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#### Abstract

Given a Hilbert space valued martingale $\left(M_{n}\right)$, let $\left(M_{n}^{*}\right),\left(S_{n}(M)\right)$ denote its maximal and square function, respectively. We prove the following two inequalities: $$
\begin{gathered} \mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right| \leq 2 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\ \mathbb{E} M_{n}^{*} \leq \mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right|+2 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M) \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots . \end{gathered}
$$


The first inequality is sharp and is strict in all nontrivial cases.

## 1 Introduction

In [1] Burkholder proposed a method for showing martingale maximal inequalities and in [2] he introduced a new approach to study the behaviour of maximal function and square function simultaneously. In the paper we use this method to obtain a sharp inequality between the first moments of a martingale and its square function, as well as some other inequalities involving the maximal function.

Let us fix the notation. In the sequel, $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F},\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right), \mathbb{P}\right)$ will be a probability space equipped with some discrete filtration. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with norm $|\cdot|$ and scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $\left(M_{n}\right)$ be a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$-martingale, taking values in some separable subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. A difference sequence $\left(d_{n}\right)$ of the martingale $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is defined by $d_{0}=M_{0}$ a.s., $d_{n}=M_{n}-M_{n-1}$ a.s., $n=1,2, \ldots$ Let $\left(S_{n}(M)\right)$ be

[^0]a square function and $\left(M_{n}^{*}\right)$ the maximal function of the martingale $\left(M_{n}\right)$, i.e. processes defined by
$$
S_{n}(M)=\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|d_{k}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}, \quad M_{n}^{*}=\sup _{0 \leq k \leq n}\left|M_{k}\right|, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

The inequalities between the moments of the martingale, its square and maximal function were studied deeply in the literature. Such inequalities are of fundamental importance to the martingale theory and harmonic analysis. We will only present here two basic results:
(Doob's inequality) For $1<p<\infty$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}^{*}\right|^{p} \leq\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{p} \mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right|^{p}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and the constant $(p /(p-1))^{p}$ is best possible.
(Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequalities) For $1<p<\infty$,

$$
c_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(S_{n}(M)\right)^{p} \leq \mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right|^{p} \leq C_{p} \mathbb{E}\left(S_{n}(M)\right)^{p}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

where $C_{p}=c_{p}^{-1}=\left(p^{*}-1\right)^{p}, p^{*}=\max \{p, p /(p-1)\}$. The constant $C_{p}$ is best possible for $p \geq 2$ and the constant $c_{p}$ is best possible for $p \leq 2$. In the remaining cases the best constants are not known.

In the paper we take up the studies on the comparison of the moments of a martingale, its square and maximal functions. We will be particularly interested in first moments. The inequality

$$
c \mathbb{E} M_{n}^{*} \leq \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M) \leq C \mathbb{E} M_{n}^{*}
$$

for general martingales was first proved by Davis [3]. Later, Garsia [4], [5] proved that the left inequality holds with $c=\sqrt{10}$ and the right with $C=2+\sqrt{5}$. Both these constants were not optimal. Quite recently, Burkholder [2] proved that the best constant in the right inequality is equal to $\sqrt{3}$. We will exploit his methods to investigate some other inequalities of this type.

Precisely, we will prove the following two results.
Theorem 1. The following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right| \leq 2 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant 2 is best possible. Moreover, the inequality is strict in all nontrivial cases.

Theorem 2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} M_{n}^{*} \leq \mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right|+2 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an immediate consequence of the theorems above is the inequality between the first moments of the maximal function and the square function of a martingale, however, with a worse constant.

Corollary 1. We have

$$
\mathbb{E} M_{n}^{*} \leq 4 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

The paper is organized as follows. In the next Section we present the main tools of proving martingale inequalities, which enable to reduce a problem of proving a certain inequality to finding a special function with some convex-type properties. The Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the Theorem 1 and in the last Section we deal with the proof of the Theorem 2.

## 2 The Burkholder's method

In this section we state two theorems of Burkholder. They hold for any Banach space valued martingales $\left(M_{n}\right)$.

First of them (a slight modification of Theorem 2.1 of [2]) provides the key tool to prove maximal inequalities.

Theorem 3. Let $B$ be a Banach space and suppose $U, V$ are functions from $B \times[0, \infty)^{2}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
U(x, y, z) \leq V(x, y, z)  \tag{2.1}\\
U(x, t, z)=U(x, t,|x| \vee z) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the further condition that if $|x| \leq z$ and any mean-zero $\mathcal{F}$-measurable random variable d,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} U\left(x+d, \sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}},|x+d| \vee z\right) \geq U(x, y, z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any nonnegative integer $n$ and any martingale $\left(M_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} V\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) \geq U\left(M_{0}, S_{0}(M),\left|M_{0}\right|\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We have, by the condition (2.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} V\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) & \geq \mathbb{E} U\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E} U\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove that the process $\left(U\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$ is a submartingale. Applying (2.3) conditionally with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$, we obtain the inequality

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[U\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\mathbb{E}\left[U\left(M_{n-1}+d_{n}, \sqrt{S_{n-1}^{2}(M)+d_{n}^{2}},\left|M_{n-1}+d_{n}\right| \vee M_{n-1}^{*}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\right] \\
\geq U\left(M_{n-1}, S_{n-1}(M), M_{n-1}^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and the inequality (2.4) follows immediately.
The second theorem (Lemma 4.1 in [2]) enables to obtain the lower bounds for the constants in the martingale inequalities.

Theorem 4. Let $B$ be a Banach space. For a function $V: B \times$ $[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define $U: B \times[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow[-\infty, \infty)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x, y, z)=\inf \left\{\mathbb{E} V\left(M_{n}, \sqrt{y^{2}-|x|^{2}+S_{n}^{2}(M)}, M_{n}^{*} \vee z\right)\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken over the set of all martingales $\left(M_{n}\right)$ starting from $x$ and over all nonnegative integers $n$. Then the pair $(U, V)$ satisfies (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3).

We refer the reader to [2] for the proof of this result. Let us note that the above theorems may be as well used to prove inequalities which only involve a martingale and its square function, by omitting the variable $z$ (and the condition (2.2)).

## 3 The proof of Theorem 1

First we prove some auxiliary inequalities, which we will need later.
Lemma 1. Let $x, d \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, y<|x|$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}-y \geq \sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}-|x| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, moreover, $\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}} \geq|x+d|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2 y^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}-2 y \geq \sqrt{2|x|^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}-2|x| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The inequality (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
|x|-y \geq \sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}-\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}=\frac{|x|^{2}-y^{2}}{\sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}+\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}}
$$

or

$$
\sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}+\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}} \geq|x|+y
$$

which is obvious.
Now we turn to (3.2). We may write it as follows:

$$
2|x|-2 y \geq \sqrt{2|x|^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}-\sqrt{2 y^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}
$$

$$
=\frac{2|x|^{2}-2 y^{2}}{\sqrt{2|x|^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}+\sqrt{2 y^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\sqrt{2|x|^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}}+\sqrt{2 y^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}} \geq|x|+y
$$

The left hand side of the inequality above is equal to

$$
|x-d|+\sqrt{|x+d|^{2}+2\left(y^{2}+|d|^{2}-|x+d|^{2}\right)}
$$

and, due to assumption $\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}} \geq|x+d|$, can be bound from below by

$$
|x-d|+|x+d| \geq 2|x|>|x|+y
$$

We are ready to use Theorem 3 of Burkholder. Let us introduce functions $\hat{U}, \hat{V}: \mathcal{H} \times[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{U}(x, y, z)=u(x, y)= \begin{cases}\sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}} & \text { if } y \geq|x|, \\
2 y-|x| & \text { if } y<|x|,\end{cases}  \tag{3.3}\\
\hat{V}(x, y, z)=v(x, y)=2 y-|x| . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then we have
Lemma 2. The functions $\hat{U}, \hat{V}$ satisfy (2.1), (2.2), (2.3).
Proof: The condition (2.2) holds trivially. Let us deal with the majorizing condition (2.1). Note, that for any $x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in[0, \infty)$, satisfying $|x| \leq \sqrt{2} y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}} \leq 2 y-|x| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, squaring both sides, we obtain $2(y-|x|)^{2} \geq 0$. Therefore (2.1) holds if $y \geq|x|$. In the opposite case both sides of (2.1) are equal.

Now we turn to (2.3). Suppose first, that $y \geq|x|$. If $y=0$, then $x=0$ and the inequality is trivial: it reduces to the inequality $\mathbb{E}|d| \geq 0$. Suppose then, that $y>0$. We shall show that for any $d \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x+d, \sqrt{y^{2}+d^{2}}\right) \geq u(x, y)+\frac{(x, d)}{\sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will immediately yield (2.3) (by taking expectations of both sides). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}\right)^{2}-|x+d|^{2} \\
& \quad \geq 2|x|^{2}+2|d|^{2}-|x|^{2}-2(x, d)-|d|^{2}=|x-d|^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and, due to (3.3) and (3.5),

$$
u\left(x+d, \sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}\right) \geq \sqrt{2\left(y^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}},
$$

Hence it suffices to check the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2\left(y^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}} \geq \sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}}-\frac{(x, d)}{\sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}} \sqrt{2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}-2(x, d)+|d|^{2}} \geq 2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}-(x, d) .
$$

But we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)\left(2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}-2(x, d)+|d|^{2}\right) \\
\geq\left(2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)^{2}-2\left(2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}\right)(x, d)+|x|^{2}|d|^{2} \geq\left(2 y^{2}-|x|^{2}-(x, d)\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$ and the inequality follows.

Now suppose that $y<|x|$ and let $d \in \mathcal{H}$. Again, the inequality (2.3) will follow immediately by taking expectation, if we show that

$$
u\left(x+d, \sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}\right) \geq u(x, y)+\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right) .
$$

If $\sqrt{y^{2}+d^{2}}<|x+d|$, then we must show that

$$
2 \sqrt{y^{2}+d^{2}}-|x+d| \geq 2 y-|x|-\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right),
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}-2 y \geq|x+d|-|x|-\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inequality (3.1), we may bound from below the left-hand side of the above inequality by

$$
2 \sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}-2|x|
$$

and, therefore, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}-|x+d| \geq|x|-\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we will use the inequalities we have just proven. Setting $y=|x|$ in (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{2\left(|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}} \geq|x|-\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (3.5) with $x:=x+d, y:=\sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}$, we obtain

$$
2 \sqrt{|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}}-|x+d| \geq \sqrt{2\left(|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}}
$$

which establishes (3.9).
Finally, let us consider the case $\sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}} \geq|x+d|$. We must prove that

$$
\sqrt{2\left(y^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}} \geq 2 y-|x|-\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right)
$$

or

$$
\sqrt{2\left(y^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}}-2 y \geq-|x|-\left(\frac{x}{|x|}, d\right)
$$

By inequality (3.2), the left-hand side is not smaller than

$$
\sqrt{2\left(|x|^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)-|x+d|^{2}}-2|x|
$$

which, with the aid of (3.10), yields the desired inequality. The proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1 It suffices to combine Lemma 2 with Theorem 3 ; indeed, for any fixed martingale $\left(M_{n}\right)$ and any nonnegative integer $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M)-\mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right| & =\mathbb{E} \hat{V}\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), 0\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
\geq \hat{U}\left(M_{0}, S_{0}(M),\left|M_{0}\right|\right) & =\hat{U}\left(M_{0},\left|M_{0}\right|,\left|M_{0}\right|\right) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof of the inequality (1.1).
Now we will show that the inequality in Theorem 1 is sharp, even if $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the inequality holds with a constant $C \in[1, \infty)$ and let $V(x, y, z)=v(x, y)=C y-|x|$. Let us now apply the Theorem 4. The function $U$ defined by (2.5) does not depend on $z$ (because $V$ does not), therefore the pair $(u, v)$, where $u(x, y)=U(x, y, z)$, satisfies (2.1), (2.3) and $u(0,0)>-\infty$. Let $n$ be a fixed nonnegative integer and set $x=n, y=\sqrt{n}$. We apply the condition (2.3) to a mean-zero random variable taking values $s<0$ and 1 ; we obtain

$$
\frac{s}{s-1} u(n+1, \sqrt{n+1})+\frac{1}{1-s} u\left(n+s, \sqrt{n+s^{2}}\right) \geq u(n, \sqrt{n})
$$

which, by (2.1), implies

$$
\frac{s}{s-1} u(n+1, \sqrt{n+1})+\frac{1}{1-s} v\left(n+s, \sqrt{n+s^{2}}\right) \geq u(n, \sqrt{n})
$$

Now we let $s \rightarrow-\infty$. We get

$$
u(n+1, \sqrt{n+1})+C-1 \geq u(n, \sqrt{n})
$$

which, by induction, implies, that for any nonnegative integer $n$,

$$
u(n, \sqrt{n}) \geq u(0,0)-n(C-1) .
$$

Therefore

$$
u(0,0)-n(C-1) \leq v(n, \sqrt{n})=C \sqrt{n}-n,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
C \geq \frac{2 n+u(0,0)}{n+\sqrt{n}} .
$$

Now letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields the result.
Finally, we will prove that the inequality is strict in all nontrivial cases. Let $n$ be a fixed nonnegative integer and $\left(M_{n}\right)$ be a martingale such that $\mathbb{P}\left(M_{n} \neq 0\right)>0$. Let us introduce the stopping time

$$
\tau=\inf \left\{k: M_{k} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

If $\mathbb{P}(\tau=0)>0$, then the last inequality in (3.11) is strict and we are done. If $\mathbb{P}(\tau>0)=1$, then applying the optional sampling theorem to the submartingale $\hat{U}\left(M_{k}, S_{k}(M), M_{k}^{*}\right), k=0,1,2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E} U\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) \geq \mathbb{E} U\left(M_{\tau \wedge n}, S_{\tau \wedge n}(M), M_{\tau \wedge n}^{*}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
U\left(M_{\tau \wedge n}, S_{\tau \wedge n}(M), M_{\tau \wedge n}^{*}\right)=U\left(M_{\tau \wedge n},\left|M_{\tau \wedge n}\right|, M_{\tau \wedge n}^{*}\right)>0
$$

on the set $\{\tau \leq n\}$ (which has positive probability), the strictness follows. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 4 The proof of Theorem 2

We start from a simple
Lemma 3. For $x, d \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x+d| \vee z-|x+d| \leq\left|-\frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|)+d\right| . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We may and will assume that $z \geq|x|$. For $|x+d| \geq z$ there is nothing to prove. If $|x+d|<z$, then the left hand side is equal to $z-|x+d|$ and, squaring both sides, we obtain the equivalent inequality to prove:

$$
z^{2}-2 z|x+d|+|x+d|^{2} \leq|x+d|^{2}-2\left(x+d, x \cdot \frac{z}{|x|}\right)+z^{2},
$$

or an obvious inequality

$$
\left(x+d, \frac{x}{|x|}\right) \leq|x+d|
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, we will use use Theorem 3 of Burkholder; let us introduce functions $U_{1}, V_{1}: \mathcal{H} \times[0, \infty)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{1}(x, y, z)= & \begin{cases}\sqrt{2 y^{2}-(|x| \vee z-|x|)^{2}} & \text { if } y>|x| \vee z-|x| \\
2 y-(|x| \vee z-|x|) & \text { if } y \leq|x| \vee z-|x|\end{cases} \\
& V_{1}(x, y, z)=2 y-(|x| \vee z-|x|)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}(x, y, z)=u\left( \pm \frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|), y\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& V_{1}(x, y, z)=v\left( \pm \frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|), y\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u, v$ are defined by (3.3), (3.4).
We must check the assumptions of the Theorem 3.
Lemma 4. The functions $U_{1}, V_{1}$ satisfy (2.1), (2.2), (2.3).
Proof: As we shall see, the formulae (4.2), (4.3) enable us to reduce the claim to the Lemma 2. The condition (2.2) holds obviously; the inequality (2.1) follows immediately by (4.2), (4.3) and the condition $u \leq v$ proved in Lemma 2. Hence it suffices to show (2.3).

With fixed $y$, the function $x \mapsto u(x, y)$ decreases as $|x|$ increases; therefore the formula (4.2) and the inequality (4.1) imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{1}\left(x+d, \sqrt{y^{2}+d^{2}},|x+d| \vee z\right)-U_{1}(x, y,|x| \vee z) \\
=u\left(\frac{x}{|x|}(|x+d| \vee z-|x+d|), \sqrt{y^{2}+|d|^{2}}\right)-u\left(-\frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|), y\right) \\
\left.\geq u\left(-\frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|)+d, \sqrt{y^{2}+d^{2}}\right)\right)-u\left(-\frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|), y\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now if $d$ is a centered $\mathcal{H}$-valued random variable, then the inequality (2.3) for $\hat{U}$ (defined by (3.3)) and point

$$
\left(-\frac{x}{|x|}(|x| \vee z-|x|), y,|x| \vee z\right) \in \mathcal{H} \times[0, \infty)^{2}
$$

states that the expectation of the right hand side of the inequality above is nonnegative. Therefore the left hand side also has nonnegative expected value, which is the claim.

Proof of Theorem 2: We repeat the arguments from the proof of Theorem 1. Fix an $\mathcal{H}$-valued martingale $M$ and nonnegative integer $n$. By Lemma 4 and Theorem 3, the inequality (1.2) is established:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathbb{E} S_{n}(M)+\mathbb{E}\left|M_{n}\right|-\mathbb{E} M_{n}^{*}=\mathbb{E} V_{1}\left(M_{n}, S_{n}(M), M_{n}^{*}\right) \\
\geq & U_{1}\left(M_{0}, S_{0}(M),\left|M_{0}\right|\right)=U_{1}\left(M_{0},\left|M_{0}\right|,\left|M_{0}\right|\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$
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