

$$e(L) = \omega \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^*) \simeq H^2_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$$

For K-theory

$$e^{K}(L) = 1 - L^{*} \in \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{T}) \simeq K_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$$

Formula (5) holds in the fraction field of $h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$, denoted by $(h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt))$, therefore it allows to compute the direct image provided that $h_{\mathbb{T}}(N) \to (h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)) \otimes_{h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)} h_{\mathbb{T}}(N)$ is injective. Otherwise the direct image is computed up to the $h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$ -torsion. We will apply the localization theorem for $N = \mathcal{N}$, for which $h_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{N}) \simeq h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$.

Let $X \subset N$ be a singular variety and let $f : M \to N$ be a proper map, which is a resolution of singularities of X = f(M). The push forward of the unit element $\mathbb{1}_M \in h_{\mathbb{T}}(M)$ plays the role of the fundamental class of X in the theory h(-). In general it depends on the resolution except very few cases. For classical cohomology theory it agrees with the usual notion of the fundamental class. For K-theory it does not depend on the resolution in general, and it has good properties when X has rational singularities, see [16]. To compute $f_*(\mathbb{1}_M)$ we specialize the formula (5) and we obtain the expression

$$\frac{f_*(\mathbb{1}_M)_{|p}}{e^h(T_pN)} = \sum_{q \in f^{-1}(p) \cap M^{\mathbb{T}}} \frac{1}{e^h(T_qM)} \,. \tag{6}$$

In our case, for $X = X_w$, $M = \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}$ and $f = f_{\underline{\pi}} : \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} \to \mathcal{N}$.

4.2 The induction

We will use the notation introduced in §3.4. All the formulas below hold in the fraction field of $h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$. The simple reflections s_i act on $h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt)$ through the automorphisms of the torus. Below t_j denotes the one dimensional representation given by character, which is the projection of the torus on *j*-th coordinate.

Theorem 4.1. For $x \in (h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt))$ let

$$\beta_i^h(x) = \frac{x}{e^h(t_{i+1}t_i^{-1})} + \frac{s_i(x)}{e^h(t_i t_{i+1}^{-1})}$$

Suppose $\underline{\pi} = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_{\ell}}), \underline{\pi}' = (s_{i_2}, s_{i_3}, \dots, s_{i_{\ell}})$. Then

$$\frac{f_{\underline{\pi}*}(\mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}})}{e^h(\mathcal{N})} = \beta_{i_1} \left(\frac{f_{\underline{\pi}'*}(\mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}})}{e^h(\mathcal{N})} \right)$$

Proof. Let $p = p_0$ or p_∞ . The tangent space at $q \in g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p)$ fits to the exact sequence

$$T_q \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'} \hookrightarrow T_q \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}} \twoheadrightarrow T_p \mathbb{P}^1$$

The tangent space at $p_0 \in P_i/B$ is equal to $t_{i+1}t_i^{-1}$, at the point p_{∞} it is dual. The fixed point set decomposes into two parts.

• The points belonging to $g_{\pi}^{-1}(p_0)$: here

$$e^{h}(T_{q}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}) = e^{h}(T_{q}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'})e^{h}(t_{i+1}t_{i}^{-1}).$$

• The points belonging to $g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p_{\infty})$: the action of \mathbb{T} at the fiber $g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p_{\infty})$ is twisted by s_{i_1} :

$$e^{h}(T_{q}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}) = s_{i_{1}}\left(e^{h}(T_{q}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'})\right)e^{h}(t_{i}t_{i+1}^{-1}).$$

From the localization formula (6) we obtain

$$\frac{f_{\underline{\pi}*}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}})}{e^{h}(\mathcal{N})} = \sum_{q \in g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p_{0})} \frac{1}{e^{h}(T_{q}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'})} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{h}(t_{i+1}t_{i}^{-1})} + \sum_{q \in g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p_{\infty})} \frac{1}{s_{i_{1}}(e^{h}(T_{q}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}))} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{h}(t_{i+1}t_{i}^{-1})} \\
= \frac{f_{\underline{\pi}'*}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}})}{e^{h}(\mathcal{N})} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{h}(t_{i+1}t_{i}^{-1})} + s_{i_{1}}\left(\frac{f_{\underline{\pi}'*}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}})}{e^{h}(\mathcal{N})}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{e^{h}(t_{i}t_{i+1}^{-1})}.$$

For the classical theory the operator β_i specializes to the divided difference (up to the sign)

$$\beta_i^H(x) = \frac{x}{t_{i+1} - t_i} + \frac{s_i(x)}{t_i - t_{i+1}} = -\frac{x - s_i(x)}{t_i - t_{i+1}} = -\partial_i(x) \,. \tag{7}$$

In the case of ordinary cohomology our computation coincides with [24, §4.1, Lemma 1].

For K-theory we obtain a variant of the isobaric divided difference

$$\beta_i^K(x) = \frac{x}{1 - t_i t_{i+1}^{-1}} + \frac{s_i(x)}{1 - t_{i+1} t_i^{-1}} = \frac{t_{i+1} x - t_i s_i(x)}{t_{i+1} - t_i}$$

If we considered the lower-triangular matrices with the action of B_{-} we would obtain the classical formulas.

It remains to give a formula for the starting point of the induction. The variety X_{w_m} is smooth and closed (a vector subspace), thus its fundamental class in any theory is well defined. It is equal to the product of the Euler classes of coordinates $e^h(ut_it_j^{-1})$ for (i, j) not belonging to the corner defining X_{w_m} .

Proposition 4.2. The class of the closure of the minimal orbit of rank m divided by the Euler class is equal to

$$\frac{[X_{w_m}]_h}{e^h(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{n-m+i \le j \le n} e^h(u \, t_i t_j^{-1})^{-1} \, .$$

In particular

$$\frac{[X_{w_m}]}{e(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{n-m+i \le j \le n} (u+t_i-t_j)^{-1}.$$

and

$$\frac{[X_{w_m}]_K}{e^K(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{n-m+i \le j \le n} (1 - u t_j/t_i)^{-1}.$$

Remark 4.3. We note that X_w has rational singularities by [8, Cor. 0.0.4]. This is clear from the form of the resolution given in Theorem 3.6. Therefore the following notions coincide:

- $f_*([\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_w}])$ for any resolution of singularities $f: \tilde{X}_w \to \mathcal{N}$, $f(\tilde{X}_w) = X_w$,
- the class of the coherent sheaf \mathcal{O}_{X_w} ,
- the specialization of the motivic Chern class $mC(X_w \subset \mathcal{N})_{y=0}$.

See [46, §14], [16].

5 Characteristic classes

5.1 The induction

For a generalized equivariant cohomology theory $h_{-}(-)$ we define the characteristic classes enlarging the transformation group. For a vector bundle $E \to X$, possibly equivariant with respect to a group G we define

$$c^{h}(E) = e^{h}(E \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\hbar}) \in h_{G \times \mathbb{C}^{*}}(X) \simeq h_{G}(X) \otimes h_{\mathbb{C}^{*}}(pt),$$

where \mathbb{C}_{h} is the natural representation of \mathbb{C}^{*} . In this section we only consider two generalized cohomology theories: the classical one and K-theory. Then for a line bundle *L*

$$c^{H}(L) = \hbar + c_{1}(L)$$

 $c^{K}(L) = 1 - (\hbar L)^{-1}$.

Setting $\hbar = 1$ in the first case we obtain the usual notion of the Chern class. For K-theory substituting $\hbar = -y^{-1}$ we arrive to $\lambda_y E^*$, which is used to construct motivic Chern classes. (See [18, Rem. 2.4] for a similar convention.) Suppose $f : M \to N$ is a proper map of smooth varieties. We define

$$c^{h}(f: M \to N) = f_{*}(c^{h}(TM)).$$

For classical homology and K-theory this assignment can be extended to $K^G(Var/N)$ — the Grothendieck ring of *G*-varieties over N, see [10, 6].

Let $\mathcal{X}^o_{\underline{\pi}} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}}$ be the open *B*-orbit. If $\pi \cdot N_{w_m} = N_w$ and $\dim(\mathcal{X}^o_{\underline{\pi}}) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_w)$ then $\mathcal{X}^o_{\underline{\pi}}$ is mapped isomorphically to \mathcal{O}_w . The orbit $\mathcal{X}^o_{\underline{\pi}}$ can be described geometrically:

$$\mathcal{X}^{o}_{\underline{\pi}} = \left(P_{i_1} \times^B \mathcal{X}^{o}_{\underline{\pi}'} \right) \setminus g^{-1}_{\underline{\pi}}(p_0) \,.$$

The effect on characteristic classes is the following:

Theorem 5.1. For $x \in (h_{\mathbb{T}}(pt))$ let

$$A_i^h(x) = \left(\frac{c^h(t_{i+1}t_i^{-1})}{e^h(t_{i+1}t_i^{-1})} - 1\right)x + \frac{c^h(t_i t_{i+1}^{-1})}{e^h(t_i t_{i+1}^{-1})}s_i(x).$$

Suppose $\underline{\pi} = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_{\ell}}), \underline{\pi}' = (s_{i_2}, s_{i_3}, \dots, s_{i_{\ell}})$. Then

$$\frac{c^{h}(\mathcal{X}^{o}_{\underline{\pi}} \to \mathcal{N})}{e^{h}(\mathcal{N})} = A^{h}_{i_{1}}\left(\frac{c^{h}(\mathcal{X}^{o}_{\underline{\pi}'} \to \mathcal{N})}{e^{h}(\mathcal{N})}\right)$$

Note that our construction is valid also for the permutations π which are not given by Lemma 3.3. *Proof.* We use the additivity relation:

$$c^{h}\left((P_{i_{1}}\times^{B}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}^{o})\setminus g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p_{0})\to\mathcal{N}\right)=c^{h}(P_{i_{1}}\times^{B}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}^{o}\to\mathcal{N})-c^{h}(g_{\underline{\pi}}^{-1}(p_{0})\to\mathcal{N})$$
$$=c^{h}(P_{i_{1}}\times^{B}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}^{o}\to\mathcal{N})-c^{h}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}^{o}\to\mathcal{N}).$$

We argue as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. We note that

$$c^{h}\left(P_{i_{1}}\times^{B}\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}^{o}\to\mathcal{N}\right)_{q}=c^{h}(\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\pi}'}^{o}\to\mathcal{N})_{q}\cdot c^{h}(T_{p}\mathbb{P}^{1})\,.$$

The torus action on the first factor has to be twisted by s_i if $p = p_{\infty}$. Comparing with the calculation for fundamental classes we multiply the summands by $\frac{c^h(T_p\mathbb{P}^1)}{e^h(T_p\mathbb{P}^1)}$, not just by $\frac{1}{e^h(T_p\mathbb{P}^1)}$.

Remark 5.2. The operator A_i^h can also be written as

$$A_i^h(x) = \beta_i^h \left(\frac{c^h(t_{i+1}t_i^{-1})}{e^h(t_{i+1}t_i^{-1})} x \right) - x \,.$$

For Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes we have

Corollary 5.3. Suppose that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_w) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{w'}) + 1$ and $s_i \cdot N_{w'} = N_w$. Then

$$\frac{c_{\mathrm{SM}}(\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathcal{N})}{e(\mathcal{N})} = A_i \left(\frac{c_{\mathrm{SM}}(\mathcal{O}_{w'} \subset \mathcal{N})}{e(\mathcal{N})} \right) \,,$$

where

$$A_i(x) = \frac{1}{t_{i+1} - t_i} x + \frac{1 + t_i - t_{i+1}}{t_i - t_{i+1}} s_i(x) \,.$$

Alternatively

$$A_{i}(x) = \beta_{i} \left(\frac{1 + t_{i+1} - t_{i}}{t_{i+1} - t_{i}} x \right) - x = \beta_{i} (x) + s_{i}(x) = -\partial_{i} (x) + s_{i}(x).$$

For the motivic Chern classes in K-theory

Corollary 5.4. Suppose that $\dim(\mathcal{O}_w) = \dim(\mathcal{O}_{w'}) + 1$ and $s_i \cdot N_{w'} = N_w$. Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathcal{N})}{e^K(\mathcal{N})} = A_i^K \left(\frac{\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{w'} \subset \mathcal{N})}{e^K(\mathcal{N})} \right) \,,$$

where

$$A_i^K(x) = \frac{(1+y)t_i/t_{i+1}}{1-t_i/t_{i+1}}x + \frac{1+yt_{i+1}/t_i}{1-t_{i+1}/t_i}s_i(x) \,.$$

Alternatively

$$A_i^K(x) = \beta_i^K \left(\frac{1 + y t_i/t_{i+1}}{1 - t_i/t_{i+1}} x \right) - x.$$

The operator A_i has appeared in [5, §5] (denoted by \mathbf{L}^{\vee}). The K-theoretic version is present in [6] (denoted by \mathcal{T}^{\vee}). In both cases these operators are dual to those computing the classes of the open orbits.

Similarly as in Proposition 4.2 we find the beginning of the induction.

Proposition 5.5. The class of the minimal orbit of rank m divided by the Euler class is equal

$$\frac{[\mathcal{O}_{w_m}]_h}{e^h(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{n-m+i < j \le n} \frac{c^h(u\,t_it_j^{-1})}{e^h(u\,t_it_j^{-1})} \cdot \prod_{n-m+i=j \le n} \left(\frac{c^h(u\,t_it_j^{-1})}{e^h(u\,t_it_j^{-1})} - 1\right) \,.$$

In particular

$$\frac{c_{\mathrm{SM}}(\mathcal{O}_{w_m} \subset \mathcal{N})}{e(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{n-m+i < j \le n} \frac{1+u+t_i-t_j}{u+t_i-t_j} \cdot \prod_{n-m+i=j \le n} \frac{1}{u+t_i-t_j} \cdot \prod_{n-m+i=j \le n} \frac$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{w_m} \subset \mathcal{N})}{e^K(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{n-m+i < j \le n} \frac{1+y\,t_j/(u\,t_i)}{1-t_j/(u\,t_i)} \cdot \prod_{n-m+i=j \le n} \frac{(1+y)\,t_j/(u\,t_i)}{1-t_j/(u\,t_i)} \,.$$

5.2 Example of computations

Example 5.6. Suppose n = 4, w = (1, 2) (3, 4)

$$1 - 2 - 3 - 4 \qquad X_w = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid ad + be = 0 \right\}.$$

Since $N_w = s_2(N_{w_2})$ we have in cohomology

$$[X_w] = -e(\mathcal{N}) \,\partial_2 \left(\frac{1}{(t_1 - t_3 + u)(t_1 - t_4 + u)(t_2 - t_4 + u)} \right)$$

= $e(\mathcal{N}) \frac{t_1 - t_4 + 2u}{(t_1 - t_2 + u)(t_1 - t_3 + u)(t_1 - t_4 + u)(t_2 - t_4 + u)(t_3 - t_4 + u)}$

$$\begin{split} c_{\rm SM}(\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathcal{N}) &= e(\mathcal{N}) \, A_2\left(\frac{1+t_1-t_4+u}{(t_1-t_3+u)(t_1-t_4+u)(t_2-t_4+u)}\right) \\ &= e(\mathcal{N}) \frac{(1+t_1-t_4+u)(t_1-t_4+2u+(t_1-t_3+u)(t_2-t_4+u))}{(t_1-t_2+u)(t_1-t_3+u)(t_1-t_4+u)(t_2-t_4+u)(t_3-t_4+u)} \, . \end{split}$$

In K-theory we have

$$\begin{split} [X_w]_K &= e^K(\mathcal{N}) \,\delta_2\left(\frac{1}{(1 - \frac{t_3}{ut_1})(1 - \frac{t_4}{ut_1})(1 - \frac{t_4}{ut_2})}\right) \\ &= e^K(\mathcal{N}) \frac{1 - \frac{t_4}{u^2 t_1}}{(1 - \frac{t_2}{ut_1})(1 - \frac{t_3}{ut_1})(1 - \frac{t_4}{ut_1})(1 - \frac{t_4}{ut_2})(1 - \frac{t_4}{ut_3})} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathcal{N}) &= e^K(\mathcal{N}) \, A_2^K \left(\frac{(1+y)^2 \frac{t_3}{ut_1} \frac{t_4}{ut_2} (1+y \frac{t_4}{ut_1})}{(1-\frac{t_3}{ut_1})(1-\frac{t_4}{ut_2})} \right) \\ &= e^K(\mathcal{N}) \frac{(1+y)^2 \frac{t_4}{u^2 t_1} \left(1+y \frac{t_4}{ut_1}\right) \left(1-\frac{t_2}{ut_1} + \frac{t_2}{t_3} - \frac{t_4}{ut_3} + y \left(1-\frac{t_4}{u^2 t_1}\right)\right)}{(1-\frac{t_2}{ut_1})(1-\frac{t_3}{ut_1})(1-\frac{t_4}{ut_1})(1-\frac{t_4}{ut_2})(1-\frac{t_4}{ut_3})} \,. \end{split}$$

Example 5.7. We come back to the Example 3.8: let $w = (1, 6) (3, 4) \in \text{Inv}_7$, $\underline{\pi} = s_2 s_6 s_4 s_5 s_6$. Then

The results are too long to present them in print, although they are easily obtained by computer.

6 Relation with classical Schubert calculus

Amazingly from the case of square-zero matrices one can deduce formulas for the classes of Schubert varieties in the classical flag variety GL_n / B_n . We explain that relation below.

6.1 From nilpotent orbits to Schubert cells

We consider equivariant cohomology, but a parallel discussion applies to K-theory. The construction presented in this section is closely related to [23, 22] where an interpretation of the Schubert polynomials is given. We have the Kirwan surjective map:

$$\kappa: H^*_{\mathbb{T}_n \times \mathbb{T}_n}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)) \longrightarrow H^*_{\mathbb{T}_n}(\operatorname{GL}_n / \operatorname{B}_n).$$
(8)

Classes of the Schubert varieties are images of the classes of $B_n \times B_n$ orbits in $Hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$. Furthermore, let us consider the embedding $Hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n) \hookrightarrow Hom(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ as the block matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This map is B_{2n} -equivariant, given that B_{2n} acts on $Hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ via the natural surjection $B_{2n} \twoheadrightarrow B_n \times B_n$

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 C \\ 0 B_2 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (B_1, B_2)$$

The $B_n \times B_n$ orbits in $Hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ are mapped to B_{2n} -orbits in $Hom(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, \mathbb{C}^{2n})$ which are contained in the upper-right corner. Therefore the case of 2-nilpotent matrices contains all information about Schubert classes. It is remarkable that in addition there are involved "boundary" classes corresponding to degenerate matrices *A* and "exterior" classes, which are the classes of orbits not contained in the upper-right corner. This simple observation can be generalized

Proposition 6.1. Consider the embedding of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ to $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k+n}, \mathbb{C}^{k+n})$ as the upper-right block matrices. Then $B_k \times B_n$ -orbits in $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathbb{C}^n)$ are exactly the B_{k+n} orbits contained in the upper-right block.

The recursive formulas of the previous sections may serve as tools to compute fundamental classes of the orbit closures, as well as characteristic classes of orbits themselves.

Considering nilpotent orbits has an advantage, which allows us to look at the variables of the double Schubert polynomial as one set, not divided into equivariant parameters and Chern classes of the line bundles. From the point of view of square-zero matrices there is no such distinction and exchanging variables between these groups results transgressing the boundary of the upper-right block, as explained in the introduction.

6.2 Schubert and Grothendieck polynomials

We consider the upper-triangular square-zero matrices of the size $2n \times 2n$. In this section we neglect the additional variable u, since the extended polynomials with this variable can be recovered by a suitable substitution, provided that we do not apply the operation $\beta_n = -\partial_n$.

For the B_{2n} -orbits contained in the upper-right block we recover the class in $Hom(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$ multiplying $\frac{[X_w]}{e(\mathcal{N})}$ by the class of the block

$$e(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^n)) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=n+1}^{2n} (t_i - t_j)$$

and then changing variables (to agree later with the Schubert polynomials convention)

$$t_1 = x_n, \quad t_2 = x_{n-1}, \quad \dots \quad t_n = x_1.$$
 (9)

$$t_{n+1} = y_1, \quad t_{n+2} = y_2, \quad \dots \quad t_{2n} = y_n.$$
 (10)

Let us recall the inductive definition of the double Schubert polynomials [7, Def. 6.1]:

(i)
$$\mathfrak{S}_{\pi_n} = \prod_{i+j \le n} (x_i - y_i)$$

(ii) $\mathfrak{S}_{\pi s_i} = \partial_i^x \mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$ if $\ell(\pi s_i) > \ell(\pi)$

Here π_n is the longest permutation and the divided difference ∂_i^x is the standard one

$$\partial_i^x(f) = \frac{f - f_{x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1}}}{x_i - x_{i+1}} \,.$$

In addition the double Schubert polynomials satisfy

(iii)
$$\mathfrak{S}_{s_i\pi} = -\partial_i^y \mathfrak{S}_{\pi}$$
 if $\ell(s_i\pi) > \ell(\pi)$,

see [21, Theorem 1.1]. Suppose $w \in Inv_{2n}$ is an involution such that N_w is contained in the upper right block and the rank of N_w is maximal. Then the upper right block is a $n \times n$ permutation matrix. Let us reverse the order of rows. The resulting matrix is the matrix of a permutation π_w^{-1} .

Example 6.2. Let n = 3, $w = (14)(26)(35) \in \text{Inv}_6$. The resulting permutation is obtained by the following sequence of operations:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

Proposition 6.3. For X_w contained in the upper-right block

$$e(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^n))\cdot \frac{[X_w]}{e(\mathcal{N})} = \mathfrak{S}_{\pi_w}$$
 (11)

after the substitution given by (9-10).

This proposition is not new, see [25, §2, Prop. 2]. We include the proof for completeness.

Proof. First let us note that for a polynomial in t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{2n} the operations β_i for $i \neq n$ commute with multiplication by $e(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n))$, since that class is symmetric with respect to the groups of variables $\{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n\}$ and $\{t_{n+1}, t_{n+2}, \ldots, t_{2n}\}$ separately. Therefore

$$e(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^n))\cdot\beta_{i_1}\beta_{i_2}\dots\beta_{i_\ell}\left(\frac{[X_{w_n}]}{e(\mathcal{N})}\right)=\beta_{i_1}\beta_{i_2}\dots\beta_{i_\ell}\left(e(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^n))\cdot\frac{[X_{w_n}]}{e(\mathcal{N})}\right).$$

The induction starts with w_n

$$e(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n,\mathbb{C}^n))\cdot \frac{[X_{w_n}]}{e(\mathcal{N})} = \prod_{i< j} (t_i - t_j)$$

After the change of variables this product is equal to \mathfrak{S}_{π_n} , i.e. the Schubert polynomial associated to the longest permutation. The operators β_i for $i \leq n$ become ∂_{n-i}^x (in addition β_i for i > n become $-\partial_{i-n}^y$). Therefore both sides of the equation (11) satisfy the same recursion.

Applying exactly the same proof we obtain a parallel result for Grothendieck polynomials, confirming the conclusion of the formula in [47, §5.4].

Proposition 6.4. For X_w contained in the upper-right block

$$e^{K}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n},\mathbb{C}^{n}))\cdot \frac{[\mathcal{O}_{X_{w}}]}{e^{K}(\mathcal{N})} = \mathfrak{G}_{\pi_{w}}$$
(12)

after the substitution given by (9-10).

Here the Grothendieck polynomial of the longest permutation is equal to

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\pi_n} = \prod_{i+j=n} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{x_i} \right).$$

To compute Grothendieck polynomials of smaller length permutation we apply he isobaric divided differences are taken with respect to the variables x_i

$$(\partial_i^{x,K} f)(x;y) = \frac{f(\dots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots; y)}{1 - x_{i+1}/x_i} + \frac{f(\dots, x_{i+1}, x_i \dots; y)}{1 - x_i/x_{i+1}},$$

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\pi s_i} = \partial_i^{x,K} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi}$$

according to the convention of [40, §2.1].

Let us come back to the Schubert polynomials. The equivariant variables in the classical convention are y_i 's: $H^*_{\mathbb{T}_n}(pt) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$. The Kirwan map (8) is multiplicative but the formulas known from intersection theory of flag varieties do not hold in $H^*_{\mathbb{T}_n \times \mathbb{T}_n}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n))$. The boundary terms contribute to the formulas.

Example 6.5. Consider the case n = 2. Then $\operatorname{GL}_2 / \operatorname{B}_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and the cohomology ring is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]/(x_1 + x_2 - y_1 - y_2, x_1x_2 - y_1y_2).$$

The Kirwan map is the quotient map from $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2]$. The class of the zero dimensional cell, the point p_0 , is equal to $x_1 - y_1$. We have

$$[p_0]^2 = (y_2 - y_1)[p_0] \in H^4_{\mathbb{T}_2}(\operatorname{GL}_2/\operatorname{B}_2)$$

On the level of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ we have $[X_{w_2}] = (t_2 - t_3) = (x_1 - y_1)$ and

$$[X_{w_2}]^2 = (x_1 - y_1)^2 = (y_2 - y_1)(x_1 - y_1) + (x_1 - y_2)(x_1 - y_1) = (y_2 - y_1)[X_{w_2}] + [X_{(13)}]$$
(13)

since

$$[X_{(13)}] = (t_2 - t_3)(t_2 - t_4) = (x_1 - y_1)(x_1 - y_2).$$

Graphically we present the relation (13) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \bullet \end{bmatrix}^2 = (y_2 - y_1) \begin{bmatrix} \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \bullet \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$

The Kirwan map sends $[X_{w_2}]$ to $[p_0]$ and the boundary class $[X_{(13)}]$ to zero.

Example 6.6. Let n = 3. The corresponding B_n -orbits in Hom $(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$ have the following classes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \bullet \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \circ \circ \bullet \end{bmatrix} = (t_3 - t_4)(t_3 - t_5)(t_2 - t_4), \quad \begin{bmatrix} \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \circ \bullet \circ \end{bmatrix} = (t_3 - t_4)(t_2 - t_4), \quad \begin{bmatrix} \bullet \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \end{bmatrix} = (t_3 - t_5)(t_3 - t_4),$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \circ \bullet \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \bullet \circ \circ \end{bmatrix} = (t_2 + t_3 - t_4 - t_5), \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \end{bmatrix} = t_3 - t_4, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \bullet \circ \circ \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

After the substitution we obtain the double Schubert polynomials

$$\begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \bullet \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{S}_{321}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \circ \bullet \circ \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{S}_{231}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{S}_{312}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{S}_{132}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{S}_{213}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \end{bmatrix} = \mathfrak{S}_{123}.$$

We do not list all boundary classes except the following example

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \circ \\ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \\ \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \circ \\ \bullet \circ \\ \circ \circ \\ \bullet$$

The multiplication formulas differ from those for Schubert classes. We have an equality of polynomials

$$\begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \bullet \\ \bullet \circ \circ \\ \circ \bullet \circ \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \circ \bullet \circ \end{bmatrix} = (y_3 - y_1) \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \bullet \\ \circ \bullet \circ \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \\ \circ \circ \circ \end{bmatrix}$$

The class $\begin{bmatrix} \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \circ \\$

6.3 Porteous formula

We return to the case of upper-triangular matrices of the size $n \times n$. The following computation demonstrates a relation between various Schubert classes on the example of rank one matrices. Similar relations hold for higher ranks.

Suppose w = (i, j). The variety $X_{(i,j)}$ is contained in the upper-right block of the size $i \times (n - j + 1)$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{i,j} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be the vector space of the matrices having zeros outside that block. By Proposition 2.1 the variety $X_{(i,j)} \subset \mathcal{N}_{i,j}$ is identified with the set of matrices of the rank ≤ 1 . The fundamental class in $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}$ is described by the Porteous formula, see [20, Theorem 14.4] or [15, 12.4]

$$[X_{(i,j)}]_{\mathcal{N}_{i,j}}^{\mathbb{T}} = \Delta_{n-j}^{i-1}(c_{\bullet}^{[i,j]}) = \det\left(\left\{c_{i-1+r-s}^{[i,j]}\right\}_{1 \le r,s \le n-j}\right).$$
(14)

where $c_{\bullet}^{[i,j]}$ is given by

$$c_{\bullet}^{[i,j]} = \frac{\prod_{r=1}^{i} (1+t_r)}{\prod_{s=j}^{n} (1+t_s)} = 1 + c_1^{[i,j]} + c_2^{[i,j]} + \dots$$
(15)

Example 6.7. Consider n = 8 and $w = (4, 6) \in Inv_8$. Then by the considerations above we obtain

$$[X_w]_{\mathcal{N}_{4,6}}^{\mathbb{T}} = \Delta_{8-6}^{4-1}(c_{\bullet}^{[4,6]}) = \det\begin{pmatrix} c_3 & c_4\\ c_2 & c_3 \end{pmatrix} = c_3^2 - c_2 c_4$$

To get rid of the dependence on the ambient space we divide by the Euler class

$$\frac{[X_{(i,j)}]^{\mathbb{T}}}{e(\mathcal{N})} = \frac{\Delta_{n-j}^{i-1}(c_{\bullet}^{[i,j]})}{e(\mathcal{N}_{i,j})},$$
(16)

where the Euler class of $\mathcal{N}_{i,j}$ is given by the formula

$$e(\mathcal{N}_{i,j}) = \prod_{r=1}^{i} \prod_{s=j}^{n} (t_r - t_s)$$

By Theorem (4.1) applying β_i to the class (16) we obtain a relation

Proposition 6.8. Suppose i + 1 < j. Then

$$\frac{\Delta_{n-j}^{i}(c_{\bullet}^{[i+1,j]})}{e(\mathcal{N}_{i+1,j})} = \beta_{i} \left(\frac{\Delta_{n-j}^{i-1}(c_{\bullet}^{[i,j]})}{e(\mathcal{N}_{i,j})}\right)$$

An analogous relation we obtain when we switch the roles of rows and columns. The above procedure may serve to compute $\Delta_{n-j}^{i-1}(c_{\bullet}^{[i,j]})$ using various path recursion, not having to compute virtual Chern classes. For example, if n = 8 and w = (4, 5), then the following two walks from the north-east corner to (4,5)

	5	6	- 7	8
1				
$\cdot 2$				
3				
4				

correspond to reduced word expressions $\beta_3 \beta_5 \beta_2 \beta_1 \beta_6 \beta_7$ and $\beta_5 \beta_6 \beta_3 \beta_2 \beta_7 \beta_1$.

7 Relation with RTV weight function

In a series of papers, see e.g. [37, 38] Rimányi, Tarasov and Varchenko have studied certain rational functions, called *trigonometric weight functions*. Their homological analogue were described in [41]. The weight functions are the limits of elliptic weight functions which are rational combinations of Jacobi theta functions. The trigonometric weight functions define elements in K-theory of flag varieties, which satisfy axioms of the K-theoretic stable envelopes in the sense of Okounkov, [36]. The trigonometric functions defines (by certain substitutions of parameters) an element in the K-theory of the flag variety. This element is equal to the motivic Chern class of the Schubert cell. This was proven in [18] by verification of the axioms of stable envelopes. The weight function itself remained mysterious.

Let us discuss the case of the full flag varieties $\mathcal{F}\ell(n) = \operatorname{GL}_n / B_n$. We will not give here all the technical definitions. We just want to point out how one can interpret the recursion obtained in Theorem 5.1. For our purposes it is the most convenient to consider the modified version of the weight function given in [17], or the limit of the modified elliptic weight function $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{\omega}$ from [42, §6]. The function considered by us depends on two sets of variables $\{\gamma_i\}_{1 \le i \le n-1}$ and $\{z_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$. It is obtained from the weight function of [17, §5.4] by the substitution $\alpha_i^{(k)} = \gamma_i$ for $i \le k < n$. The trigonometric weight function satisfies the R-matrix relations, which result in the recursion [38, Theorem 3.2, (3.13)] (for the distinguished slope $\Delta = \{m_{k,l} \equiv -1\}$)

$$W_{s_a\tau}^{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1 - h^{-1} z_{a+1}/z_a}{1 - z_{a+1}/z_a} W_{\tau}^{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, s_a(\mathbf{z})) + (h^{-1} - 1) \frac{1}{1 - z_{a+1}/z_a} W_{\tau}^{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{z})$$
(17)

if $\ell(s_i\tau) > \ell(\tau)$. After substitution $h = -y^{-1}$ and reorganizing the second summand we obtain

$$\widetilde{W}_{s_a\tau}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1 + y z_{a+1}/z_a}{1 - z_{a+1}/z_a} \widetilde{W}_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, s_a(\mathbf{z})) + (1 + y) \frac{z_a/z_{a+1}}{1 - z_a/z_{a+1}} \widetilde{W}_{\tau}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \mathbf{z}).$$
(18)

This is exactly the recursion of Corollary 5.4 with the variables t_i replaced by z_i . Our goal in this section is to give a topological interpretation of the trigonometric weight function.

We present the flag variety $\mathcal{F}\ell(n)$ as the quotient of the Stiefel variety

Stief
$$(n-1,n) = \{ \varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n) : \varphi \text{ is injective} \}$$

$$p: \operatorname{Stief}(n-1,n) \to \mathcal{F}\ell(n) = \operatorname{Stief}(n-1,n)/\operatorname{B}_{n-1}$$
.

The resulting (Kirwan) map

$$\kappa: K_{\mathbb{T}_{n-1}\times\mathbb{T}_n}(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n)) \to K_{\mathbb{T}_n}(\mathcal{F}\ell(n))$$

is surjective. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}$ be the equivariant variables of \mathbb{T}_{n-1} and let z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n be the equivariant variables of \mathbb{T}_n . According to [17, §8] applied to the quotient $\text{Stief}(n-1,n)/B_{n-1}$ for a $B_{n-1} \times B_n$ orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \text{Stief}(n-1,n)$ we have

$$\mathrm{mC}(p(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{F}\ell(n)) = \kappa \big(\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^n)) / \lambda_y(\mathfrak{b}_{n-1}) \big),$$

where

$$\lambda_y(\mathfrak{b}_{n-1}) = \prod_{i \le j} \left(1 + y \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_i} \right)$$

Let us identify the space $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n)$ with the subspace of $\mathcal{N}_{n,n-1} \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1},\mathbb{C}^{2n-1})$ consisting of the a upper-right block matrices. We set

$$t_1 = z_1, \quad t_2 = z_2, \quad \dots \quad t_n = z_n,$$
 (19)

$$t_{n+1} = \gamma_1, \quad t_{n+2} = \gamma_2, \quad \dots \quad t_{2n-1} = \gamma_{n-1},$$
(20)

and

$$y = -h^{-1} \,. \tag{21}$$

(The last substitution is already present in [18, 17].) As in §6.2 the Borel orbit classes $mC(\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathcal{N})/e^K(\mathcal{N})$ satisfy the recursion of Corollary 5.4. If we restrict our attention to the recursion not involving γ -variables, i.e. A_i^K for i < n, we can multiply by $e^K(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n))$. Hence

$$\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{s_iw} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n)) = A_i^K \left(\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n))\right).$$

This is exactly the recursion (18). It remains to compare the motivic Chern class with the weight function for the minimal orbit:

$$\mathrm{mC}\left(\mathcal{O}_{w_{n-1}} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1},\mathbb{C}^n)\right) = \prod_{1=j}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} (1+y\frac{\gamma_j}{z_i}) \cdot (1+y)\frac{\gamma_j}{z_j} \cdot \prod_{i=j+1}^n (1-\frac{\gamma_j}{z_i})\right).$$

We leave as an exercise to specialize the definition of the weight function (e.g the one given in [17, §5.4]) and see that

$$\widetilde{W}_{id} = \frac{\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{w_{n-1}} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^n))}{\prod_{i \leq j} \left(1 + y \frac{\gamma_j}{\gamma_i}\right)}.$$

By the recursion it follows that

Corollary 7.1. Let $\tau \in S_n$ be a permutation and w_{τ} the nilpotent matrix given by the map defined on the standard basis $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \leq 2n-1}$

$$\varepsilon_i \mapsto 0$$
 for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\varepsilon_{n+j} \mapsto \varepsilon_{\tau(j)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Then

$$\widetilde{W}_{\tau} = \frac{\mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{w_{\tau}} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \mathbb{C}^{n}))}{\lambda_{y}(\mathfrak{b}_{n-1})}$$

after the substitutions (19–21).

This shows that (up to the factor corresponding to the group B_{n-1} by which we divide) the modified weight function is the motivic Chern class of the nilpotent B_{2n-1} -orbit.

Example 7.2. Following the definition of [17, §5.4] we compute the trigonometric weight function for the flag variety $\mathcal{F}\ell(2)$:

$$\widetilde{W}_{12} = \frac{\gamma_1}{z_1} \cdot (1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{z_2}), \qquad \widetilde{W}_{21} = (1 + y\frac{\gamma_1}{z_2}) \cdot \frac{\gamma_1}{z_2}$$

The trivial permutation corresponds to the minimal orbit in N_3 of rank 1:



After the substitution $(z_1, z_2, \gamma_1) = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$ the above class is equal to

$$(y+1)^{-1} \operatorname{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{(3,1)} \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^2)).$$

Here \mathbb{C} is given the weight $\gamma_1 = t_3$ and \mathbb{C}^2 the weights $z_1 = t_1$ and $z_2 = t_2$. Note that $(1 + y) = \lambda_y(\mathfrak{b}_1)$.

For the open orbit in $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^1, \mathbb{C}^2) \subset \mathcal{N}_3$



after the substitution h = -y the weight function \widetilde{W}_{21} is equal to

$$(y+1)^{-1} \operatorname{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{(2,3)} \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^2)).$$

Example 7.3.



The 0-dimensional cell in $\mathcal{F}\ell(3)$ corresponds to the minimal rank 2 orbit in \mathcal{N}_5 . Following the definition of [17, §5.4] we find that the weight function is equal to

$$\widetilde{W}_{123} = (1+y\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1})^{-1} \frac{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{z_1z_2} (1+y\frac{\gamma_2}{z_1})(1-\frac{\gamma_1}{z_2})(1-\frac{\gamma_1}{z_3})(1-\frac{\gamma_2}{z_3})$$

This function can be written as

$$\left((y+1)^2(1+y\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1})\right)^{-1} \cdot (1+y)\frac{\gamma_1}{z_1}(1+y\frac{\gamma_2}{z_1}) \cdot (1-\frac{\gamma_1}{z_2})(1+y)\frac{\gamma_2}{z_2} \cdot (1-\frac{\gamma_1}{z_3})(1-\frac{\gamma_2}{z_3})$$

Which is clearly equal to $\lambda_y(\mathfrak{b}_2)^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{mC}(\mathcal{O}_{w_2} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{C}^3))$

Remark 7.4. The original weight function depends on the parameters

$$\left\{ \alpha_{i}^{\left(k
ight)}
ight\} _{i\leq k< n}$$
 and $\left\{ z_{i}
ight\} _{i\leq n},$

while the modified weight function is obtained by the substitution $\alpha_i^{(k)} = \gamma_i$ for $i \le k < n$ and by the division by $\lambda_{-h}(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{gl}_k)$. Presumably the original weight function is related to the motivic Chern classes of orbits of

$$G = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{GL}_k \times \operatorname{B}_n$$

in the representation space

$$V = \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{k-1}, \mathbb{C}^{k}).$$

It is desirable to write an explicit resolutions of orbit closures and write formulas for characteristic classes of all orbits of *G* in *V*, not only those descending to $\mathcal{F}\ell(n)$. We leave this task for future.

References

- [1] Mina Aganagic and Andrei Okounkov. Elliptic stable envelopes. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 34(1):79–133, 2021.
- [2] Paolo Aluffi. Chern classes for singular hypersurfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 351(10):3989–4026, 1999.
- [3] Paolo Aluffi. Limits of Chow groups, and a new construction of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 2(4, Special Issue: In honor of Robert D. MacPherson. Part 2):915–941, 2006.
- [4] Paolo Aluffi and Matilde Marcolli. Feynman motives of banana graphs. Commun. Number Theory Phys., 3(1):1–57, 2009.
- [5] Paolo Aluffi, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, Joerg Schuermann, and Changjian Su. Shadows of characteristic cycles, Verma modules, and positivity of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of Schubert cells, 2017. arXiv:1709.08697, to appear in Duke J. Math.
- [6] Paolo Aluffi, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, Jörg Schürmann, and Changjian Su. Motivic Chern classes of Schubert cells, Hecke algebras, and applications to Casselman's problem, 2019. arXiv:1902.10101.
- [7] Dave Anderson and William Fulton. Equivariant cohomology in algebraic geometry, 2021. draft https://people.math.osu.edu/anderson.2804/ecag/index.html.
- [8] M. Bender and N. Perrin. Singularities of closures of *B*-conjugacy classes of nilpotent elements of height 2. *Transform. Groups*, 24(3):741–768, 2019.
- [9] W. Borho, J.-L. Brylinski, and R. MacPherson. Nilpotent orbits, primitive ideals, and characteristic classes, volume 78 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989. A geometric perspective in ring theory.
- [10] Jean-Paul Brasselet, Jörg Schürmann, and Shoji Yokura. Hirzebruch classes and motivic Chern classes for singular spaces. J. Topol. Anal., 2(1):1–55, 2010.
- [11] Michel Brion and Shrawan Kumar. Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory, volume 231 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005.
- [12] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg. Representation theory and complex geometry. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
- [13] P. Di Francesco and P. Zinn-Justin. From orbital varieties to alternating sign matrices, 2005. arXiv:mathph/0512047.

- [14] P. Di Francesco and P. Zinn-Justin. The quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, generalized Razumov-Stroganov sum rules and extended Joseph polynomials. J. Phys. A, 38(48):L815–L822, 2005.
- [15] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [16] László M. Fehér. Motivic chern classes of cones. In *Singularities and Their Interaction with Geometry and Low Dimensional Topology*, Trends in Mathematics, pages 181–205. Springer International Publishing, 2021.
- [17] László M. Fehér, Richárd Rimányi, and Andrzej Weber. Characteristic classes of orbit stratifications, the axiomatic approach. In Schubert calculus and its applications in combinatorics and representation theory. Selected papers presented at the "International Festival in Schubert Calculus", Guangzhou, China, November 6–10, 2017, pages 223–249. Singapore: Springer, 2020.
- [18] László M. Fehér, Richárd Rimányi, and Andrzej Weber. Motivic Chern classes and K-theoretic stable envelopes. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 122(1):153–189, 2021.
- [19] Baohua Fu. Symplectic resolutions for nilpotent orbits. Invent. Math., 151(1):167–186, 2003.
- [20] William Fulton. Intersection theory, volume 2 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [21] Takeshi Ikeda, Leonardo C. Mihalcea, and Hiroshi Naruse. Double Schubert polynomials for the classical groups. Adv. Math., 226(1):840–886, 2011.
- [22] Allen Knutson. Schubert polynomials, pipe dreams, equivariant classes, and a co-transition formula, 2019. arXiv:1909.13777.
- [23] Allen Knutson, Ezra Miller, and Mark Shimozono. Four positive formulae for type *A* quiver polynomials. *Invent. Math.*, 166(2):229–325, 2006.
- [24] Allen Knutson and Paul Zinn-Justin. A scheme related to the Brauer loop model. *Adv. Math.*, 214(1):40–77, 2007.
- [25] Allen Knutson and Paul Zinn-Justin. The Brauer loop scheme and orbital varieties. J. Geom. Phys., 78:80–110, 2014.
- [26] Shrawan Kumar, Richárd Rimányi, and Andrzej Weber. Elliptic classes of Schubert varieties. Math. Ann., 378(1-2):703–728, 2020.
- [27] Cristian Lenart, Changjian Su, Kirill Zainoulline, and Changlong Zhong. Geometric properties of the Kazhdan-Lusztig Schubert basis, 2020. arXiv:2009.06595.
- [28] M. Levine and F. Morel. Algebraic cobordism. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [29] R. D. MacPherson. Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties. Ann. of Math. (2), 100:423–432, 1974.
- [30] Anna Melnikov. *B*-orbits in solutions to the equation $X^2 = 0$ in triangular matrices. *J. Algebra*, 223(1):101–108, 2000.
- [31] Anna Melnikov. Description of B-orbit closures of order 2 in upper-triangular matrices. *Transform. Groups*, 11(2):217–247, 2006.
- [32] Anna Melnikov. B-orbits of nilpotency order 2 and link patterns. Indag. Math. (N.S.), 24(2):443–473, 2013.
- [33] Leonardo C Mihalcea, Hiroshi Naruse, and Changjian Su. Left Demazure–Lusztig Operators on Equivariant (Quantum) Cohomology and K-Theory. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 04 2021. rnab049.
- [34] Yoshinori Namikawa. Birational geometry of symplectic resolutions of nilpotent orbits. In *Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry*, volume 45 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 75–116. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006.
- [35] Toru Ohmoto. Equivariant Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties with group actions. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 140(1):115–134, 2006.

- [36] Andrei Okounkov. Lectures on K-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry. In *Geometry of moduli spaces and representation theory*, volume 24 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 251–380. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2017.
- [37] R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. Trigonometric weight functions as *K*-theoretic stable envelope maps for the cotangent bundle of a flag variety. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 94:81–119, 2015.
- [38] R. Rimányi, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko. Elliptic and *K*-theoretic stable envelopes and Newton polytopes. *Selecta Math.* (N.S.), 25(1):Paper No. 16, 43, 2019.
- [39] Richárd Rimányi. ħ-deformed Schubert calculus in equivariant cohomology, K theory, and elliptic cohomology. In Singularities and Their Interaction with Geometry and Low Dimensional Topology, Progr. Math., pages 73–96. Birkhäuser Basel, 2021.
- [40] Richárd Rimányi and Andras Szenes. Residues, Grothendieck polynomials and K-theoretic Thom polynomials, 2018. arXiv:1811.02055.
- [41] Richárd Rimányi and Alexander Varchenko. Equivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes in partial flag varieties: interpolation and formulae. In *Schubert varieties, equivariant cohomology and characteristic classes—IMPANGA 15*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 225–235. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018.
- [42] Richárd Rimányi and Andrzej Weber. Elliptic classes of Schubert varieties via Bott-Samelson resolution. J. Topol., 13(3):1139–1182, 2020.
- [43] Changjian Su, Gufang Zhao, and Changlong Zhong. On the K-theory stable bases of the Springer resolution. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 53(3):663–711, 2020.
- [44] Tammo tom Dieck. Lokalisierung äquivarianter Kohomologie-Theorien. Math. Z., 121:253–262, 1971.
- [45] Andrzej Weber. Equivariant Chern classes and localization theorem. J. Singul., 5:153–176, 2012.
- [46] Andrzej Weber. Equivariant Hirzebruch class for singular varieties. Selecta Math. (N.S.), 22(3):1413–1454, 2016.
- [47] Paul Zinn-Justin. Loop models and K-theory. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 14:Paper No. 069, 48, 2018.