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#### Abstract

We determine the best constants in the weak-type $(p, p)$ and $L^{p}$ estimates for geometric maximal operator on $(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$. It is also shown that in higher dimensions such inequalities fail to hold.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mu$ be a nonnegative Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The maximal geometric mean operator associated with $\mu$ is an operator acting on measurable functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$
G_{f}(x)=\sup \exp \left\{\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} \log (|f(y)|) \mathrm{d} \mu(y)\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing $x$ such that the integral is defined and $0<\mu(B)<\infty$; if no such $B$ exists, we put $G_{f}(x)=0$. This operator was introduced in the one-dimensional setting by X. Shi, who studied weighted $L^{p}$ estimates for this object. More precisely, it was proved in [14] that if $0<p<\infty$ is fixed and $\mu$ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$, then the inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{f}(x)^{p} w(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \leq C_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)
$$

holds for all $f \in L^{p}(w \mathrm{~d} \mu)$ if and only if $w$ satisfies Muckenhoupt's $\left(A_{\infty}\right)$ condition

$$
\sup _{I}\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} w(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)\right)\left(\exp \left\{\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} \log (1 / w(x)) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)\right\}\right) \leq C_{2}
$$

Here the supremum is taken over all intervals $I$ (see [8], [11]). Hu et. al. [9] showed that for each $0<p<\infty$, the latter requirement is equivalent to the validity of the weak-type estimate

$$
\sup _{\lambda>0} \lambda\left\{\int_{\left\{x: G_{f}(x) \geq \lambda\right\}} w(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)\right\}^{1 / p} \leq C_{3}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{p} w(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

for all $f \in L^{p}(w \mathrm{~d} \mu)$. These results have been extended in many directions, including two-weight case (see Cruz-Uribe [3], Cruz-Uribe and Neugebauer [4], Hu et. al. [9], Yin and Muckenhoupt [12]) and one-sided case (Luor [10], Ortega and Ramírez [13]). The concept of maximal geometric mean operator has been also transferred to probability theory: see [1], [2] and [15].

[^0]The purpose of this paper is to determine best constants in the weak- and strongtype inequalities for maximal geometric operators associated to general Borel measures in the one-dimensional setting. For a given measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ and a measurable $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, put

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)}=\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu(x)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

and

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)}=\sup _{\lambda>0}\left\{\lambda^{p} \mu\left(\left\{x: G_{f}(x)>\lambda\right\}\right)\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

Our main results can be stated as follows.
Theorem 1.1. For any $0<p<\infty$ and any measurable function $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{f}\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)} \leq\left(\frac{2}{e \log 2}\right)^{1 / p}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mu$ is Lebesgue measure, then the inequality is sharp for each $p$.
Theorem 1.2. For any $0<p<\infty$ and any measurable function $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{f}\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)} \leq c^{1 / p}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=3.5911 \ldots$ is the unique solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log c=\frac{c+1}{c} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mu$ is Lebesgue measure, then the inequality is sharp for each $p$.
We have organized this note as follows. In the next section we prove Theorem 1.1, as well as its extension concerning the more general class of weak- $\Phi$ estimates. In Section 3 we deal with the strong-type estimate. The final part of the paper concerns the following negative, higher-dimensional result: neither weak- nor strong-type estimate for $G_{f}$ holds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$.

## 2. Weak-type estimate

We start with the following auxiliary fact.
Lemma 2.1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x} \geq \frac{e}{4} x+\frac{e \log 2}{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{x} \geq \frac{e}{2} x+\frac{e \log 2}{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This follows from a straightforward calculus. We leave the details to the reader.

Proof of (1.1). We must prove that for any $p, \lambda>0$ and any function $f \in L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ we have

$$
\lambda^{p} \mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: G_{f}(x)>\lambda\right\}\right) \leq \frac{2}{e \log 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} \mu(x)
$$

Replacing $f$ with $\lambda f$ if necessary, we may assume that $\lambda=1$. Similarly, plugging $|f|^{1 / p}$ in the place of $f$, we see that it suffices to establish the above bound for $p=1$ and nonnegative $f$.

By the definition of $G_{f}$, if $G_{f}(x)>1$, then there is a non-degenerate interval $I_{x}$ containing $x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mu\left(I_{x}\right)} \int_{I_{x}} \log f(y) \mathrm{d} \mu(y)>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have $G_{f}>1$ on $I_{x}$. By Lindelöf's theorem, we may pick a countable subcollection $\left\{I_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{j}=\bigcup\left\{I_{x}: G_{f}(x)>1\right\} .
$$

For a fixed integer $N$, put $\mathcal{I}_{N}=\left\{I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{N}\right\}$ and let

$$
F^{N}=\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}^{N}} I
$$

By Lemma 4.4 in [6], there are two subcollections $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{2}$ of $\mathcal{I}^{N}$ such that the intervals in each of these are pairwise disjoint and

$$
F^{N}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{i}} I
$$

(this splitting is possible only in the one-dimensional case; the argument breaks down in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$ ). Therefore, we can write $F^{N}$ as the sum $A \cup B$, where $A$ is the set of those $x \in F^{N}$, which belong to exactly one element of $\mathcal{I}_{1} \cup \mathcal{I}_{2}$ and $B$ is the set of those $x$, which lie in one element of $\mathcal{I}_{1}$ and one element of $\mathcal{I}_{2}$. By (2.1),

$$
\int_{A} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)=\int_{A} e^{\log f(x)} \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \geq \frac{e}{4} \int_{A} \log f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)+\frac{e \log 2}{2} \mu(A)
$$

and, similarly, by (2.2),

$$
\int_{B} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)=\int_{B} e^{\log f(x)} \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \geq \frac{e}{2} \int_{B} \log f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)+\frac{e \log 2}{2} \mu(B) .
$$

Summing these two estimates, we get

$$
\int_{F^{N}} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \geq \frac{e}{4} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \cup \mathcal{I}_{2}} \int_{I} \log f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)+\frac{e \log 2}{2} \mu\left(F^{N}\right) .
$$

However, by (2.3), each term under the above sum is positive. Therefore,

$$
\int_{F^{N}} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \geq \frac{e \log 2}{2} \mu\left(F^{N}\right)
$$

and letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\left\{x: G_{f}(x)>1\right\}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_{j}\right) & \leq \frac{2}{e \log 2} \int_{\left\{G_{f}>1\right\}} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \\
& \leq \frac{2}{e \log 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired estimate. We turn to the sharpness of this bound: assume that $\mu$ is the Lebesgue measure. First note that by the arguments presented at the
beginning of the proof, it suffices to focus on the case $p=1$. Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
f(x)=\frac{e}{2} \chi_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}(x)+\frac{e}{4} \chi_{\{1<|x| \leq 1 /(2 \log 2-1)\}}
$$

We compute that

$$
\int_{-1 /(2 \log 2-1)}^{1} \log f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \log 2-1}-1\right) \log \frac{e}{4}+2 \log \frac{e}{2}=0
$$

and, similarly,

$$
\int_{-1}^{1 /(2 \log 2-1)} \log f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)=0 .
$$

This implies $G_{f}>1$ on the interval $(-1 /(2 \log 2-1), 1 /(2 \log 2-1))$ and hence

$$
\left\|G_{f}\right\|_{L^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)} \geq \mu\left(G_{f}>1\right) \geq \frac{2}{2 \log 2-1}
$$

On the other hand, we easily check that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)=\frac{e \log 2}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{2 \log 2-1}
$$

This shows the optimality and completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Remark 2.2. The reasoning presented above can be used to obtain much wider class of weak $\Phi$-estimates. Suppose that $\Phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $C^{1}$ function satisfying $\Phi(0)=0, \lim _{x \downarrow 0} x \Phi^{\prime}(x)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi^{\prime}(x) x=\infty$ and such that the composition $x \mapsto \Phi\left(e^{x}\right)$ is strictly convex on $\mathbb{R}$. We will show how to determine the best constant $C_{\Phi}$ in the inequality

$$
\Phi(1) \mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: G_{f}(x)>1\right\}\right) \leq C_{\Phi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(|f(x)|) \mathrm{d} \mu(x)
$$

For any $\beta \in[0, \Phi(1)]$, let $\alpha_{-}(\beta), \alpha_{+}(\beta)\left(\alpha_{-}(\beta)<\alpha_{+}(\beta)\right)$ be the slopes of two lines passing through $(0, \beta)$, tangent to the graph of the function $x \mapsto \Phi\left(e^{x}\right)$. By Darboux property, there is a unique $\beta_{0}$ for which $\alpha_{+}\left(\beta_{0}\right)=2 \alpha_{-}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ (indeed: we have $\alpha_{+}(0)>0=2 \alpha_{-}(0)$ and $\left.\alpha_{-}(\Phi(1))=\alpha_{+}(\Phi(1))>0\right)$. Replace (2.1) and (2.2) with the estimates

$$
\Phi\left(e^{x}\right) \geq \alpha_{-}\left(\beta_{0}\right) x+\beta_{0}, \quad \Phi\left(e^{x}\right) \geq \alpha_{+}\left(\beta_{0}\right) x+\beta_{0}
$$

and repeat all the above arguments to obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(1) \mu\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: G_{f}(x)>1\right\}\right) \leq \frac{\Phi(1)}{\beta_{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(|f(x)|) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see that this bound is sharp, let $\mu$ be the Lebesgue measure and let $x_{ \pm}$be numbers satisfying $\Phi\left(e^{x_{ \pm}}\right)=\alpha_{ \pm}\left(\beta_{0}\right) x_{ \pm}+\beta_{0}$. Define $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$
f(x)=e^{x_{+}} \chi_{[-a, a]}(x)+e^{x_{-}} \chi_{[-1,1] \backslash[-a, a]}(x),
$$

where the number $a \in(0,1)$ is chosen to satisfy $\int_{-a}^{1} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu=0$ (then, by symmetry, we also have $\int_{-1}^{a} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu=0$ ). Directly from this property of $a$ and the definition of
the geometric maximal operator, we have $G_{f}>1$ on $(-1,1)$; thus, $\left|\left\{G_{f}>1\right\}\right| \geq 2$. On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(f) \mathrm{d} \mu & =\int_{[-a, a]} \Phi\left(e^{x_{+}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu+\int_{[-1,1] \backslash[-a, a]} \Phi\left(e^{x_{-}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& =\int_{[-a, a]}\left[\alpha_{+}\left(\beta_{0}\right) x_{+}+\beta_{0}\right] \mathrm{d} \mu+\int_{[-1,1] \backslash[-a, a]}\left[\alpha_{-}\left(\beta_{0}\right) x_{-}+\beta_{0}\right] \mathrm{d} \mu \\
& =\alpha_{-}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\left[\int_{[-a, 1]} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{[-1, a]} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu\right]+2 \beta_{0} \\
& =2 \beta_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence both sides of (2.4) are equal.

## 3. $L^{p}$-Estimate

We start with two auxiliary results.
Lemma 3.1. For any nonnegative function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log f d \mu$ is well-defined, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left\{G_{f}>1\right\}} \log f d \mu+\int_{\{f>1\}} \log f d \mu \geq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $N$ be an arbitrary integer and let $F^{N},\left\{I_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}, \mathcal{I}_{i}, A$ and $B$ be as in the proof of (1.1) above. Write

$$
\int_{F^{N}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int_{A} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{B} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu=\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \cup \mathcal{I}_{2}} \int_{I} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu-\int_{B} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

However,

$$
\int_{B} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu \leq \int_{B \cap\{f>1\}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu \leq \int_{\{f>1\}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

which combined with the previous identity (and the fact that $\int_{I} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu \geq 0$ for any $\left.I \in \mathcal{I}_{1} \cup \mathcal{I}_{2}\right)$ gives

$$
\int_{F^{N}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu+\int_{\{f>1\}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu \geq 0
$$

It remains to let $N \rightarrow \infty$ to get the claim.
We will also need the following technical fact. Recall the number $c$ given by the equation (1.3).

Lemma 3.2. For any $x, y \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \log x-y \log y+x+y \leq(c x-y) \log c . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By continuity of both sides, we may assume that $y>0$. Divide throughout by $y$ to transform the inequality into

$$
\log s+1+s \leq(c s-1) \log c
$$

which follows by a straightforward analysis: the left hand side is a concave function of $s$, the right-hand side is a linear function of $s$, and both these functions agree, along with their derivatives, at the point $s=1 / c$ (see (1.3)).

Proof of (1.2). Arguing as in the previous section, it suffices to establish the estimate for $p=1$. Fix a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; we may and do assume that $f$ is integrable, since otherwise the right-hand side of (1.2) is infinite and there is nothing to prove. Pick $\lambda>0$, apply the inequality (3.1) to $|f| / \lambda$ and integrate the obtained bound over $\lambda$. We get

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \log (|f| / \lambda)\left\{\chi_{\left\{G_{f}>\lambda\right\}}+\chi_{\{|f|>\lambda\}}\right\} \mathrm{d} \mu \mathrm{~d} \lambda \geq 0
$$

Since $f$ is integrable, the use of Fubini's theorem is allowed and we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[G_{f} \log |f|-G_{f} \log G_{f}+G_{f}+|f|\right] \mathrm{d} \mu \geq 0
$$

This, by (3.2), implies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} c|f|-G_{f} \mathrm{~d} \mu \geq 0$, and we are done.
Sharpness. Again, we may restrict ourselves to $p=1$. Let $\mu$ be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. Fix $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 2)$ and consider the function $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{-1} \chi_{\{|x| \leq \varepsilon\}}+|x|^{-1} \chi_{\left\{\varepsilon<|x| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\right\}} .
$$

We have $\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)}=2(1-2 \log \varepsilon)$. Furthermore, for any $x \in\left(c \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, we easily derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left|\left[-c^{-1} x, x\right]\right|} \int_{-c^{-1} x}^{x} \log f_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} \mu & =1-\log x+\frac{1}{c+1} \log c-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(1+c^{-1}\right) x} \\
& =\log c-\log x-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(1+c^{-1}\right) x}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently, $G_{f_{\varepsilon}}(x) \geq \frac{c}{x} \exp \left(-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(1+c^{-1}\right) x}\right)$. By symmetry, we have $G_{f_{\varepsilon}}(x) \geq$ $\frac{c}{|x|} \exp \left(-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(1+c^{-1}\right)|x|}\right)$ whenever $x \in\left(-\varepsilon^{-1},-c \varepsilon\right)$, and therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{f_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{d} \mu}{\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)}} & \geq c(1-2 \log \varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{c \varepsilon}^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{1}{x} \exp \left(-\frac{2 \varepsilon}{\left(1+c^{-1}\right) x}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =c(1-2 \log \varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{c}^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \frac{1}{x} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\left(1+c^{-1}\right) x}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to note that, by l'Hospital rule, the latter expression converges to $c$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This proves the desired sharpness.

## 4. Higher-dimensional setting

There is a natural question about the analogues of the previous results in the higher-dimensional case. Unfortunately, the answer is negative: if $n \geq 2$, then the geometric maximal operator is in general not bounded on $L^{p}$ for any $p>0$. In fact, as we will prove now, it does not even map $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mu\right)$ into $L^{p, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mu\right)$ for general measures $\mu$. Consider the following example (similar constructions can be found in [5] and [7]). Let $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots$ be closed balls in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the origin lies on the boundary of each ball and such that for every $B_{j}$ there is a point $x_{j} \in B_{j} \backslash \bigcup_{i \neq j} B_{i}$. In addition, put $x_{0}=0$ and define the measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\mu=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

where $\delta_{x}$ stands for Dirac measure concentrated on $x$. Let $f$ be given by

$$
f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(j+1)^{-3 /(2 p)} \chi_{\left\{x_{j}\right\}}(x)
$$

Then

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)}=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(j+1)^{-3 / 2}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

but, on the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{f}\left(x_{j}\right) & \geq \exp \left(\frac{1}{\mu\left(B_{j}\right)} \int_{B_{j}} \log f \mathrm{~d} \mu\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\frac{\log 1+\log (j+1)^{-3 /(2 p)}}{2}\right)=(j+1)^{-3 /(4 p)}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G_{f}\right\|_{L^{p, \infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mu)} & \geq \sup _{j}\left\{(j+1)^{-3 /(4 p)}\left[\mu\left(G_{f}>(j+1)^{-3 /(4 p)}\right)\right]^{1 / p}\right\} \\
& =\sup _{j}\left\{j^{1 / p}(j+1)^{-3 /(4 p)}\right\}=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence the weak-type bound does not hold for $G$.
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